



Legal Document

Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
Case No. CGC 08 478207

Sharon Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership

Document 112



View Document



View Docket



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Sep-01-2009 3:39 pm

Case Number: CGC-08-478207

Filing Date: Sep-01-2009 3:35

Juke Box: 001 Image: 02604303

ORDER

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

001C02604303

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

SEP 01 2009

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

BY: Paula C. Hogue
Deputy Clerk

1 **LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP**
2 JEFFERY G. BAIREY, SB# 111271
3 JOHN A. TOAL, SB# 194041
4 WINNIE YEUNG, SB# 238473
5 One Sansome Street, Suite 1400
6 San Francisco, California 94104
7 Telephone: (415) 362-2580
8 Facsimile: (415) 434-0882

9 Attorneys for Defendant HAYES VALLEY APARTMENTS II, LP
10 (erroneously sued herein as HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

13 SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

17 Defendant.

) CASE NO. CGC-08-478207, CASE NO. CUD-
) 06-617995, and CGC-09-486994

) **[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING**
) **PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER'S**
) **MOTION TO STRIKE THE ENTIRE**
) **COST-FRAUD ON THE COURT;**
) **MEMORANDUM AND REQUEST FOR**
) **SANCTIONS**

) **DATE: September 1, 2009**

) **TIME: 9:30 a.m.**

) **DEPT.: 301**

) **ACTION FILED: August 4, 2008**

) **TRIAL DATE: None Set**

18
19
20
21 Plaintiff SHARON BRIDGEWATER's Motion To Strike the Entire Cost Memo - Fraud on
22 the Court By the Defendants and Request for Sanctions came on regularly for hearing on
23 September 1, 2009 at 9:30 a.m., in Dept. 301 of the above-entitled court.

24 The Court having considered the pleadings and evidence submitted, and oral arguments by
25 counsel and the parties, orders that:

26 ///


27 ///

28 ///

1 Plaintiff SHARON BRIDGEWATER'S Motion to Strike the Entire Cost Memo-Fraud on
2 the Court by Defendants and Request for Sanctions is denied; this motion is an improper and
3 untimely Request for Reconsideration without any basis in new law or facts; even if considered on
4 the merits, plaintiff offers no basis for the relief sought.

5
6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: September 1, 2009


Judge of the Superior Court

PETER J. BUSCH