

1 Sharon Bridgewater
2 12070 W. Outer Drive
3 Detroit, MI 48223
4 1-415-933-3465

FILED

NOV - 3 2011

RICHARD W. W. KING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

E-filing

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 010-04966

MMC

ADR

11 Sharon Bridgewater,

12 Plaintiff,

14 Vs.

15 Roger and Mary Tonna
16 And Does 1 thru 50 inclusive

17 Defendants,

19 Attorney William Gilg
20 305 San Bruno Ave West
21 San Bruno, CA 94066

22 And Does 1 thru 50 inclusive

23 Legal Defendants,

25
26
27
28
Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -1-

Court original

A 595

JURISDICTION

1. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked by 42 U.S.C. section 1985(c) Conspiracy to
2. Deprive Civil Rights.
3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked by the Violation of the Fair Debt Collection
4. Practice Act. 15 U.S.C. § 1692
5. The damages are in excess of \$75,000.00.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

1. The incident took place in the City of San Leandro, State of California.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -2-

A 596

DEFENDANTS

1. The defendant's Roger and Mary Tonna are Owners of Real property located at 111
2. Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.
3. Roger and Mary Tonna Own several properties in the San Francisco Bay Area.
4. The agents, servants, employees were acting in the scope of their performance of duties, and
5. at all times mentioned were the Residential Roger and Mary Tonna.
6. The Managing Agent and/or Resident Manager exercised independent authority and
7. judgment over the apartment complex at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577
8. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1 through 50
9. inclusive and needs to obtain said information through discovery.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

-3-

A 597

LEGAL DEFENDANTS

1. William Gilg is an Attorney.
 2. William Gilg represented their client Roger and Mary Tonna.
 3. Roger and Mary Tonna hired William Gilg to represent their client in the "debt collection" process and/or in gaining possession of their apartment(s).
 4. William Gilg is a member of the California bar association, an Officer of the court and was employed by Roger and Mary Tonna.
 5. The Defendant William Gilg is an Officer of the Court and has an unquestionable duty to the Court of professional conduct.
 6. The Defendant William Gilg waived their attorney-client privilege and attorney-client work products under California Law.
 7. A partnership and each of its partners are responsible for the wrongful conduct of a partner acting within the scope of his or her employment; and, each attorney is to be held personally responsible.

PLAINTIFF

- 1 1. At all times mentioned herein Plaintiff was a tenant in lawful, peaceful possession of a
- 2 2. rental unit at 111 Preda Street #7 San Leandro, CA 94577. from June. 2009 thru
- 3 3. Oct. 22, 2010.
- 4 4. At all times mentioned the Plaintiff had a HUD section 8 rental lease agreement contact
- 5 5. with the Defendants.
- 6 6. Currently the Plaintiff is a resident at a local Homeless Shelter, in San Francisco Bay Area,
- 7 7. due to the malicious, fraudulent, conduct of the Defendants. The Plaintiff is using an
- 8 8. address in Detroit, Michigan for court purposes.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -5-

A 579

SEQUENTIAL EVENTS

Roger and Mary Tonna own multiple properties throughout the San Francisco Bay Area, and receive rental income from their properties. Most of their properties are occupied by students and working adults.

The Apartments at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577 is a 24-unit apartment complex, owned and operated by Roger and Mary Tonna.

Most of the tenants residing at the apartment at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA are African American "socially economically" disadvantaged tenants receiving some sort of government funds such as Social Security Income, Supplemental Security income for living expenses. Most of the tenants receive rental assistance from United States Housing and Urban Development (HUD) known as Section 8 program. (The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program is a program funded by HUD to assist tenants with rental assistance by paying a portion of their rent directly to their landlord)

On or about August 30, 2009, Mary and Roger Tonna negligently and carelessly hired a convicted felon as Residence Manager for the apartment complex at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA.

On or about Dec. 22, 2009, the Plaintiff father died and the Plaintiff went to Michigan to attend her father funeral (see exh. 1) While the Plaintiff was in Michigan, the Property Manager (convicted felon) illegally entered the Plaintiff apartment without the Plaintiff knowledge or consent, and stole several items (computer, monitor, personal, legal, and business papers, programs, eye glasses, jewelry leather rolling brief case, and expensive art supplies)

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Glig

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

A 600

1 (see exh. 2 And; several other tenants' eye witnessed the incident of the convicted felon taking
2 the Plaintiff property).

3 After the incident the Plaintiff continuously told the Defendant Roger and Mary Tonna
4 about how unhappy she was living at the apartment complex. The Plaintiff continuously told
5 Roger and Mary Tonna that she was scared to live in the unit; and suffered from fear, fright,
6 horror living in the complex; and at any time they could break in and harm the Plaintiff. (*a lady*
7 *was found dead in her apartment at 111 Preda Street- apartments and her body discovered ten*
8 *days later*)

9 Pending this time, from Jan. thru May, 2010 the Plaintiff gave the Defendants **SEVERAL**
10 verbal and written 30 day notices on her intentions to terminate her tenancy.(see exh. 3)

11 The Plaintiff on numerous occasions asked the Defendants to sign a mutual termination of
12 tenancy agreement(The section 8 HUD rental assistance program provides that during the initial
13 1st year of the contract a tenant may not "end" a lease agreement; but only on mutual termination
14 of tenancy agreement between the tenant and landlord. *After the initial term ends the section 8*
15 *contract continues and renew on the 1st of the month on a month-to-month basis until the tenant*
16 *moves from the premise).*

17 Roger and Mary Tonna, refused to sign a mutual termination of tenancy, and asserted to
18 the Plaintiff that she had to remain in the unit, until her lease ended after one year.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -7-

A 601

1 Pending this time, from Jan. 2010 thru May, 2010 Roger and Mary Tonna made numerous
2 promises to compensate the Plaintiff for the items stolen by the convicted felon (employee of
3 Roger and Mary Tonna); only to break the verbal agreements, and not compensate the Plaintiff
4 for what their employee had taken.
5

6 On or about June 16, 2010 the Plaintiff's filed a small claims court action, against the
7 Tonna entitled "BRIDGEWATER VS. TONNA"(see exh. 4) for \$7,499.00. (seven thousand,
8 four hundred and ninety-nine dollars)
9

10 Roger and Mary Tonna then, summoned their attorney, Attorney William Gilg, and the
11 three cooperated and agreed, to use directly and/or directly, threat, coercion and/or force, sent the
12 Plaintiff a letter which included expressed language such as "If the Plaintiff fail to cooperate
13 and.....
14

- 15
16 1) accept \$1,000.00
17 2) release all small claims court case action Bridgewater vs. Tonna
18 3) and voluntary move out by July 26, 2010
19

20 The "Defendants" would "take all legal steps to evict you from the apartment at 111 Preda
21 Street.. #7, in San Leandro, CA".
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -8-

A 602

One Day later On July 27, 2010, the Defendants retaliated, with discriminatory intent, motive and/or the "state of mind" cooperated and agreed to discriminate against the Plaintiff because she was an African American socially economically disadvantaged female tenant unable to enforce her legal rights; to deny/deprive equal privileges and immunities as secured by the US Constitution as a citizen of America and deprive the Plaintiff of property without due process of law, gain possession of the property without due process of law and/or the right to possession.

The defendants cooperated and agreed, abused the legal process, filed a unlawful
detainer complaint for FORFEITURE OF A GOVERNMENT HUD LEASE AGREEMENT
CONTRACT for "ZERO AMOUNT PAST DUE RENT" without giving the Plaintiff
"ANY" PRE-REQUISTE NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF TENANCY, AS REQUIRED
BY FEDERAL AND STATE (PLEASE see exh. 5 page 2 - Box 7a, # (6), and Box 8a (1)

[a defendant can not serve herself her own notice to terminate a tenancy pursuant to Box C (1)]

On the day of Trial, the Defendants with malice, intentionally, knowingly, willfully, conspired, with the intent to defraud the Judge, concealed material facts from the Judge that the Plaintiff was in legal possession of the premise. The Defendants presented to the Judge an Official Legal Document for Judgment-Unlawful Detainer, made material misrepresentations, to the Judicial Tribunal that the Plaintiff waived her right to a jury trial appeared at trial, owed hold over damages and cost of \$722.50, (see exh. 6 and compare with exh. 7 Page 1 + 2 (The Plaintiff did not appear at trial, nor did she waive her right to a jury trial))

1 The intentional misrepresentation to the Judge caused the Judicial Tribunal to legally
2 issue a Judgment for possession of the premise, and Judgment against the Plaintiff for \$722.50
3 (that lacked due process of law - the court did not have jurisdiction to entertain) for possession
4 of the premise.

5 After Attorney William Gilg obtained the void fraudulent Judgment, later; the Defendants
6 had the Sheriff escort the Plaintiff from the premise. The Plaintiff civil rights were violated,
7 and the Plaintiff was force to move without probable cause, in complete retaliation. (see ex. #8)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20 A summary judgment may be granted if "the pleadings and the materials on file [or
21 declarations] show that there is no genuine issue and/or no triable issue of material facts. The
22 movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. Rule. Civil P. 56(c).

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339</

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The causes of action set forth in this complaint against Attorney William Gilg in this complaint applies to CCP section 1714.10(c) CCP Section 1714.10 (c) provides, "this CCP section 1714.10(a) shall not apply to a cause of action against an attorney for a civil conspiracy with his or her client, where (1) the attorney has an independent legal duty to the Plaintiff, or (2) the attorney's acts go beyond the performance of a professional duty to serve the client and involve an conspiracy to violate a legal duty in furtherance of the attorney's financial gain.

THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT, obtained by the Defendants against the Plaintiff for possession of the premise located at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA is WITHOUT ANY BASIS, AND DOES NOT STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION; ALSO IT IS COMPLETELY RETAILITORY, MALICIOUS AND WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE.

The Judgment for possession of the premise obtained by the Defendants, 1) lacked due process of law; 2) the court did not have jurisdiction to entertain, and IS FRAUDULANT, was obtained by the Defendants attorney committing fraud on the court.

Plaintiff also filed an administrative complaint with HUD as required (see exh. 9).

The United States Department of Urban Housing and Development said it only has power to recognize claims under the Fair Housing laws.

The Defendants evicted the Plaintiff without probable cause, tortuously interfered with the Plaintiff's Government rental assistance HUD contract and the Plaintiff is no

longer eligible to receive HUD section 8 rental assistance. THE PLAINTIFF FILED A WRIT OF MANDAMUS FOR A STAY OF A JUDGMENT TRIAL & A STAY FOR POSSESSION OF THE PREMISES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS IN THE APPELLANT COURT OF ALAMEDA COUNTY (2 TWO), THE COURT OF APPEALS, THE U.S. CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT, ALL WERE DENIED. THE PLAINTIFF FILED A MOTION FOR JUDGMENT & A ORDER SHOWING TIME TO HEAR, ALSO DENIED BY THE ALAMEDA SUPERIOR COURT.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

FIRST CLAIM OF RELIEF

**CIVIL CONSPIRACY
AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does**

1 through 50 inclusive AND WILLIAM GILG and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive

1. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
 2. Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned was a tenant, had a legally binding HUD section 8 lease agreement and/or contract with Roger Tonna for the premises of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577 from June 2009 to June 2010. (exh. 5 partial agreement) Pursuant to the HUD rental agreement, after the initial one-year expiration of the lease agreement the contract term renews on the 1st of the month on a month-to-month basis. Pursuant to Federal law, the HUD lease terminates only when the tenants moves to another unit.
 3. The Plaintiff at all times mentioned African American "social economically disadvantaged" female tenant living at 111 Preda Street #7, San Leandro, CA.
 4. The Plaintiff at all times mentioned was a member or a "race and/or class" based discriminatory animus.
 5. At all times mentioned in this complaint, Plaintiff was a tenant in lawful, peaceful possession of the premises.
 6. The Plaintiff performed her obligation under the written lease agreement and/or contract.
 7. Roger Tonna and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive had a duty to perform the contract.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Toppa & Attorney William Gllg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -12-

P 606

- 1 8. The Plaintiff had a right to maintain her tenancy at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, and
- 2 had a right to possession of the premise at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.
- 3 9. The Plaintiff had a right to be free from threat and/or demand and/or coercion and/or
- 4 "force" during her tenancy.
- 5 10. The Plaintiff had a right to be free from retaliation during her tenancy.
- 6 11. The Plaintiff had a right to be free from intimidation and/or any interference with
- 7 exercise(s) and enjoyment(s) of equal privileges and immunities as secured by the United
- 8 States Constitution as a citizen of America.
- 9 12. The Plaintiff had a right to exercise and/or enjoy equal privileges and immunities as
- 10 secured by the US Constitution as a US citizen.
- 11 13. The Plaintiff had a right to due process of law in the termination of her tenancy.
- 12 14. The defendants are jointly and/or severally liable their actions.
- 13 15. Attorney William Gilg at all times mentioned was employed by Roger and Mary Tonna.
- 14 16. Attorney William Gilg at all times mentioned were an Agent for Mary and Roger Tonna.
- 15 17. Attorney William Gilg with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally served, aided and
- 16 abetted Roger and Mary Tonna for financial gain.
- 17 18. Attorney William Gilg waived his attorney client privilege and attorney client work
- 18 product under California law.
- 19 19. Attorney William Gilg with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally acted beyond the
- 20 performance(s) of their professional duty for financial gain.
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -13-

A607

- 1 20. At all times mentioned William Gilg, willfully, intentionally, knowingly, with malice,
2 involved himself in a conspiracy and violated a legal duty in furtherance of a conspiracy for
3 financial gain.
4
- 5 21. On or about August 30, 2009, Roger and Mary Tonna carelessly and negligently hired a
6 convicted felon to manage the apartments at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.
7
- 8 22. The convicted felon and/or Resident Manager and employee of Roger and Mary Tonna
9 illegally entered the Plaintiff apartment without the right and without the Plaintiff
10 permission and stole several items for the Plaintiff resident.
11
- 12 23. The Plaintiff filed a smalls claims court case entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger Tonna
13 Case number HS01520747, in Alameda Superior Court.
14
- 15 24. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna willfully, knowingly, intentionally with
16 malice, cooperated and agreed to discriminate against the Plaintiff because she was a
17 "socially economically disadvantaged" African American female tenant.
18
- 19 25. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna willfully, knowingly, intentionally with
20 malice, cooperated and agreed to discriminate against the Plaintiff "a member of a class
21 and/or race based discriminatory animus" with limited resources and unable to enforce her
22 legal rights.
23
- 24 25. The Defendants cooperated and agreed to deprive the Plaintiff property without due
25 process of law in complete retaliation.
26
- 27 27. The Defendant cooperated and agreed to use directly and/or indirectly threat, force and/or
28 Coercion in retaliation.
29

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -14-

A668

- 1 28. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly, with
2 malice, intentionally, cooperated, agreed to violate the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act
3 (FDCPA) in retaliation.
- 4 29. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna willfully, knowingly, intentionally, with
5 malice, agreed, cooperated and approved, to cause the plaintiff to suffer harm, injury
6 without probable cause in complete retaliation.
- 7 30. At all times mentioned Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna and Attorney William Gilg, with malice,
8 willingly, knowingly, intentionally, cooperated and agreed to hurt, oppress, and injure the
9 Plaintiff and "force" the Plaintiff to move from her apartment without probable cause in
10 complete retaliation.
- 11 31. The Defendant cooperated and agreed to deprive the Plaintiff of her legal right in the
12 termination of tenancy and did overt act in furtherance of a conspiracy and committed civil
13 conspiracy.
- 14 32. The defendant's actions constitute conspiracy are the proximately caused of the plaintiff
15 damages.
- 16 33. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages.
- 17 34. Plaintiff have been injured, damaged and harmed by the defendant's actions.
- 18 35. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00 (One hundred dollars).
- 19 36. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
20 and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
21 defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
- 22 37. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages according to proof at trial.
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -15-

AB609

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
CONSPIRACY TO WRONGFULLY EVICT AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA
AND Does 1 through 50 inclusive AND ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG and
Does 1 thru 50 inclusive

38. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

39. The defendants cooperated and agreed and overt act in furtherance to gain possession of the premise without the right to possession and/or without due process of law.

40. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna and does 1 thru 50 inclusive with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally agreed, and cooperated, to violate Federal and/or State law.

41. Roger Tonna, willfully, knowingly intentionally failed to perform his obligation under the HUD contract, cooperated and agreed with Mary Tonna, and William Gilg to tortiously interfere with the Plaintiff's HUD section 8 Government contract.

42. Roger Tonna, willfully, knowingly intentionally cooperated and agreed with Mary Tonna, and William Gilg to breach the Plaintiff's HUD Government lease agreement.

43. Roger Tonna, willfully, knowingly intentionally cooperated and agreed with Mary Tonna, and William Gilg to tortiously interfere with the Plaintiff's HUD section 8 Government lease agreement.

44. Roger Tonna, willfully, knowingly intentionally cooperated and agreed with Mary Tonna, and William Gilg to tortiously interfere with the Plaintiff's right to quiet enjoyment and leasehold interest.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gigg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

P610

- 1 45. Attorney William Gilg had a fiduciary relationship with the Court not to misrepresent the
2 facts to the Court.
- 3 46. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
4 intentionally, maliciously, cooperated and agreed to abuse the legal process.
- 5 47. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
6 intentionally, maliciously, cooperated and agreed to file an unlawful detainer complaint
7 against the Plaintiff, without giving to the Plaintiff a "pre-requisite" notice of termination
8 of tenancy as required by Fed. And State law.
- 9 48. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
10 intentionally, maliciously, cooperated and agreed to gain possession of the premise
11 without the right to possession and/or without due process of law.
- 12 49. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
13 intentionally, with malice, agreed and cooperated to conceal material facts from the
14 Plaintiff and/or the Judicial Tribunal.
- 15 50. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
16 intentionally, with malice, agreed and cooperated to commit fraud and/or defraud the
17 Plaintiff and/or the Judicial Tribunal.
- 18 51. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
19 intentionally agreed and cooperated to deny and/or deprive the Plaintiff property without
20 due process of law in complete retaliation.
- 21 52. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly,
22 intentionally, agreed and cooperated to prevent the Plaintiff from exercising and enjoying
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -17-

A b11

1 equal privileges and immunities as secured by the United States Constitution as a citizen
2 of America.

3 53. Attorney William Gilg, with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally, agreed and
4 cooperated with Roger and Mary Tonna, to obtain a fraudulent void Judgment for
5 possession of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577, the court did not have
6 jurisdiction to entertain and/or lacked due process of law.

7 54. Attorney William Gilg, with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally, agreed and
8 cooperated with Roger and Mary Tonna, to violate the Plaintiff civil rights, force the
9 Plaintiff to move from the premise without probable cause in complete retaliation and
10 conspired to wrongfully evict the Plaintiff and committed conspiracy to
11 wrongfully evict.

12 55. The defendant's actions constitute conspiracy to wrongfully evict and are the
13 proximately caused of the plaintiff damages.

14 56. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages.

15 57. Plaintiff have been injured, damaged and harmed by the defendant's actions.

16 58. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00 (One hundred dollars)

17 59. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless
18 disregard and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of
19 said defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)

20 60. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages according to proof at trial.

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28
Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -18-

A612

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE COURT

**AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does 1 through 50 inclusive AND
ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive**

61. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
 62. The defendants with malice, willingly, knowingly, intended, cooperated, agreed and did overt acts in furtherance to defraud the Judicial Tribunal and/or court.
 63. On or about Sept. 21, 2010, Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial Tribunal and/or the court, cooperated and agreed to conceal a material fact from the Judicial Tribunal the Plaintiff was in lawful possession of the premise of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.
 64. On or about Sept. 21, 2010, Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial Tribunal and/or the court, cooperated and agreed to conceal a material fact from the Judicial Tribunal that they filed an unlawful detainer complaint without giving the Plaintiff a "pre-requisite" notice of termination of tenancy as required by Fed. And State law.
 65. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, with the intent to defraud and deceive the Judicial Tribunal, cooperated and agreed to make intentional misrepresentations to the Judicial Tribunal to gain possession of the apartment without the right to possession and/or without due process of law.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gug

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

β613

- 1 66. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly,
2 with the intent to defraud and deceive the Judicial Tribunal, cooperated and agreed to
3 present to the Judicial Tribunal an official legal document Judgment – Unlawful Detainer
4 that included expressed language that said, Sharon Bridgewater appeared in court; *waived*
5 *her rights to a jury trial* and owed hold over damages in the amount of \$502.50 and cost in the
6 amount of \$220.00 to Roger and Mary Tonna, for an amount totally \$722.50, to obtain a
7 void fraudulent judgment for possession of the premise of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro,
8 CA 94577 and committed conspiracy to defraud the court.
9
- 10 67. The defendant's actions constitute conspiracy to defraud the court and proximately caused
11 the Plaintiff damage and harm.
12
- 13 68. The Plaintiff has been damaged by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.
14
- 15 69. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages.
16
- 17 70. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00 (one hundred dollars
18
- 19 71. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
20 and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
21 defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
22
- 23 72. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages from according to proof at trial.
24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -20-

A614

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
FRAUD ON THE COURT
AGAINST ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG AND DOES 1 THRU 50
INCLUSIVE**

73. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully,
Intentionally knowingly did overt acts to defraud the court.

74. On Sept. 21, 2010 at approx 9:00 a.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California,
County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda Court house at 1225 Fallon Street,
Oakland, CA 94612, in Dept. 22, Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna
with malice, intentionally, knowingly, willingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial
Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, concealed a material fact that Sharon Bridgewater
was in lawful possession of the premises of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.

75. On Sept. 21, 2010 at approx 9:00 a.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California, .
County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda Court house at 1225 Fallon Street,
Oakland, CA 94612, in Dept. 22, Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna
with malice, intentionally, knowingly, willingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial
Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee conceal a material fact from Judicial Tribunal
Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee that he filed an unlawful detainer complaint without providing
Sharon Bridgewater a "pre-requisite" notice of termination of tenancy as required by Fed.
And State law.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Ab/5

- 1 76. Attorney William Gilg agent for Mary and Roger Tonna was under a duty to disclose to the
2 Judicial Tribunal the material facts.
- 3 77. The Judicial Tribunal was unaware and would have acted differently had the material facts
4 were not concealed and/or suppressed from the Plaintiff.
- 5 78. On Sept. 21, 2010 at approx 9:00 a.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California,
6 County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda Court house at 1225 Fallon Street,
7 Oakland, CA 94612, in Dept. 22, Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna
8 with malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial
9 Tribunal, abused the legal process, made a material representation and presented to Judicial
10 Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee an Official legal document entitled, Roger Tonna,
11 Mary Tonna Plaintiff vs. Sharon Bridgewater Defendant case number HG10-527647,
12 Judgment-Unlawful Detainer with boxes checked, after court trial . THE
13 Jury was waived. The court considered the evidence, and appearances by:
14 Plaintiff Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna and Defendant Sharon Bridgewater.
15 79. On Sept. 21, 2010 at approx 9:00 a.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California,
16 County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda Court house at 1225 Fallon Street,
17 Oakland, CA 94612, in Dept. 22, Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna
18 with malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial
19 Tribunal, made a material representation and told the Judicial Tribunal that Sharon
20 Bridgewater owed Roger and Mary Tonna hold over damages in the amount of \$502.50 and
21 cost in the amount of \$220.00 and an amount of \$722.50.
22 80. Attorney William Gilg agent for Mary and Roger Tonna knew were aware that the material
23 representation to the Judicial Tribunal Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee was totally false.
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -22-

A 66

- 1 81. Attorney William Gilg, agent for Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, intentionally,
2 willfully, knowingly, with the intent to defraud the Judicial Tribunal, intended for the
3 Judicial Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, to rely on the material representation.
4
5 82. The Judicial Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee was ignorant of Attorney William Gilg
6 agent for Mary and Roger material representation.
7
8 83. The Judicial Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee trusted Attorney William Gilg agent for
9 Roger and Mary Tonna, as an Officer(s) of the Court, and relied on Attorney William Gilg
10 agent for HVLP and/or KTJ material representation.
11
12 84. The Judicial Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, had a fiduciary relationship with
13 Attorney William Gilg agent for Roger and Mary Tonna, Officer(s) of the court, and
14 had a right to rely Attorney William Gilg material representation to the court and/or
15 Judicial Tribunal.
16
17 85. On Sept. 21, 2010 at approx 9:00 a.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California,
18 County of Alameda, Rene C. Davidson Alameda Court house at 1225 Fallon Street,
19 Oakland, CA 94612, in Dept. 22, in case number HG10-527647 the Judicial Tribunal,
20 Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee legally signed the Official legal document of Roger Tonna,
21 Mary Tonna Plaintiff vs. Sharon Bridgewater Defendant case number HG10-527647,
22 Judgment-Unlawful Detainer for forfeiture of lease, cancellation of the rental agreement of
23 premise of 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577 and an money Judgment against the
24 Plaintiff in the amount of \$722.50 and the act was legally done.
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -23-

A617

1
2 86. The Official legal document of Judgment-Unlawful Detainer legally issued by of the Judicial
3 Tribunal, Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, caused Attorney William Gilg to obtain a writ for
4 possession of the premise and subsequently caused the local sheriff to escort the Plaintiff
5 from the premise and caused the Plaintiff to be "forced" from her residence, and further
6 caused Sharon Bridgewater to lose her primary resident, and name to be illegally entered in
7 an unlawful detainer data base for non-payment of rent and caused other damages.
8
9

10 87. The defendant's actions of constitute fraud on the court.
11
12 88. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages.
13
14 89. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00(one hundred dollars)
15
16 90. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
17 and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
18 defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
19
20 91. Plaintiff requests award punitive damages according to proof at trial.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonns & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -24-

A b 18

EIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

ABUSE OF PROCESS

**AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does 1 through 50 inclusive AND
ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive**

92. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

93. The defendants with malice, willingly, knowingly, intended, cooperated, agreed and did overt acts to use the Judicial System and/or the court in a purpose it was not intended for.

94. On or about Sept. 21, 2010, Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna, with malice, willingly, intentionally, knowingly, used the Judicial System and/or court proceeding(trial) to obtain a fraudulent void fraudulent Judgment for possession of the premise and abused the legal process and committed abuse of process.

95. The actions of the defendant's actions constitute abuse of process and proximately caused
Bridgewater injuries and damages.

96. Bridgewater has been damaged and has damages.

97. Bridgewater is entitled to compensatory, special, statutory damages.

98. The defendants in acting with malice, oppression and reckless disregard are liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)

99. Bridgewater request an punitive damage award according to proof at trial.

100. The defendant's actions constitute abuse of process and proximately caused the Plaintiff damage and harm.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -25-

A619

- 1 101. Plaintiff has been damaged by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.
2 102. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages.
3 103. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00 (one hundred dollars
4
5 104. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless
6 disregard and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of
7 said defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
8
9 106. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages from according to proof at trial.
10
11
12

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

**AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does 1 through 50 inclusive AND
ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive**

- 17 107. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
18
19 108. The defendants with malice, willfully, knowingly, intentionally, did overt acts to cause the
20 Plaintiff harm and injury and force the Plaintiff to move without probable cause.
21
22 109. On or about Sept. 21, 2010, Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna, with malice,
23 abused the legal process and obtained a void judgment for possession of the premise of 111
24
25 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577.
26
27 110. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, intentionally,
28 knowingly, set the conditions, directly, facilitated, confirmed, ratified and inflicted
intentional emotional distress upon the Plaintiff.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -26-

A620

- 1 111. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, intentionally,
2 knowingly, caused the Plaintiff to suffer injury by way of extreme and Outrageous
3 conduct,
- 4 112. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna at all times mentioned "were aware" that
5 the Plaintiff had a legally binding HUD section 8 contract for her housing and needed a
6 place to live, for her future housing accommodations.
- 7 113. On or July 27, 2010 Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully,
8 intentionally, knowingly, cooperated and agreed, served the Plaintiff an summon and
9 complaint for ZERO amount of rent due, with "no pre-requisite notice of termination of
10 tenancy as required by Federal and State law.
- 11 114. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna violated of Federal and State law,
12 concealed material facts from the Plaintiff and/or the Judicial Tribunal and
13 committed fraud.
- 14 115. Attorney William Gilg committed fraud on the court,
- 15 116. On or about Sept. 21, 2010, the defendants cooperated and agreed, and obtained a
16 fraudulent void judgment for possession of the premise(lacked due process of law, and
17 the court did not have jurisdiction to entertain)
- 18 117. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, intentionally,
19 knowingly, tortiously interfered with the Plaintiff's U.S. Housing and Urban
20 Development rental assistance section 8 contract and caused the Plaintiff rental
21 assistance payments to terminate.
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -27-

A622

118. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, intentionally,
knowingly, tortiously interfered with the Plaintiff's right to quiet enjoyment and
leasehold interest in the rental unit.
119. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, intentionally,
knowingly, cooperated, agreed, breached the Plaintiff's Federal Government HUD
Section 8 lease agreement Contract.
120. Attorney William Gilg, Roger and Mary Tonna cooperated, agreed, violated the Plaintiff
civil rights, used directly and/or indirectly threat and/or coercion and/or force, had the
local sheriff extort the Plaintiff off the property, forced the Plaintiff to move without
probable cause in complete retaliation.
121. The defendant's actions constitute malicious prosecution and proximately caused the
Plaintiff to lose her section 8 rental assistance with HUD, extensive emotional distress,
humiliation, shame, and other harm and damages.
122. The Plaintiff has been damaged by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.
123. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages. Plaintiff is
entitled to the amount of her section 8 rental assistance benefits.
124. Plaintiff has compensatory damages, emotional distress, humiliation and shame in the
amount of \$500,000.00 (five hundred thousand dollars).
125. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
126. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages from according to proof at trial.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -28-

1623

1
2
3 **SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
4 **CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE (CIVIL) RIGHTS**

5 **42 U.S.C. 1985(3)**

6
7
8 **AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does 1 thru 50 inclusive ATTORNEY**
9 **WILLIAM GILG and DOES 1 THRU 50 inclusive**

10
11
12
13 127. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

14
15 128. The defendants with malice, willfully, intentionally, knowingly, cooperated, agreed and
16 did overt acts in furtherance to deprive the Plaintiff property without due process
17 of law.

18
19 129. On or about July 27, 2010 and/or Sept. 21, 2010, Attorney William Gilg,
20 Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, intentionally, willingly, knowingly, agreed and
21 cooperated to use "race and/or class based discriminatory animus," to deny and/or
22 deprive the Plaintiff property without due process of law and/or equal privileges and
23 immunities under the laws of the US Constitution and prevented the Plaintiff from
24 exercising and enjoying equal privileges and/or rights and/or immunities as
25 secured by the United States Constitution as a US citizen and committed
26 conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's civil rights.

27
28 130. The defendant's actions constitute conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's civil rights and
proximately caused the Plaintiff harm and damages.

131. The Plaintiff has been harmed by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -29-

A624

132. Plaintiff is entitled to "reasonable" attorney fees, and cost pursuant to the Civil Rights

Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C.A. § 1988)

133. The Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages and
compensatory have damages in the amount of \$100.00 (one hundred dollars)

134. Plaintiff have reasonable attorney fee's in an amount deem just and appropriate.

135. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)

136. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damage according to proof at trial.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

RETALIATORY EVICTION (COMMON LAW)

18 AGAINST ROGER AND MARY TONNA AND Does 1 through 50 inclusive
19 AND ATTORNEY WILLIAM GILG and Does 1 thru 50 inclusive

21 137. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
22 The defendants with malice, willfully, intentionally, knowingly did overt acts to gain
23 possession of the Plaintiff apartment without due process of law again.

24 138. The Plaintiff exercised her legal right and filed a small claims court case against the Tonns
25 for the item their employee had taken.

27 139. On or about July 27, 2010 and/or Sept 21, 2010 Attorney William Gilg,

28 Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

Ab25

1 Roger and Mary Tonna with malice, willfully, knowingly, retaliated, cooperated, agreed,
2 used directly and/or indirectly threat and/or fraud and/or force and/or coercion.
3

- 4 140. The defendants with malice, willfully, intentionally, knowingly, cooperated and agreed,
5 used race and/or class based discriminatory animus.
6
7 141. The defendants with malice, willfully, intentionally, knowingly, cooperated and agreed,
8 violated Plaintiff civil rights, gained possession of the property, without due process of law
9 and/or the right to possession.
10
11 142. The defendants with malice, willing, intentionally, knowingly, cooperated and agreed,
12 forced the Plaintiff to move without probable cause in complete retaliation and committed
13 retaliatory eviction.
14
15 143. The defendant's actions constitute retaliatory eviction and proximately caused the Plaintiff
16 harm and damage.
17
18 144. The defendant's actions caused the Plaintiff harm and damage.
19
20 145. The Plaintiff has been damaged by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.
21
22 146. Bridgewater is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages and/or
23 nominal damages.
24
25 147. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100.00(one
26 hundred dollars)
27
28 148. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
29
30 149. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages according to proof at trial.
31

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -31-

7626

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT

AGAINST William Gilg and Does 1 through 50 inclusive

150. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

The defendants with malice, willfully, intentionally, knowingly did overt acts.

151. Attorney William committed fraud on the court, used directly and/or indirectly threat,

coercion, force, gained possession of the apartment without due process and collected a debt.

152. The Fair Debt Collection Practice act provides that fraudulent deceptive and unfair debt collection practices shall not be used in collecting a debt.

153. Attorney William Gilg used fraudulent, unfair, illegal, deceptive debt collection practices and violated the fair debt collection practice act.

154. The defendants intentionally, knowingly and willing used fraudulent, unfair, deceptive,
And collected a Debt and gain possession of the apartment.

155. The defendant's actions constitute violation of the fair debt collection practice act and proximately caused the Plaintiff harm and damage.

156. The defendant's actions caused total collapse of mental and emotional stability, and other damages.

157. The Plaintiff has been damaged by the defendant's actions and has a damage claim.

158. Bridgewater is entitled to compensatory, special damages and/or general damages and/or nominal damages.

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -32-

18621

- 1 159. Plaintiff has compensatory damages in the amount of \$100 (one hundred dollars)
2
3 160. The defendants acted with fraud and/or malice and/or oppression and/or reckless disregard
4 and are liable to the Plaintiff for punitive damages subject to the net worth of said
5 defendants pursuant to CCP § 3294 (c)
6
7 161. Plaintiff requests an award of punitive damages according to proof at trial.
8
9
10
11
12

13 **WHEREFORE**, plaintiff demands Judgment against the defendants
14
15

- 16 1. For Damages for the First Claim of relief for Civil Conspiracy
17 compensatory damages in the amount of
18 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.
19 2. For Damages for the Third Claim of relief for Conspiracy to Wrongfully Evict
20 for compensatory damages in the amount of
21 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.
22 3. For Damages for the Third Claim of relief for Conspiracy to
23 Defraud the Court for compensatory damages in the amount of
24 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.
25
26
27
28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Glig

PAW/26

1
2
3
4. For Damages for the forth claim of relief for fraud on the court for

5 compensatory damages in the amount of

6 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

7 5. For Damages for the fifth Claim of relief for abuse of process for

8 compensatory damages in the amount of

9 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

10
11 6. For Damages for the Sixth Claim of relief Malicious Prosecution for

12 compensatory damages in the amount of

13 \$ 500,000.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

14 7. For Damages for the Seventh Claim of relief for Conspiracy to violate the

15 Plaintiff civil rights 42 USC section 1985(3) for compensatory damages in

16 the amount \$100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

17 8. For Damages for the eighth Claim of relief for Retaliatory Eviction for

18 compensatory damages in the amount of

19 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

20 9. For Damages for the ninth Claim of relief for violation of the Fair Debt

21 Collection Practice Act for compensatory damages in the amount of

22 \$ 100.00 and punitive damages according to proof at trial.

- 1
- 2
- 3 10. For an order enjoining the defendants, each of them, and their Agents,
4 Servants, employees and all persons acting under, in concert with them.
- 5 11. Assume jurisdiction of this case.
- 6 12. Separate Trial for Roger and Mary Tonna and Separate Trials for William
7 Gilg.
- 8 13. An order for defendants to produced sensitive financial and/or net worth
9 Information to assess punitive damages restrict the documents to be
10 Produce to those that represents the present net worth of the defendants,
11 For trial.
- 12 14. Punitive damages according to proof at trial.
- 13 15. Order the defendants to produce a list of other defendants (names) that are
14 Does 1 thru 50 Defendants that should be added to the complaint, and allow
15 the Plaintiff to amend this complaint to add the names of "Does 1-50"
16 defendants.
- 17 16. For cost of suit and "reasonable attorney fee's as authorized for the willfully
18 conspiracy to violate the Plaintiff civil rights.
- 19 17. Plaintiff requests an expedited case management conference and/or an
20 Expedited trial.
- 21 18. Expedited Discovery
- 22 19. Hold each defendant jointly and severally liable.
- 23 20. Hold each defendant jointly and severally liable for concert of action.
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

21. Refer this complaint to the California Bar Association,
 22. Debar William Gilg from practicing law after judgment has been paid in to the Plaintiff.
 23. Grant the Plaintiff and interest in Property/Cash or to satisfy judgment rendered by this court.
 24. Order the defendants to Post a Cash Bond for the amount of Judgment rendered by this court in favor of the Plaintiff.
 25. Order the defendants to pay the judgment render by this court.
 26. Order the Defendants to take Plaintiff's name out of the unlawful Detainer Data base for non-payment of rent.
 27. Dissolve the injunction after the Plaintiff is fully compensated for the Judgment rendered by this court.
 28. Pre-judgment and/or post judgment interest at the maximum legal rate.
 29. Stay any and all legal proceedings, execution, and enforcement of Orders, Judgment, writs, etc. requested of the Plaintiff in this U.S. Federal District.

30. Preliminary Injunction and Consolidate with merit of Trial.

31. Any other remedies of relief as the court may deem proper and just.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Nov. 2, 2010



Sharon Bridgewater

Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -37-

AB32

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
VERIFICATION

10
11
12
13
I Sharon Bridgewater Declare:

14
15
16
I am the Plaintiff in the above entitled action.

17
18
19
20
I make this verification because the facts set forth in the complaint are within my
Knowledge and it is I who was FORCIBLY EVICTED FROM MY APARTMENT
WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE AND IN COMPLETE RETALIATION.

21
22
23
I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof.


24
25
The same is true of my own knowledge.

26
27
I except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information
and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

28
29
I Sharon Bridgewater declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
California that the foregoing is true and correct.

30
31
32
Dated: Nov. 2, 2010

33
34
35
At San Francisco, California



36
37
38
Sharon Bridgewater

39
40
Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna & Attorney William Gilg

41
42
43
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -38-

44
45
46
A633

R634

Exh# 1

*In Loving Memory
Of
Mr. Amos Bridgewater*



*Sunrise:
June 22, 1932* *Sunset:
December 15, 2009*

*Services:
Tuesday, December 22, 2009 1:00 PM
Ephesus Seventh Day Adventist
2115 Wadsworth – Saginaw, Michigan
Pastors Leon George and Earl Fisher, Officiating*

AB35

Exhibit 2

A630

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
VERIFICATION AND DECLARATION

I Derek E. Hamilton declare:

I seen the Property Manager at 111 Preda enter Sharon Bridgeman's house and was in her house for 3 (three days). I seen them take stuff out of her apartment. are within my

I make this verification because the facts set forth in the

Knowledge and it is I TRUE, on Dec. 19, 2009, took computer, boxes, paperwork etc, & took faucet, art supplies, etc, and was arguing & trying to sell items.

I have read the foregoing and know the contents thereof.

The same is true of my own knowledge.

I except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

I Derek Hamilton declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 31, 2010

At San Leandro, California:

Derek E. Hamilton
510-575-7717
Witness & Neighbor
111 Preda Apartment #19
4-17-54 (D.D.B.)

Exh #3

100
635

5-12-2010

TO: LANDLORD

FROM: SHARON BRIDgewater APARTMENT #7
SAN LEANDRO, CA

RE: 30 DAY NOTICE,

DEAR MARY,

I THINK YOU ARE THE GREATEST, THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
LIVE IN YOUR APARTMENTS.

HOWEVER, WHEN MY FATHER DIED AROUND CHRISTMAS TIME, I WENT TO
MICHIGAN, AND THE PAST PROPERTY MANAGER ILLEGALLY ENTERED
INTO MY APARTMENT AND STOLE ITEMS, I HAVE BEEN SCARED TO LIVE IN
THE APARTMENTS ALONE, AND FEAR AT ANY GIVEN POINT SOMEONE
COULD BREAK INTO MY APARTMENT.

ALSO A LADY WAS FOUND DEAD IN HER APARTMENT AND WAS
DISCOVERED 10 DAYS LATER.


SHARON BRIDgewater

Please transfer my Hud voucher to: Detroit, MI

Address: Hud
477 W. Michigan Ave.
Detroit, MI 48226-2592

My mother desperately need my help, every since my
father died in Dec. 22, 2009. I will be
looking for a house to live in Michigan.
Hb751

6/16/2010

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SH

TO: LANDLORD

FROM: SHARON BRIDGEWATER APARTMENT #7
SAN LEANDRO, CA

RE: 30 DAY NOTICE.

DEAR MARY,

I THINK YOU ARE THE GREATEST. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
LIVE IN YOUR APARTMENTS.

HOWEVER, WHEN MY FATHER DIED AROUND CHRISTMAS TIME, I WENT TO
MICHIGAN, AND THE PAST PROPERTY MANAGER ILLEGALLY ENTERED
INTO MY APARTMENT AND STOLE ITEMS, I HAVE BEEN SCARED TO LIVE IN
THE APARTMENTS ALONE, AND FEAR AT ANY GIVEN POINT SOMEONE
COULD BREAK INTO MY APARTMENT.

ALSO A LADY WAS FOUND DEAD IN HER APARTMENT AND WAS
DISCOVERED 10 DAYS LATER.


SHARON BRIDGEWATER

1/16/10

SH

1/1

FAX: 850-873-3160

JUL 26 2010 1915A

P.11

305 OFFICES

How

Exh # 4

Exhibit 5

PLW

UD-100

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): WILLIAM E. GILG Attorney at Law, SBN 151991 305 San Bruno Avenue West San Bruno, CA 94066 TELEPHONE NO.: 650-871-8647 EMAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED BY FAX ALAMEDA COUNTY July 27, 2010 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Sonya Arredondo, Deputy CASE NUMBER: HG10527647
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street MAILING ADDRESS: same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME: Hayward Hall of Justice PLAINTIFF: ROGER TONNA, MARY TONNA DEFENDANT: SHARON BRIDGEWATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO 10		CASE NUMBER: _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLAINT <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED COMPLAINT (Amendment Number): _____		CASE NUMBER: _____
Jurisdiction (check all that apply): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE. Amount demanded <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> from unlawful detainer to general unlimited civil (possession not in issue) <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlawful detainer to general limited civil (possession not in issue) <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited		

1. PLAINTIFF (name each): Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna

alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each):
Sharon Bridgewater

2. a. Plaintiff is (1) an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a partnership.
(2) a public agency. (5) a corporation.
(3) other (specify): _____
- b. Plaintiff has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business under the fictitious name of (specify): _____
3. Defendant named above is in possession of the premises located at (street address, apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
111 Preda St., #7
San Leandro, CA 94577
4. Plaintiff's interest in the premises is as owner other (specify): _____
5. The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.
6. a. On or about (date): June 5, 2009 defendant (name each):
Sharon Bridgewater
- (1) agreed to rent the premises as a month-to-month tenancy other tenancy (specify): 1 yr. Sec. 8
(2) agreed to pay rent of \$ 225.00 payable monthly other (specify frequency):
(3) agreed to pay rent on the first of the month other day (specify): _____
- b. This written oral agreement was made with
(1) plaintiff. (3) plaintiff's predecessor in interest.
(2) plaintiff's agent. (4) other (specify): _____

*NOTE: Do not use this form for evictions after sale (Code Civ. Proc. § 1181a).

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
UD-100 (Rev. July 1, 2005)

COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 1 of 3
Civil Code, § 1840 et seq.
Code of Civil Procedure §§ 425.12, 1166
www.courtinfo.ca.gov

11/03/2010 19:53

905 OFFICE 8

905 OFFICE 8

905 OFFICE 8

FAX: 650-873-3168

JUL 28 2010 19:53

FAX: 650-873-3168

PLAINTIFF (Name): Roger Tonna, et al	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): Sharon Bridgewater, et al	

6. c. The defendants not named in Item 6a are
 (1) subtenants.
 (2) assignees.
 (3) other (specify): unknown.
- d. The agreement was later changed as follows (specify):
- e. A copy of the written agreement, including any addenda or attachments that form the basis of this complaint, is attached and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless item 6c is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1168.)
- f. (For residential property) A copy of the written agreement is not attached because (specify reason):
 (1) the written agreement is not in the possession of the landlord or the landlord's employees or agents.
 (2) this action is solely for nonpayment of rent (Code Civ. Proc., § 1181(2)).
7. a. Defendant (name each): Sharon Bridgewater

was served the following notice on the same date and in the same manner:

- (1) 3-day notice to pay rent or quit
 (2) 30-day notice to quit
 (3) 60-day notice to quit
 (4) 3-day notice to perform covenants or quit
 (5) 3-day notice to quit
 (6) Other (specify): defendant served 30-day
 the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the day.
- b. (1) On (date): July 16, 2010
 (2) Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the notice by that date.
- c. All facts stated in the notice are true.
- d. The notice included an election of forfeiture.
- e. A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for residential property. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1168.)
- f. One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a different manner, as stated in Attachment 8c. (Check Item 8c and attach a statement providing the information required by Items 7a-e and 8 for each defendant.)
8. a. The notice in Item 7a was served on the defendant named in Item 7a as follows:
 (1) by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): June 16, 2010
 (2) by leaving a copy with (name or description):
 a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date):
 residence business AND mailing a copy to defendant at defendant's place of residence on
 (date): because defendant cannot be found at defendant's residence or usual
 place of business.
 (3) by posting a copy on the premises on (date): AND giving a copy to a
 person found residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on
 (date):
 (a) because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR
 (b) because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there.
 (4) (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1946 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered
 mail addressed to defendant on (date):
 (5) (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written
 commercial lease between the parties.
- b. (Name): was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.
- c. Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in Item 7f is stated in Attachment 8c.
- d. Proof of service of the notice in Item 7a is attached and labeled Exhibit 3.

2644

40-14 19:53 010 2010 03 03 08

FAX: 650-873-3168

SAC OFFICES

PLAINTIFF (Name): <u>Roger Tonna, et al</u>	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): <u>Sharon Bridgewater, et al</u>	

9. Plaintiff demands possession from each defendant because of expiration of a fixed-term lease.
10. At the time the 3-day notice to pay rent or quit was served, the amount of rent due was \$ 0.00
11. The fair rental value of the premises is \$ 7.50 per day.
12. Defendant's continued possession is malicious, and plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages under Code of Civil Procedure section 1174(b). (State specific facts supporting a claim up to \$600 in Attachment 12.)
13. A written agreement between the parties provides for attorney fees.
14. Defendant's tenancy is subject to the local rent control or eviction control ordinance of (city or county, title of ordinance, and date of passage):

Plaintiff has met all applicable requirements of the ordinances.

15. Other allegations are stated in Attachment 15.
16. Plaintiff accepts the jurisdictional limit, if any, of the court.

17. PLAINTIFF REQUESTS

- | | |
|---|--|
| a. possession of the premises. | i. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> damages at the rate stated in Item 11 from (date): July 17, 2010 for each day that defendants remain in possession through entry of judgment. |
| b. costs incurred in this proceeding: | 0.00 |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> past-due rent of \$ | g. <input type="checkbox"/> statutory damages up to \$800 for the conduct alleged in Item 12. |
| d. <input type="checkbox"/> reasonable attorney fees. | h. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other (specify): For such other and further relief. |
| e. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> forfeiture of the agreement. | |

18. Number of pages attached (specify): 4

UNLAWFUL DETAINER ASSISTANT (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 5400-5416)

19. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer assistant did not did for compensation give advice or assistance with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help or advice for pay from an unlawful detainer assistant, state:)

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| a. Assistant's name: | c. Telephone No.: |
| b. Street address, city, and zip code: | d. County of registration: |
| | e. Registration No.: |
| | f. Expires on (date): |

Date: July 26, 2010

William E. Gilg

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

VERIFICATION

(Use a different verification form if the verification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.)

I am the plaintiff in this proceeding and have read this complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF)

UD-100 (Rev. July 1, 2008)

Marie Deas
ESSENTIAL FORMS

COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 3 of 3

8/08

01/25/2010 19:53

FAX: 650-875-3188

305 OFFICES

**Branding Assistance Initiative Contract
(OAS Contract)**

U. S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

98-14884-1 2001-01-01
(exp. 01/11/2007)
4466 REP. LARRY HEDGES
Roster: (510) 727-8596

卷之三

Part A of the 1993 Contract, Contract Information, to enter the contract. All other contract information is Part A.

1. **Contents of Contract**
This HUF contract has three parts:
 - Part A: Contract Information
 - Part B: Body of Contract
 - Part C: Standard Addendum

3. Debts (Enter full name of Tenant)
SEARCH BUREAU

2. Contract Price
343 REED STREET #7
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94177.

1. Household
The following persons may reside in the unit. Other persons may not be added to the household without prior written approval of the owner and the EPA.

- Initial lease began on 09/01/1997. Initial lease ends on 08/01/2010.

6. Initial Rent for Period
The initial rent is RM 3,000.00
during the initial lease term, the owner may not reduce the rent to owner

7. Initial Housing Assistance Payment
The SBA certifies to the owner as the first day of the initial lease term, at the beginning of the SAV contract term, the amount of the housing assistance payment by the SBA to the owner is \$977.00 per month.

The amount of the monthly housing assistance payment by the SBA to the owner is subject to change during the SAV contract term in accordance with SBA requirements.

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page 1 of 10

Form HOD-52841 (1/2007)
Ref. Handbook 7429.2

020358/18/067-305/0136013-002/20110720013. 5890W/3225/11 10017

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
22941 ATHERTON ST, HAYWARD, CA 94541-6633
(510) 538-8876

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM

October 5, 2009

TO: TONNA, ROGER
PO BOX 266
BELMONT, CA 94002-

Tenant: SHARON BRIDGEMAN
111 PREDA STREET #7
SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577

NOTICE OF CHANGE

EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGE: 06/05/09

The reason for this change is due to:

- REEXAMINATION
Annual Review of family income and / or composition.
- INTERIM ADJUSTMENT
Interim correction in family income and / or composition.
- RENT ADJUSTMENT
The owner / agent request for a rent adjustment that has been approved by the Housing Authority.
- CHANGE IN FAMILY COMPOSITION
Add: _____
Delete: _____

OTHER

***** REPORT INCOME CHANGES IMMEDIATELY TO HOUSING *****
ADJUSTMENTS IN PAYMENTS

	FROM	TO
HAP Payment	\$0.00	\$977.00
Tenant Rent	\$0.00	\$225.00
Total Contract Rent	\$0.00	\$1,202.00

TO THE TENANT ONLY

If you disagree with this decision, you may request an informal hearing. If a hearing is desired, you must submit a written request to this office within 14 business days of the date of this notice or your right to a hearing will be waived.

By: LYNN SHANKS
(510) 727-8596

206/03Y4809-002/BRIDGEMAN, SHARON/02825A/#; 28917

Owner Copy

164
P:10
601 26 2010 19154
600-528-6666
FAX: 650-673-3186

FAX: 650-673-3186

305 OFFICES
File# 111
8596

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

I have read the foregoing Complaint in Unlawful Detainer

and know its contents.

CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPHS

I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I am an Officer a partner
 a _____ of _____

a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.

I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff
a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

Executed on July 26, 2010 at San Bruno, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

William E. Gilg

TYPE OR PRINT NAME

SIGNATURE

PROOF OF SERVICE

1013a (3) CCP Revised 2004

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF

I am employed in the county of _____

State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: _____

On _____

I served the foregoing document described as

on _____

in this action.

by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list:

by placing the original a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

BY MAIL

I deposited such envelope in the mail at _____ California.
The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at _____

California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on _____ at _____ California.

"(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.

Executed on _____ at _____ California.

(State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

TYPE OR PRINT NAME

SIGNATURE

"BY MAIL SIGNATURE MUST BE OF PERSON DEPOSITING ENVELOPE IN
MAIL SLOT, BOX, OR BAG"

"FOR PERSONAL SERVICE SIGNATURE MUST BE THAT OF MESSENGER"

NONJC-016 (Rev. 07/01/2010)

VERIFICATION/PROOF OF SERVICE



7/26/10

12:54 PM

FAX: 650-873-3166

305 OFFICES

NOTICE: EVERYONE WHO LIVES IN THIS RENTAL UNIT MAY BE EVICTED BY COURT ORDER. READ THIS FORM IF YOU LIVE HERE AND IF YOUR NAME IS NOT ON THE ATTACHED SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT.

1. If you live here and you do not complete and submit this form within 10 days of the date of service shown on this form, you will be evicted without further hearing by the court along with the persons named in the Summons and Complaint.
2. If you file this form, your claim will be determined in the eviction action against the persons named in the Complaint.
3. If you do not file this form, you will be evicted without further hearing.

CLAMANT OR CLAMANT'S ATTORNEY (Name and Address):		TELEPHONE NO.:	'FOR COURT USE ONLY'
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):			
NAME OF COURT: Superior Court of California, Limited Jurisdiction STREET ADDRESS: County of Alameda MAILING ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME: Hayward Hall of Justice			
PLAINTIFF: Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna DEFENDANT: Sharon Bridgewater, et al			
PREJUDGMENT CLAIM OF RIGHT TO POSSESSION		CASE NUMBER:	
Complete this form only if ALL of these statements are true: 1. You are NOT named in the accompanying Summons and Complaint. 2. You occupied the premises on or before the date the unlawful detainer (eviction) Complaint was filed. 3. You still occupy the premises.		<small>(To be completed by the process server)</small> DATE OF SERVICE: <small>(Date that this form is served or delivered, and posted, and mailed by the officer or process server)</small>	

I DECLARE THE FOLLOWING UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:

1. My name is (specify):
2. I reside at (street address, unit No., city and ZIP code):
3. The address of the premises subject to this claim is (address):
4. On (insert date): [redacted], the landlord or the landlord's authorized agent filed a complaint to recover possession of the premises. (This date is the court filing date on the accompanying Summons and Complaint.)
5. I occupied the premises on the date the complaint was filed (the date in Item 4). I have continued to occupy the premises ever since.
6. I was at least 18 years of age on the date the complaint was filed (the date in Item 4).
7. I claim a right to possession of the premises because I occupied the premises on the date the complaint was filed (the date in Item 4).
8. I was not named in the Summons and Complaint.
9. I understand that if I make this claim of right to possession, I will be added as a defendant to the unlawful detainer (eviction) action.
10. (Filing fee): I understand that I must go to the court and pay a filing fee of \$ [redacted] or file with the court the form "Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs." I understand that if I don't pay the filing fee or file with the court the form for waiver of court fees within 10 days from the date of service on this form (excluding court holidays), I will not be entitled to make a claim of right to possession.

(Continued on reverse)

PLAINTIFF (Name): Roger Tonna, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): Sharon Bridgewater, et al	

NOTICE: If you fail to file this claim, you will be evicted without further hearing.

11. (Response required within five days after you file this form) I understand that I will have five days (excluding court holidays) to file a response to the Summons and Complaint after I file this Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession form.

12. Rental agreement I have (check all that apply):

- a. an oral rental agreement with the landlord.
- b. a written rental agreement with the landlord.
- c. an oral rental agreement with a person other than the landlord.
- d. a written rental agreement with a person other than the landlord.
- e. other (explain):

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

WARNING: Perjury is a felony punishable by imprisonment in the state prison.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF CLAIMANT)

NOTICE: If you file this claim of right to possession, the unlawful-detainer (eviction) action against you will be determined at trial. At trial, you may be found liable for rent, costs, and, in some cases, treble damages.

- NOTICE TO OCCUPANTS -

YOU MUST ACT AT ONCE If all the following are true:

1. You are NOT named in the accompanying Summons and Complaint.
2. You occupied the premises on or before the date the unlawful detainer (eviction) complaint was filed. (The date is the court filing date on the accompanying Summons and Complaint.)
3. You still occupy the premises.

(Where to file this form) You can complete and SUBMIT THIS CLAIM FORM WITHIN 10 DAYS from the date of service (on the reverse of this form) at the court where the unlawful detainer (eviction) complaint was filed.

(What will happen if you do not file this form) If you do not complete and submit this form (and pay a filing fee or file the form for proceeding in forma pauperis if you cannot pay the fee), YOU WILL BE EVICTED.

After this form is properly filed, you will be added as a defendant in the unlawful detainer (eviction) action and your right to occupy the premises will be decided by the court. If you do not file this claim, you will be evicted without a hearing.



R 652

8651

Exh # 6

8095989*

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):		SBN 151991
WILLIAM E. GILG Attorney at Law 305 San Bruno Avenue West San Bruno, CA 94066 TELEPHONE NO.: 650-871-8647 FAX NO. (Optional): 650-873-3168 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME: Hayward Hall of Justice PLAINTIFF: ROGER TONNA, MARY TONNA		
DEFENDANT: SHARON BRIDGEWATER		
JUDGMENT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER <input type="checkbox"/> By Clerk <input type="checkbox"/> By Default <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Court Trial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Court <input type="checkbox"/> Possession Only <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant Did Not Appear at Trial		
CASE NUMBER: HG10-527647		

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

SEP 21 2010

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Chynell T. Tamm Deputy

JUDGMENT

1. BY DEFAULT

- a. Defendant was properly served with a copy of the summons and complaint.
- b. Defendant failed to answer the complaint or appear and defend the action within the time allowed by law.
- c. Defendant's default was entered by the clerk upon plaintiff's application.
- d. Clerk's Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 1169). For possession only of the premises described on page 2 (item 4).
- e. Court Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(b)). The court considered
 - (1) plaintiff's testimony and other evidence.
 - (2) plaintiff's or others' written declaration and evidence (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(d)).

2. AFTER COURT TRIAL. The jury was waived. The court considered the evidence.

- a. The case was tried on (date and time): September 21, 2010 at 9:00 AM

before (name of judicial officer): Judge Lynn Lee

b. Appearances by:

Plaintiff (name each):

Roger Tonna

Mary Tonna

Plaintiff's attorney (name each):

(1) William E. Gilg

(2)

Continued on Attachment 2b (form MC-025).

Defendant (name each):

Sharon Bridgewater

(1)

(2)

Continued on Attachment 2b (form MC-025).

- c. Defendant did not appear at trial. Defendant was properly served with notice of trial.

- d. A statement of decision (Code Civ. Proc., § 632) was not was requested.

PLAINTIFF: Roger Tonna, et al

NUMBER:
HG10-527647

DEFENDANT: Sharon Bridgewater

JUDGMENT IS ENTERED AS FOLLOWS BY: THE COURT THE CLERK

3. Parties. Judgment is

a. for plaintiff (name each): Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna

and against defendant (name each): Sharon Bridgewater

 Continued on Attachment 8a (form MC-025).b. for defendant (name each):4. Plaintiff Defendant is entitled to possession of the premises located at (street address, apartment, city, and county):
111 Preda St., #7
San Leandro CA 945775. Judgment applies to all occupants of the premises including tenants, subtenants if any, and named claimants if any (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 715.010, 1169 and 1174.3).6. Amount and terms of judgmenta. Defendant named in item 3a above must pay plaintiff on b. Plaintiff is to receive nothing from defendant named in item 3b.

the complaint:

(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Past-due rent	\$ 500.00
(2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holdover damages	\$ 220.00
(3) <input type="checkbox"/> Attorney fees	\$
(4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costs	\$ 220.00
(5) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	\$
(6) TOTAL JUDGMENT	\$ 722.00

 Defendant named in item 3b is to recover costs: \$ and attorney fees: \$c. The rental agreement is canceled. The lease is forfeited.7. Conditional judgment. Plaintiff has breached the agreement to provide habitable premises to defendant as stated in *Judgment-Unlawful Detainer Attachment* (form UD-110S), which is attached.8. Other (specify): Continued on Attachment 8 (form MC-025).

Date:

Date:

9/21/10

 Clerk, by _____, D

JUDICIAL OFFICER

(SEAL)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE (Optional)

I certify that this is a true copy of the original judgment on file in the court.

Date:

Clerk, by _____

X653

Attorney at Law
Attn: Gilg, William E.
305 San Bruno Avenue West
San Bruno, CA 94066

Sharon Bridgewater
111 Preda #7
San Leandro, CA 94577

Superior Court of California, County of Alameda
Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse

Tonna	Plaintiff/Petitioner(s)
VS.	
Bridgewater	Defendant/Respondent(s)
(Abbreviated Title)	

No. HG10517647

Minutes

Department 22 Honorable Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, Judge
Reporter Michael Shintaku CSR #8251

Cause called for Jury Trial on September 21, 2010.

Plaintiff Mary Tonna appearing represented by Gilg, William E..
Plaintiff Roger Tonna appearing represented by Gilg, William E..
Defendant Sharon Bridgewater not appearing.

Document Registered: Trial Briefs

09:45 AM - Defendant has failed to appear for trial. Plaintiffs agree to go forward with a court trial.

Roger and Mary Tonna are placed under oath.

Plaintiffs provides their offer of proof.

IT IS ADJUDGED that plaintiff, Roger Tonna; Mary Tonna recover of and from the Defendant, Sharon Bridgewater the sum of \$502.50 principal, \$0.00 interest, \$0.00 attorney fees and \$220.00 costs.

Notices will be mailed.

Minutes of 09/21/2010
Entered on 09/21/2010

Executive Officer / Clerk of the Superior Court

By Digital

Deputy Clerk

Minutes

M6244142

1 Sharon Bridgewater
2 111 Preda Street #7
3 San Leandro, Ca 94577

4 In Pro Per

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

AUG 18 2010

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Zather C
Deputy

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

9 ALAMEDA COUNTY

10 Roger Tonna et al

CASE No. HG10527647

11 Plaintiff,

DEFENDANTS DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

12 vs

13 Sharon Bridgewater

14 Defendant.

15 Defendant herein files her demand for jury trial in the above entitled case.

16 
17 Dated Aug. 18, 2010

18 
19 Sharon Bridgewater

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36
37 38
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54
55

111 Preda St # 7
Sharon Bridgewater

Case 3:10-cv-04966-MMC Document 1 Filed 11/03/10 Page 65 of 69

LEVYING OFFICER (Name and Address)
Alameda County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff's Civil Unit
Room 104
1225 Fallon St.
Oakland, CA 94612

(510) 272-6910
Fax: (510) 272-6811

California Relay Service Number
(800) 735-2929 TDD or 711

111 Preda St # 7

San Leandro, CA 94577

NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT or BRANCH COURT, IF ANY:

Alameda County Superior - Hayward
24405 Amador Street
Hayward, CA 94544
Hayward Hall of Justice

PLAINTIFF:

Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna

DEFENDANT:

Sharon Bridgewater

COURT CASE NO.:

HG10527647

Notice to Vacate

LEVYING OFFICER FILE NO.:

2010010008

By virtue of the Writ of Execution for Possession/Real Property (eviction), issued out of the above court, you are hereby ordered to vacate the premises described on the writ.

Eviction Address:

111 Preda St # 7
San Leandro, CA 94577

Final notice is hereby given that possession of the property must be turned over to the landlord on or before:

Final notice is hereby given that possession
of the property must be turned over to the
landlord on or before:

Wednesday, September 29, 2010 6:01 AM

Should you fail to vacate the premises within the allotted time, I will immediately enforce the writ by removing you from the premises. All personal property upon the premises at the time will be turned over to the landlord, who must return said personal property to you upon your payment of the reasonable cost incurred by the landlord in storing the property from the date of eviction to the date of payment. If the property is stored on the landlord's premises, the reasonable cost of storage is the fair rental value of the space necessary for the time of storage. If you do not pay the reasonable storage costs and take possession within fifteen (15) days, the landlord may either sell your property at a public sale and keep from the proceeds of the sale the costs of storage and of the sale (1988 CCC), or, if the property is valued at less than \$300.00, the landlord may dispose of your property or retain it for his own use. (715.010(b)(3), 1174 CCP)

If you claim a right of possession of the premises that accrued prior to the commencement of this action, or if you were in possession of the premises on the date of the filing of the action and you are not named on the writ, complete and file the attached Claim of Right of Possession form with this office. No claim of right to possession can be filed if box 24a(1) located on the back of the writ is checked.



Gregory J. Ahern
Sheriff-Coroner

By: _____ S. GRAJEDA #1223
Sheriff's Authorized Agent

11/05

8658

Exhibit 9



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity - Region IX
800 Harrison Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, California 94107-1387
Voice: (800) 347-3739 (415) 489-6524
TTY: (415) 489-6564 Fax: (415) 489-6560
www.hud.gov
espanol.hud.gov

Oct 12 2010

Sharon Bridgewater
111 Preda Street, Apt. 7
San Leandro, CA 94577

Dear Ms. Bridgewater:

Subject: Housing Discrimination Inquiry
Bridgewater v Tonna Trust, Mary and Roger, et al.
HUD Inquiry No. 307693

The above-referenced housing discrimination inquiry was received by the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (the "Department") pursuant to the federal fair housing laws. This claim has been administratively closed for lack of jurisdiction because federal fair housing laws do not cover the subject matter and/or bases of the alleged discrimination.

This Office only has power to address issues recognized under federal Fair Housing laws. We cannot address any other legal matters. We believe that you should address your concerns as follows.

Since your problem concerns the application of California state law concerning a general housing matter, and the subject property is not HUD-assisted, you are advised to contact the *California State Department of Consumer Affairs* at (800) 952-5210. The federal government has no jurisdiction over these state law issues.

This administrative closure does not represent a judgment upon the merits of the allegations contained in the claim.

The Fair Housing Act provides that, notwithstanding this action by the Department, a Complainant may file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court, or a State Court, no later than two (2) years after the occurrence or the termination of the alleged discriminatory housing practice(s). The computation of this two-year period does not include the time during which the claim was pending with the Department.

Should there be questions about this closure, please contact Debbie Harmon, Intake Specialist, at (800) 347-3739 Ext. 6535 or (415) 489-6535.

AB655

When calling the 800 number, there is a lengthy message which you can bypass by entering 6535# at the start of the message. You can also contact Ms. Harmon via EMAIL, Debbie.L.Harmon@hud.gov.

Sincerely,



Paul E. Smith
Chief
Intake Branch
Office of Fair Housing and
Equal Opportunity



SC-100

**Plaintiff's Claim and ORDER
to Go to Small Claims Court**

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Notice to the person being sued:

- You are the Defendant if your name is listed in ② on page 2 of this form. The person suing you is the Plaintiff, listed in ① on page 2.
- You and the Plaintiff must go to court on the trial date listed below. If you do not go to court, you may lose the case.
- If you lose, the court can order that your wages, money, or property be taken to pay this claim.
- Bring witnesses, receipts, and any evidence you need to prove your case.
- Read this form and all pages attached to understand the claim against you and to protect your rights.

Aviso al Demandado:

- Usted es el Demandado si su nombre figura en ② de la página 2 de este formulario. La persona que lo demanda es el Demandante, la que figura en ① de la página 2
- Usted y el Demandante tienen que presentarse en la corte en la fecha del juicio indicada a continuación. Si no se presenta, puede perder el caso.
- Si pierde el caso la corte podría ordenar que le quiten de su sueldo, dinero u otros bienes para pagar este reclamo.
- Lleve testigos, recibos y cualquier otra prueba que necesite para probar su caso.
- Lea este formulario y todas las páginas adjuntas para entender la demanda en su contra y para proteger sus derechos.

Order to Go to Court**The people in ① and ② must go to court on:** (Clerk fills out section below)

Trial Date	Date	Time	Department	Name and address of court if different from above
1.	08/13/2010	01:30 PM	504	24405 Amador Street, Hayward
2.				
3.				
Date:		08/17/2010	Clerk by,	<i>Melody Hayes</i> , Deputy

Instructions for the person suing:

- You are the Plaintiff. The person you are suing is the Defendant.
- Before you fill out this form, read Form SC-150, *Information for the Plaintiff (Small Claims)*, to know your rights. Get SC-150 at any courthouse or county law library, or go to: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms
- Fill out pages 2 and 3 of this form. Then make copies of all pages of this form. (Make 1 copy for each party named in this case and an extra copy for yourself.) Take or mail the original and these copies to the court clerk's office and pay the filing fee. The clerk will write the date of your trial in the box above.
- You must have someone at least 18—not you or anyone else listed in this case—give each Defendant a court-stamped copy of all pages of this form and any pages this form tells you to attach. There are special rules for “serving”, or delivering, this form to public entities, associations, and some businesses. See Forms SC-104, SC-104B, and SC-104C.
- Go to court on your trial date listed above. Bring witnesses, receipts, and any evidence you need to prove your case.