

107

Sharon Bridgewater  
1524 Harvest Lane  
Superior Township, MI 48198

1-313-375-0351

In Pro Se

Case: 2:12-cv-14916  
Assigned To : Borman, Paul D.  
Referral Judge: Grand, David R.  
Assign. Date : 11/2/2012  
Description: CMP SHARONBRIDGEWATER, ET AL  
V. BARAK H OBAMA ET AL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

CASE No.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
(with and/or without the United States)  
ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney  
General" and/or individually and/or on behalf  
of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C.  
A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty  
Global Investments Inc., a dissolved  
Nevada Corporation ), Bridgewater & Company  
Inc. a California Corporation

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

Barak H. Obama,  
In his individual capacity as current or  
Former Chief Executive Officer of the  
United States of America  
Eric Holder Jr.,  
In his individual capacity as as current or  
former the United States Attorney General  
Defendants JOHN DOE 1 are unknown  
employees of the Executive Branch. They are  
sued in their current or former individual  
capacities

**ORIGINAL [REDACTED]**  
**COMPLAINT FOR RACKETEERED**  
**INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT**  
**ORGANIZATION(RICO);**  
in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1962 (Including but  
not limited to retaliating against federal  
witness, victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C.  
1513; 18 U.S.C. § 1510; 18 U.S.C. section  
1343, 18 USC section 1512 and/or; 18 U.S.C.  
1341; 18 U.S.C. section 1503; 18 U.S.C  
section 1509; 18 U.S.C. § 1951); 18 USC  
section 2314.  
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR  
BRIBERY AND EXTORTION  
CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD  
CONSPIRACY TO  
FRAUDULANTLY CONCEAL  
FRAUDULANT CONCEALMENT  
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR  
CONSPIRACY TO MALICIOUSLY  
PROSECUTE  
MALICIOUS PROSECUTION  
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR  
CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD  
INTENTIONAL MISPRESENTATIONS  
FRAUDULANT INDUCEMENT  
FRAUD PROMISE WITH THE INTENT  
NOT TO PERFORM  
CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE RIGHT 42

Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI) They are sued in their current or former individual capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 3 are unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s). They are sued in their current or former individual capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 4 are unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are sued in their individual current or former capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 5 are unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are sued in their current or former individual capacities.

Saundra Brown Armstrong, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California

Is sued in her individual capacity

Claudia Wilkins, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California Is sued in her individual capacity

Defendants JOHN DOE 6 are unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and Division.

They are sued in their current or former individual capacities

Orinda D. Evans, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia Is sued in her individual capacity

Allen Baverman, a United States Federal District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia Is sued in his individual capacity

Defendants JOHN DOE 7 are unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia. They are sued in their current or former individual capacities

Shawn Donovan,

Is sued in his current or former individual capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development

Defendants JOHN DOE 8 is the unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing

USC 1985(3)/1983

CONVERSION

FRAUDULANT CONVEYENCE

RETAILATORY PROSECUTION

NEGLIENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICE

UNFAIR COMPETITION

CARTWRIGHT

CLAYTON

SECTION 1

SECTION 2

SHERMAN ACT

SECTION 1

SECTION 2

ILLEGAL MONONOLPOLY

CONSPIRACY TO MONOPOLIZE

CONSPRIACY TO RESTRAIN

COMMERCE

ILLEGAL RESTRAINT IN COMMERCE

PUNATIVE DAMAGES

**SUPPLIMENTAL CRIMINAL  
PLEADING - FILED UNDER SEAL  
ATTACHED AS EXH. A**

**CERTIFIED MAIL#** 76113500008984 9362

**CERTIFIED MAIL#** 76113500008984 9379

**CERTIFIED MAIL#** \_\_\_\_\_

**FOR DECLARATORY  
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH  
EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND  
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT  
DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF  
RECEIVER, AND OTHER INJUNCTIVE

1 Authority He or she is sued in her/his individual RELIEF WITH MEM AND POINTS OF  
 2 and/or official current or former capacity as AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
 3 Director of the San Francisco Housing

4 Authority

5 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 is unknown  
 6 employee of the San Francisco Housing  
 7 Authority. He is sued in his official and/or  
 8 individual current or former capacity

9 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership  
 10 (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),  
 11 McCormack Baron Ragan Management  
 12 Services Inc.

13 MBA Urban Development Co.,  
 14 The Related Companies of California, Inc.  
 15 Sunamerica Affordable Housing

16 Partnership Inc.,

17 Hasinah Rahim,  
 18 Shawn Bankson,

19 Jane Creason,

20 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

21 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, is sued in her  
 22 Individual and official capacity as the  
 23 Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,

24 Roger Tonna,

25 Mary Tonna,

26 William Gilg,

27 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 is the unknown  
 28 Director of the Alameda County Housing  
 Authority, He or She is sued in his/her  
 individual and official current or former  
 capacity as Director of the Alameda County  
 Housing Authority

Defendants JOHN DOE 11 is the unknown  
 Supervisor of Lynn Shanks, of the Alameda  
 County Housing Authority, She is sued in his/her  
 individual and official current or former  
 capacity.

Defendant JOHN DOE 12 is Unknown”

Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia  
 in his/her individual and official “former”  
 capacity

Defendants Terrell Bolton “former” Chief of  
 Police of DeKalb County, Georgia

He is sued in his individual and official “former  
 “capacity as Chief of Police of  
 Dekalb County

1 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491,  
 2 Individually and in her official and/or "former"  
 3 capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb  
 County, GA Police Department  
 Officer Franklin  
 Individually and in his/her official and/or  
 5 "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA  
 Police Officer  
 6 Detective George  
 Individually and in her official and/or "former"  
 7 capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA  
 Police Department  
 8 Lieutenant Hamilton  
 Individually and in his/her official and/or  
 9 "former" capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb  
 10 GA County Police Department  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown  
 11 DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their  
 12 individual and official and/or "former"  
 capacities.  
 13 Randy Rich,  
 14 Individually and in his official capacity as the  
 Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County  
 15 Lucas O. Harsh,  
 Rosanna Szabo  
 16 Individually and in her official and/or "former"  
 17 capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor  
 Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the  
 18 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown  
 19 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their  
 20 individual and official and/or "former"  
 capacities.  
 21 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown  
 22 Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is  
 sued in his/her current or "former" capacity as  
 23 Chief of Police  
 Officer Caldwell  
 24 Individually and in his official and/or "former"  
 25 capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett  
 County, GA Police or Sherriff Department  
 26 Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown "  
 Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA  
 27 Police or Sherriff Department  
 28 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official  
 or "former "capacity

Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are Executive  
Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors,  
of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in  
their official current or "former" capacities  
Defendant Victoria Roberts, is sued in her  
individual capacity As United States Eastern  
District Court Judge of Michigan along with  
unknown employees of the United States  
Eastern District Court of Michigan

John Corbett <sup>Obama</sup> - individually  
George C. Steeh - "  
Arthur, Tarnow - "  
David Lawson - "  
Denise Page Hood "  
Gershawin A Drain "  
Paul d. Borman "  
Marvane G. Battani "  
Abele Cook Jr. "  
And any other democrat Judge,

And Does John Does 23 thru 1000 inclusive

# I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION AND VENUE, AND COMMERCE

## I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION, VENUE AND COMMERCE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 This Court has jurisdiction and Plaintiffs herein are alleging standing under: Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961,( Fair Housing Act of 1968 and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C.

Sections 3601, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1985; and/or for violation of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and/or and injunctive relief for violations of the federal antitrust laws. The jurisdiction of this Court is also authorized by 18 U.S.C. Section 1964 (c), 42 U.S.C. Section Sections 1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims pursuant ot 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

2. The amount of controversy well exceeds the statutory sum of \$75,000.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and/or (b) Victoria Roberts, and Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive Director of Washtenaw County, Michigan in his/her individual and official capacity (a) other defendants resides, is found, has an agent or transacts their affairs in the Eastern District of the State of Michigan and/or in the District of Columbia, Washington. (b) The acts and occurances in furtherance of the alledged herein arose in this Eastern District of Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington D.C. The ends of justice require that other parties residing in other Districts be brought before this District Court to be summoned, processed, by the United States Marshal.

## PLAINTIFFS

### The United States of America *ex rel*

The Plaintiffs move this Federal Court on behalf of the United States of America *ex relatione* "Sharon Bridgewater" and as an "private attorney general" to vindicate a policy that Congress considers to be of the highest priority and for injunctive relief. The United States of America *ex rel* Sharon Bridgewater certifies that the case is of general public importance.

A private Citizen may move a federal court and has the standing to sue as on behalf of the United States Government (with and/or without the Federal Government). The Plaintiffs are Federal Witnesses and Victims of Crime of US Government, (RICO) activities," and bring this lawsuit to

enforce a public right and/or to protect the public's interest, and a federal court must grant the filing of this complaint free, and if the plaintiff certifies that this is of public importance. The U.S.A. certifies this is of public importance.

The U.S. Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary wanted to level the playing field so that private citizens could serve as "private attorneys general" to enforce the civil rights laws and

turn RICO "victims" into Prosecutors, dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity. In addition the United States of America *ex relatione* Sharon Bridgewater bring "criminal" charges against the above named Defendants.

## II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

### [RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

## II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

### RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

Plaintiffs allege that:

Barak H. Obama(and those operating under his direction) et al are residents or citizens of the United States. Nature Trade of Commerce US Government Real Estate, Public Housing Facilities, and/or Corrections and/or Law enforcement, . Obama at all times invested in, controlled 92% of AIG and/or was a partner with the US Government was a partner with Sunamerica Affordable Housing, and/or one or more of the Defendants includes any individual or entity capable of holding a legal or beneficial interest in property of the United States Government, which includes a corporation, and/or a Public Private Partnership, Partnership Inc., one of the Defendants. All were are union of individual associated in fact or partnerships or sole property that each jointly and/or severally are engaged in activities and conduct that affect federal interstate and/or foreign commerce, and/or control, hold legal, equitable, and/or beneficial interests in property of the US Government and/or the Plaintiffs and each is a "person," as that term is defined pursuant to Section 1961(3) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"] constitutes a US Government RICO enterprise as defined in 1964 and/or constitute a either associate, with the interprize, constitute a and constitute a US Government Monopoly.RICO, 18 U.S.C. ' 1961(3).

Plaintiffs further allege that each and every RICO person that is specifically identified and named as a RICO defendant is liable as a principal pursuant to Title 18 United States Code § 2(a)-(b) and that each and every RICO person defendant is liable as a co-conspirator pursuant to Title 18 United States Code § 371.(except Obama). Plaintiffs allege that each and every defendants have engaged in continuous, concerted, and systematic activities with plaintiffs and/or within these districts, and/or the USA resulting in injury to their respective business or property pursuant to Racketeered and Corrupt Influenced Organization.

Plaintiffs allege that at all times material herein, the activities, conduct, and/or omissions committed and/or engaged in by the defendants herein give rise to this action being instituted within this federal district court inasmuch as plaintiffs are citizens and residents, and maintain



1 their principal place of business within, County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in  
 2 the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the  
 3 County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County  
 of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan;

### **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

#### **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

8 This case is about a grossly dysfunctional, United States Government under the direction of  
 9 Obama and his executive cabinet member, especially Holder, the Department of Justice,(DOJ).

10 The Plaintiffs lost their ability to earn a living due to the above Defendants conspiracies,  
 11 conspiracies to defraud the Plaintiffs out of money or property, violence against the  
 12 Plaintiffs(WOMEN minority business owners), oppression, unfair business practices, unfair  
 13 competition, conspiracies to restrain commerce, acts of threats, extortion, coercion, force, assault  
 and battery, upsuration of business(es) which violates both federal antitrust laws.

14 The objective of the racketeering *enterprise* was to protect the Rico Enterprise, retaliate against  
 15 the Plaintiffs "WHISTLEBLOWERS and Federal Witness and Victim of Crime," halt the  
 16 ability of the Complainant to report such crimes.

17 The Defendants are continuing with their illegal pattern and practices and must be restrained and  
 18 enjoined by this US Federal District Court. The United States of America ex rel Sharon  
 Bridgewater - Plaintiffs have no other adequate remedies at law.

### **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

#### **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

23 Due to the Defendants Rico violation, and the Plaintiffs knowledge of the Rico victim of crime,  
 24 and/or knowledge of the Rico Acts committed by the above Defendants, Plaintiff is informed and  
 25 believe that Obama and/or Holder has stalked, caused illegal surveillance, hacked the Plaintiff  
 26 computer, and giving them an unfair advantage, and know the Plaintiff every move before the  
 27 Plaintiffs it. Plaintiffs are informed and believe Obama and/or Holder know the Plaintiff  
 28 previously that the Plaintiffs was traumatized, due to a violent police assault, which rendered  
 mentally and emotionally incompetent, and "ORDERED" police Officer to brutally attack, the  
 Plaintiffs and/or the Plaintiff son James S. Bridgewater to keep the Plaintiffs psychologically,  
 and emotionally imbalance, to prevent the Plaintiffs from disclosing this complaint to the public  
 before elections.



On Oct. 5, 2012, a was six foot, four inch, approx. 250 lb "football player-Washtenaw County Police Officers, and his accompish(another police Officer)," stopped me in my own driving way at 1524 Harvest Lane, trespassed onto my gated property attacked(beat, hit, punched, kicked, assaulted) the Plaintiff then "kidnapped"(falsely arrested and imprisoned)detained me without due process of law, me from her own property without due process of law, and without probable cause. I spent the night in jail in the medical ward, and I was hospitalized, and is taking pain killers, and the defendants committed other overt acts against the Plaintiffs and/or James Bridgewater. On or about Oct. 6, I was released from jail, I suffered mental and emotional trama, on or about Oct. 9, 2012 I have filed a declaration(stating my life was in danger)of the attack, and requested(issue a temporary restraining order – which was assigned to preliminary injunction, against Holder et al illegal actions). This commits an overt act, dismissed the Plaintiff complaint(and without the Plaintiff filing a COMPLAINT), and fails to address the Plaintiff declaration, or temporary restraining order, despite the Plaintiff declaration of fearing for her life, and the Judge acts or omissions were overt acts. THIS COMPLAINT IS "CHOPPEE" AND DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND, AND IT IS ONLY BECAUSE I AM SUFFERING FROM TRAUMA, OF HOLDER ATTACKS,AND THE PLAINTIFF MENTAL AILMENTS IS DIRECTLY FROM THE ATTACKS OF HOLDER, AND THE PLAINTIFF IS A VICTIM OF CRIME, AND A FEDERAL COURT MAY EXCUSE A PLEADING IF THE PLIANITFFS ARE SUFFERING FROM THE ABUSE OF THE DEFENDANTS.

On or about I sent certified, Oct. 18, 2012, I sent "certified mail" a complaint(this complaint) to be filed in the US District Court of Eastern Michigan, I have have sent certified letters to Washington, and to the US Government Oversight Committee, and sent certified mailing with complaint to the US Federal District Court. Plaintiff is informed and believe that Holder, incepted the Plaintiff complaints(TAMPERED WITH US POSTAL MAIL) to prevent the filing of this complaint. The Plaintiffs the United States of America, is experiencing extreme mental anguish, pain and suffering, trauma, post tramatic stress syndndrome,and writes this complaint under these condicions . The Plaintiff finds it extremely difficult to write this complaint due to mental or emotional or pychogicial trauma. Plaintiffs

The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater moves this court to ORDER ALL JUDGES THAT WERE ASSIGNED TO all the cases TO RESCUE THEMSELVES, relate, transfer and/consolidate with this case(s)C10-5436(SBA), C10-703(SBA), C10-3022(CW), C10-4966(MMC)the Plaintiff have filed entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County, Sharon Bridgewater vs. Randy Rich, the State of Georgia, Lawrenceville Police Department et al, in which the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater and/or all cases assigned to Judges, listed as Defendants(they are among THE PROBABLE CAUSE). I have paid a total of approx. \$1,950.00, and did not know that all of the Federal Judges had conspired with Obama and/or Holder to obstruct justice.

MY SEVEN YEAR EXPERIENCE OF CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION, OBSTRUCTIONS, ABUSE OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE COURT BY JUDGES, I DO NOT TRUST ANY "DEMOCRAT" JUDGE AND DUE TO ELECTIONS, AND THEY ARE LISTED AS A CO-

1 CONSPIRATOR WITH OBAMA, AND JUST FOR THE PURPOSE OBTAIN FAIRNESS, I  
 2 HAVE LISTED ALL OF THE DEMOCRAT FEDERAL JUDGES, AS DEFENDANTS, AND  
 MY FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT WILL EXCLUDE THIS FEDERAL JUDGES.

3 The Plaintiffs request this court to excuse discrepancies, due to the current trauma the Plaintiffs is  
 experiencing. THE UNITED STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER(A  
 4 WOMEN)AND/OR WOMEN AND/OR OTHER US CITIZENS ARE “victims” of crime of  
 violence and cruel and unusual punishment, and victims suffering from trauma, shock, mental and  
 5 emotional distress, police brutality, assaults, mental anguish, physical sufferings and pain and  
 without probable cause and and at the hands of the Defendants, pleading must be excused for  
 6 discrepancies.

7  
 8 Pro se litigant are not held to the same high standards of perfection as practicing lawyers.  
 (Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914 F2d 1459 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir1990); See Hulsey v.  
 9 Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re: HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th  
 Cir. 1991). Further the Supreme Court states that RICO complaint must not be dismissed for  
 10 discrepancies. In order to survive a motion to dismiss” under Rule 12(b)(6) . A Plaintiffs need  
 only ‘adduce a set of facts’ supporting their legal claims Wells v. United States, 851 F.2d 1471,  
 11 1473 (D.C. Cir.1988) and/or all that is required is that the complaint “provides enough factual  
 information to make clear the substance of that claim.” Caribbean Broad. Sys., 148 F.3d at 1086.  
 12 The applications of heightened pleadings Rule 12(b)(6) in circumstances should not be dismissed if  
 the complaint or affidavit appear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is entitled to relief; and the  
 13 heightened rule pleading requires of fraud with particularity judgment of dismissal is warranted  
 only if it appear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is not entitled to relief under any state of facts  
 14 which could be proven in support of the claim.  
 15

## 16 17 18 **VII. STATEMENT OF CASE /RICO INTERPRISE/INTERSTATE NEXUS**

### 19 **VII. STATEMENT OF CASE/ RICO INTERPRISE/INTERSTATE NEXUS**

20  
 21 This case is about a dysfunctional Department of Justice and/or US Housing and Urban  
 Development Authority, US operating under the direction of Obama. The Defendants must be  
 22 restrained and enjoined.  
 23

## 24 **IX. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with** 25 **and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General”**

26 **IX. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or**  
 27 **without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General.”**

28 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon  
 Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General” and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty

Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), says makes the following affirmation under the penalties of perjury and that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru present the Defendants upon information and belief, two or more of the predicate acts as itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes, did take place in the County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan and "unknown" cities or towns in the State of Georgia, State of California, State of California, and/or Michigan, and in the County of Wayne, State of Michigan and in the State of California in the District of Columbia, within the preceding ten years of this complaint among the Defendants as listed on this complaint jointly or severally.

**AND COMES NOW**, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), a Federal Witness and a Victim of Crime by the above name defendants complains, alleges and appearing before a Federal Judge of the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report these crimes and/or to the United States Congress, The United States House of Representatives, The United States Senate, and formally charges Barak H. Obama, In his individual capacity as Chief Executive Officer of the United States of America, Eric Holder Jr. In his individual capacity as the United States Attorney General, Defendants Barak H. Obama, In his individual capacity as current or Former Chief Executive Officer of the United States of America, Eric Holder Jr., In his individual capacity as as current or former the United States Attorney General Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) in their individual current or former capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. in their current or former individual capacities, Sandra Brown Armstrong, United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Claudia Wilkins, United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and Division in their current or former individual capacities, Orinda D. Evans, United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in her individual capacity, Allen Baverman, a United States Federal District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in his individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia in their current or former individual capacities, Shawn Donovan, in his current or former individual capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development, Defendants, JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing in her/his individual and/or official current or former capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San

1 Francisco Housing Authority in his official and/or individual current or former capacity, Hayes  
 2 Valley Limited Partnership(AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan  
 3 Management Services Inc. MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of  
 California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn  
 Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her Individual  
 and official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County, Roger Tonna, Mary  
 4 Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County  
 Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official current or former capacity as Director of  
 5 the Alameda County Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown Supervisor of  
 6 Lynn Shanks, of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official  
 7 current or former capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 12 Unknown" Executive Director of Dekalb  
 County, Georgia in his/her individual and official "former" capacity, Defendants Terrell Bolton  
 8 "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia in his individual and official "former  
 9 "capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County, Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, Individually and  
 in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police  
 10 Department , Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a  
 11 DeKalb County, GA Police Officer, Detective George,Individually and in her official and/or  
 "former" capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant  
 12 Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as Lieutenant of the  
 DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown  
 13 DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities.  
 14 Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett  
 County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or "former"  
 15 capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the  
 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown  
 16 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities.  
 17 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in  
 his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in his  
 18 official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or  
 Sherrieff Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett  
 19 County, GA Police or Sherrieff"Department in his/her individual and official or "former  
 "capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors,  
 20 of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in their official current or "former" capacities,  
 21 Defendant Victoria Roberts, in her individual capacity As United States Eastern District Court  
 Judge of Michigan along with unknown employees of the United States Eastern District Court of  
 22 Michigan,

23  
24  
25 And Does John Does 23 thru 1000 inclusive

26  
27 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Eastern District Court  
 of Michigan in current or former individual capacities And Does John Does 16 thru 1000  
 28 inclusive jointly and/or severally with;

- (1) knowingly and willfully falsified, concealed or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001
- (2) Knowingly and willfully while under a lawfully administered oath or affirmation, testified falsely or made a deposition or statement, in a judicial, legislative or administrative proceeding under oath in a court of law, in violation of Federal law.
- (3) knowingly and willfully committed an act under the color of law to deprive, and/or violate the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 242  
(multiple counts)
- (4) knowingly and willfully conspiring with each other to deprive, and/or violate the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, and/or other tenant, and civil rights in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 241  
(multiple counts)
- (5) knowingly and willfully falsely imprisoned the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of section 18 U.S.C. section 1495 (unjust correction and imprisonment).
- (6) knowingly and willfully schemed, planned, abused the legal process, obtained labor from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and caused the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to believe that if she did not perform labor that the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater would suffer physical restraint in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1589.
- (7) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally holds the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater by an arrest warrant with the intent of the Complainant to return the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to a condition of peonage, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1581  
Peonage



- 1 (8) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally racketeering across state lines, in violation of  
2 the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act("RICO") at 18 U.S.C.  
3 1962.
- 4  
5 (9) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally retaliating against federal witness,  
6 victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1513.  
7
- 8 (10) Knowingly and willfully devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud and  
9 to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or  
10 promises, by transmitting and causing to be transmitted by means of wire, radio or  
11 television communications in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals,  
12 pictures or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, from the  
13 Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1343.
- 14  
15 (11) misrepresented the United States of America in violation of 28 U.S.C. 530B
- 16  
17 (12) The Defendants individually and/or severally and/or jointly, knowingly and willfully  
18 threaten and used physical force against the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater with intent,  
19 and influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of Complainant Sharon Bridgewater  
20 in an official proceeding; and/or caused the complainant Sharon Bridgewater to withhold  
21 testimony, or record, or documents, from an official proceeding with the intent and  
22 impaired the availability of the object for use in an official proceeding or influenced,  
23 delayed, or prevented the testimony of the Complainant in an official proceeding; or  
24 caused or induced the Complainant to withhold testimony, or withhold a record,  
25 document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or altered, destroyed, mutilated,  
26 or concealed an object with intent to impair the integrity or availability of the object for  
27 use in an official proceeding; or evaded legal process summoning to the Complainant to  
28 appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official  
proceeding; or caused the Complainant to be absent from an official proceeding to which  
that person has been summoned by legal process; or hindered, delayed, or prevented the  
communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information  
relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense in violation of 18  
USC section 1512 and/or;
- (13) Knowingly and willfully, placed in a post office, or in an authorized depository for mail,  
matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service for the purposes of executing a  
scheme and/or artifice to defraud the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and of obtaining



1 money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, after  
2 having devised or intended to devise said scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain  
3 money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, in  
violation of 18 U.S.C. 1341.

4 (14) Knowingly and willfully conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in  
5 violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d)  
6

7  
8 (15) knowingly, and willfully, and by threats or force, or by threatening letter or  
9 communication, influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence,  
10 obstructed, or impeded, the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C.  
11 section 1503;

12 (16) knowingly and willfully by threats or force, willfully prevented, obstructed, impeded, or  
13 interfered with, or willfully attempted to prevent, obstructed, impeded, or interfered  
14 with, the due exercise of rights or the performance of duties under any order, judgment,  
15 or decree of a court of the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C section 1509;

16 (17) knowingly and willfully conspired, In addition to the "wrongful use of actual or  
17 threatened force, violence, or fear," the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) or extortion  
18 " obtaining from the Plaintiffs, with the Plaintiffs consent under color of official right  
19 and interfered with commerce by threats or violence obstructed, delayed, or affects  
20 commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce, by robbery  
21 and/or physical violence to the Plaintiff and/or property in and did overt acts in  
22 furtherance of a conspiracy in violation of 18 USC section 1951.

23 (18) Knowingly, willingly transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign  
24 commerce, goods, wares, merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000  
25 or more, knowing it to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly,  
26 devised or intended to devise a scheme to artifice defraud, or obtain money or property  
27 by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transported or  
28 causes to be transported, or induced a one or more of the above name Defendants  
person to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the  
execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud that person or those persons  
of money or property having a value of \$5,000 or more in violation of 18 USC section  
2314.

1 (19) Conspiracy to Defraud the U.S. Treasury or Government Federal False Claims trick,  
2 USC section 371, impair the lawful functions of US Government

3 (20) Theft

4 (21) Perjury

5 (22) Fraud/Concealment

6 (23) Violation of Oath of Office/Corruption

7 (24) Misprision of felony.

8 (25) Robbery

9 (26) Treason

10 (27) Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge in Federal and/or State law.

11 (28) Assault and battery

12 (29) Conspiracy to restrain commerce

13 (30) 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact, knowingly receives, relieves, comforts or assists  
14 the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an  
15 accessory after the fact.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 **X. AFFIDIVANT IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS EX-PARTE**  
27 **APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW**  
28 **CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION.**  
**EXPEIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER.**

1 X. AFFIDIVANT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY  
 2 RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION  
 3 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF  
 RECEIVER.

4 Obama et al took an oath of Office to uphold the United States Constitution.

5  
 6 Obama and/or Holder as the highest ranking Officers of America are held to the highest moral  
 7 principle, and Obama and/or Holder et uphold the United States Constitution, abide by laws,  
 legal regulation of the United States.

8  
 9 Obama and/or Holder at all times had a legal duty or obligation not to discriminate unfairly, and  
 10 engage in no business with the US Government either directly which is inconsistent with the  
 performance of US Government duties. To comply, with the United States Constitution.

11 Obama and/or Holder have a legal duty or obligation to expose corruption when discovered.

12  
 13 The Defendants actions constitute grave, felonious, prosecutorial misconduct, US CITIZENS  
 14 CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION, Retaliation against a federal witness and victim of US  
 15 Government Crime, and halt the ability of the Plaintiffs to report the crimes. Obama actions  
 16 constitute the commission of a predicate act and constitute retaliation against a Federal Witness  
 and/or victim of US Government activity, goad, abuse of US Government power, flagrant,  
 prosecutorial misconduct, abuse of US Government power.

17  
 18 PLAINTIFF ALLEGE OBAMA AT ALL TIMES HAD A LEGAL DUTY OR  
 19 OBLIGATION TO FIRE HOLDER, HAS FAILED CONGRESS, AND AS FAILED TO  
 20 DUE HIS DUTY AS COMMANDER IN CHIEF AND FIRE ERIC HOLDER, AND IS  
 21 RESPONSIBLE FOR HOLDER ACTIONS. OBAMA ASSERT EXECUTIVE  
 PRIVILEGE. All officer had a duty to Conduct.

22  
 23  
 24 Plaintiff is informed and believe that Obama and/or Holder came to the meeting of the minds,  
 25 entered into an unlawful agreement to abuse US Government Power, to violate their oath of  
 26 Office and commit a number of RICO acts, and concealed known facts from the American  
 transported illegal weapons to the Mexican Mafia and agreed to engaged in a number of other  
 illegal RICO activities, and did overt acts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

1 Plaintiff allege that December 14, 2010: A Border Patrol Agent Brian A. Terry was killed in the  
2 Arizona desert, and later Two guns found at the site are later linked to the ATF Fast and Furious  
3 program OPERATED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF HOLDER.

4  
5 Plaintiff allege On or about January 2011: Congress begins asking questions about the ATF  
6 program(OPERATED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF HOLDER).

7  
8 Plaintiff allege On or about February 4, 2011: Responding to an inquiry from Sen. Charles  
9 Grassley, Assistant Attorney General Ronald Weich writes that top officials had only recently  
10 learned about the ATF gun-running program, but that nothing improper was done in the  
11 operation. Weich also asserts that any allegation that the ATF knowingly allowed the sale of  
12 assault weapons to a straw purchaser who then transported them into Mexico is false. "ATF  
13 makes every effort to interdict weapons that have been purchased illegally and prevent their  
14 transportation to Mexico," Weich wrote.

15  
16 Plaintiff allege On or about March 3, 2011: An ATF whistleblower tells "CBS Evening News"  
17 that the ATF intentionally allowed guns to go into Mexico. Just minutes before the broadcast,  
18 ATF Acting Director Kenneth Melson issues a statement saying the agency is forming a panel to  
19 "review the bureau's current firearms trafficking strategies employed by field division managers  
20 and special agents."

21  
22 Plaintiff allege On or about March 4, 2011: CNN reports that Grassley wrote a letter to Attorney  
23 General Eric Holder complaining that the ATF was "stonewalling" his investigation into the  
24 matter. CNN also reports that, according to Grassley, ATF agents told his staff "the agency  
25 allowed the sale of assault rifles to known and suspected straw purchasers for an illegal  
26 trafficking ring near the southwest border."

27  
28 Plaintiff allege On or about May 2011: Holder tells the House Judiciary Committee that he  
"probably heard about Fast and Furious for the first time over the last few weeks."

29  
30 Plaintiff allege On or about June 15, 2011: Rep. Darrell Issa alleges Weich's claim that the ATF  
never knowingly allowed the sale of assault weapons to straw purchasers, who then transported  
them into Mexico, is deceiving. Issa, chairman of the House Oversight and Government Reform  
Committee, and other congressmen allege that although it is technically true that straw  
purchasers didn't cross any weapons into Mexico, they did transfer them to third parties who did.

1  
2  
3  
4 Plaintiff allege, ATF whistleblowers testify before Issa's committee. Peter Forcelli, a supervisor at the ATF Phoenix field office, says Fast and Furious was "a colossal failure of leadership." An agent, Lee Casa, tells the committee that ATF supervisors brushed off several agents' concerns over letting guns go. Another agent, John Dodson, tells lawmakers: "I cannot begin to think of how the risk of letting guns fall into the hands of known criminals could possibly advance any legitimate law enforcement interest."

8  
9 Plaintiff allege On or about August 30, 2011: Melson, the ATF's acting director, is reassigned to a position in the Justice Department. Also, the U.S. attorney for Arizona, Dennis Burke, resigns. Burke's office had given legal guidance to the ATF relating to Fast and Furious.

12  
13 Plaintiff allege On or about September 7, 2011: Holder says in a news conference that Fast and Furious "was clearly a flawed enforcement effort," and adds that investigations will find involvement did not reach "the upper levels" of the Justice Department.

16  
17 Plaintiff allege On or about October 12, 2011: Congressional investigators issue a subpoena for communications from several top Justice Department officials, including Holder, relating to Fast and Furious. Meanwhile, Republicans say that previously released documents show that Holder knew about Fast and Furious much earlier than he indicated to the House Judiciary Committee in May. Holder and his aides deny the allegation.

21  
22 Plaintiff allege Obama and/or Holder have concealed known facts they were under a duty to disclose, and/or get approval from the secretary of state to transport the weapons, and On or about Oct. 27, 2011 US Senators and Congress question the Secretary of State of the transporations of the weapons. Plaintiffs are informed and believe the Secreatary of State had no knowledge of the actions of Obama and/or Holder transporation of weapons to the Mexican Mafia.(Drug Cartel) The Secreatary of State allege as follows:

26  
27 **INTERNET ARTICLE**

## Clinton: DOJ didn't give State a 'heads up' about Fast and Furious

By Joshua Altman - 10/27/11 12:37 PM ET

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton told lawmakers Thursday that she had not been notified by the Justice Department about a botched, international gun-tracking program has been linked to the death of a Border Patrol agent.

Appearing before the House Foreign Affairs committee, Clinton was questioned by Rep. Connie Mack (R-Fla.) about the State Department's involvement in the controversial Fast and Furious operation that has triggered hearings on Capitol Hill.

Mack asked Clinton if State had issued "the Justice Department a license or a written waiver in order to allow for the transfer of thousands of weapons across the U.S.-Mexico border?"

The secretary testified that State had "no record of any request for coordination. We have no record of any kind of notice or heads up."

"My recollection is that I heard about it from the press," Clinton added.

Claiming that it was the first time she had been asked that question, Clinton said that she had seen "no evidence" that such a waiver was granted and promised to investigate the matter further.

The Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives operation oversaw the sale of thousands of guns to known and suspected straw buyers for Mexican drug cartels. Two of the firearms were later found at the murder scene of Border Patrol agent Brian Terry.

Lawmakers also questioned whether the shooting death of Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agent Jaime Zapata in Texas is linked to the program.

House Republicans have intensified their inquiry into the operation.

On Wednesday, Republicans blasted Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano during a hearing before the Judiciary Committee when she testified that she had not met with Attorney General Eric Holder about the Fast and Furious operation.

"For you to have two dead agents and to have never had a conversation with Eric Holder about Fast and Furious and about this is totally unacceptable," said Rep. Jason Chaffetz (R-Utah).



1  
2 Plaintiff allege that Obama and/or Holder had a legal duty or obligation to get approval from the  
3 Secretary of State, transport weapons to Mexican, It is illegal to transport US weapons to a  
Mexican Drug Cartel and/or Mexican Mafia and/or any weapon across US County state line  
without approval from the proper. Authorities.

4  
5 Holders actions constitute a scheme to defraud, abuse of US Government Power and/or their  
6 actions constitute a scheme to defraud or impair the lawful function of the US Government by  
7 tricker or scheme and constitute one or more of the above criminal acts as mentioned on this  
complaint.

8  
9  
10 Plaintiff allege, that On or about November 1, 2011: **Lanny** Breuer, an assistant attorney general  
11 in charge of the criminal division, tells a Senate judiciary subcommittee that he first learned of  
the tactic of allowing illegally purchased guns to leave shops in April 2010. That tactic, he said,  
12 was executed during a 2006-2007 ATF program, called Operation Wide Receiver, which  
happened during the George W. Bush administration.

13  
14 Plaintiffs allege, Breuer says he should have warned Holder and other Justice officials about the  
15 2006-2007 tactics, but failed to do so. He said he also failed to recognize that the same tactics  
16 used in 2006-2007 were being used again in Fast and Furious.

17  
18 Plaintiff alleges, On or about November 8, 2011: Holder tells the Senate Judiciary Committee  
19 that the tactic allowing illegal guns to be smuggled into Mexico "should never have happened,  
and it must never happen again."

20  
21  
22 Plaintiff allege, On or about December 2, 2011: The Justice Department withdraws its February 4  
23 letter to Grassley, saying the letter contains inaccuracies.

24  
25 Plaintiff allege, Also, ahead of a December 8 House Judiciary Committee hearing at which  
26 Holder is to testify, 1,400 pages demanded by investigators are released. The documents show,  
among other things, that Justice officials struggled for days over how to write the February letter  
27 to Grassley.

1 Plaintiff allege, On or about December 7, 2011: Grassley calls on Breuer to resign, saying he  
2 misled Congress by saying he didn't know in February that the assertions in the February 4 letter  
3 were wrong. Grassley says documents show that Breuer should have been aware that the letter  
contained false assertions, due to his knowledge of the 2006-2007 Operation Wide Receiver.

4  
5 Plaintiff allege, On or about December 8, 2011: Holder tells the House Judiciary Committee that  
6 he won't resign over the Fast and Furious controversy, and that he doesn't think any of his top  
7 aides should step down. He says the operation relied on "unacceptable tactics" and was  
8 "inexcusable," but he says that Justice Department officials have cooperated with investigators,  
and that any previous misleading information was not part of an intentional deception.

9  
10 Plaintiff allege, On or about January 31, 2012: Democrats on the House Government Oversight  
11 and Reform Committee issue a report saying the panel has found no evidence showing that top  
12 Justice officials "conceived or directed" Fast and Furious. The report from the Democrats, who  
13 are a minority on the Republican-led panel, places blame for the program on federal agents and  
prosecutors in Arizona.

14  
15 Plaintiff allege, On or about February 1, 2012: Terry's parents, Josephine and Kent Terry Sr., file  
16 a \$25 million wrongful death claim in an Arizona court against the federal government.

17  
18 Plaintiff allege, On or about February 2, 2012: Holder tells the House Oversight and Government  
19 Reform Committee that firings of Justice officials who oversaw Fast and Furious are likely to  
20 come in the next six months.

21  
22 Plaintiff allege, Issa threatens to begin a contempt proceeding against Holder unless he releases  
23 more documents.

24 Plaintiff allege, On or about May 18, 2012: Issa and other House GOP lawmakers send Holder  
25 demanding that he release the full amount of materials that Issa's committee asked for  
26 previously. Although the letter acknowledges that there's been some cooperation on the  
27 investigation, it emphasizes that House Republicans still want answers in two key areas - who in  
28 top positions knew about the operation before the murder of a federal border agent exposed its  
existence, and did anyone on Holder's team misinform Congress when they responded in part to  
the Oversight committee's subpoena.

1 Plaintiff allege, On or about June 19, 2012: A showdown meeting between Holder and Issa fails  
 2 to resolve their dispute over documents. Issa says that unless at least some of the documents are  
 3 handed over before the committee meets June 20, it would vote on a measure that would send a  
 contempt vote to the full House.

4 Plaintiff allege, Plaintiff allege, On or about June 20, 2012: President Barack Obama asserts  
 6 executive privilege over some of the documents sought by Issa's panel. The White House move  
 7 means the Department of Justice can withhold some of the documents.

8 Plaintiff allege, The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee votes 23-17 (with all  
 9 of the panel's Republicans voting yes, and all of the panel's Democrats voting no) to recommend  
 10 that the full House vote on whether to cite Holder for contempt of Congress. The vote by the full  
 House could happen on the week of June 25.

11 Plaintiff allege, On or about **June 28, 2012**: The House votes to hold Holder in contempt of  
 12 Congress, but he does not face criminal prosecution. House Republicans are joined by 17  
 Democrats in citing Holder, while dozens of Democrats walk out in protest.

13 Plaintiff allege, The House also cites Holder for civil contempt to give it the option of filing a  
 14 lawsuit compelling Holder to turn over documents sought by Oversight Committee investigators.  
 15 Issa concedes that investigators lack any evidence that Holder knew of the failed weapons-  
 tracking tactics of Fast and Furious

16  
 17  
 18 The president assert executive privilege. Plaintiff allege how can Obama assert  
 19 executive privledge if there was no White House involvement?" Plaintiff allege  
 20 Obama is equal liable for the actions of Holder.

21 Public and Congress they were under a duty to disclose and have, congress would have not  
 22 approved the transporation of weapons to Mexican mafia had known.

23 Plaintiff are informed and believe that Obama had no reason has continuously withheld  
 24 documents, hide, cover up, concealed his actions from congressional investigators, and  
 25 and have retaliated against Federal Witnesses and victims of crime of US Government  
 RICO Activities. In support of this the Plaintiffs submits this article

26  
 27 Holder illegal upurts office, Obama at all times mentioned had a duty to fire holder and supports  
 28 Holder, the Defendants knowingly and willfully, intentionally committed one thru 32 of the  
 above criminal acts.

DEMOCRATS VOTE TO PUT A HALT TO HOLDER FALSE REPRESENTATIONS/STOP LYING TO CONGRESS WITH TAXPAYERS FUNDS, ON Jun 20, 2012 SEE EXH.\_\_\_\_\_.

Obama has failed to fire Holder or Shawn Dovanah, supports his attorney General and is liable for his actions.

VOTE TO PUT A HALT TO HOLDER FALSE REPRESENTATIONS/STOP LYING TO CONGRESS WITH TAXPAYERS FUNDS, ON Jun 20, 2012 SEE EXH.\_\_\_\_\_.

DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS CALLS FOR HOLDER TO RESIGN. OBAMA REFUSE TO FIRE HOLDER. Obama exert executive privledge.

Plantiffs are informed and believe that Obama and/or Holder have cover-up retaliated against Federal Witnesses and victim of Crime to US Government Rico Activities to hide and cover-up their illegal actions.

# ATF's Warning to Whistleblowers



ATF Acting Director Todd Jones / AP



BY: CJ Ciaramella

July 23, 2012 2:00 pm

Lawmakers and government accountability advocates have expressed concern over a July 9 video message directed at Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives agents by ATF Acting Director Todd Jones, which they say is a veiled threat to government whistleblowers.

“Choices and consequences means simply that if you make poor choices, that if you don’t abide by the rules, that if you don’t respect the chain of command, if you don’t find the appropriate way to raise your concerns to your leadership, there will be consequences, because we cannot tolerate—we cannot tolerate—an undisciplined organization,” Jones said in the video.

Some lawmakers and government accountability groups interpreted the words as a warning to employees considering blowing the whistle on corruption.

In a July 18 letter to Jones, Rep. Darrell Issa (R., Calif.) and Sen. Chuck Grassley (R., Iowa) wrote ATF employees must be “free and clear of agency interference or retaliation” if they choose to talk to Congress.



1 “Your ominous message—which could be interpreted as a threat—is likely to have a major  
2 chilling effect on ATF employees exercising their rights to contact Congress,” the two  
3 lawmakers wrote. “Therefore, it needs to be clarified.”

4 “On numerous occasions, we have stressed to ATF and the Department of Justice the importance  
5 of protecting whistleblower disclosures and preventing retaliation against whistleblowers,” they  
6 continued.

7 “The bureau needs to make up its mind whether it’s going to be supportive of employees trying  
8 to get its mission back on track, or if it’s going to snuff out dissent,” said Tom Devine, the legal  
9 director of the Government Accountability Project. “There doesn’t seem to be any consensus.”

10 Grassley and Issa spearheaded the investigation into “Operation Fast and Furious,” a flawed gun-  
11 walking scheme in which federal agents allowed thousands of firearms to be smuggled across the  
12 U.S. border and into the hands of Mexican drug cartels.

13 “What it appears to be is a not-so-veiled threat telling (ATF employees) not to do what they did  
14 to expose Fast and Furious,” Issa told Fox News. “He’s basically saying, ‘No, keep it in the  
15 chain.’”

16 Former ATF agent Jay Dobyns, who has been an outspoken critic of the agency’s leadership,  
17 said Jones’ video misrepresented the atmosphere at the agency toward whistleblowers.

18 “The problem is the whistleblowers I know have all played by the rules and presented complaints  
19 to first, second and third level supervisors, the Ombudsman’s office, Internal Affairs, the EEOC,  
20 the OIG and OSC, Congress, and finally the media,” Dobyns wrote on CleanUpATF.org, a  
21 forum created by fellow ATF whistleblower Vincent Cefalu.

22 “None that I am personally aware of immediately jumped [up] and put themselves in front of a  
23 reporter or camera. What Acting Director Jones does not discuss is the utter lack of interest when  
24 whistleblowers follow the rules. He talks as if the process is balanced but the truth is it is a one-  
25 way street. You get NO attention or concern until an executive is embarrassed in the media. Not  
26 even an acknowledgement of a complaint beyond a boilerplate email—thank you for your  
27 interest; we are very concerned; blah, etc.”

28 Grassley and Issa have previously voiced concern over retaliatory statements made against  
whistleblowers at the ATF. In a June 29 letter to the Inspector General, Grassley and Issa wrote  
that, according to eyewitness accounts, ATF Chief of Public Affairs Scot Thomasson vowed  
retaliation against agents who first disclosed the details of the scandalous Fast and Furious  
operation.

“All of these whistleblowers have axes to grind,” Thomasson allegedly said. “ATF needs to f—k  
these guys. We need to get whatever dirt we can on these guys and take them down.”



1 The Government Accountability Project's Devine said his organization is working to promote  
2 legislation called the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act, which would strengthen  
3 protections for government employees who report misconduct.

4 The bill is currently in pre-conference negotiations between the House and the Senate, and he  
5 expects a final vote sometime in September, Devine said.

6 Devine said his organization has recently had positive experiences with ATF leadership  
7 resolving whistleblower complaints, but the July 9 video sent a contradictory message.

8 The Obama administration has been among the harshest in American history when it comes to  
9 targeting and prosecuting the whistleblowers that leak information, as well as the journalists who  
10 obtain that information.

11 Under President Obama, more Americans have been charged under the Espionage Act for  
12 leaking classified information than all previous administrations combined.

13 President Obama pledged to run the "most transparent administration in history" upon entering  
14 office.

15 In a statement to the *Free Beacon*, ATF spokesman Marc Willis said the video was addressed to  
16 all employees, senior and junior level, and was not meant as a threat.

17 "The excerpt in question was not intended to discourage those with protected disclosure from  
18 pursuing the full range of legal protections available to them in raising work-related concerns,"  
19 Willis said. "Acting Director Jones and the leadership of ATF respect the rights of all ATF  
20 employees. The ATF leadership has specifically reminded employees and supervisors of  
21 employees with protected disclosure rights, about their protections under federal law."

22 The spokesman also said Jones has expanded opportunities for employees to report work-related  
23 concerns since his appointment and added more staff in its ombudsman office to handle  
24 complaints, other proof.

25 On or about Holder has bribe the on or about, Plaintiff is informed and believe holder  
26 incepted this complaint from the Plaintiff computer, knew and were aware the Plaintiff  
27 would file this complaint, bribe the inspector General to say he had no involvement,  
28 orders police officers to attack the Plaintiff or her son

It is illegal to retaliate against federal Witness and victims of crime of US Government Rico  
Activites.

1 Obama had a legal duty or obligation to fire Holder, and his failure not to fire holder, and  
 2 exertion of exutive prilevege, equally liable, or accomlishe. Obama fail to fire holder,  
 3 acts in joint participation with Holder.

HOLDER ILLEGAL UNLAWFUL UPSURTS OFFICE. Obama has refused to release  
 Holder from his duties as Attroney General and is equal liable for his actions.

6  
 7 In advancing, furthering, executing, concealing, conducting, participating in, or carrying out the  
 8 schemes and for the purpose of executing such scheme, the Defendants placed or caused to be  
 9 placed in a post office, or authorized depository for mail, matter that furthered the scheme to  
 10 defraud from the United States Federal District Courts, and each Defendant committed mail  
 11 fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1341. In addition and, for the purpose of executing such  
 12 scheme, the Defendants transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or  
 13 television communication in interstate or foreign commerce and/or the Defendants used the  
 14 wires/emails or caused the wires/emails to be used to receive or deliver, inter alia, the emails,  
 15 facsimiles, letters or telecommunications matter that furthered the scheme to defraud  
 16 with the Plaintiffs regarding all the events described in this complaint.

17 Holders "the mastermind" (and those under his direction)actions include the perpetration of  
 18 *serious criminal offences*, the use of deceit, collusion, abuse of US Government power, bribery,  
 19 kickbacks, or misresention the independence of the relation between the cloudy parties. In legal  
 20 terms all acts affected It consist of continuing core agreement violence or other intimidation and  
 21 the exertion of influence on politics, media, public administration, abuse of judicial authorities  
 22 and *motivated by the pursuit of profit and/or power*, to limit oupen competition, misuse and  
 23 abuse of US Government Power, "printing US Government money and bribing individuals with  
 24 monefor the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful functions of the United  
 25 States State Government, by "deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest;  
 26 and/opr (2) to defraud the United States Government and (3) an overt act in furtherance of the  
 27 conspiracy committed by one of the conspirators.

28 I Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen is a citizen of the United States, and is a resident of  
 various states. I Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004 and Specialty Global  
 Investments Incorporated was established in 2009 both companies was dutily licensed under  
 Georgia, and Nevada, and includes a individuals, or a group of individuals associated in fact,  
 joint ventures, of Real Estate Professional which the Plaintiff Solar Energy. Bridgewater &  
 Company Inc. was establish in 2009 dutily licensed under California(or upon the orders of  
 Obama and/or Holder), is a Real Estate Company which involves a variety of services, open to  
 the general public. nature of commerce – Real Estate an enterprise as defined in 18 USC 1964.

1 Specialty Investment Group LLC "and Specialty Global Investments INC. are dissolved  
 2 companies, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, or Nevada with its  
 3 principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State of Nevada. The Plaintiff  
 is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and the chief  
 Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC. The Plaintiff and authorized to handle all  
 the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment.  
 4 Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son's company. The Plaintiff is an Officers of  
 5 Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with  
 6 its principal office and place of business in State of State of California. James S. Bridgewater is  
 7 the owner and operator of Bridgewater and Company and have an interest in this complaint as  
 8 well. James is in California, and I am in Michigan. The Plaintiff is an Officer and authorize to  
 sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.

9  
 10 The Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater is authorized to manage and handle and conduct all the  
 11 Businesses listed in this complaint and/or to sue on behalf for the Specialty Investment Group  
 12 LLC, Specialty Global Investment INC. The Plaintiff is an officer of Bridgewater and Company  
 Inc. and is authorized to sue on behalf of these companies.

13 James is not listed on this complaint due to his citizenship or resident in California, and I am in  
 14 Michigan. The Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater is 50 years old, has no felony, misdemeanor or  
 15 juvenile record. The Plaintiff Son James S. Bridgewater is 29.5 years old has no felony,  
 16 misdemeanor or juvenile record, and home town is Ann Arbor, MI. We both have Degrees from  
 Universities, I am a University of Michigan Graduate, with Master degree credit.(see exh. )

17 We at all times mentioned were minority small business owners, and were at all times mentioned  
 18 competitors with two or more of the above Defendants. At the time the Plaintiff was a 42 Year  
 19 old Africa entered into a lease agreement contract HOPE VI help, Hayes Valley Limited Public  
 20 Private partnership, obtain HUD funds, redvelop, comply with federal and state laws, a US  
 21 Government Monopoly the build, operate federal public housing facilities across America,  
 22 granted exclusive control by the US Government. Build US public Housing facilities with  
 HOPEVI funds, and were designed to help tenants. Granted exclusive control federal housing  
 complexes across America, US Government public/Private Partnership. Obama invested, control  
 and a manage AIG one of the partners for Hayes Valley Limited Partnership 92 % Build housing,  
 23 to help(purpose for program) informed thconducted in Georgia, and would be gone for extended  
 24 period. Entered into a lease agreement on basis, and were making five figure income, had  
 25 business expenses and income, and my business was growing, and I conducted entstate  
 26 commerce across America. I at all times mentioned were a participation of the HUD section 8  
 program and/or self sufficiency program.

1 I at all times mentioned was a California resident, conducting business in the State of Georgia,  
2 and/or throughout America. I at all times mentioned had valid drivers license and a California  
3 Driver license.

4 I Sharon Bridgewater have an unconstitutional, unlawful conviction of reckless driving and driving  
5 with no proof of insurance due to the two or more of the above Defendants RICO activities and  
6 "individuals" who acted in their individual capacities and conspiracy under the color of Federal  
7 and/or State law.

8 I consider myself to be a very intelligent women, and are victims of "crime" and constant  
9 civil rights violaton, assaults, and violence from US Government, with resulted in trauma,  
10 psychological injuries, and it is the primary cause the Plaintiff was rendered mentally and  
11 emotionally disabled, violence of the US Government, suffered a traumamized, threat,  
12 assault, due to an unconstitutional traffic stop and US Constitutional violation, by police Officers a  
13 crime which resulted in psychistial the Plaintiff was rendered disabled. The Plaintiff is not  
14 delusional or crazy as one perpetrator has called the Plaintiff The complainant is very  
15 intelligent, from a civil rights, violation

16 Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned were a participant in section 8 self  
17 sufficiency program,(describe )on the verge of financial indepenence

18 Plaintiffs Specialty Investment Group business most of business was in 2005,expanding,  
19 however after an illegal unconstitutional traffic stop at GUNPOINT, cause horrific psycholical  
20 trama, My business was doing so well I decide to expand to California, at which I informed my  
21 section 8 worker, and she told me it was OK to conduct business in another state, while I was  
22 absent from my home for extended period of time my son occupied the unit, while I conduct  
23 business in Georgia. I at all times mentioned was a 42 year old African America on the "verge"  
24 of being financially independent and free from all HUD subsidies or public assistance.

25 I at all times mentioned rented two Office Suite in Lawrenceville, GA, and operated Specialty  
26 Investment Group LLC.

27 On or about Jan. 1, 2005, while I was conducting instate commerce in Georgia, my business was  
28 doing very well, Plaintiff at all times mentioned had high self esteem, goal oriented, had dream,  
the Real Estate Market was at it peak. The Plaintiff at all times mentioned was a 42 year old  
African American female on the verge of financial independence. and I decided to expand my  
business to California. I searched for an apartment to rent, and after searching one apartment I  
looked at and I then located an Federal Housing Public Facility. I the plaintiffs at all times was  
in peaceful, lawful possession of the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, California. My  
rental payments at all times were current.

1 The name of the apartment complex was Hayes Valley Limited Partnership. THE HUD  
 2 CONTRACT IS BINDING AND RE-NEW EACH MONTH – A 90 day notice of HUD  
 3 termination of tenancy PURSUANT TO FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW] Partnership Inc.,  
 Hasinah Rahim(HVLP)A US GOVERNMENT PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP  
 CONTRACT. Hashiah Rashad explained to me that the apartment were build from HOPE VI  
 grant, which provide for open competition, help get off public assistance. I explain to her. She  
 agreed that I could conduct business in Georgia, extended stay while my son operated thea  
 apartment.

6 I Sharon Bridgewater, individually(James Bridgewater-listed as a household member)the  
 7 (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global  
 8 Investments Inc., a dissolved Nevada Corporation ),Bridgewater & Company Inc.(minority  
 business Owners) entered into a Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) the San  
 9 Francisco Housing Authority(SFHA) entered into a “three way” legally binding, HUD lease  
 agreement contract for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Franscico, CA 94102), a legally  
 10 binding lease agreement with Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco  
 Housing/San Francisco Housing and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San  
 11 Francisco Housing Authority(SFHA) Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley  
 12 Apartments II L.P.),McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.MBA Urban  
 Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing,  
 at all times mentioned agreed on this terms.

15 I the Plaintifffs a competer of HVLP. The Defendants knew and were aware the Plaintiffs were  
 16 competer and conducting intstate commerce.

17 Plaintiff allege the defendants knew and were aware the Plaintiffs were competers.

18 Plaintiff allege the defendants HVLP at all times mentioned had a Hud regulatory and  
 19 management agreement pursuant to HOPE VI. (Obama at all times owned, controlled 92% of  
 20 AIG, and gave AIG Bail out money in 2008, maintained, invested in AIG(SunAmerica  
 Affordable Housing Partnership one of Hayes Valley Limited Partnership).Plaintiff allege the  
 21 defendants at all times mentioned were required to help, aid assist the Plaintiff in her Real Estate  
 Business and help the Plaintiffs to excel, and/or engage in free open competition. I at all times  
 22 enrolled in a MBA Masters of Business Adminstration Degree Program program and was  
 excepted at the University of Maryland, to operate my business more efficiency. in 2008, The  
 23 Plaintiffs son at all times mentioned were studying to become a license Real Estate Broker at the  
 times.All defendants came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, to  
 24 use threat, coercion, extortion, take money without due process of law, violate the Plaintiffs  
 25 rights to free speech(silence the Plaintiff), violate the Plaintiff due process rights in the  
 termination of tenancy process, restrain commerce, monopolize the Real Estate industry and did  
 26 overt act or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

28 My son is currently a licensed Real Estate Broker and is the owner of Bridgewater and company  
 and have no prior felonies or misdemonor convictions.



1  
2 We at all times mentioned were minority owed businesses, and were at all times mentioned  
3 competitors with the Hayes Valley Limited Parntership(Public/Private Partnership)

4  
5 I am a University of Michigan Graduate, with Master degree credit.(see exh. ) Duy my time I  
6 was attacked by a police officer, and civil rights violation, which is the direct and proximate  
7 cause of disability the doctor render me, desipe this over the years I have been contantly attacked  
8 without probable cause(see exh. ) however I have continued to strive excel and conduct  
9 business.

10  
11 I am not crazy, delusional as one co conspirator might ad. I was diagnosed with major  
12 depression and this was due to the repeated and constant violation of my United States  
13 Constitutional Civil Rights, by Police Officer and without probable cause see exh.

14  
15 The Plainiffs Specalty investiment Group Specialty Investment Group LLC "and Specialty  
16 Global Investments INC. dissolved companies, organized and existing under the laws of the  
17 State of Georgia, with its principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State  
18 of Nevada. The Plaintiff is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment  
19 Group LLC, and the chief Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC.. The Plaintiff  
20 and authorized to handle all the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty  
21 Global Investment. Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son's company. The  
22 Plaintiff is an Officers of Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the  
23 State of California, with its principal office and place of business in State of State of California.  
24 The Plaintiff is authorize to sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.

25  
26 I was making money in five figures my first or second Real Estate transaction, and my  
27 companies were excelling. I had started to receive Real Estate Joint from across American and  
28 international ventures wanting to partner with my company. We all came up with the ideal to  
poole our resources together, after making so much money, and incorporate the Real Estate  
Company with a Solar Energy Company.

I moved to Georgia, at all times was a participant in section 8 program, self sufficiency which  
operate business, and business expenses, and profit. I at all times mentioned had high self  
esteem, big dreams, was goal oriented and happy, and had a beautiful relationship with friends  
and others. I at all times mentioned was full recovery from the horrific incidents which caused  
my disability.

I at all times mention during my life had jobs, corrections office, etc. raised my son alone, and  
spent most of my life struggling. I decided to start my own business, and I was able to buy a  
house in Ann Arbor, Michigan where I and My son spent most of our Adult life, to my  
reconlization I have never been arrested in my home town of Ann Arbor, MI.



1 My business was doing so well I decide to expand to California, at which I informed my section 8  
2 worker, and she told me it was OK to conduct business in another state, while I was absent from  
3 my home for extended period of time my son occupied the unit, while I conduct business in  
Georgia. I at all times mentioned was a 42 year old African America on the "verge" of being  
financially independent and free from all HUD subsidies or public assistance.

4 I at all times mentioned rented two Office Suite in Lawrenceville, GA, and operated Specialty  
5 Investment Group LLC.

6 When I first moved to the State of Georgia from Michigan, I had rented a vehical in the state of  
7 Michigan and on or about Sept. 2004, while visiting a friend(an upsale neighborhood)in  
8 Gwinnett County the rental car I had driven from Michigan to Georgia was parked in her "an  
9 2004 Chevy Malibu," and had Michigan Drivers Plates.

10 An "unknown" Gwinnett County Police Officer or Sherriff, "allegedly" checked the Plates on  
11 the rental car, the vehical was "listed as a stolen vehical." The Officer asked questions about  
12 the car, and subsequently I had rented the car in Michigan, and told the Officer that there had to  
13 be a mistake as to why the vehical was reported stolen. I then told him my automobile(s) had  
14 full coverage, and my insurance company was suppose cover my rental car fee's.(At the time I  
15 owned a 1999 Madza 626 and a 1998 Ford Ranger Truck – both were inoperable and I had rental  
16 car coverage pursuant to insurance).

17 Despite the explanation, the Gwinnett County Officer, arrested me, charged with theft by  
18 receiving a stolen vehical, and detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center and my  
19 bond was \$2,500.00. I then paid the bond and was release from jail.

20 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint an Defense Attorney for me in the criminal case.

21 The State of Georgia then appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense Counsel.

22 I met with Lucas Harsh. He then questioned me about my life. I told him I had just relocated  
23 from Michigan and had just started a Real Estate Company and conducted instate commerce.  
24 Lucas Harsh then asked me for money. I told him I did not have any money, due to the recent  
25 moving cost, and the start of my business the Specialty Investment Group LLC.

1 Later, maybe a week or so went by, Lucas Harsh called me and told me to plea guilty to theft by  
2 receiving a stolen vehical because I did not money. He then "as defense counsel" pressured me  
3 to plea guilty to the of theft by receiving.

4  
5 I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way that I would plea guilty to a crime I did commit. I  
6 then subsequently told Harsh, that he acted like a solicitor or a "Prosecutor" and we disagreed on  
7 every issue, and my defense, and subsequently I then "fired" Harsh. I then hired a different  
8 defense attorney(not of Gwinnett County).

9  
10 The charge of theft by receiving of stolen vehical charge resulted in a complete "DISMISSAL."

11  
12 Upon information and belief, Harsh then, took and vindictive, attitude toward the Plaintiff,  
13 conspired with Does Defendants, to subject the Plaintiffs to peongage or slavery.

14  
15 On or about Nov.20, 2005, I the Plaintiffs was conducting instate commerce and/or was driving  
16 normally and with the regular flow of traffic, not enaged in any suspicion activity and had  
17 violated no traffic laws. Hardin, and other Lawrenceville Police Officer came to the meeting of  
18 the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, acted under the color of Georgia State law,  
19 stopped me at GUNPOINT. I thought the Officer were going to kill me. The incident caused  
20 immediate panic, fear, fright, and horrific psychological and emotional ailments, and I was  
21 damaged in an amount according to proof at trial.

22  
23 Hardin et. Al, the defendants search my body(without a female present), touched me in a terrible  
24 way, searched my car without arresting me, forced me to sit on the ground like a dog and  
25 violated my 4<sup>th</sup> United States Constitutional Right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment in the traffic  
26 stop, search and seizure of my body(18 U.S.C section 241/242). I was humiliated, violated,  
27 suffered low-self esteem and I was damaged in an amount according to proof at trial.

28  
29 I used the Officer the reason for the traffic stop at GUNPOINT, he then told me quote: YOU  
30 MADE AN IMPROPER LANE CHANGE.

31  
32 Based on the Officer answer his/their actions constituted discrimination and/or essessive force, an  
33 unconstitutional traffic stop based on race, class or ethnicity(racial profiling)and violation of my  
34 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constititonal amendment(18 USC 241/242) due process in the initial traffic  
35 stop, search and seizure.

36  
37 A a Police Officer must have a reason to make a "felony" traffic stop and can not stop a vehical  
38 based on race, class or ethnicity and/or at GUNPOINT for an alleged improper lane change.

1 Their was no probable cause to treat the Plaintiffs differently from any other driver, "stop" the  
2 Plaintiffs at GUNPOINT for an improper lane change.

3 The Defendants actions were illegal, discriminatory, unconstitutional and violate correct Police  
4 Procedure.

5  
6 Hardin et. Al then intorgated me, forced me to take several DUI test, and a Alchol bretherlize  
7 test, and the test registered at ZERO. Subsequently Hardin continued to interrogate me and  
8 used threat, coercion or force, arrested me for driving with no proof of insurance, and towed  
9 my(the plaintiffs-Specialty Investment Group LLC)Camero, without probable and without due  
10 process of law. unlawfully put restraints on my freedom and falsely arrested the me (the  
11 plaintiffs-Specialty Investment Group LLC) and "put and/or posted" a mugshot (the plaintiffs-  
12 Specialty Investment Group LLC)" of me and/or took my fingerprints put my fingerprints  
13 and/or picture in their police data base, without due process of law, and gave me/issued to me  
( Sharon Bridgewater-Specialty Investment Group LLC) six traffic violations - 3 Dui's,  
improper lane change, driving with no proof of insurance, and/or reckless driving, without due  
process of law and falsely imprisonment me and/or detained me in the Gwinnett County  
Detention Center for two days and against me will.

14  
15 A unconstituioanal traffic stop, renders a ticket, or citation invalid, or and this court will or  
16 declare any subsequent conduct of the Officers based on an unconstituional traffic stop  
suppressed and/or illegal and/or unconstitutional.

17  
18 Corrupt Police Officer must be fired. The Defendants actions caused the Plaintiff extreme  
19 trauma, shock, and other mental ailments, and the Plainitff unable to conduct commerce, and lost  
20 business and was injured in person or property, and I was damaged in unknown amount to be  
proven at trial.

21 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was unable to conduct business for two  
22 days, and lost business, was libeled, as being arrested for "druken driver business owner" (in  
23 which upon any investigation of a person background would not want to be involve in business  
dealings) defamed, because of an unconstitutional arrest and I was injured in business or  
property in an amount to be proven at trial.

24  
25 Gwinnett county Detention Center, et al, set bail.

26  
27 I then was "forced" to pay a Gwinnett County bailsbonds an "unknown" amount and was  
28 released from the Gwinnett County Detention Center, and was damaged in an amount to be  
proven at trial.

1  
2  
3 After I was released from jail, I exercised my US Constitutiona legal right and disputed all the  
charges, fought/litigated the case for approx. one year. The time in fighting the case, stress, etc.  
took away from my valuable time I needed to conduct business and I or the Specialty Investment  
Group LLC, was damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

6  
7 The case was then bonded over from the municipal court of Lawrenceville, GA, to the Gwinnett  
County Superior Court. I then **demandd a court appointed attorney and a jury trial.**

8  
9  
10 The State of Georgia, appointed an Attorney by the name of Jack Spence. Jack Spence  
counseled me and told me to plea guilty to one or more of the crimes. I told Jack Spence I would  
11 not plead guilty to the crimes, and subsequently told him I wanted and/or demanded a jury trial.  
Jack Spence then told me he would inform me of court dates, etc. I never heard from Jack  
12 Spence again regarding any court dates.

13  
14 On or about April/May 2006 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was  
15 conducting interstate commerce, and driving a car I had just purchased, in an upscale neighbor,  
16 and was driving with the normal flow of traffic, not engaged in any suspicious criminal activity,  
and minding my own business. A Gwinnett County Sherrieff or Police Officer initiated his traffic  
17 lights.

18 I stopped" my vehical. The Officer asked me for my driver license, proof of insurance, and  
19 registration.

20 I told the Officer I had "just purchased" the vehical from a private owner, gave him my recent  
proof of purchase, and I politely asked the Officer the reason for the traffic stop. He responded  
21 and told me my tags were expired. and proof of insurance and/or all proof which permitted me to  
22 drive the vehical(Georgia law permits a driver 21 days to obtain a plate).

23  
24 Despite me showing the Officer that the proof as mentioned in the above sentence, "ran" my  
25 name through the computer data base, approached me in my vehical and told me to get out  
of my car, He then told me I had a warrant for my arrest for failure to appear in court for the  
26 above six traffic violations and Superior Court of the State of Georgia, State Court  
Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater,then  
27 physically restrained and/or arrested for the failure to appear in court for the above six  
28 traffic violations and Superior Court of the State of Georgia, State Court Accusation and  
case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, transported me to the

1 Gwinnett County Detention Center and then "used police radio" called an "unknown" tow  
2 company and towed the vehical I had just purchased to conduct instate commerce.

3 and issue to me two or three traffic citations(tags, violations despite me showing him proper  
4 information.

5  
6 The citations was issued on the State of Georgia, City of Lawrenceville, Gwinnett County,  
7 Georgia.

8 Any reasonable Officer would have have "not" issued traffic citations upon the showing of  
9 proper proof of purchase, etc.

10  
11  
12 I was detained in the Gwinnett County Detention Center for nine days, restrained from freedom  
13 of movement, deprived of my liberty, and against my will and without any legal representation.  
14 On or about the 9<sup>th</sup> day, "Jack Spence," the State of Georgia court appointed Attorney and my  
15 Defense counsel came to the Gwinnett County Detention Center to counsel me.

16 I the Plaintiffs suffered from trauma, shock, flashbacks, post-tramatic stress syndrome, and  
17 other mental ailments due to the arrest,and that which resemble as previous unconstitutional  
18 traffic stop as mentioned with Officer Hardin and does defendants Lawrenceville, GA Police  
19 Officers, was unable to operate my business/office for nine days, and suffered business loses,  
20 lost of Real Estate Contracts, etc and suffered damages and I was injured in business or property  
21 in the amount to be proven at trial.

22 Mr. Spence at that time told me to plea guilty to one or more of the charges. I told him I was not  
23 going to plea to guilty. I then subsequently told him I wanted to go to trial. I then demanded a  
24 jury trial.

25 Mr. Spence then, told me the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater that it would take at least six to  
26 eighth months for the case to be called for jury trial. He then assured that I would "most likely"  
27 be convicted of one of more of the crimes. Mr. Spence then subsequently gave me ultimatum,  
28 to either;

- 1) sit in jail approx. six to eight months and await for a trial of the above  
Charges.

1 2) Pled guilty to one or more of the charges, State Court Accusation and case #  
2 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. and be released  
3 from jail immediately.

4  
5  
6 I the complaintant Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned had a United States  
7 Constitutional right to a speedy trial. I did not want to sit in jail for six to eight month and  
8 wait for a trial, so I **involuntary pleaded guilty** to one or more of the above crimes.

9  
10 Upon release from jail, I immediately called the tow company to obtain my vehical towed  
11 by the Gwinnett County Police Officer. The tow and/or storage bill had accumulated so  
12 high(over nine days). I could not afford to get my car out and I lost my newly purchased  
13 vehical, I had just brought and was damaged and/or I was injured in business or property  
14 and was damaged in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

15  
16 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was unable to travel for nine or ten  
17 days, and was unable to conduct business and lost business, and/or had to rent a car, and I was  
18 damaged and/or was injured in business or property in an undisclosed amount and will prove at  
19 trial.

20  
21 I then immediately, filed a petition to the Gwinnett County Superior Court and to Randy  
22 Rich and informed him of the force PLEA(Obtained by Spence), and/or filed a motion to  
23 withdraw the plea, and on the basis that the plea was involuntary and/or coerced and/or  
24 forced plea.

25  
26 A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" Register of court actions"  
27 and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

28  
Based on the evidence that the I submitted to Randy Rich(State Court Judge). The court  
(Randy Rich)granted my motion and withdrew my PLEA, and/or set a Jury Trial date.

The 6<sup>th</sup> US Constitution gurantees effective counsel in any criminal prosecution,and gurantee to  
me the right to confront my accuser, and the 1<sup>st</sup> amendment US Constitution gureentee to me the  
right to free speech. I the Plaintiff a defendant had a right to know of all proceeding which



involved me in the case, and had a right to know I could not speak on my own behalf, and had a right to know who was my defense counsel.

On or about July 2007 thru Sept. 2007, at various times or places in the Gwinnett County Superior Court Harsh(Defense Attorney) Rich(State Court Judge) and Szabo(Solicitor), acted under the color of Georgia Sate law, came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, concealed known facts from me, had several court meetings and/or including two "notice of conflict of interest" meetings. In one of these meetings, Rich "ordered" Harsh to represent me as defense counsel(Upon information and belief, Rich knew and were aware I was capable of Court Rules, knew and were aware that I withdrew my plea, knew and were aware I requested a jury trial, and knew and were aware that Harsh had previously represented me in a prior criminal prosecution and knew and were aware I fired Harsh, and did not approve of Harsh as my attorney) , and knew and were aware I spoke on my own behalf, and subsequently "Ordered," I was not able to file any motions(the right to speak) on my own behalf. Harsh or Szabo knew and were aware that Rich violated the Plaintiff 1<sup>st</sup> and/or 6<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> US Consitutional amendment Civil Rights, and had a legal duty or obligation to report Rich's actions, and/or obligation or duty to help me and/or to inform me of Rich's ruling, and Harsh or Szabo, breached their duty or obligation to me or the State of Georgia, when they failed to report Richs actions, and their actions were the same as Rich.

Rich, Harsh or Szabo was under a duty to disclose the known facts to me meeting regarding the criminal prosecution, I would have acted in my own defense, or fired Harsh agains, and hired a different attorney had I known about the above meetings of the Rich, Harsh and Szabo. A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" Register of court actions" and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

I the Plaintiff a defendant had a right to know of all proceeding which involved me in the case, and had a right to know I could not speak on my own behalf, and had a right to know who was my defense counsel.

Rich's, Harsh's and acions constituted a violation of my 1<sup>st</sup> amendment US Constitution gureentee to me the right to free speech, or 6<sup>th</sup> amendment right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional Amendment Right .(18 USC section 241/242). and a violation of oath of Officer, violation of Judicial Code of Conduct, impersonation of State Court Judge, abuse of the Gwinnett County Superior Court, a conspiracy under the color of Georgigia State law.

On or about Sept. 18, 2007,at approx 3:30P M Harsh telephoned me and told me to meet him in Randy Richs court room for trial at 9:00am on Sept.19, 2007.

1 On or about Sept. 19, 2007, I follow Harsh's instructions and met him in Randy Rich courtroom  
2 on the Day of Trial.

3 AT TRIAL, Hardin, Harsh, Rich, Szabo, Hardin and Does Lawrenceville Police Officer all were  
4 talking together, n an area away from me. Harsh susquently left the meeting with Hardin, Does  
5 Defendants Lawrenville Police Officer, Rich, and Szabo et al, and approached me, and then told  
6 me that there was not going to be a jury trial, and told me he was not going to represent me in the  
7 Jury Trial(I did not have any prior discussion with Harsh regarding the case, and this was my  
8 first), and susbsequently Harsh told me if I did not plea guilty two counts I would get convicted  
9 of all counts and go to jail for up to two years.

10 I relied on Harsh's statement, I felt trapped, felt helpless, degraded, suffered low self esteem,  
11 felttriple teamed by Gwinnett County was in fear of going to jail for up two years and for crimes  
12 I did not commit, I again "under threat, coercion or force or duress", I involuntary pled guilty  
13 to two count – one reckless driving and, and driving with no proof of insurance.

14 Randy Rich a Superior Court Judge for Gwinnett County located in Lawrenceville, GA holds  
15 himself with a "high standard" as a Judicial Officer, and a representative for the State of Georgia  
16 and/or an Public Servant or Officer of the court law had a legal duty or obligation to perform his  
17 judicial duties and make impartial decisions on behalf of the State of Georgia. AT TRIAL Rich  
18 or Szabo, or Harsh, prepared a Official legal document of a guilty plea(disposition) and/or a  
19 waiver of jury trial and/or prepared a Official legal document and Rich presented the  
20 Official legal documents to himself, knowing it was the basis for an unconstitutional  
21 conviction, acted as an bias, prosecutor, approved the waviver of Jury trial, and plea.  
22 Szabo, amended the traffic citation from DUI to reckless driving, and served the Gwinnett  
23 County Court Clerk with the amended citation on the day of trial in violation of OCGA 17-7-  
24 1(Prior to trial, the prosecuting attorney may amend the accusation, summons, or any citation to  
25 allege or to change the allegations regarding any offense arising out of the same conduct of the  
26 defendant which gave rise to any offense alleged or attempted to be alleged in the original  
27 accusation, summons, or citation, A copy of any such amendment shall be served upon the  
28 defendant or his or her counsel and the original filed with the clerk of the court), , and obtained  
my signature on an Official Legal document, of a disposition of plea, under fear, force, threat or  
coercion.

Rich's, Harsh's and acions constituted a violation of my 1<sup>st</sup> amendment US Constitution  
gureentee to me the right to free speech, or 6<sup>th</sup> amendment right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> US  
Constitutional Amendment Right .(18 USC section 241/242). and a violation of oath of Officer,  
violation of Judicial Code of Conduct, imperssonation of State Court Judge, abuse of the  
Gwinnett County Superior Court, a conspiracy under the color of Georgigia State law. deceit or  
collusion and/or scheme to defraud and a violation of the Judicial or Professional Rules of Code

of Conduct, and a breach of duty or a conspiracy under the color of law, or individuals acting in their own individual capacities. All acted in joint participation violated their professional code of conduct and violated my 13<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional right, and/or breached their legal duty or obligation to the State of Georgia, and/or the Plaintiffs, subjected me to peonage or slavery and/or suspended the my Georgia Drivers license without due process of law and/or defrauded me out of money or property without due process of law and without probable cause and did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

A conspiracy among the Judge, Prosecutor and Defense Attorney renders a conviction null and void, and any Judge would declare the Defendants actions illegal, and criminal.

Corrupt Judges, Prosecutor or Defense Attorney such as Harsh must be fired. The Defendants actions caused me extreme trauma, shock, and other mental ailments, humiliation, unworthiness, and the Plaintiff unable to conduct commerce, and lost business and was injured in person or property, and I was damaged in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

The Defendants actions constitute a through,

I was forced to do free labor at the Gwinnett Conty Dentention Center against my will and I paid several installment payment to Professional Probation Services that I did not owe. The Defendants actions constitute the collection of an unlawful debt and/or a scheme to defraud the Plaintiffs(Specialty Investment Group LLC) out of money or property without due process of law.

The Defendants actions caused me extreme trauma, shock, and other mental ailments, libel, defamation of character, and the, inability to conduct business, and the defendants prevented me from operating my Real Estate Business in a free and open way and interfered with commerce) and their actions were illegal, unlawful, overt acts, and one or more of the above criminal offense as list in the above paragraph and the Defendants are liable to me for their actions and are liable for damages to the Plaintiffs.

**RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**  
**RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**  
**and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**  
**FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**  
**Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**  
**and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**  
**Aiding and Abettin**

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants on On or about Oct. 19, 2007 at approx. 3:30 p.m. on Centerville Hwy. I the Plaintiff had just purchased a Van for my Real

1 Estate Company, and was leaving the Gwinnett County Dentition Center after a days  
2 completeion of free labor. I was was driving normally my newly purchased company Van with a  
3 drive out tag and driving with the regular flow of traffic, not enaged in any suspicion activity and  
had violated no traffic laws.

4  
5 Officer Caldwell, initiated his police lights. I pulled my Van over and stopped.

6  
7 Officer Caldwell, subsequently asked me for my proof of purchase of the vehical, insurance, and  
8 drivers license.

9  
10 I politely gave the Officer "recent proof of purchase" of the vehical, insurance and valid "not  
11 expired" "not suspended" California Drivers license.

12 I asked the Officer Caldwell the reason for the traffic stop.

13  
14 Officer Caldwell hassitated, studdard, and then gave me "no concrete" reason for the traffic  
15 stop.

16  
17 Officer Caldwell then issued me a citation that's "NOT" listed in the in "Georgia traffic code  
18 of notations." There was no probable cause for Offficer Caldwell to issue a ticket that's not  
19 listed or that is not a citable offense and/or to stop the Plaintiffs.

20 Based on Officer Caldwell actions, his actions constitute an unconstitutional traffic stop  
21 without probable cause, and/or a discriminatory traffic stop and/or based on race, class or  
22 ethnicity unlawful, unconstitutional traffic stop based on race, and a violation of my US  
23 Constitutional 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> and/or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional Amendment in the traffic stop(18 section  
24 241/242).

25 A unconstituioanal traffic stop, and/or a ticket issued that "not a citable offense listed in the  
26 Georgia, traffic code of notation" renders a ticket, or citation invalid, null and void, and a  
27 District Court or Judge will declare any ticket issued to a US Citizen that's "not in a traffic  
28 code" traffic citations illegal and unconstitutional.

Officer Caldwell then used threat, coercion or force, put restraints on my freedom and arrested and/or imprisonment me again and against my will (imprisoned in the Gwinnett County Detention Center) and for driving on suspended license despite me giving him a valid, not suspended California drivers license. Officer Caldwell and does defendants then put and/or posted" a mugshot (the plaintiffs-Specialty Investment Group LLC)" or me and/or my fingerprints in their data base, and gave me/issued to me( Sharon Bridgewater-Specialty Investment Group LLC a driving with suspended license, and/or detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center for several hours and against me will; and subsequently towed my(the plaintiffs-Specialty Investment Group LLC)newly purchased CompanyVan.

Officer Caldwell knew or should have known he could not stop the Plaintiffs without probable cause and/or based on race, class ethnicity, write the Plaintiff a ticket that's not in the Georgia code of traffic notation, and his actions are in violation of clearly established law which permit the Plaintiff to drive her car and be free from an unconstitutional traffic stop. Any reasonable officer would have known to "not" write a ticket that's not in the code of traffic notation and or make a traffic stop based on race or class or ethnicity.

The Defendants actions constitute an intentionally, unconstitutional, illegally, unlawfully unjustified, false imprisonment, false arrest without due process of law and constitute illegal, unlawful customs, policies and procedures and a willful violation of my 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment guaranteed United States Constitutional rights, and a conspiracy under the color of law and acts as mentioned in the above.

I was released approx. 8 hours later on a personal recognize bond. I then had to get a taxi-cab ride from a neighbor. The incident caused trauma, shock, mental ailment, post-traumatic stress syndrome, humiliation, shame, depression, "feelings of helplessness," and low-self esteem, and loss of dignity, and I was injured and/or damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

Corrupt Police Officer must be fired who do not follow police procedure, and abuse US Government power or conspire with others to commit overt acts.

**RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**  
**RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**  
**and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**  
**FRAUD, PEONAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**

1 Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Aiding and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal  
2 Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit Aiding and Abettin  
3

4 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, On or about Oct. 30, 2007 I was  
5 conducting instate commerce and/or I was driving my newly purchased Van with two employees  
6 of the Specialty Investment Group LLC and driving normally and with the regular flow of traffic,  
7 not enaged in any suspicion activity and had violated no traffic laws.

8 Officer Schriender a "former" and/or current Dekalb County Police Officer, capacity as arresting  
9 Officer, Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a  
10 DeKalb County, GA, acted under the color of Georgia State law, adopted the acts of Hardin, or  
11 Caldwell, intitiated her police lights.

12 Officer Schriender personal tesitimony makes the following statemnants. .

13 Exhibit A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" and  
14 incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.  
15

16 Exhibit "A" makes the following statement: "I observed a vehical had a drive out tag  
17 and then intitiated my light.  
18

19 Officer Schriender personal tesitimony makes the following statemnants: There were  
20 two Black males, indtitited as "\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_"  
21

22 Exhibit A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" and  
23 incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

24 Based on Officer Schriender personal testimony, her actions constitute and unconstitutional  
25 traffic stop, and a traffic stop based on race, class or ethnicity(racially profiling) and a violation  
26 of my 4<sup>th</sup> United States Constitutional Right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment in the traffic stop  
27 (O.C.G.A. - Berry vs. State of Georgia, 248 Ga. App. 874. Supreme Court of Georgia in 1991  
28 declared it is illegal and unconstitutional to stop a driver for driving with a drive out tag).



I pulled over my vehical due to the intiatiions of Officer Schriender police traffic lights. Officer Schriender asked for my proof of purchase, drivers license, and insurance. I politely gave the Officer my proof of insurance, valid "not suspended" California Drivers license, and proof of purchase of my vehical(Georgia allows 21 days to switch from a drive out tag days to obtain Georgia's plate).

Officer Schriender, called other Does Defendant Dekalb County Police Officers and/or Officer Franklin. Officer Scriender, et al, Detective George Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and/or official capacities all camed to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, acted under the color of Georgia State law, acted in joint participation, used, threat, coercion, initimatioin or force, arrested me for driving with suspended license despite my giving to her a valid not expired/suspended California Drivers license, issued to me a ticket for a tags violation searched my vehical, and subsequently, towed my newly purchased company van(I had three stainless steel appliances and other appliance in my company van).

The intitial traffic stop lacked due process of law, was unconstitutional and the Defendants did not have probable cause, to stop me for driving "while Black" and with a drive out tag, and all tickets issued any district court would declare a traffic stop, tickets unconstitutional and suppress all ticket and declare any actions after the traffic stop illegal and unlawful.

The Defendants actions constitute abuse of Police US Governmen power a false imprisonment,(kidnapped), false arrest, and a violation of my 4<sup>th</sup> United States Constitutional Right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment in the traffic stop, search and seizure (18 U.S.C section 241/242).

The Defendants knew or should have known they could not stop me without due process of law, search my Van without due process of law, tow my Van without due process of law, and falsely imprision me without due process of law. The Defendants actions were criminal, flagert, and the Defendants had total disregard of my US Constitutional right

Officer Schriender, et al, then left the iniial scene of the traffic stop, traveled to my resident, broke my door down, and/or "kicked the my door down," entered my resident or place of business(Specialty Investment Group LLC) evaded my personal privacy, made a warrantless search, absent probable cause and/or exigent circumstances and "without consent," trespassed on lawfully lease or co-owed property without the right, and took my property (personal and business and of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, valuable Specialty Investment Contracts, Solar Energy Company ideals, contracts,computers intangible and/or tangible property) valued at more than \$5,000, and subsequently initiated a felony criminal proceeding against me of "theft

1 by taking" "posted the Plaintiff mugshot" and fingerprints in their data base and illegally  
2 detained me in the Dekalb County jail, restrained the Plaintiffs movement for two days, and  
3 prevented the me from conducting instate commerce, and did overt acts or omissions to further  
the objective of the conspiracy.

4  
5 There was no reason for the Officer to arrest me without probable cause, leave the scene of a  
6 traffic stop and travel to my place of business or residents, take the Plaintiff business and  
7 personal possession without a search warrant and without the right, and initiate criminal  
8 proceeding against me, of crimes they committed against me. Clearly the defendants actions  
are willful, criminal and intentional, and flagert.

9  
10 Police Officer, Detetive, etc. must follow correct Police Procedures, the 4<sup>th</sup> amendment of the US  
Constitution provides and must have a warrant, to enter a resident and take items.

11  
12 In furthernace of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants on or about Oct. 30, 2007 thru  
13 April 2009(ONE YEAR AND A HALF) knowingly, intentionally kept the theft by taking  
14 charges open and pending against the Plaintiffs without "ANY" initition of criminal  
15 proceedings, and without"ANY" presentment of the criminal proceeding "theft by taking  
charge" to a Grand Jury, and/or initition of process any criminal process, and the Defendants did  
overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy.

16  
17 Plaintiff are informed and believe the Defendants purposefully kept charges pending to hinder,  
18 delay, or prevent the communication of the crime to a law enforcement officer or judge of the  
19 United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal  
offense in violation of Federal and Georgia State law.

20  
21 The defendants actions are illegal, overt, criminal acts, and constituted one or more of the above  
22 criminal acts. All Defendatns were malicious, and illegal,constitute, constituted theft, robbery,  
23 invasion of privacy, and a violation of my 4<sup>th</sup> via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional guareenteed  
24 rights(18 USC 241/242),robbery, theft, false imprisioin, abuse of US Government Power, and  
one or more of the above criminal actions as listed on this complaint.

25  
26 The Defendants actions caused me to lose my newly purchased company Van, and company  
27 stainless steel appliance, business and personal possessions. In addition the Defendnats action  
28 of stealing, taking by robbery or theft my business and personal possession, caused me the  
inability to conduct business and/or their action interferred with commerce, caused extreme  
trauma, shock, and other mental ailments, post-tramatic stress sydrome, flashback, and the

1 Plaintiff has been injured in person or property as mentioned in the above paragraph, and I was  
2 damaged in person, business or property in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

3  
4  
5 On Nov. 30, 2007(after the statue of limitation had expired to prosecute the Plaintiff for any  
6 alleged crimes-2 year statue of limitation to prosecute for the above six misdemonor) Randy  
7 Rich, "ordered" a tolling order(Toll the Statue of limitation). Rich et al, was "barred" from  
8 issued a tolling order on the Plaintiff and the proceeding ended in the Plaintiffs favor. The  
9 Statute of limitations to prosecute misdeomonr traffic stops and/or DUI is two years, and Rich,  
10 Dekalb et al issued several arrest warrants for the illegal, citiations, based on unconstitutional  
11 traffic stop, or unlawful conviction, for the plaintiffs without due process of law and without  
12 probable cause and continuing through today of the filing of this complaint present, driving with  
13 suspended lince. Suspended license, arrest warrant, FBI data based, NCIC crime Randy Rich A  
14 State Court Judge, Caldwell et al employed the federal mails and/or federal interstate wires  
15 and/or foreign international wires to the United States Department of Justice, and/or and the  
16 United States Department of Justice Eric Holder Jr.,United States Attorney General, Defendants  
17 JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S.  
18 government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants  
19 JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official  
20 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant  
21 United States Attorney General(s), in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities,  
22 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), "issued " two or more  
23 arrest warrants for the Plaintiff name Sharon Bridgewater and/or "put" the Plaintffs name in the  
24 National Crime Data Base as "WANTED CRIMINAL" in a National Criminal Data(NCIC) and  
25 did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy. THE PLAINTIFF ARE  
26 VICTIMS OF MALICIOUS CRIMES.

27 All Defendants ratified, approved, both jointly or severly committed one or more of the above  
28 acts and the United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater brings formal charges as alleged in the  
above.

The Defendants actions forced me to flee the state of Georgia "escape slavery" forced me out of  
business

Defendants JOHN DOE 13 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in  
his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police in his/her individual and official or  
"former "capacity is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, of Hardin and Does  
Defendants Lawrenceville Georgia Police officer and is responsible for their actions.

Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown "Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA

Police or Sherriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former" capacity in his/her individual and official or "former" capacity is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, of Officer Caldwell actions and is responsible for their actions.

Defendant Gwinnett County by and through unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in their official current or "former" capacities has failed to instruct, supervise, and control officers and/or instructed, supervised, and controlled Gwinnett County and is responsible.

Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia his/her individual and official "former" capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, unconstitutional traffic stops, theft, conversion of the Plaintiffs property and is responsible.

DEKALB COUNTY, by and through "Unknown" Chief Executive Officer, Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity failed to instruct, supervise, and control officers and/or instructed, supervised, and controlled Dekalb County employees and is responsible.

## **INTERNET ARTICLE**

**INTERNET MEDIA ARTICLE OF THE DEKALB COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
Friday, February 27, 2009 DeKalb County Police Chief Terrell Bolton Fired



*Decatur, GA*

In a bold move towards a better community in DeKalb, the county's new CEO Burrell Ellis has fired DeKalb police chief Terrell Bolton. The announcement came on Tuesday, following much controversy, surrounding, Bolton's, conduct, as, police, chief.

1 A pre-termination notice sent to Bolton last week gave him a deadline of 10:00 a.m. Monday to  
 2 respond to the charges and explain why he shouldn't be fired. Bolton did send a letter to Ellis on  
 3 Monday morning, stating numerous reason he should be allowed to remain in his position,  
 however, Ellis, apparently, was, not, swayed.

4 Among Bolton's major claims is that he can't be fired because he is on medical leave. Bill  
 5 McKenney, Bolton's lawyer claims the firing may violate federal law. McKenney says the leave  
 6 falls under the federal Family and Medical Leave Act and cautioned Ellis not to take any action  
 7 while, the, chief, is, on, leave.

8 Bolton is accused of various discrepancies, crimes and misconduct including allegations that he  
 9 has taken over 5 months of "comp" time during his two years on the job despite police  
 10 department policies against "comp" time for executives and that he personally kept luxury  
 11 vehicles, seized, in, drug, raids.

12 This could be a step in the right direction for Ellis in cleaning up the government of DeKalb  
 13 County. Former CEO Vernon Jones left quite a mess in the county, including a judicial system  
 14 full of unscrupulous ashkenazis **who rob citizens under color of law on a daily basis,**  
 15 **amounting to basically nothing more than an organized crime ring similar to the former**  
 16 **judicial system of Cook County, Illinois.**

17 \*\*\*\*\*  
 18 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**  
 19 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**  
 20 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**  
 21 **FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**  
 22 **Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**  
 23 **and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**  
 24 **Aiding and Abettin**

25 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the defendants, I the Plaintiffs returned home to my  
 26 apartment at 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, at all times mentioned was in peaceful  
 27 possession of the apartment and minding my own business and was not bothering anyone.

28 Hashiah Rashad, property manager Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley  
 Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.  
 MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica  
 Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., and/or Hasinah Rahim, knew and were aware I owed and  
 operated my own Real Estate Business, and knew and were aware that James was studying to  
 become a license Real Estate Broker in the State of California, we were competitor and minority

1 business owners at all times mentioned had a valid lease agreement that renewed on a month to  
2 month basis. And knew and were aware they entered into a HOPE VI contract to help the Plaintiff  
3 compete in fair open competition

4  
5 My rents were current, and I owed no past due rents. On **Nov. 12, 2007**, at an "unknown" time  
6 at 401 Rose Street, San Francisco, California Hashiah Rashad, property Manager for  
7 HVLP(defendants Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),  
8 McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.MBA Urban Development Co., The  
9 Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., ) acted  
10 under the color of the US Federal and/or State Government, originating within the state of  
11 California, from 401Rose Street, San Francisco, California and terminating at 427 Page Street,  
12 San Francisco, CA, , caused to be placed in a post office, or authorized depository for mail,  
13 matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal and to Sharon Bridgewater a "fititous assumed  
14 name," "fake" notice to pay rent or quit with Hayes Valley Limited Partnership,as follows:  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28