

Pg ID1 206

1 Sharon Bridgewater
1524 Harvest Lane
2 Superior Township, MI 48198
3 1-313-375-0351

Case:2:12-cv-13942
Judge: Roberts, Victoria A.
MJ: Whalen, R. Steven
Filed: 09-06-2012 At 03:56 PM
CMP BRIDGEWATER V OBAMA, ET AL (EB)

In Pro Se

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
(with and/or without the United States)
ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney
General" and/or individually and/or on behalf
of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C.
A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty
Global Investments Inc., a dissolved
Nevada Corporation)and Bridgewater &
Company Inc.

Plaintiffs,

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH
EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT
DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT
SATIFITORY PERFORMANCE BOND
WITH MEM AND POINTS OF
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF

Barak H. Obama,
In his official personal capacity as
Chief Executive Officer of the
United States of America
Eric Holder Jr.,
In his official personal capacity as the
United States Attorney General
Defendants JOHN DOE 1 is unknown

1 employees of the Executive Branch. They are
2 sued in their official personal capacities and/or
"former "capacities.

3 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents
of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)
They are sued in their official personal capacity
and/or "former "capacities.

4 Defendants JOHN DOE 3 are unknown
5 Assistant United States Attorney General(s).
They are sued in their official personal capacity
6 and/or "former "capacities.

7 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US
8 "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are
9 sued in their official personal capacity and/or
"former "capacities.

10 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown
11 employees of the U.S. Department of Justice.
They are sued in their official personal capacity
12 and/or "former "capacities.

13 Saundra Brown Armstrong,
14 Is sued in her official personal capacity
As United States Northern District Court
15 Judge of California

16 Claudia Wilkins
17 Is sued in her official personal capacity
As United States Northern District Court
Judge of California

18 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 is unknown
19 employees of the U.S. Northern District of
California, Oakland, and Division. They are sued
in their official personal capacity and/or
"former "capacities

20 Orinda D. Evans,
21 Is sued in her official personal capacity
As United States Northern District Court
22 Judge of Georgia

23 Allen Baverman,
24 Is sued in his official personal capacity
As United States Northern District Court
25 Magistrate Judge

26 Defendants JOHN DOE 7 is unknown
27 employees of the U.S. Northern District of
Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia. They are
sued in their official personal capacity and/or

28 .2.

1 "former "capacities
2 Shawn Donavan,
3 Is sued in his official personal capacity
4 As the Director of the United States Housing
5 and Urban Development
6 Defendants JOHN DOE 8 is unknown
7 Director of the San Francisco Housing
8 Authority He or she is sued in her/his official
9 or former personal capacity as
10 Director of the San Francisco Housing
11 Authority
12 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 are unknown
13 employees of the San Francisco Housing
14 Authority. They are sued in their official
15 personal capacity or former capacities
16 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership
17 (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),
18 McCormack Baron Ragan Management
19 Services Inc.
20 MBA Urban Development Co.,
21 The Related Companies of California, Inc.
22 Sunamerica Affordable Housing
23 Partnership Inc.,
24 Hasinah Rahim,
25 Shawn Bankson,
26 Jane Creason,
27 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,
28 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee,
18 Individually and in her official capacity as the
19 Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
20 Roger Tonna,
21 Mary Tonna,
22 William Gilg,
23 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 is unknown
24 Director of the Alameda County Housing
25 Authority,
26 He or She is sued in his/her individual and
27 official and/or "former "capacity As the
28 Director of the Alameda County Housing
Authority
Defendants JOHN DOE 11 are unknown
employees of the Alameda County Housing
Authority. They are sued in their individual
and official and/or "former "capacities.

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 12 is unknown
2 Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia
3 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official
4 and/or "former" capacity

5 Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of
6 Police of DeKalb County, Georgia

7 He is sued in his/her individual and official
8 "former "capacity as Chief of Police of

9 Dekalb County

10 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491,

11 Individually and in her official and/or "former"
12 capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb
13 County, GA Police Department

14 Officer Franklin

15 Individually and in his/her official and/or
16 "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA

17 Police Officer

18 Detective George

19 Individually and in her official and/or "former"
20 capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA
21 Police Department

22 Lieutenant Hamilton

23 Individually and in his/her official and/or
24 "former" capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb
25 GA County Police Department

26 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown

27 DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their
28 individual and official and/or "former"
capacities.

Randy Rich,

Individually and in his official capacity as the
Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County

Lucas O. Harsh,

Rosanna Szabo

Individually and in her official and/or "former"
capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor

Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the
Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department

Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown

Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their
individual and official and/or "former"
capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown

Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued

1 in his/her current or "former" capacity as
2 Chief of Police
3 Officer Caldwell
4 Individually and in her official and/or "former"
5 capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett
6 County, GA Police or Sheriff Department
7 Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown "
8 Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA
9 Police or Sheriff Department
10 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official
11 or "former" "capacity
12 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are unknown
13 Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
14 Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually
15 and official and/or "former" capacities
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 5 -

1

I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION AND VENUE, AND COMMERCE

2

I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION, VENUE AND COMMERCE

3

4 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Racketeer
 Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 This Court has jurisdiction and Plaintiffs
 herein are alleging standing under: Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, as amended, 18
 U.S.C. Sections 1961, et seq. (Fair Housing Act of 1968 and the Fair Housing Amendments Act
 of 1988, 42 U.S.C. Sections 3601, et seq.; (3) 42 U.S.C. Sections 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1985;
 and (4) 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, for violation of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth
 Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. (5) 15 U.S.C. Sections 1, 2, 13 and 18 for
 treble damages and injunctive relief for violations of the federal antitrust laws. The jurisdiction
 of this Court is authorized by 18 U.S.C. Section 1964 (c), 42 U.S.C. Section Sections 1331, and
 15 U.S.C. Sections 15 and 26. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims
 pursuant ot 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

6

7 2. The amount of controversy well exceeds the statutory sum of \$75,000.

8

9 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and/or (b).

10

11 (a) Obama, Holder and/or Defendants McCormack Baron Ragan Management
 Services Inc., JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and
 other agencies of the U.S. government, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown
 agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI), Defendants JOHN DOE 3
 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s), Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), Defendants JOHN DOE 5
 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice, resides, is found, has an
 agent or transacts their affairs in the Eastern District of the State of Michigan
 and/or in Highland Park, Michigan and/or the District of Columbia,Washington.

12

13 (b) The acts and occurrences in furtherance of the alledged herein arose in this Eastern
 District of Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington D.C.
 The ends of justice require that other parties residing in other Districts be
 brought before this District Court to be summoned, processed, by the United
 States Marshal.

PLAINTIFFS

The United States of America ex rel

4. Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen **is a citizen of the United States, and is a resident** of Michigan. The Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater have an unlawful conviction of reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance, due to the RICO activities of the above named Defendants unlawful agreement. The Complainant currently have an on-line Christian Ministry, Sharon Bridgewater Global Minitries(SharonBridgewater.org and Sharon Bridgewater.com). The Plaintiff have no prior felonies or misdeformers.

5. The Plaintiff is the An Ann Arbor Native, A Graduate of the University of Michigan(BA), with some Master Degree Credits. Former job positions includes: Internal Revenue Service Employee, State of Michigan Employee(Treasury Department), Michigan Department of Corrections(Corrections Officer-graduate at top of class), substitute teacher for the Ann Arbor School Districts, a former business owner of B and B Building Maintenance INC. a building mainentenance and/or cleaning company, and the business owner of a non-profit organization. The Coalition For Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands). The complainant Sharon Bridgewater currently receives Social Security income for mental ailments due to the direct and proximate cause of the above named Defendants, malicious,violence against the complainant and without probable cause.

6. The complainant have one son James S. Bridgewater. Many Ann Arborina know James for his outstanding basketball skills, and is the first to State Champion in 1999(see exh.)who currently is a licensed Real Estate Broker in the State of California. A graduate of Ohio University(BA). Currently a licensed Real Estate Broker(see exh.) and serves as a Board of Director at a Financial Institution were he currently is employed in San Francisco, CA. James have no prior felonies or misdemeanor convictions.

7. The Plaintiff is and a former business owner of the Specialty investment Group Specialty Investment Group LLC "and Specialty Global Investments INC. dissolved companies, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, with its principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State of Neveda. The Plaintiff is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and the chief Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC.. The Plaintiff and authorized to handle all the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment. Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son's company. The Plaintiff is an Officers of Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal office and

1 place of business in State of California. The Plaintiff is authorize to sue on behalf of
 2 Bridgewater and Company INC.

3

4 Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004. Specialty Global Investments
 5 Incorporated was established in 2009 both companies includes a individuals, or a group of
 6 individuals associated in fact, joint ventures, of Real Estate Professionalwhich the Plaintiff Solar
 7 Energy. Bridgewater & Company Inc. was establish in 2009 is a Real Estate Company which
 8 involves a variety of services, open to the general public. nature of commerce – Real Estate The
 9 Plaintiffs Specialty Investment Group LLC at all times managed properties, renters, etc. , **is a**
 10 **citizen of the United States a resident of Washington DC**, and is unincorporated business entity
 11 principle place of business within, Washington, District of Columbia. **Nature Trade of**
 12 **Commerce** a joint venture and an enterprise as defined in 18 USC 1964 and that as defined in .
 13 **Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate**

14 7.

15 Bridgewater and Company INC. is owed and operated by James S. Bridgewater the Plaintiffs
 16 son. He is currently a licensed Real Estate Broker in the State of California. They are **citizen of**
 17 **the United States a resident of San Francisco, Califonria**. and are incorporated business
 18 entity principle place of business within, California. **Nature Trade of Commerce- Real Estate**.

19

20 6. The Plaintiffs has been restrained from commerce due to the violence, attacks of the
 21 Defendants and or due to the Whistleblowing of the Planitffs.

22

23

24 **II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS**

25 **[RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]**

26 **II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS**
 27 **RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]**

1
2
3 Plaintiffs allege that:

4 Barak H. Obama is a citizen of the United States a resident of Washington DC, and is
5 unincorporated business entity principle place of business within, Washington, District of
6 Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Government, chief executive
7 Officer of the United States of America of the Executive, Judiciary and legislative Branch of the
8 United States of America.

9
10 Eric Holder Jr., is a citizen of the United States, a resident of Washington DC, and is
11 unincorporated business entity principle place of business in Washington, District of Columbia.
12 Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Government, chief executive Officer of law
enforcement of the United States of America.

13
14
15 Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch are citizens of the
16 United States, and residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or
17 Georgia and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in,
18 "unknown"cities in Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and/or Washington, District of
Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government under the direction of Barak
Obama.

19
20
21 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)
22 in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and
23 residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are
24 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown"cities in
Michigan, California, and/or Georgia, and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of
Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their official
2 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of
3 Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated
4 business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown"cities in Michigan,
5 California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia unincorporated business
6 entities, principle place of business within, the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature
7 Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder
8 Jr.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) in their official
personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of
Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated
business entities with their principle place of business in, "unknown"cities in Michigan,
California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia, Nature Trade of Commerce
the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice are citizens of
the United States, and residents of Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are
unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown"cities in
Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of
Commerce The U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder.

Saundra Brown Armstrong United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen
of the United States, and residents of California unincorporated business entity, principle place
of business in the City of Oakland, California, Armstrong is a "former" seven year Oakland
Police Officer/Law Enforcement Officer. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government
Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch(Federal/California)

Claudia Wilkins United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen of the
United States, and residents of California and is an unincorporated business entities her principle
place of business in the City of Oakland, California. . Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A.
Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary
Branch(Federal/California).

1
2 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of California,
3 Oakland, and Division. are citizens of the United States, and residents of California and are
4 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in, the City of Oakland,
5 California. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the
6 direction of the United States Judiciary Branch and/or Saundra Brown Armstrong and/or Claudia
7 Wilkins(Federal/California)

8
9 Orinda D. Evans, United States Northern District Court Judge of Georgia is a citizen of the
10 United States and is a residents of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity with her
11 principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of
12 Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States
13 Judiciary Branch(Federal/Georgia)

14
15 Allen Baverman, United States Northern District Court Magistrate Judge of Georgia is an citizen
16 of the United Statesand residents of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity principle
17 place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of Commerce
18 The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary
19 Branch(Federal/Georgia)

20
21 Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of
22 Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are
23 citizens of the United States and residents of Georgia and are unincorporated business entities
24 with their principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature
25 Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United
26 States Judiciary Branch and/or Orinda Evan and/or Allen Baverman(Federal/Georgia)

1 Shawn Donavan, Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development is a citizen of
2 the United States and residents of the District of Columbia, and is an unincorporated business
3 entity principle place of business in the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade
4 of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or
5 U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate/under the direction of Obama.

6
7 Defendant JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority in her/his
8 official or former personal capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority is a
9 citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" in the United States of America and
10 is an unincorporated business entity with its principle place of business within, "unknown" cities
11 or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of
12 Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction
13 of Shawn Donavan/under the direction of Obama.

14
15 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San Francisco Housing Authority in their
16 official personal capacity or former capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of
17 "unknown" cities and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business
18 in, "unknown" cities or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A.
19 Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or
20 Real Estate under the direction of Defendant John Doe 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco
21 Housing Authority/under the direction of Shawn Donavan/under the direction of Obama.

22
23 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership[AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.](HVLP), is a citizen
24 of the United States and/or corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of
25 San Francisco, CA with it principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, California.
26 Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the United States Government and/or
27 United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn
28 Donavan /under the direction of Obama.

29
30 McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. is a citizens of the United States, and is a
31 corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of San Francisco, CA with it

1 principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly
2 licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and an
3 Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real and/or
4 United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn
5 Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

6

7 MBA Urban Development Co., is a citizen of the United States, duly licensed to do business in
8 the in the city of St. Louis, Missouri, with it principle place of business in the St. Louis,
9 Missouri, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50
10 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the United States
11 Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the United States
12 Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of
13 Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

14

15 The Related Companies of California, Inc. is a corporation and/or company with it principle
16 place of business in the CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in
17 most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the
18 United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the
19 United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the
20 direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

21 Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., is a corporation and/or company with it
22 principle place of business in CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to
23 business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of
24 HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an
25 Partner of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development
26 and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

27 Hahiah Rashad, individually and current or former official personal capacity is an
28 unincorporated business entities and an amanging member of HVLPwith her principle place of

1 business in the City of San Francisco, California . Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and
2 under the direction of Defendant HVLP and/or Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

3
4 Shawn Bankson, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle
5 place of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee
6 of Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of
7 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

8
9 Jane Creason, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place
10 of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee of
11 Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of
12 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

13 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Attorneys, and/or Counselors is an partnership and/or
14 corporation with their principle place of business within, the State of California, is a served as
15 an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn
16 Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

17 HVLP are two separate entities and a combination as trust, and/or defined in anti-trust
18 monopoly as defined _____

19
20 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, Alameda County Superior Court Judge is an unincorporated business entities
21 with her principle place of business within, the City of Oakland, California, Alameda County.
22 Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the
23 United States Judiciary Branch.

24 Roger Tonna, is citizen of the United States, and residents of California and an unincorporated
25 business entities his principle place of business in the City of San Leandro, California, Alameda
County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or under the direction of Defendant
Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

26
27
28 -M-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3 Mary Tonna, is the wife of Roger Tonna and is citizen of the United States, and residents of
4 California and is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the City of
5 San Leandro, California, Alameda County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or
6 under the direction of Defendant Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.
7
8

9 William Gilg, is an Attorney and a citizen of the United States, and residents of California and
10 is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the San Bruno,
11 California. and served as an agent for Roger and Mary Tonna, nature trade of commerce
12 Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.
13
14

15 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority,
16 in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity is a citizen of the United States, and
17 resident of California and is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business
18 in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A.
19 Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or
20 Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Donavan under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under
21 the direction of Obama.
22
23

24 Defendants JOHN DOE unknown employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority are
25 citizens of the United States, and resident of California and are unincorporated business
26 entities their principle place of business in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. –
27 Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban
28 Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Dovahan/
under the direction of Obama.
29
30

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia is a citizen
2 of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity
3 with his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce-The
4 U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the
5 direction of Obama.

6

7

8 Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia is a citizen of
9 the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
10 his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
11 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
12 Obama.

13

14

15 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is a
16 citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business
17 entity with his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce-
18 The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the
19 direction of Obama.

20

21

22 Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb
23 County, GA Police Officer is a citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city
24 and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Dekalb County,
25 GA. Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the
26 direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

27

28 Detective George Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is an citizen of the
29 United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
30 his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
31 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
32 Obama.

1
2
3
4
5 Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity is a citizen of
6 the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
7 his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
8 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
9 Obama.

10 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and
11 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of
12 "unknown" cities and are unincorporated business entities with his principle place of business
13 Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law
14 enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

15
16 Randy Rich, Individually in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County
17 is a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated
18 business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County.,
Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the
United States Judiciary Branch(Georgia).

19
20
21
22 Lucas O. Harsh, is an attorney, a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown"
23 city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville,
GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government criminal defense
attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA.

24
25
26
27 Rosanna Szabo , is an solicitor and/or counselor, citizen of the United States, and resident of an
"unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with her principle place of business

1 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government
2 prosecutor and/or attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA. Eric Holder Jr./ Eric
Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.
3

4 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and
5 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and resident of
6 "unknown" cities and is are unincorporated business entity with their principle place of
7 business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local
8 Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
9 Obama.
10

11 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police Officer in his/her
12 current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police is a citizen of the United States, and resident of
13 an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business
14 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local
Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
Obama.
15

16
17 Officer Caldwell, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer
18 of the Gwinnett County, GA is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city
19 and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA,
20 Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement
under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.
21

22
23 Defendants JOHN DOE 16, Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or
24 Sheriff's Department, is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is
25 an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA,
Gwinnett County. Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement
under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.
26

1
2
3 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
4 Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities are
5 citizens of the United States, and residents of an "unknown" cities and is an unincorporated
6 business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County,
7 Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction
8 of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Plaintiffs allege that at all times material herein, the activities, conduct, and/or omissions
16 committed and/or engaged in by the defendants herein give rise to this action being instituted
17 within this federal district court inasmuch as plaintiffs are citizens and residents, and maintain
18 their principal place of business within, County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in
19 the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the
20 County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County
21 of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan;
22
23

24 that each jointly and/or severally are engaged in activities and conduct that affect federal
25 interstate and/or foreign commerce, and hold legal, equitable, and/or beneficial interests in
26 property and each is a "person," as that term is defined pursuant to Section 1961(3) of the
27 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"].
28

29 Plaintiffs allege that each and every RICO person that is specifically identified and named as a
30 RICO defendant is liable as a principal pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §§ 2(a)-(b) and
31 that each and every RICO person that is a RICO defendant is liable as a co-conspirator pursuant
32 to Title 18 United States Code § 371;
33
34

35 and the events that give rise to the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt
36 Organizations Act of 1970 "RICO" Title 18 United States Code § 1961 action are
37 predicated under the RICO co-conspiracy theory of venue and under the RICO
38 coconspiracy theory of personal jurisdiction, by and through employment of
39 federal instrumentalities of federal interstate commerce, including the federal
40

1 mails, federal wires, and traveling in connection with the commission of
 2 racketeering activity across federal interstate and/or international boundaries
 3 and/or lines. The Plaintiffs also allege that the events that give rise to causes of
 actions by and through their employment under the color of Federal and State law.

6 Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants, each of whom are engaged in principal
 7 business activities within the County of the District of Columbia, Washington
 8 D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State
 9 of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland,
 10 State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the
 11 County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan engaged in continuous, concerted, and
 12 systematic activities with plaintiffs within these districts, resulting in injury to their
 respective business or property, pursuant to RICO Title 18 United States Code §
 1964(C).

13

14 **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL**
 15 **AND TO BRING CRIMINAL CHARGES**

16 **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND TO BRING**
 17 **CRIMINAL CHARGES**

18 . 8. The Plaintiffs "private citizens" move this Federal Court on behalf of the United
 19 States of America *ex relatione* as an "private attorney general" to vindicate a policy that
 20 Congress considers to be of the highest priority and for injunctive relief. A private Citizen may
 21 move a federal court and has the standing to sue as on behalf of the United States
 22 Government(with and/or without the Federal Government). The Plaintiff Federal Witness and
 23 Victim of Crime Criminal of the US Government, (RICO) activities" bring this lawsuit to
 24 enforce a public right and/or to protect the public's interest. The U.S. Congress Senate
 25 Committee on the Judiciary wanted to level the playing field so that private citizens could serve
 26 as "private attorneys general" to enforce the civil rights laws and turn RICO "victims" into
 27 Prosecutors, dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity. The United States of America *ex*
 28 *relatione* Sharon Bridgewater also bring "criminal" charges against the above named
 Defendants.

1 **III. Civil actions, Request for an Special Prosecutor and/or Attorney and for**
 2 **injunctive relief as provided by the Private Attorney General Statue**

3 The Plaintiffs commences this action without paying a filing fee. The United States ex rel
 4 Sharon Bridgewater and/or the Private Attorney General statue provide a private party may
 5 commence an action without payment of fees, costs, or security and/or whenever a person has
 6 engaged or there are reasonable grounds to believe that any person is about to engage in any act
 7 or practice prohibited by RICO statue and/or Federal and/or State law, a civil action for
 8 preventive relief, including an application for a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining
 9 order, or other orders, may be instituted by the person if the person certifies that the case is of
 10 general public importance. In addition, the statue provide that upon application by the
 11 complainant and in such circumstances as the court may deem just, the court may appoint an
 12 attorney for such complainant. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater certify that this
 13 case is of Public importance. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater request an Attorney,
 14 Special Prosecutor and injunctive relief against the above named individuals. Further the
 15 Plaintiff has paid a total of \$1980.00, impersonation and obstruction of the Fedeal Judges listed
 16 on this complaint are among the "probable cause." If this honorable court rules that Plaintiff can
 17 not commence this action without paying the filing fee. The Plaintiffs will pay the filing fee.
 18 **THE PLAINTIFF REQUEST THIS HONORABLE COURT NOT TO DISMISS THIS**
 19 **COMPLAINT.**

20 **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

21 **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

22 9. This case stems from a "seven year" and contining conspiracy of the above named
 23 Defendants. The Defendants defrauded the Plainitff out of money and/or property. The
 24 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned exercised her United States Constitutional rights. All
 25 Defendants used threat, coercion or force, threats of criminal prosecution, retaliated against the
 26 Plaintiffs a "whistleblower" and victim of crime of US Government RICO activities.

27 10. Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were competitors the Plaintiffs owed, operated Real
 28 Estate companies. The Plainitffs lost their ability to earn a living due acts or omission of the
 29 Defendants, abuse of US Government Power, usurpation of the Plaintiffs business(es), and
 30 conspiracies to restrain commerce, which violates both federal and state antitrust and anti-
 31 competition laws. The Defendants must be restrained and enjoined, there are no other adequate
 32 remedies at law.

33 11. The Specialty Investment Group LLC dissolved on Sept. 6, 2010 upon information
 34 and belief "ordered" by Obama and/or Holder.

35 .2.

36 **SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL**

1
2 12. The objective of the racketeering *enterprise* has been to Retailate against the
3 Complainant a "WHISTLEBLOWER," a Federal Witness and Victim of Crime, fasley
4 imprision the complainant without due process of law, OPPRESS, restrain commerce, and
5 defraud the Plaintiffs out of money and property and halt the ability of the Complainant to
6 report such crimes.
7

6 **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**
7 **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

8 Pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations, 28 C.F.R. § 0.55, provides, in relevant part, as
9 follows: That a RICO civil or criminal lawsuit shall be conducted, handled or supervised by, the
10 Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division. Civil or criminal forfeiture or civil penalty
11 actions (including petitions for remission or mitigation of forfeiture and civil penalties, offers in
12 compromise, and related proceedings under the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970[i.e.,
13 RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 et. seq. provides that: (1) No civil RICO complaint shall be filed, and
14 no RICO investigative demand shall be issued, without the prior approval of OCRS, (2) No civil
15 RICO complaint shall be settled or dismissed, in whole or in part, without prior approval of
16 OCRS. (3) No remedy in any civil RICO lawsuit brought by the United States shall be sought
17 without prior approval by OCRS. In this case the lawsuit is brought against Obama and/or
18 Holder et al and those operating under the direction of Holder, therefore this process is waived.
19 In reading this complaint keep in mind these four RICO enterprises with the same objective.
20

21
22 There are three separate Rico(Georgia and/or California) enterprises, and the fourth, the Federal
23 Employeeess, Obama and/or Holder et al adopted the acts of the State Employees. In reading this
24 complaint, keep in mind the conspiracies overlap. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater
25 may prosecute different RICO enterprises as one Enterprize if the Defendants have the same
26 objective. In this case all Defendants had the same objective to "RETAILATE AGAINST A
27 WHISTLEBLOWER" AND VICTIM OF CRIME OF US GOVERNMENT RICO
28 ACTIVITIES.

22
23 This complaint is "incomplete" and the Plaintiff have other causes of action which are not
24 included in this complaint includes: ILLEGAL MONOPOLIZATION, ILLEGAL
25 RESTRAINTS IN COMMERCE, CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN COMMERCE,
26 MALICIOUS PROSECUTION(42 USC section 1983/1985(3)BIVENS VS. SIX FBI
27 AGENTS(RETAILATORY PROSECUTION), Abuse of Process, 42 USC section 1986,
28 Excessive Force, Invasion of Privacy, Conversion, Retailatory Housing Discrimination, Tortious
Interference with Prosepective Advantage, False Arrest, Tortious Interference with right to quiet
enjoyment, false imprisonment, libel, defamation of character, unfair business practices, unfair
competition, violation of the Americans with disabilities act, negligent inflict of emotional
- 2 -

1 distress, intention infliction of emotional distress, tortuous interference with US Government
 2 Contract and/or other causes of action.

3
 4 Due to the emergency nature of this complaint, the Plaintiff "and the statue of limitations" to
 5 reinstate the Plaintiffs businesses(Specialty Investment Group LLC, and/or Specialty Global
 6 Investments INC.) which is today Sept. 6, 2012, the Plaintiffs are unable to finish this complaint.
 7 The Plaintiffs request this court to allow Plaintiff to amend complaint, to add over causes of
 8 actions, other defendants, and/or does 18-1000 defendants. The complaintat files this complaint
 9 on ex parte emergency temporary restraining re order preliminary injunction without notice to the
 10 Defendants, as the Defendants "must be restrained and enjoined the Plaintiffs have no other
 11 adequate remedies at law.

12
 13 This complaint is incomplete, and Plaintiff request this honorable court not to dismiss the
 14 complaint for discrepencies.

15 The application of heighten rule pleading requires of fraud with particularlity judgment of
 16 dismissal is warranted only if it appear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is not entitled to relief
 17 under any state of facts which could be proven in support of the claim.

18 Plaintiffs are "victims of crime(violence and cruel and unusal punishment) and without probable
 19 cause at the hands of the Defendants. The Plaintiffs is suffering from severe emotional distress,
 20 and/or extreme emotional distress, mental anguish at the hands of the Defendants. Their may be
 21 a lot of grammatical error, long sentences, etc, and this is only due to the inability to
 22 concencentate on this complaint due to the violence against the Plaintiffs of the above named
 23 individual. This complaint my fail to state a claim however the Plaintiffs are entitled to an first
 24 and/or second amended complaint. The Supreme Court states that RICO complaint must not
 25 be dismissed for discrepencies. In order to survive a motion to dismiss" under Rule 12(b)(6) . A
 26 Plaintiffs need only 'adduce a set of facts' supporting their legal claims Wells v. United States,
 27 851 F.2d 1471, 1473 (D.C. Cir.1988) and/or all that is required is that the complaint "provides
 28 enough factual information to make clear the substance of that claim." Caribbean Broad. Sys.,
 148 F.3d at 1086. A court may excuse a Plaintiff pleadings if they have suffered from criminal
 acts at the hands of Defendants. The applications of heighten pleadings Rule 12(b)(6) in
 circumstances should not be dismissed if if the complaint or affidavint appear to a certainty that
 the Plaintiff is entitled to relief. A Pro se litigant should not to be held to the same high
 standards of perfection as practicing lawyers. (Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914
 F2d 1459 (11th Cir1990); See Hulsey v. Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re:
 HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th Cir. 1991).

29
 30
 31
 32
 33
MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATED CASE

34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000
 1001
 1002
 1003
 1004
 1005
 1006
 1007
 1008
 1009
 10010
 10011
 10012
 10013
 10014
 10015
 10016
 10017
 10018
 10019
 10020
 10021
 10022
 10023
 10024
 10025
 10026
 10027
 10028
 10029
 10030
 10031
 10032
 10033
 10034
 10035
 10036
 10037
 10038
 10039
 10040
 10041
 10042
 10043
 10044
 10045
 10046
 10047
 10048
 10049
 10050
 10051
 10052
 10053
 10054
 10055
 10056
 10057
 10058
 10059
 10060
 10061
 10062
 10063
 10064
 10065
 10066
 10067
 10068
 10069
 10070
 10071
 10072
 10073
 10074
 10075
 10076
 10077
 10078
 10079
 10080
 10081
 10082
 10083
 10084
 10085
 10086
 10087
 10088
 10089
 10090
 10091
 10092
 10093
 10094
 10095
 10096
 10097
 10098
 10099
 100100
 100101
 100102
 100103
 100104
 100105
 100106
 100107
 100108
 100109
 100110
 100111
 100112
 100113
 100114
 100115
 100116
 100117
 100118
 100119
 100120
 100121
 100122
 100123
 100124
 100125
 100126
 100127
 100128
 100129
 100130
 100131
 100132
 100133
 100134
 100135
 100136
 100137
 100138
 100139
 100140
 100141
 100142
 100143
 100144
 100145
 100146
 100147
 100148
 100149
 100150
 100151
 100152
 100153
 100154
 100155
 100156
 100157
 100158
 100159
 100160
 100161
 100162
 100163
 100164
 100165
 100166
 100167
 100168
 100169
 100170
 100171
 100172
 100173
 100174
 100175
 100176
 100177
 100178
 100179
 100180
 100181
 100182
 100183
 100184
 100185
 100186
 100187
 100188
 100189
 100190
 100191
 100192
 100193
 100194
 100195
 100196
 100197
 100198
 100199
 100200
 100201
 100202
 100203
 100204
 100205
 100206
 100207
 100208
 100209
 100210
 100211
 100212
 100213
 100214
 100215
 100216
 100217
 100218
 100219
 100220
 100221
 100222
 100223
 100224
 100225
 100226
 100227
 100228
 100229
 100230
 100231
 100232
 100233
 100234
 100235
 100236
 100237
 100238
 100239
 100240
 100241
 100242
 100243
 100244
 100245
 100246
 100247
 100248
 100249
 100250
 100251
 100252
 100253
 100254
 100255
 100256
 100257
 100258
 100259
 100260
 100261
 100262
 100263
 100264
 100265
 100266
 100267
 100268
 100269
 100270
 100271
 100272
 100273
 100274
 100275
 100276
 100277
 100278
 100279
 100280
 100281
 100282
 100283
 100284
 100285
 100286
 100287
 100288
 100289
 100290
 100291
 100292
 100293
 100294
 100295
 100296
 100297
 100298
 100299
 100300
 100301
 100302
 100303
 100304
 100305
 100306
 100307
 100308
 100309
 100310
 100311
 100312
 100313
 100314
 100315
 100316
 100317
 100318
 100319
 100320
 100321
 100322
 100323
 100324
 100325
 100326
 100327
 100328
 100329
 100330
 100331
 100332
 100333
 100334
 100335
 100336
 100337
 100338
 100339
 100340
 100341
 100342
 100343
 100344
 100345
 100346
 100347
 100348
 100349
 100350
 100351
 100352
 100353
 100354
 100355
 100356
 100357
 100358
 100359
 100360<br

1 The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater has been denied access to the courts by two or more
 2 of the above named Defendants. The Plaintiffs files this complaint in this court due to the denial
 3 of access to the court by the above named Defendants. The United States ex rel Sharon
 4 Bridgewater request this court to consolidate this Case No. 1:12-cv-1332, currently pending in
 5 the United States Federal District Court of Columbia COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
 6)GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES)HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,)
 7 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES
 8 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, JR..

9
 10 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater moves this court to transfer and/or
 11 consolidate Superior Court Case to the US District Court and/or consolidate with this case, and
 12 relate the case(s) – Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, case # CGC-08-
 13 478207 against the Defendants in 2008, US Federal District Court Cases-Northern District of
 14 California, C10-5436(SBA), C10-703(SBA), C10-3022(CW), C10-4966(MMC) in or consolidate and/or transfer cases the Plaintiffs filed in the
 15 Northern District Court of Georgia. As mentioned in the above the Plaintiffs have paid a total of
 16 \$1980.00 in court filing fee's and the Judges mentioned in the above are among the probable
 17 cause. The Plaintiffs request this court to disqualify and/or resue these Judges from the
 18 Plaintiffs complaint currently pending, and/or close cases and/or consolidate with this case, and
 19 relate the cases to this case.

20
IV. STATUE OF LIMITATIONS

21
IV. STATUE OF LIMITATIONS

22 Several of the Defendant are Georgia Defendants, Georgia State Law provides, O.C.G.A. 9-3-
 23 99"(Georgia State law) the running of the period of limitations with respect to any cause of
 24 action in tort that may be brought by the victim of an alleged crime which arises out of the
 25 facts and circumstances relating to the commission of such alleged crime committed in this
 state shall be tolled from the date of the commission of the alleged crime or the act giving rise
 to such action in tort until the prosecution of such crime or act has become final or otherwise
 terminated, provided that such time does not exceed six (6) years. In respect with the Georgia
 defendants the Statue of limitations is tolled. Moreover, this case involves an on-going and/or
 continuing conspiracy, and/or fraudulent concealment, there fore the Plaintiffs is entitled to
 equitable tolling and/or the tolling of the Statue of limitation.

26
 27
 28 -24-

VI. STATEMENT OF CASE/RICO ENTERPRISE/INTERSTATE NEXUS

VI. STATEMENT OF CASE/ RICO INTERPRIZE/INTERSTATE NEXUS

The Defendants are US Government employees and/or contractor for the Government, acting under the color of Federal or State law. The Defendants acts or omissions and/or commission of multiple crimes, including predicate crimes under the RICO statute Title 18 United States Code § 1961, 1964(a)(b)(c) and (d)] predicated under the RICO (e.g., mail fraud, wire fraud) are numerous RICO violations as defined in 18 USC section 1961. All Defendants both jointly and/or severally came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement and/or secretive agreement to limit open competition of the Plaintiffs, minority business owners and/or minorities and/or to transport the Plaintiffs property valued at over \$5000.00 across state lines and/or weapons of the US Government without Congress approval and/or knowledge, by deceiving, misleading others and/or abusing US Government Power abuse US Supreme Court Justice, Appeals Court Judge, State Court Judges, and defrauding the Plaintiffs and/or others of their legal rights, and/or money and/or property without due process of law. The Defendants knowingly, intentionally associated with the RICO enterprise, participated in a criminal venture, and sought to make the venture succeed. (A governmental agency, court, political office or the like serve as a RICO "enterprise." *United States v. Thompson*, 685 F.2d 993, 999 (6th Cir. 1982)(en banc) *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 1072 (1983). Among the government units that have been held to be "enterprises" are offices of governors and state legislators, courts, court clerks' offices. See e.g., *United States v. Stratton*, 649 F.2d 1066, 1072-75 (5th Cir. 1981); *United States v. Clark*, 656 F.2d 1259, 1261-67 (8th Cir. 1981) Office of county judge); *United States v. Frumento*, 405 F. Supp. 23, 29-30 (E.D. Pa. 1975), *affd*, 563 F.2d 1083 (3d Cir. 1977). *cert. debued*, 434 U.S. 1072 (1978)].

All Defendants, operated under the United States Government, engaged in RICO activity over a *prolonged or indefinite period of time*; sought the use discipline or control, perpetraataed *serious criminal offences*, through the use violence or other intimidation, “AND RETAILATED AGAINST WHISTLEBLOWER OF US GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES” and exerted to influence media, public administration and/or judicial authorities. Obama and/or Holder abuse US Government Power, engaged in corrupt activities, and have treated others

In advancing, furthering, executing, concealing, conducting, participating in, or carrying out the schemes the Defendants specifically use the wires-mails or caused the wires-mails to be used to receive or deliver, *inter alia*, the emails, facsimiles, letters or telecommunications with the Plaintiffs regarding all the events described in this complaint. In addition, for the purpose of executing such scheme, the Defendants placed or caused to be placed in a post office, or authorized depository for mail, matter that furthered the scheme to defraud; and each Defendant committed mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1341. In addition and, for the purpose of executing such scheme, the Defendants transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce matter that furthered the

1 scheme to defraud (including but not limited to the communications described in this complaint);
2 each Defendant committed wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1343, each time it used or
3 caused interstate wires to be used to distribute the materials described in this complaint.

4 The Defendants committed perjury, Constitutional Violations, Fraud, and Conspiracy to defraud,
5 tampering with evidence, Lying in official court documents, Falsifying court records, frabucation
6 of evidence, Violations of Oaths of Office, converson, filing false criminal charges against
7 Plaintiff(Sharon Bridgewater or Specialty Investment Group LLC)or causing this to be done in
8 secret illegal surveillance of Plaintiff or her family members, stalking, harrasement, invasion of
9 the Plaintiff privacy etc. caused the Plaintiffs to be prosecuted without probable cause, and just
10 for the Plaintiff exercising their legal rights, and other grave felonies. Two or more of the
11 Defendants Defrauded the US Govenrment out of HUD funds, The Plaintiffs were the original
12 source and Obama and/or Holder, Defrauded the Plaintiff out of False Claims recoveries and/or
13 money and/or property. All the Defendants actions as defined in 18 U.S.C. section 1961,
14 extends over the course of seven years, their were numerous other victims that they have treated
15 the same way as the Plaintiffs. The Defendants actions are continuing and were not isolated
16 incidents and which qualifies as "pattern and practices." The Defendants threaten to continue
17 this behavior and must be restrained and enjoined.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

18 All Defendants are US Government private individuals employees and/or
19 providing private services for the US Government.

20 Gwinnett County is a County in the State of Georgia located in the city of Lawrenceville.
21 Georgia. Gwinnett County Superior Court and the Gwinnett County Detention Center and/or
22 Professional Probation services is Private Jail facility and/or Private Probation service.
23 Gwinnett County contract with the US Federal Government and provide private services for the
24 US Government.(Rico enterprise 1)

25 Many people "label" Lawrenceville, Georgia as the home of the "white supremesist Group."
26 Plaintiffs allege that two or more of the above Defendants control and/or invest in maintain,
27 Gwinnett County Detention Center and/or Professional Probation Services(Private individual
28 providing US Government Services).

-2-

1 The Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were minority owned businesses. The
2 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned conducted instate commerce via Real Estate across
3 America, and/of in the State of Georgia and/or California.

5 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned conducted business out of two office suite in the
6 city of Lawrenceville, GA. Gwinnett County.

7
8
9 Plaintiffs allege, on numerous occasions, while conducting instate commerce
10 were discriminated against due to race, class or ethnicity and“stopped” by
11 Gwinnett County Police Officers and while conducting business in the State of
12 Georgia. One of the traffic stops resulted in unlawful conviction of reckless
13 driving and driving with no proof of insurance due to threat, coercion, force and/or
14 intimidation, and the violation of the Plaintiffs US Constitutional rights and which
resulted in peonage or slavery of Plaintiffs.

15
16 Dekalb County (Rico enterprise 2)the neighboring County of Gwinnett. Dekalb County also use
17 illegal unlawful policies and procedure or custom, make unconstitutional traffic stops, and steal
18 and Rob citizens on a daily basis.

19 Dekalb County policies and procedures are that of the same as Gwinnett County. Plaintiff allege
20 that Dekalb County made an unconstitutional traffic stop which resulted in the theft of the
21 Plaintiffs business or personal property. Plaintiff allege that many US citizens and/or
22 minorities are victims of illegally and unlawfully traffic stops, resulting in illegal traffic
23 citations, arrest warrants, and/or convictions, without due process of law. Plaintiffs allege that
24 the illegal policies and procedure of Gwinnett and/or Dekalb County of unconstitutional traffic
stops defrauded many Citizens(minorities) out of money or property without due process of law.
Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County and/or fulton county, GA account for the majority of
population in Georgia.

25 Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County policies and procedures are unlawful and
26 unconstitutional and many minorities are victims suffered damage at the hands of the RICO
27 enerprise or Gwinnett County, and/or Dekalb County.

28 -71-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ETAL

1
2 The probation rate in Georgia is the same rate as their neighboring state Florida, which has a
3 population of 19,057,542, and nearly 10 million more people in the state of Georgia. Plaintiffs
allege that many minorities are victims of the Defendants unlawful activities.

5 Plaintiff allege and/or upon information and belief Dekalb County and/or Gwinnett County use
6 of threat, coercion and/or force and intimidation and/or force of unconstitutional traffic stops,
7 racial profiling of minorities and/or the issuance of traffic citations and/or subjected minorities to
peonage and slavery through threats, coercion, intimidation or force are illegal and unlawful.

9 Plaintiffs allege and/or upon information and belief Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County
10 control and/or invest in maintain, an illegal RICO enterprise using these illegal patterns or
practices and/or policies and procedures.

11 Plaintiffs was damaged by the Defendants actions, and/or were forced to flee the state of
12 Georgia due to peonage or slavery, and do the Defendant illegal RICO Activities. And/or
prevented from conducting business in the State of Georgia .

14 At all times mentioned, while conducting business in Georgia, the Plaintiffs were tenants of a
15 Public Housing facility located in San Francisco, CA. Plaintiffs at all times mentioned had a
16 legally binding lease agreement for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA

18 HVLP(RICO #3) all times relevant to the allegations herein, were a US
19 Government/Public Private Partnership and/or Anti-Trust" and/or monopoly. HVLP
20 Private Contractors are Real Estate Developers and were granted exclusive control by
the United States Department of HUD, to own, operate and control public housing
facilities in San Francisco and across America.

22 At all times mentioned was a participant of the HUD section 8 program, and a participant of the HUD Self-Sufficiency Program, which is part of the section 8 program (a HUD
23 program that is run by public housing agencies (PHAs) and/or local housing authority agencies.
24 It is a program that encourages to start business, etc. Shawn Dovahavan the Director of the
United States Housing and Urban Development in Washington, allocates funds to States and local
25 housing authorities. The HUD Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937 , 42 U.S.C. § 1437f,
authorizes the payment of rental housing assistance to private landlords. It provides assistance
26 on behalf of approximately 3.1 million low-income households. The Housing Choice Voucher
program, pays a large portion of the rents and utilities of about 2.1 million households. The
27 Housing Choice Voucher Program provides "tenant-based" rental assistance, so an assisted
28

- 26

1 tenant can move with assistance from one unit of at least minimum housing quality to another
 2 unit. It also allows individuals to apply their monthly voucher towards the purchase of a home,
 3 with over \$17 billion going towards such purchases each year. The maximum allowed voucher
 is \$2200 a month. Section 8 authorizes a variety of "project-based" rental assistance programs.

5 The Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were competitors of HVLP in Real Estate and engaged in
 6 fair and open, honest competition and/or were competitor of the
 7 HVLP(Public/Private Partnership).

9 At all times relevant herein, the Federal Public Housing Tenants across America and/or
 10 at HVLP are almost exclusively African-Americans, Hispanics, Asians, other people of
 11 color, elderly, or disabled, individuals receiving state and federal financial assistance,
 12 and/or families with children, who were and are individuals protected under anti-
 13 discrimination laws, hereinafter defined as "protected class."

14 At all times relevant to the allegations herein, Shawn Dovanhan administered HUD
 15 Grants to the San Francisco Housing authority and/or other State or local housing
 16 authorities across America, and HVLP did receive federal rent subsidies under the
 17 Section 8 program for thousands of tenants across America, Federal HUD grants and
 18 money from HUD to manage the Public Housing Complexes and/or HVLP across
 19 America and/or to the San Francisco Housing Authority, a Public Housing
 20 Agency("PHA ") and/or other public housing authorities, across America.

21 In 1992, Congress created a new program to improve the nation's most severely distressed public
 22 housing. The program, known as HOPE VI, for Homeownership and Opportunity for People
 23 Everywhere, encourages local public housing authorities (PHAs) to use a comprehensive
 24 approach that combines physical redevelopment of housing units with provision of supportive
 25 services for residents.

26 Beginning in 1995, these HOPE VI "revitalization plans" began to be undertaken through
 27 public/private partnerships involving PHAs and the income-eligible residents they serve, local
 28 governments and social service providers, institutions, and the private sector, using federal funds
 as the catalyst for leveraging private investment.

1
2
3 Since 1993, Congress has appropriated more than \$4.05 billion for the HOPE VI program, not
4 including appropriations for FY 2002 (October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2002), the last
5 year of congressional authorization for the program. Including the revitalization grant awards
6 announced in August 2000, the HOPE VI program has funded 90 PHAs for a total of 148
7 revitalization grants in 34 states. HUD estimates that HOPE VI awards for 1993 to 2000 will
8 result in demolition of almost 70,000 severely distressed public housing units and in production
9 of more than 71,000 revitalized dwellings, including affordable, moderate-income, and market-
10 rate units financed through HUD's mixedfinance development approach, which has become
11 integral to the HOPE VI approach to leveraging federal funds.
12

13
14 The key innovation of the HOPE VI program is the incentive it created for public housing
15 authorities to involve private developers in the creation of these new mixed-income
16 neighborhoods. HOPE VI and HUD's other mixed-finance development programs allow public
17 housing funds to be combined with other public and private development funds to attract greater
18 resources to projects.

19 HOPE VI revitalization projects in 48 different cities. These firms vary in size, specialization,
20 and experience and include some of the nation's foremost developers. Some develop a wide
21 range of types of projects in cities across the nation. Others specialize in housing development.
22 Many are mission-driven development organizations with a commitment to affordable housing
23 and community development. Even with the success of the mixed-finance, mixedincome
24 developments and the accomplished pool of private sector developers such programs have
25 attracted, HUD is interested in broadening the number and type of private developers involved.

26 **HVLP, Upon information and belief received:**

27
28 **PUBLIC HOUSING DEMOLITION, SITE REVITALIZATION, AND
REPLACEMENT HOUSING GRANTS
(HOPE VI)
FY 1997 REVITALIZATION GRANT AGREEMENT**

29 20 billion of HOPE VI grant funds HOPE VI grants to public housing and federal government
30 program outlays but, mostly, of funds from private, state and local government sources—
31 including, for example, program funds from Community Development Block Grants and the
32 HOME Investment Partnership, and the equity value provided by Low Income Housing Tax
33 Credits, these funds are _____ awarded Funds to the San Francisco Public Housing Authority a total of
34 -30-

HVLP at all times mentioned entered into an agreement as follows **REGULATORY AND OPERATING AGREEMENT [FOR ALL PUBLIC HOUSING], PROMISED, AND/OR CERTIFIED THAT THEY WERE IN COMPLAINECE WITH FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW, ABIDED BY FAIR HOUSING LAWS, HELP TENANTS TO BECOME INDEPENDENT AND ECONOMICALLY SUFFICIENT, AND PROVIDED SUPPORT SERVICES TO THE TENANTS LIVING THE PUBLIC HOUSING FACILITY OR MINORITY BUSINESS OWNERS.** HVLP, AT ALL TIMES MENTIONED, USE THREAT, COERCION, FORCE, VIOLATED MINORITY BUSINESSES AND/OR THE TENANTS CIVIL RIGHTS TOOK AND STOLE FROM MINORITY BUSINESSES AND/OR PROTECTED CLASS TENANTS, AND DEFRAUDED TENANTS OUT OF MONEY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, RESTRAINED COMMERCE, AND/OR MONOPOLIZED THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY. HVLP KNOWING, **Falsely Certified that they were in Compliance to the Government**, and knowingly caused the US Federal Government and knowingly presented, or caused to be presented, to one or more officers, employees or agents of the United States of America, a false and fraudulent claim for payment or approval, in contravention of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(3) and/or the Defendants knowingly made, used or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the United States of America, in contravention of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(2) and/or conspired to Commit violations and caused to the damage of the treasury of the United States of America, by in the amount in excess of \$100,000,000.00(One Hundred Billion Dollars).

The Plaintiff the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned original source, and was directly damages by the overt acts, and filed, caused to be delivered to Obama and/or Eric Holder Jr. two or more disclosure statement and/or a Federal False Claims complaint. Two or more disclosure statement and/or a Federal False Claims complaint. The plaintiff filed her complaint against the defendants in 2008, and before Obama was elected in Office.

Plaintiffs that Obama all et al retaliated against the Plaintiffs "minority" business owners, and/or protected class tenants, Federal Witnesses and Victim of Crime of US Government RICO activities, upsurated the Plaintiffs businesses, acted in joint participation with Federal and/or State Judges and others, "ordered" the Georgia and/or the California Corporations department to either dissolve or suspend the Plaintiffs companies, oppressed, used threat, coercion, violence, subjected the Plaintiffs to cruel and usual punishment, criminal prosecuted Plaintiffs without probable cause and defrauded Plaintiffs out of HUD recoveries and/or money or property and "attempted" to halt the Plaintiffs ability to report the RICO crimes, upsurded Plaintiff companies, defrauded the Plaintiffs out of money or property . Plaintiff allege and upon information and belief Obama has use this same technique of other federal Witness and/or Whistleblowers.

1 Congress currently has a civil lawsuit filed against Holder for the documents in the fast and
 2 furious investigations.

3
 4
 5 As a result of Defendants malicious wrongful conduct Defendants also Plaintiffs have been
 6 oppressed, criminal prosecuted without probable cause for exercising US Constitutional legal
 7 rights, and/or restrained from commerce.

8
 9 **VII. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with
 and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General”**

10
 11 **VII. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or
 without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General”**

12
 13
 14 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon
 15 Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General” and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty
 16 Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a
 17 dissolved Neveda Corporation), says makes the following affirmation under the penalties of
 18 perjury and that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru present the Defendants upon information and
 19 belief, two or more of the predicate acts as itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes,
 20 did take place in the County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of
 21 Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton,
 22 State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County of San Francisco,
 23 State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan and “unknown” cities or
 24 towns in the State of Georgia, State of California, State of California, in the District of
 25 Columbia, within the preceding five years of this complaint among the Defendants as listed on
 26 this complaint jointly or severally.

27
 28 **AND COMES NOW, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**(with and/or without the United
 States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater,“Private Attorney General” and/or individually and on behalf
 of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global
 Investments Inc. a dissolved Neveda Corporation), a Federal Witness and a Victim of Crime by
 the above name defendants complains, alleges and appearing before a Judge of the United States,
 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report these crimes to the United States Congress, The United States
 House of Representatives, The United States Senate, and formally charges Barak H. Obama, In
 his official personal capacity as Chief Executive Officer of the United States of America, Eric
 Holder Jr., In his official personal capacity as the United States Attorney General, Defendants

1 JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S.
 2 government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants
 3 JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official
 4 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant
 5 United States Attorney General(s) in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants
 6 JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are sued in
 7 their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown
 8 employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are sued in their official personal capacity
 9 and/or "former "capacities, Saundra Brown Armstrong,Is sued in her official personal capacity
 10 As United States Northern District Court, Judge of California Claudia Wilkins in her official
 11 personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Judge of California Defendants
 12 JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and
 13 Division in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities Orinda D. Evans, Is sued
 14 in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Judge of Georgia Allen
 15 Baverman, in his official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Magistrate
 16 Judge, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of
 17 Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Shawn
 18 Donavan, in his official personal capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and
 19 Urban Development, Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing
 20 Authority He or she is sued in her/his official or former personal capacity as Director of the
 21 San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San
 22 Francisco Housing Authority in their official personal capacity or former capacities Hayes
 23 Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan
 24 Management Services Inc. MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of
 25 California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn
 26 Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her official
 27 capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County, Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William
 Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority,
 in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda
 County Housing Authority Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda
 County Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or "former "capacities, Defendants
 JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual
 and official and/or "former "capacity Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of
 DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official "former "capacity as Chief of Police
 of Dekalb County, Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, in her official and/or "former" capacity as
 arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department,
 Officer Franklin in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
 Police Officer, Detective George in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the
 DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton in his/her official and/or "former"
 capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13
 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former"
 capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of
 Gwinnett County,Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or
 "former" capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the

28 -73.

1 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
2 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities,
3 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in
his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in her
official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or
Sheriff Department Defendants, JOHN DOE 16 unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett
County, GA Police or Sheriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former"
capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities and Does
John Does 18 thru 1000 inclusive
7 certifies that the case is of general public importance.

8
9 jointly and/or severally with;

10
11 (1) knowingly and willfully falsified, concealed or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a
material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or
makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false,
fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001
13

14
15 (2) Knowingly and willfully while under a lawfully administered oath or affirmation, testified
16 falsely or made a deposition or statement, in a judicial, legislative or administrative
proceeding under oath in a court of law, in violation of Federal law.
17

18
19 (3) knowingly and willfully committed an act under the color of law to deprive, and/or violate
the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 242
20 (multiple counts)
21

22
23 (4) knowingly and willfully conspiring with each other to deprive, and/or violate the
Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, and/or other tenant, and civil rights in
24 violation of 18 U.S.C. section 241 (multiple counts)
25

26
27 (5) knowingly and willfully falsely imprisoned the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in
violation ofction 18 U.S.C. section 1495 (unjust correction and imprisonment).
28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (6) knowingly and willfully schemed, planned, abused the legal process, obtained labor from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and caused the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to believe that if she did not perform labor that the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater would suffer physical restraint in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1589.
- (7) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally holds the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater by an arrest warrant with the intent of the Complainant to return the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to a condition of peonage, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1581 Peonage
- (8) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally racketeering across state lines, in violation of the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act("RICO") at 18 U.S.C. 1962.
- (9) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally retaliating against federal witness, victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1513.
- (10) Knowingly and willfully devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, by transmitting and causing to be transmitted by means of wire, radio or television communications in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1343.
- (11) misrepresented the United States of America in violation of 28 U.S.C. 530B
- (12) The Defendants individually and/or severally and/or jointly, knowingly and willfully threaten and used physical force against the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater with intent, and influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in an official proceeding; and/or caused the complainant Sharon Bridgewater to withhold

25
26
27
28
-25-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

testimony, or record, or documents, from an official proceeding with the intent and impaired the availability of the object for use in an official proceeding **or** influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of the Complainant in an official proceeding; or caused or induced the Complainant to withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or altered, destroyed, mutilated, or concealed an object with intent to impair the integrity or availability of the object for use in an official proceeding; or evaded legal process summoning to the Complainant to appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official proceeding; or caused the Complainant to be absent from an official proceeding to which that person has been summoned by legal process; or hindered, delayed, or prevented the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense in violation of 18 USC section 1512 and/or;

- (13) Knowingly and willfully, placed in a post office, or in an authorized depository for mail, matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service for the purposes of executing a scheme and/or artifice to defraud the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and of obtaining money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, after having devised or intended to devise said scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1341.
- (14) Knowingly and willfully conspiring to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d)
- (15) knowingly, and willfully, and by threats or force, or by threatening letter or communication, influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence, obstructed, or impeded, the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1503;
- (16) knowingly and willfully by threats or force, willfully prevented, obstructed, impeded, or interfered with, or willfully attempted to prevent, obstructed, impeded, or interfered with, the due exercise of rights or the performance of duties under any order, judgment, or decree of a court of the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C section 1509;

1 (17) knowingly and willfully conspired. In addition to the "wrongful use of actual or
2 threatened force, violence, or fear," the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) defines extortion
3 in terms of "the obtaining of property from another, with the Complainant consent
4 under color of official right and interfered with commerce by threats or violence
5 obstructed, delayed, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity
6 in commerce, by robbery and/or physical violence to the Plaintiff and/or property in and
7 did overt acts in furtherance of a conspiracy in violation of 18 USC section 1951.

8

9 (18) Knowingly, willingly transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign
10 commerce, goods, wares, merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000
11 or more, knowing it to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly,
12 devised or intended to devise a scheme to artifice defraud, or obtain money or property
13 by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transported or
14 causes to be transported, or induced a one or more of the above name Defendants
15 person to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the
16 execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud that person or those persons
17 of money or property having a value of \$5,000 or more in violation of 18 USC section
18 2314.

19

20 (19) Theft Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds 18 U.S.C. section 666

21

22 (20) Deprivation of Right to Honest Services 18 U.S.C. section 1346

23

24 (21) Conspiracy to Defraud the U.S. Treasury or Government Federal False Claims trick,
25 USC section 371

26

27 (22) Theft

28

29 (23) Perjury

30

31 (24) Fraud

32

33 (25) Concealment

34

35 (26) Violation of Oath of Office/Corruption

36

37 (27) Misprision of felony.

1 (28) Criminal Conspiracy

2 (29) Robbery

3 (30) Treason

4 (31) Fraud on the Court

5 (32) Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge in Federal and/or State law.

6

7

8

9

10 **AFFIDIVANT AND/OR AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE CAUSE IN SUPPORT**

11 **OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER -**

12 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND**

13 **PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF**

14 **RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT SATIFITORY PERFORMANCE BOND**

15 AFFIDIVANT AND/OR AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND/OR ALLEGATIONS

16 AND/OR COMPLAINT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY

17 RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

18 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF

19 RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT SATIFITORY PERFORMANCE BOND

20 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon

21 Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty

22 Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company), being duly sworn, says:

23 I am Sharon Bridgewater is a a Federal Witness and Victim of Crime and the "original source"

24 of the Federal False Claims knowledge of the above named Defendants:

25 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater and/or individually and/or on behalf of

26 the Specialty Investment Group LLC) a dissolved GA Company, advance, articulate, assert,

27 contend, and complain, by and through this *original* Affidavit and/or *Complaint*, advancing

28 multiple monetary claims for relief, and declaratory claims for relief, and injunctive relief as

specifically articulated and expressly identified herein below: 1. federal Racketeer Influenced

and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"] [Title 18 United States Code §§ 1961, 1962(a),

1964(a), 1964(b), and 1964(c), and federal Declaratory Judgment Act of 1946 for entry of

appropriate and necessary federal declaratory judgment relief under Title 28 United States Code

1 §§ 2201-2202, federal supplemental claims under Michigan law, and/or California law for
 2 monetary relief and equitable relief pursuant to Title 28 United States Code §§1367(a)-(b)against
 3 **defendants**, Obama and/or Eric Holder Jr., In his official personal capacity as the United States
 4 Attorney General, Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and
 5 other agencies of the U.S. government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former
 6 "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of
 7 Investigation(FBI)in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants
 8 JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their official personal
 9 capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney
 10 (ies) General(s) and they are sued in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities.
 11 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are
 12 sued in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Saundra Brown Armstrong, Is
 13 sued in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court, Judge of
 14 California Claudia Wilkins in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District
 15 Court Judge of California Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern
 16 District of California, Oakland, and Division in their official personal capacity and/or "former
 17 "capacities Orinda D. Evans, Is sued in her official personal capacity As United States Northern
 18 District Court Judge of Georgia Allen Baverman, in his official personal capacity As United
 19 States Northern District Court Magistrate Judge, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees
 20 of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal
 21 capacity and/or "former "capacities, Shawn Donavan, in his official personal capacity
 22 As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development, Defendants JOHN DOE
 23 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority He or she is sued in her/his official
 24 or former personal capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants
 25 JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San Francisco Housing Authority in their official
 26 personal capacity or former capacities Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley
 27 Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. MBA Urban
 28 Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing
 Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John,
 LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
 Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the
 Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity
 As the Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown
 employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or
 "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County,
 Georgia in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity Defendants Terrell Bolton
 "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official "former
 "capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County, Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, in her official
 and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department,
 Officer Franklin in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
 Police Officer, Detective George in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the
 DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton in his/her official and/or "former"
 capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13
 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former"

1 capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of
 2 Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or
 3 "former" capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the
 4 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
 5 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities,
 6 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in
 7 his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in her
 8 official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or
 9 Sheriff Department Defendants, JOHN DOE 16 unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett
 County, GA Police or Sheriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former"
 capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
 Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities and Does
 John Does 18 thru 1000 inclusive and each and everyone of them, and INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF from OBAMA as follows:

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18 **III.**

19 ***MULTI COMPLEX RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD***
 20 ***[TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)] re: DESTRUCTION and INJURY TO BUSINESS***
 21 ***AND PROPERTY and OBTAINING MONEY OR PROPERTY BY***
 22 ***AND THROUGH FALSE PRETENSE, FRAUD, THEFT, and CONVERSION,***

23
 24 **RICO ENTERPRISE #1**
 25
 26
 27
 28

40

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 On or about Sept. 2004, while visiting a friend(an upsale neighborhood)in Gwinnett County my
3 car rental car was parked in the driveway. The rental car and "an 2004 Chevy Malibu," and had
Michigan Drivers Plates.

5 An "unknown" Gwinnett County Police Officer or Sheriff, "allegedly" checked the Plates on
6 the rental car, asked questions about the car, and subsequently told me the rental car I had in my
possession was reported stolen.

7 I then responded, and told the Officer that there had to be a mistake. I then told him my
8 automobile(s) had full coverage, and my insurance company was suppose cover my rental car
9 fee's. (At the time I owned a 1999 Madza 626 and a 1998 Ford Ranger Truck(see exh.).

10
11
12
13 Despite the explaination, the Gwinnett County Officer, arrested me, charged with theft by
14 receiving a stolen vehical, and detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center and my
15 bond was \$2,500.00. I then paid the bond and was release from jail. The arrest caused horrific
psychological damages, as mentioned in the above _____.

16
17 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint an Defense Attorney for me in the criminal case.

18
19 The State then appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense Counsel.

20
21 I met with Lucas Harsh. He then questioned me about my life. I told him I had just relocated
22 from Michigan and had just started a Real Estate Company. Lucas Harsh then asked me for
23 money. I told him I did not have any money, due to the recent moving cost, and the start of my
business the Specialty Investment Group LLC.

24
25 Later, maybe a week or so went by, Lucas Harsh called me and told me because I did not have
26 any money, I must plea guilty to theft by receiving a stolen vehical. He then "as defense
27 counsel" pressured me to plea guilty to the of theft by receiving.

I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way that I would plea guilty to a crime I did commit. I then subsequently told Harsh, his acts were that of a Prosecuting Attorney. Lucas Harsh and I could not see "eye-to-eye," we disagreed, and on two occasions argued about my defense in the case. I subsequently told Lucas Harsh, that I would represent myself in the criminal prosecution, and/or hire another attorney for defense counsel. I then "fired" Lucas Harsh, as my Defense Counsel, and told him he should not be a defense attorney for "anyone" he he treated others as he had treated me in the case.

I borrowed money from a friend, hired a different Defense Attorney (not from Gwinnett County) to represent me in the criminal prosecution.

The charge of theft by receiving of stolen vehical charge resulted in a "DISMISSAL."

Upon information and belief Harsh, et al retaliated, conspired with other Gwinnett County Official, to convict the Plaintiffs of a crime without due process of law and subject the complaintant to peonage and slavery.

The reason why I believe this is:

On Nov. 20,2005, I Sharon Bridgewater a African Amerian female "CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" while conducting business in Gwinnett County, the Plaintiff(a Black female driving with the regular flow of traffic and driving "normal" (not engaged in any suspicious activity), and had violated no traffic laws.

.

**RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]
RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS
and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or
FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISIONMENT, Federal Principal and
Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding
and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit
Aiding and Abetting**

1
 2 **The Plaintiff allege that on Nov. 20, 2005, Defendants Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville**
 3 Police Officer acted under the color of Georgia law, employed the federal interstate wires via
 4 police radio originating in the State of Georgia and terminating in the State of Georgia to
 5 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers the individuals came to
 6 the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, knowingly,intentionally and
 7 maliciously, discriminated based on class race, or ethnicity used, threat, coercion and "excessive
 8 force" "stopped" Plaintiffs at "**Gunpoint**" for an alleged "improper lane change.

9
 10
 11 Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14
 12 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers, at all times mentioned had a duty and/or obligation
 13 to follow correct police procedures in the traffic stop.

14
 15
 16 There was no probable cause for Officer Hardin and JOHN DOE unknown "former" or
 17 current Lawrenceville Police Officers, to use excessive force and make a felony traffic stop
 18 for a "alleged" improper lane change. There was no probable cause treat to the
 19 complainant different from other drivers who are "stopped while driving" for an
 20 improper lane change.

21
 22 The Defendants actions constitute a discriminatory traffic stop and based on race, class ethnicity.
 23 The Defendants actions constitue an conspiracy under the act color of Georgia State law, and a
 24 violation of the Plaintiffs 4th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in
 25 the traffic stop. Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or
 26 (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10)
 27 and/or(11)and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16)
 28 and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24)
 29 and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as
 30 mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges(The United States ex rel
 31 Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

32
 33
 34 The Plaintiffs allege that excessive force, threat coercion and force, traffic stop was to subject
 35 the complainant to Slavery and peonage, and/or coerce the complainant to go to the Superior
 36 Court of Gwinnett County Georgia.

37
 38 .
 39 - 43

40 **SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL**

1 Plaintiff allege Officer Hardin and JOHN DOE unknown "former" or current Lawrenceville
2 Police Officers, the Defendants subsequently "falsely arrested the Plaintiff's" without due
3 process of law, illegal issued six traffic citations, illegally detained the Plaintiffs against
4 will, and put restraints on the Plaintiffs and detained the Plaintiffs in the Gwinnett County
5 Detention Center for two days, against my will, through, threat, coercion or force, falsely
6 imprisoned the complainant Sharon Bridgewater without due process of law. (in the
7 Gwinnett County Jail) and did overt acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

8
9
10 The actions of the Defendants damaged the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff had to pay tow bills,
11 had lost of business, suffered extreme mental and emotional distress and/or had other
12 damages..

13
14
15 Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14
16 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers, at all times mentioned knew or should have known
17 to "stop" the Plaintiff while driving, as any other citizen is "stopped" for an improper lane
18 change, and the Defendants breached their duties and/or obligation in traffic stop, and is liable
19 for damages to the Plaintiffs.

20
21
22 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin a "former"
23 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA
24 Police Officers, issued an arrest warrant warrant for the six traffic citations, and did overt
25 acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

26
27
28 The Plaintiffs allege that excessive force, threat coercion and force, traffic stop was to advance
29 the interest in the Gwinnett County Detention Center a "Private Jail Facility" owed, control,
30 managed and operated by two or more of the above Defendants, and/or subject the complainant to
31 Slavery and peonage.

32
33
34 On or about Jan-Dec. 2006 while conducting business, in, I was driving my car, and was
35 "detained/stopped," again by a Does 18-1000, Gwinnett County Police Officer alleged for a tags
36 violation.

44.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Plaintiffs was arrested (for failure to appear for trial for the above six traffic violation of the
 2 Lawrenceville Police Department)and detained for nine days in the Gwinnett County Jail
 3 without any legal representation for failure to appear. Superior Court of the State of
 Georgia, State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon
 Bridgewater.

5 The actions of the Defendants caused the damages, of lost of company vehical, lost of
 business, and damages from extreme mental and emotional distress.
 6

7 I requested a court appointed attorney for defense counsel. The State of Georgia then
 8 appointed Jack Spence.
 9

10 On or about the 9th day, Jack Spence, my court appointed Attorney and Defense counsel came
 11 to the Gwinnett County Detention Center and counseled me.
 12

13 27. Mr. Spence at that time told me it would take at least six to eighth months for
 14 the case to be called for jury trial, and subsequently told me I would get convicted of one or
 15 more of the six crimes. Mr. Spence then subsequently gave the Complainant an ultimatum ,
 to either ;

- 16 1) sit in jail approx. six to eight months and await for a trial of the above
 Charges or ,
- 17 2) Pled guilty to one or more of the charges, State Court Accusation and case #
 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. and be released
 18 from jail immediately.¹

19
 20 I then, pleaded guilty to one or more of the above charges, and was subjected to peonage
 21 and/or slavery and was released from jail.
 22

23 Mr. Spence actions constitute a violation of my 6th amendment US Constitutional right
 24 and/or constitute threat, coercion to subject the Plaintiff to peonage and slavery without due
 process of law.
 25

26
 27 ¹ The Sixth amendment provides for a speedy trial in criminal prosecution.
 28 - 45

1 After my release from Jail I exercised US Constitutional legal right and immediately
 2 withdrew my plea, demanded a jury trial and requested a different Defense court appointed
 3 attorney(see exh.)

4 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**
 5 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**
 6 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**
FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and
 7 **Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**
and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit
Aiding and Abetting

8
 9
 10
 11 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin a "former"
 12 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA
 13 Police Officers, on or about June 30, 2007 and/or July 2, 2007, and/or July 10, 2007, Randy
 14 Rich, Lucas Harsh and Rosanno Szabo adopted the acts of Lawrenceville Police Department.
 15 came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement abused the Superior Court
 of Gwinnett County, conceal material known facts from the complainant. Randy Rich
 appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense counsel for the complainant. Randy Rich then order that the
 complainant were not allowed to file any motions on her own behalf.(see exh.)

16
 17 Randy Rich and/or Lucas Harsh and/or Rosanna Szabo had a legal obligation and/or duty to to
 18 do their duties as an State Court Judge to make impartial decisions as a State Judge, Harsh had a
 19 legal duty and/or obligation as Defense attorney to represent the Plaintiff and inform the Plaintiff
 of any proceedings pending before the court and Rosanna Szabo had a legal duty as Prosecutor to
 abide by State laws, in the criminal proceedings and/or prosecution of the Plaintiffs.

20
 21 The Defendants actions constitute fradulant concealment and a violated the Plaintiffs Sharon
 22 Bridgewater right to free speech, violated my right to freedom of expression and/or my first
 23 amendment US Constitutional right, and my right to counsel, that he was defense counsel for the
 24 Complainant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC). with malice, the intent to
 25 aid abet the Lawrenceville Police Officer, use threat coercion or intimation, discriminated,
 26 retaliate against a Federal Witness and victim of crime of the Lawrenceville, GA Police
 27 Department, and the acts were legally done and did overt acts and/or omissions to further of the
 28 objective of the conspiracy.

1 The Plaintiff were unaware of these secret meeting, and would have hired a lawyer and/or would
2 have acted as her own defense attorney.

3
4
5 Plaintiffs allege the Defendants were under a duty to disclose the above known material facts,
6 and did overt acts or omission to further the object of the conspiracy.

7 Plaintiffs allege the Defendants actions were taken to deprive the Plaintiff her right to a jury trial
8 and/or deprive the Plaintiffs their right to confront accusers.

9
10 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants Plaintiff allege that on Sept. 18, 2007
11 Defendant Lucas Harsh, employed the federal interstate wires originating within the state of
12 Georgia , and terminating within the state of Georgia defendants terminating in the State of
13 Georgia to Complainant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)and did overt
14 acts or omissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

15 "meet me at 9:00 am in the morning for trial at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville, GA 30045,"

16 The purpose of this call was to deceive the complainant, use threat,coercion and force and trick
17 the complainant to sign a plea agreement against her will.

18 Plaintiff allege that Lucas Harsh purposefully, intended to violate my right to counsel, because
19 he failed to tell me their would not be a jury trial, and thfurther the conspiracy to subject the
20 complainant to peonage and slavery.

21
22 On or about Sept. 19, 2007, I followed Harsh's instruction and met him at the court house.

23 Plaintiffs allege that on **16 February 2010**, At 9:12 AM, at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville,
24 GA 30045, in an "unknown" courtroom in State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2,
25 State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, at trial, Lucas Harsh, acted under the Color of Georgia
26 State Law, with the malicious intent to use threat, coercion, force and to fraudulently induce me
27 used intimation and force, told the Plaintiffs that he(Lucas Harsh) would not represent the
Plaintiffs in a defense and/or jury trial. Harsh then used threat, coercion and/or force and told me
if I did not plea to reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance I would get convicted
of all six traffic charges.

28 .47.

1 I then demanded a jury trial, and demanded to represent myself in the case. Randy Rich and/or
2 Lucas Harsh, told me "it is ordered the Plaintiffs are not to file any motions on her own behalf"
and/or I could not speak in my own behalf.
3

5 Lucas Harsh then subsequently made a false,deceitful material representation and told me Sharon
6 Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) if I did not plea to reckless driving and and
7 driving with no proof of insurance that I would get convicted of all six charges.
8

9 Harsh had a legal duty and/or obligation to inform Plaintiffs that he was my defense counsel
10 before hand. Rich knew and were aware I had the right to speak on my on behalf. Rich and/or
11 Lucas Harsh knew the material representation was false or deciful when they made it. Rich
12 and/or Lucas Harsh intended for me Sharon Bridgewater to rely on the representation. I could
13 not speak for myself based on Randy Rich "order" and I relied on the representation of Randy
14 Rich and/or Lucas Harsh.
15

16 Plaintiffs allege the false represenatitions, fear of being convicted of all six traffic violations,
17 threats, coercion and/or force caused the Plaintiffs to agree to the Defendants threats, and caused
18 me to involunatry plea to reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance.
19 There was no probable cause for the Defendants to violate the plaintiffs US Constitutional rights.
20

21 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Plaintiff alleged that on Sept. 19,
22 2007, on the day of trial, Lucash Harsh acted in joint participation with Rosanno Szabo, then
23 acted under the color of Georgia law, aided, abetted,then prepared a guilty plea and waiver of
24 jury, charge of reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance, the disposition of fines
25 \$1080.00, to professional probation services, GNC, Community Service at Gwinnett County
26 Detention Center prepared a disposition form and a Georgia Secretary of State Subspension of
27 license forms and did act or ommissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.
28

48

IN THE STATE COURT of GWINNETT COUNTY			CRIMINAL ACTION	FINAL DISPOSITION
STATE of GEORGIA			OFFENSE(s)	
vs.				
RACE:	SEX:	DOB:	OTN:	TERM, 2007 DATE of OFFENSE: 11.21.05
<input type="checkbox"/> PLEA: <input type="checkbox"/> TRIAL: <input type="checkbox"/> VERDICT: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED <input type="checkbox"/> JURY <input type="checkbox"/> GUILTY ON CT(S) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOLLE PROS CT(S) 11.4.05 <input type="checkbox"/> GUILTY ON CT(S) <input type="checkbox"/> BENCH <input type="checkbox"/> NOT GUILTY CT(S) <input type="checkbox"/> DEAD DOCKET CT(S)				

MISDEMEANOR SENTENCE

WHEREAS, the defendant has been found guilty of or has entered a plea to the above-stated offense(s), it is ORDERED that the defendant is sentenced to:
 Confinement in the Gwinnett County Jail Comprehensive Correctional Complex for a period of 12 months

After service of CTS 7 months The remainder to be served on PROBATION SUSPENSION
 Credit time served The entire sentence of confinement may be served, subject to the conditions set out herein, on PROBATION SUSPENSION
 Payment of RESTITUTION (see attached order) Fine in the amount of \$ 270.00 Pay by 280
 PLUS applicable surcharges.

CONDITIONS OF PROBATION SUSPENSION

- (1) You must obey all laws and avoid persons of disreputable or harmful character.
- (2) You must avoid injurious and vicious habits, especially alcohol and narcotics unless lawfully prescribed.
- (3) You must work faithfully and not change your current residence or leave the jurisdiction of the Court without the permission of the Court.
- (4) You must report to your Probation Officer as directed and allow your Probation Officer to visit you wherever you are.
- (5) You must pay all fines and restitution within the time specified by your Probation Officer.
- (6) You must pay a Probation Supervision Fee of \$30 each month to Professional Probation Services, Inc., the Court's probation services contractor.
- (7) You must pay a Crime Victims Compensation Program fee of \$9.00 each month.
- (8) You must perform hours of COMMUNITY SERVICE at the direction of your Probation Officer.
- (9) You must perform 5 days of COMMUNITY SERVICE through the GCCI. REPORT
 For orientation. You must pay a Supervision Fee of \$75 plus a daily fee determined by the program director. SEP 19 2007
- (10) You must prove your attendance at Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous meetings PER WEEK for consecutive weeks.
- (11) You must prove you attended a state approved school for State mandated Risk Reduction Defensive Driving
- (12) You must provide proof of your evaluation and treatment for mental health substance abuse at the GRN Mental Health Unit.
- (13) You will not use alcohol or narcotics and you must submit to random alcohol / drug screening.
- (14) You must have NO contact with NO VIOLENT contact with, or visit the premises of
- (15) You must provide proof of attendance and completion of certified family violence intervention program anger management values clarification
- (16) Appear before this Court on at A.M. to prove completion of the terms of this sentence or your inability to comply.
- (17) You must pay a \$25 Publication fee install ignition interlock tag forfeiture
- (18) If fines, surcharges, & special conditions of the sentence are met/completed, probation may become non-reporting terminated.
- (19) Attend the Victim Impact Panel scheduled: @6:30 p.m. as scheduled by probation. Pay a program fee of \$20.00.
- (20) *11/1/07*
- (21)

The Defendant is further advised that the Court may, at any time, revoke any conditions of this probation and / or discharge the defendant from probation. The Defendant shall be subject to arrest for violation of any condition of probation herein granted. If such probation is revoked, the Court may order the execution of the sentence which was originally imposed, or any portion thereof, in the manner provided by law after deducting therefrom the amount of time the Defendant has served on probation.

Defendant was represented by Attorney *John H. Bush* County, by (Employment) (Appointment)

Court Reporter *John H. Bush* Judge (print) *John H. Bush*

ORDERED this 17 day of September 2007 Judge (sign) *John H. Bush* By designation

WHITE - Clerk YELLOW - Solicitor PINK - Probation BLUE - Defense Attorney

AOC Form 18 NCR (30) July 2004

-49-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)under duress, threat or coercion
3 involunatry signed the disposition and agreed to pay \$1, 080.00, do force labor against my will
against my will and the act was legal done.

4
5 The Defendants through violation of the Plaintiffs civil rights, threat, intimidation, force, or
extortion, defrauded Plaintiff out of \$1080.00, and subjected the Plaintiffs to peonage
and/or slavery.

6
7
8 The Defendants actions constitute a violation my 1st or 6th via the 5 or 14th US Constitution
9 amendment right, outreagous Prosecutional misconduct and the Defendants actions also
10 constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7)
11 and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or(11)and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or
12 (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or
13 (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or
14 (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal
15 charges(The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

16 Randy Rich and/or Lucas Harsh and/or Rosanna Szabo breached their legal obligation. Rich
17 knew or should have known to make impartial decision, and/or duty as an State Court Judge
18 made numerous bias, partial decisions and breach his duty as Judge. Harsh knew or should have
19 known to counsel the Plaintiff, and breached his legal duty and/or obligation as Defense attorney
failed to inform the plaintiffs of legal proceedings, failed to represent the Plaintiffs, and inform
the Plaintiff of proceedings pending before the court. Rosanna Szabo knew or should have
known to comply with State law in the criminal proceeding and breached her legal duty and/or
obligation as Prosecutor failed to abide by State laws, in the criminal proceedings and/or
prosecution of the Plaintiffs, and all are liable for damages to the Plaintiffs.

20
21 The Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions were taken just for the purposes to falsely imprisonment
22 the complainant and defraud the complainant out of money or property and to advance the
23 interest of Gwinnett county jail to prevent me from confront a witness of constitute a joint
24 participation and through, coercion,threat, or force, intimidation caused my signature to be place
on a legal document of a disposition, and the act was legally done.

25 Plaintiffs allege that Randy Rich, et al actions were taken in clear absence of all Jurisdiction.
26 Plaintiff allege that Rich et al, deprive the Plaintiffs right to confront accusers, and the
27 representation and/or disposition executed and enforced by Harsh, Rich and Szabo, constituted
actionable extortion indictable pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. §1951(a). and/or said individual