

206

Sharon Bridgewater
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198
1-313-375-0351

Case:2:12-cv-13942
Judge: Roberts, Victoria A.
MJ: Whalen, R. Steven
Filed: 09-06-2012 At 03:56 PM
CMP BRIDGEWATER V OBAMA, ET AL (EB)

In Pro Se

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

CASE No.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
(with and/or without the United States)
ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney
General" and/or individually and/or on behalf
of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C.
A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty
Global Investments Inc., a dissolved
Nevada Corporation)and Bridgewater &
Company Inc.

VERIFIED ORIGINAL
AND/OR FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR RACKEETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION(RICO);

FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

Barak H. Obama,
In his official personal capacity as
Chief Executive Officer of the
United States of America
Eric Holder Jr.,
In his official personal capacity as the
United States Attorney General
Defendants JOHN DOE 1 is unknown

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH
EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT
DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT
SATIFITORY PERFORANCE BOND
WITH MEM AND POINTS OF
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF

- / -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 employees of the Executive Branch. They are
 2 sued in their official personal capacities and/or
 "former "capacities.

3 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents
 of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI
 They are sued in their official personal capacity
 and/or "former "capacities.

4 Defendants JOHN DOE 3 are unknown
 5 Assistant United States Attorney General(s).
 They are sued in their official personal capacity
 and/or "former "capacities.

6 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US
 "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are
 7 sued in their official personal capacity and/or
 "former "capacities.

8 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown
 9 employees of the U.S. Department of Justice.
 They are sued in their official personal capacity
 and/or "former "capacities.

10 Saundra Brown Armstrong,
 11 Is sued in her official personal capacity
 As United States Northern District Court
 Judge of California

12 Claudia Wilkins
 13 Is sued in her official personal capacity
 As United States Northern District Court
 Judge of California

14 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 is unknown
 15 employees of the U.S. Northern District of
 California, Oakland, and Division. They are sued
 16 in their official personal capacity and/or
 "former "capacities

17 Orinda D. Evans,
 18 Is sued in her official personal capacity
 As United States Northern District Court
 Judge of Georgia

19 Allen Baverman,
 20 Is sued in his official personal capacity
 As United States Northern District Court
 Magistrate Judge

21 Defendants JOHN DOE 7 is unknown
 22 employees of the U.S. Northern District of
 Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia. They are
 23 sued in their official personal capacity and/or

24 . 2 .

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 "former "capacities
 2 Shawn Donavan,
 3 Is sued in his official personal capacity
 4 As the Director of the United States Housing
 5 and Urban Development
 6 Defendants JOHN DOE 8 is unknown
 7 Director of the San Francisco Housing
 8 Authority He or she is sued in her/his official
 9 or former personal capacity as
 10 Director of the San Francisco Housing
 11 Authority
 12 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 are unknown
 13 employees of the San Francisco Housing
 14 Authority. They are sued in their official
 15 personal capacity or former capacities
 16 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership
 17 (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),
 18 McCormack Baron Ragan Management
 19 Services Inc.
 20 MBA Urban Development Co.,
 21 The Related Companies of California, Inc.
 22 Sunamerica Affordable Housing
 23 Partnership Inc.,
 24 Hasinah Rahim,
 25 Shawn Bankson,
 26 Jane Creason,
 27 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,
 28 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee,
 Individually and in her official capacity as the
 Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
 Roger Tonna,
 Mary Tonna,
 William Gilg,
 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 is unknown
 Director of the Alameda County Housing
 Authority,
 He or She is sued in his/her individual and
 official and/or "former "capacity As the
 Director of the Alameda County Housing
 Authority
 Defendants JOHN DOE 11 are unknown
 employees of the Alameda County Housing
 Authority. They are sued in their individual
 and official and/or "former "capacities.

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 12 is unknown
2 Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia
3 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official
and/or "former" capacity

Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of
Police of DeKalb County, Georgia

He is sued in his/her individual and official
"former" capacity as Chief of Police of
Dekalb County

Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491,
Individually and in her official and/or "former"
capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb
County, GA Police Department

Officer Franklin

Individually and in his/her official and/or
"former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
Police Officer

Detective George

Individually and in her official and/or "former"
capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA
Police Department

Lieutenant Hamilton

Individually and in his/her official and/or
"former" capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb
GA County Police Department

Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown
DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their
individual and official and/or "former"
capacities.

Randy Rich,

Individually and in his official capacity as the
Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County

Lucas O. Harsh,

Rosanna Szabo

Individually and in her official and/or "former"
capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor

Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the
Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department

Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their
individual and official and/or "former"
capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown
Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued

-4-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 in his/her current or "former" capacity as
2 Chief of Police
3 Officer Caldwell
4 Individually and in her official and/or "former"
5 capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett
6 County, GA Police or Sherriff Department
7 Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown "
8 Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA
9 Police or Sherriff Department
10 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official
11 or "former" capacity
12 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are unknown
13 Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
14 Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually
15 and official and/or "former" capacities
16

17 And Does John Does 18 thru 1000 inclusive
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION AND VENUE, AND COMMERCE

I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION, VENUE AND COMMERCE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 This Court has jurisdiction and Plaintiffs herein are alleging standing under: Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, as amended, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961, et seq.(Fair Housing Act of 1968 and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C. Sections 3601, et seq.; (3) 42 U.S.C. Sections 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1985; and (4) 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, for violation of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. (5) 15 U.S.C. Sections 1, 2, 13 and 18 for treble damages and injunctive relief for violations of the federal antitrust laws. The jurisdiction of this Court is authorized by 18 U.S.C. Section 1964 (c), 42 U.S.C. Section Sections 1331, and 15 U.S.C. Sections 15 and 26. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims pursuant ot 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

2. The amount of controversy well exceeds the statutory sum of \$75,000.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and/or (b).

(a) Obama, Holder and/or Defendants McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S. government, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI), Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s), Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice, resides, is found, has an agent or transacts their affairs in the Eastern District of the State of Michigan and/or in Highland Park, Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington.

(b) The acts and occurances in furtherance of the alledged herein arose in this Eastern District of Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington D.C. The ends of justice require that other parties residing in other Districts be brought before this District Court to be summoned, processed, by the United States Marshal.

- 6 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 **PLAINTIFFS**

3 **The United States of America ex rel**

4. Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen ~~is a citizen of the United States, and is a resident of~~ Michigan. The Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater have an unlawful conviction of reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance, due to the RICO activities of the above named Defendants unlawful agreement. The Complainant currently have an on-line Christian Ministry, Sharon Bridgewater Global Ministries(SharonBridgewater.org and Sharon Bridgewater.com). The Plaintiff have no prior felonies or misdmenors.

5. The Plaintiff is the An Ann Arbor Native, A Graduate of the University of Michigan(BA), with some Master Degree Credits. Former job positions includes: Internal Revenue Service Employee, State of Michigan Employee(Treasury Department), Michigan Department of Corrections(Corrections Officer-graduate at top of class), substitute teacher for the Ann Arbor School Districts, a former business owner of B and B Building Maintenance INC. a building maintenance and/or cleaning company, and the business owner of a non-profit organization. The Coalition For Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands). The complainant Sharon Bridgewater currently receives Social Security income for mental ailments due to the direct and proximate cause of the above named Defendants, malicious,violence against the complainant and without probable cause.

6. The complainant have one son James S. Bridgewater. Many Ann Arborina know James for his outstanding basketball skills, and is the first to State Champion in 1999(see exh.)who currently is a licensed Real Estate Broker in the State of California. A graduate of Ohio University(BA). Currently a licensed Real Estate Broker(see exh.) and serves as a Board of Director at a Financial Institution were he currently is employed in San Francisco, CA. James have no prior felonies or misdemonor convictions.

7. The Plainiff is and a former business owner of the Specalty investment Group Specialty Investment Group LLC "and Specialty Global Investments INC. dissolved companies, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, with its principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State of Nevada. The Plaintiff is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and the chief Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC.. The Plaintiff and authorized to handle all the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment. Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son's company. The Plaintiff is an Officers of Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal office and

28 . 7 .

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 place of business in State of State of California. The Plaintiff is authorize to sue on behalf of
2 Bridgewater and Company INC.

3
4
5 Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004. Specialty Global Investments
6 Incorporated was established in 2009 both companies includes a individuals, or a group of
7 individuals associated in fact, joint ventures, of Real Estate Professional which the Plaintiff Solar
8 Energy. Bridgewater & Company Inc. was establish in 2009 is a Real Estate Company which
9 involves a variety of services, open to the general public. nature of commerce – Real Estate The
10 Plaintiffs Specialty Investment Group LLC at all times managed properties, renters, etc. , **is a**
11 **citizen of the United States a resident of** Washington DC, and is unincorporated business entity
12 principle place of business within, Washington, District of Columbia. **Nature Trade of**
13 **Commerce** a joint venture and an enterprise as defined in 18 USC 1964 and that as defined in .
14 **Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate**

15 7.

16
17 Bridgewater and Company INC. is owed and operated by James S. Bridgewater the Plaintiffs
18 son. He is currently a licensed Real Estate Broker in the State of California. They are **citizen of**
19 **the United States a resident of San Francisco, Califonria.** and are incorporated business
20 entity principle place of business within, California. **Nature Trade of Commerce- Real Estate.**

21
22 6. The Plaintiffs has been restrained from commerce due to the violence, attacks of the
23 Defendants and or due to the Whistleblowing of the Planitffs.

24 II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

25 [RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

26 II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

27 RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

28 - 8 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3 Plaintiffs allege that:

4
5 Barak H. Obama is a citizen of the United States a resident of Washington DC, and is
6 unincorporated business entity principle place of business within, Washington, District of
7 Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Government, chief executive
8 Officer of the United States of America of the Executive, Judiciary and legislative Branch of the
9 United States of America.

10 Eric Holder Jr., is a citizen of the United States, a resident of Washington DC, and is
11 unincorporated business entity principle place of business in Washington, District of Columbia.
12 Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Government, chief executive Officer of law
13 enforcement of the United States of America.

14
15 Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch are citizens of the
16 United States, and residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or
17 Georgia and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in,
18 "unknown" cities in Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and/or Washington, District of
19 Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government under the direction of Barak
20 Obama.

21 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)
22 in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and
23 residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are
24 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown" cities in
25 Michigan, California, and/or Georgia, and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of
26 Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their official
 2 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of
 3 Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated
 4 business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown" cities in Michigan,
 5 California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia unincorporated business
 6 entities, principle place of business within, the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature
 7 Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder
 8 Jr.

9 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) in their official
 10 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of
 11 Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated
 12 business entities with their principle place of business in, "unknown" cities in Michigan,
 13 California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia, Nature Trade of Commerce
 14 the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

15 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice are citizens of
 16 the United States, and residents of Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are
 17 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in "unknown" cities in
 18 Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of
 19 Commerce The U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder.

20 Saundra Brown Armstrong United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen
 21 of the United States, and residents of California unincorporated business entity, principle place
 22 of business in the City of Oakland, California, Armstrong is a "former" seven year Oakland
 23 Police Officer/Law Enforcement Officer. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government
 24 Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch(Federal/California)

25 Claudia Wilkins United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen of the
 26 United States, and residents of California and is an unincorporated business entities her principle
 27 place of business in the City of Oakland, California. . Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A.
 28 Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary
 Branch(Federal/California).

- { 0 } -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of California,
3 Oakland, and Division. are citizens of the United States, and residents of California and are
unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in, the City of Oakland,
California. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the
direction of the United States Judiciary Branch and/or Sandra Brown Armstrong and/or Claudia
Wilkins(Federal/California)
6
7
8

9 Orinda D. Evans, United States Northern District Court Judge of Georgia is a citizen of the
United States and is a residents of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity with her
10 principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of
Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States
11 Judiciary Branch(Federal/Georgia)
12
13
14
15

16 Allen Baverman, United States Northern District Court Magistrate Judge of Georgia is an citizen
of the United Statesand residents of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity principle
17 place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of Commerce
The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary
18 Branch(Federal/Georgia)
19
20
21

22 Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of
Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities are
23 citizens of the United States and residents of Georgia and are unincorporated business entities
with their principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature
24 Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United
States Judiciary Branch and/or Orinda Evan and/or Allen Baverman(Federal/Georgia)
25
26
27
28

- || -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Shawn Donavan, Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development is a citizen of
 2 the United States and residents of the District of Columbia, and is an unincorporated business
 3 entity principle place of business in the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade
 of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or
 U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate/under the direction of Obama.

6 Defendant JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority in her/his
 7 official or former personal capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority is a
 8 citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" in the United States of America and
 9 is an unincorporated business entity with its principle place of business within, "unknown" cities
 10 or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of
 Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction
 of Shawn Donavan/under the direction of Obama.

13 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San Francisco Housing Authority in their
 14 official personal capacity or former capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of
 15 "unknown" cities and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business
 16 in, "unknown" cities or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A.
 Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or
 17 Real Estate under the direction of Defendant John Doe 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco
 Housing Authority/under the direction of Shawn Donavan/under the direction of Obama.

20 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership[AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.](HVL P), is a citizen
 21 of the United States and/or corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of
 22 San Francisco, CA with it principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, California.
 Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the United States Government and/or
 23 United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn
 Donavan /under the direction of Obama.

26 McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. is a citizens of the United States, and is a
 27 corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of San Francisco, CA with it

28 -| 2 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly
2 licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and an
3 Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real and/or
United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn
Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

6
7 MBA Urban Development Co., is a citizen of the United States, duly licensed to do business in
8 the in the city of St. Louis, Missouri, with it principle place of business in the St. Louis,
9 Missouri, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50
10 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the United States
11 Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the United States
Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of
Defendant Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

12
13
14
15
16 The Related Companies of California, Inc. is a corporation and/or company with it principle
17 place of business in the CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in
18 most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the
19 United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an Partner of the
20 United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the
direction of Defendant Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

21 Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., is a corporation and/or company with it
22 principle place of business in CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to
23 business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of
24 HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and an
25 Partner of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development
and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

26
27 Hahiah Rashad, individually and current or former official personal capacity is an
28 unincorporated business entities and an amanaging member of HVLPwith her principle place of

1 business in the City of San Francisco, California . Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and
2 under the direction of Defendant HVLP and/or Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

3 Shawn Bankson, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle
4 place of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee
5 of Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of
6 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

7
8 Jane Creason, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place
9 of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee of
10 Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of
11 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

12
13 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Attorneys, and/or Counselors is an partnership and/or
14 corporation with their principle place of business within, the State of California, is a served as
15 an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn
16 Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

17
18 HVLP are two separate entities and a combination as trust, and/or defined in anti-trust
19 monopoly as defined _____

20 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, Alameda County Superior Court Judge is an unincorporated business entities
21 with her principle place of business within, the City of Oakland, California, Alameda County.
22 Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the
23 United States Judiciary Branch.

24 Roger Tonna, is citizen of the United States, and residents of California and an unincorporated
25 business entities his principle place of business in the City of San Leandro, California, Alameda
26 County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or under the direction of Defendant
27 Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.

28 -M-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3 Mary Tonna, is the wife of Roger Tonna and is citizen of the United States, and residents of
California and is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the City of
San Leandro, California, Alameda County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or
under the direction of Defendant Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.
5
6
7

8 William Gilg, is an Attorney and a citizen of the United States, and residents of California and
9 is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the San Bruno,
California. and served as an agent for Roger and Mary Tonna, nature trade of commerce
10 Real Estate under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under the direction of Obama.
11
12

13 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority,
14 in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity is a citizen of the United States, and
resident of California and is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business
15 in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. - Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A.
Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or
16 Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Donovan under the direction Shawn Dovahan/ under
17 the direction of Obama.
18
19

20 Defendants JOHN DOE unknown employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority are
21 citizens of the United States, and resident of California and are unincorporated business
22 entities their principle place of business in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. -
Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban
23 Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Dovahan/
under the direction of Obama.
24
25
26
27
28

- 15 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia is a citizen
2 of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity
3 with his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce-The
U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the
direction of Obama.

6
7
8 Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia is a citizen of
9 the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
10 his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
Obama.

11
12 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is a
13 citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business
14 entity with his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce-
15 The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the
direction of Obama.

16
17
18 Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb
19 County, GA Police Officer is a citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city
20 and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Dekalb County,
21 GA. Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the
direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

22
23
24 Detective George Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is an citizen of the
25 United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
26 his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
27 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
Obama.

28 - 16 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3
Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity is a citizen of
the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with
his principle place of business Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.
local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
Obama.

8
9
10 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and
11 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of
"unknown" cities and are unincorporated business entities with his principle place of business
12 Dekalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law
enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

13
14
15
16 Randy Rich, Individually in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County
17 is a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated
business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County.,
Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the
18 United States Judiciary Branch(Georgia).

19
20
21
22 Lucas O. Harsh, is an attorney, a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown"
city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville,
23 GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government criminal defense
attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA.

24
25
26
27 Rosanna Szabo , is an solicitor and/or counselor, citizen of the United States, and resident of an
"unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with her principle place of business

28
-17-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government
2 prosecutor and/or attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA. Eric Holder Jr./ Eric
3 Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

4
5 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and
6 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and resident of
7 "unknown" cities and is are unincorporated business entity with their principle place of
8 business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local
9 Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
10 Obama.

11 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police Officer in his/her
12 current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police is a citizen of the United States, and resident of
13 an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business
14 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local
15 Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of
16 Obama.

17 Officer Caldwell, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer
18 of the Gwinnett County, GA is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city
19 and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA,
20 Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement
21 under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

22
23 Defendants JOHN DOE 16, Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or
24 Sherriff Department, is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is
25 an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA,
26 Gwinnett County. Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement
27 under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

1
2
3 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities are
citizens of the United States, and residents of an "unknown" cities and is an unincorporated
business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County,
Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction
6 of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of Obama.

7
8
9
10 Plaintiffs allege that at all times material herein, the activities, conduct, and/or omissions
committed and/or engaged in by the defendants herein give rise to this action being instituted
11 within this federal district court inasmuch as plaintiffs are citizens and residents, and maintain
their principal place of business within, County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in
12 the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the
County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County
13 of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan;

14
15 that each jointly and/or severally are engaged in activities and conduct that affect federal
interstate and/or foreign commerce, and hold legal, equitable, and/or beneficial interests in
16 property and each is a "person," as that term is defined pursuant to Section 1961(3) of the
Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"].
17

18
19
20 Plaintiffs allege that each and every RICO person that is specifically identified and named as a
RICO defendant is liable as a principal pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §§ 2(a)-(b) and
21 that each and every RICO person that is a RICO defendant is liable as a co-conspirator pursuant
to Title 18 United States Code § 371;
22

23
24 and the events that give rise to the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt
25 Organizations Act of 1970 "RICO" Title 18 United States Code § 1961 action are
predicated under the RICO co-conspiracy theory of venue and under the RICO
26 coconspiracy theory of personal jurisdiction, by and through employment of
federal instrumentalities of federal interstate commerce, including the federal
27
28

- 16 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 mails, federal wires, and traveling in connection with the commission of
 2 racketeering activity across federal interstate and/or international boundaries
 3 and/or lines. The Plaintiffs also allege that the events that give rise to causes of
 actions by and through their employment under the color of Federal and State law.

6 Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants, each of whom are engaged in principal
 7 business activities within the County of the District of Columbia, Washington
 8 D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State
 9 of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland,
 10 State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the
 11 County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan engaged in continuous, concerted, and
 12 systematic activities with plaintiffs within these districts, resulting in injury to their
 13 respective business or property, pursuant to RICO Title 18 United States Code §
 1964(C).

14 **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL**
 15 **AND TO BRING CRIMINAL CHARGES**

16 **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND TO BRING**
 17 **CRIMINAL CHARGES**

18 8. The Plaintiffs "private citizens" move this Federal Court on behalf of the United
 19 States of America *ex relatione* as an "private attorney general" to vindicate a policy that
 20 Congress considers to be of the highest priority and for injunctive relief. A private Citizen may
 21 move a federal court and has the standing to sue as on behalf of the United States
 22 Government(with and/or without the Federal Government). The Plaintiff Federal Witness and
 23 Victim of Crime Criminal of the US Government, (RICO) activities" bring this lawsuit to
 24 enforce a public right and/or to protect the public's interest. The U.S. Congress Senate
 25 Committee on the Judiciary wanted to level the playing field so that private citizens could serve
 26 as "private attorneys general" to enforce the civil rights laws and turn RICO "victims" into
 27 Prosecutors, dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity. The United States of America *ex*
 28 *relatione* Sharon Bridgewater also bring "criminal" charges against the above named
 Defendants.

-20-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 **III. Civil actions, Request for an Special Prosecutor and/or Attorney and for**
 2 **injunctive relief as provided by the Private Attorney General Statue**

3 The Plaintiffs commences this action without paying a filing fee. The United States ex rel
 Sharon Bridgewater and/or the Private Attorney General statue provide a private party may
 commence an action without payment of fees, costs, or security and/or whenever a person has
 engaged or there are reasonable grounds to believe that any person is about to engage in any act
 or practice prohibited by RICO statue and/or Federal and/or State law, a civil action for
 preventive relief, including an application for a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining
 order, or other orders, may be instituted by the person if the person certifies that the case is of
 general public importance. In addition, the statue provide that upon application by the
 complainant and in such circumstances as the court may deem just, the court may appoint an
 attorney for such complainant. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater certify that this
 case is of Public importance. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater request an Attorney,
 Special Prosecutor and injunctive relief against the above named individuals. Further the
 Plaintiff has paid a total of \$1980.00, impersonation and obstruction of the Fedelal Judges listed
 on this complaint are among the "probable cause." If this honorable court rules that Plaintiff can
 not commence this action without paying the filing fee. The Plaintiffs will pay the filing fee.
 12 THE PLAINTIFF REQUEST THIS HONORABLE COURT NOT TO DISMISS THIS
 13 COMPLAINT.

14 **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

15
 16 **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

17
 18 9. This case stems from a "seven year" and contining conspiracy of the above named
 Defendants. The Defendants defrauded the Plaintiff out of money and/or property. The
 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned exercised her United States Constitutional rights. All
 Defendants used threat, coercion or force, threats of criminal prosecution, retaliated against the
 Plaintiffs a "whisleblower" and victim of crime of US Government RICO activities.

21
 22 10. Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were competers the Plaintiffs owed, operated Real
 Estate companies. The Plaintiffs lost their ability to earn a living due acts or omission of the
 Defendants, abuse of US Government Power, upsuration of the Plaintiffs business(es), and
 conspiracies to restrain commerce, which violates both federal and state antitrust and anti-
 competition laws. The Defendants must be restrained and enjoined, there are no other adequate
 remedies at law.

26 11. The Specialty Investment Group LLC dissolved on Sept. 6, 2010 upon information
 and belief "ordered" by Obama and/or Holder.

28 .21.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

12. The objective of the racketeering *enterprise* has been to Retailate against the Complainant a "WHISTLEBLOWER," a Federal Witness and Victim of Crime, fasley imprisonment the complainant without due process of law, OPPRESS, restrain commerce, and defraud the Plaintiffs out of money and property and halt the ability of the Complainant to report such crimes.

IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations, 28 C.F.R. § 0.55, provides, in relevant part, as follows: That a RICO civil or criminal lawsuit shall be conducted, handled or supervised by, the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division. Civil or criminal forfeiture or civil penalty actions (including petitions for remission or mitigation of forfeiture and civil penalties, offers in compromise, and related proceedings under the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970[i.e., RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 et. seq. provides that: (1) No civil RICO complaint shall be filed, and no RICO investigative demand shall be issued, without the prior approval of OCRS, (2) No civil RICO complaint shall be settled or dismissed, in whole or in part, without prior approval of OCRS. (3) No remedy in any civil RICO lawsuit brought by the United States shall be sought without prior approval by OCRS. In this case the lawsuit is brought against Obama and/or Holder et al and those operating under the direction of Holder, therefore this process is waived. In reading this complaint keep in mind these four RICO enterprises with the same objective.

There are three separate Rico(Georgia and/or California) enterprises, and the fourth, the Federal Employeees, Obama and/or Holder et al adopted the acts of the State Employees. In reading this complaint, keep in mind the conspiracies overlap. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater may prosecute different RICO enterprises as one Enterprize if the Defendants have the same objective. In this case all Defendants had the same objective to "RETAILATE AGAINST A WHISTLEBLOWER" AND VICTIM OF CRIME OF US GOVERNMENT RICO ACTIVITIES.

This complaint is "incomplete" and the Plaintiff have other causes of action which are not included in this complaint includes: ILLEGAL MONOPOLIZATION, ILLEGAL RESTRAINTS IN COMMERCE, CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN COMMERCE, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION(42 USC section 1983/1985(3)BIVENS VS. SIX FBI AGENTS(RETAILATORY PROSECUTION), Abuse of Process, 42 USC section 1986, Excessive Force, Invasion of Privacy, Conversion, Retailatory Housing Discrimination, Tortious Interference with Prosepective Advantage, False Arrest, Tortious Interference with right to quiet enjoyment, false imprisonment, libel, defamation of character, unfair business practices, unfair competition, violation of the Americans with disabilities act, negligent inflict of emotional

- 22 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 distress, intention infliction of emotional distress, tortuous interference with US Government
2 Contract and/or other causes of action.

3 Due to the emergency nature of this complaint, the Plaintiff "and the statue of limitations" to
4 reinstate the Plaintiffs businesses(Specialty Investment Group LLC, and/or Specialty Global
5 Investments INC.) which is today Sept. 6, 2012, the Plaintiffs are unable to finish this complaint.
6 The Plaintiffs request this court to allow Plaintiff to amend complaint, to add over causes of
7 actions, other defendants, and/or does 18-1000 defendants. The complaintat files this complaint
8 on exparte emergency temporary restraining re order preliminary injunction without notice to the
9 Defendants, as the Defendants "must be restrained and enjoined the Plaintiffs have no other
10 adequate remedies at law.

11 This complaint is incomplete, and Plaintiff request this honorable court not to dismiss the
12 complaint for discrepincies.

13 The application of heighten rule pleading requires of fraud with particularlity judgment of
14 dismissal is warranted only if it apprear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is not entitled to relief
15 under any state of facts which could be proven in support of the claim.

16 Plaintiffs are "victims of crime(violence and cruel and unusal punishment) and without probable
17 cause at the hands of the Defendants. The Plaintiffs is suffering from severe emotional distress,
18 and/or extreme emotional distress, mental anguish at the hands of the Defendants. Their may be
19 a lot of grammatical error, long sentences, etc, and this is only due to the inability to
20 concencentate on this complaint due to the violence against the Plaintiffs of the above named
21 individual. This complaint my fail to state a claim however the Plaintiffs are entitled to an first
22 and/or second amended complaint. The Supreme Court states that RICO complaint must not
23 be dismissed for discrepincies. In order to survive a motion to dismiss" under Rule 12(b)(6) . A
24 Plaintiffs need only 'adduce a set of facts' supporting their legal claims Wells v. United States,
25 851 F.2d 1471, 1473 (D.C. Cir.1988) and/or all that is required is that the complaint "provides
26 enough factual information to make clear the substance of that claim." Caribbean Broad. Sys.,
27 148 F.3d at 1086. A court may excuse a Plaintiff pleadings if they have suffered from criminal
28 acts at the hands of Defendants. The applications of heighten pleadings Rule 12(b)(6) in
circumstances should not be dismissed if if the complaint or affidavit appear to a certainty that
the Plaintiff is entitled to relief. A Pro se litigant should not to be held to the same high
standards of perfection as practicing lawyers. (Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914
F2d 1459 (11th Cir1990); See Hulsey v. Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re:
HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th Cir. 1991).

MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATE CASE

23.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater has been denied access to the courts by two or more
 2 of the above named Defendants. The Plaintiffs files this complaint in this court due to the denial
 3 of access to the court by the above named Defendants. The United States ex rel Sharon
 4 Bridgewater request this court to consolidate this Case No. 1:12-cv-1332, currently pending in
 5 the United States Federal District Court of Columbia COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
 6)GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES)HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,)
 7 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES
 8 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, JR..

9 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater moves this court to transfer and/or
 10 consolidate Superior Court Case to the US District Court and/or consolidate with this case, and
 11 relate the case(s) – Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, case # CGC-08-
 12 478207 against the Defendants in 2008, US Federal District Court Cases-Northern District of
 13 California, C10-5436(SBA), C10-703(SBA), Cthe complaintant paid the filing fee, and C10-
 14 3022(CW), C10-4966(MMC) in or consolidate and/or transfer cases the Plaintiffs filed in the
 15 Northern District Court of Georgia. As mentioned in the above the Plaintiffs have paid a total of
 16 \$1980.00 in court filing fee's and the Judges mentioned in the above are among the probable
 17 cause. The Plaintiffs request this court to disqualify and/or resue these Judges from the
 18 Plaintiffs complaint currently pending, and/or close cases and/or consolidate with this case, and
 19 relate the cases to this case.

20 **IV. STATUE OF LIMITATIONS**

21 **IV. STATUE OF LIMITATIONS**

22 Several of the Defendant are Georgia Defendants, Georgia State Law provides, O.C.G.A. 9-3-
 23 99"(Georgia State law) the running of the period of limitations with respect to any cause of
 24 action in tort that may be brought by the victim of an alleged crime which arises out of the
 25 facts and circumstances relating to the commission of such alleged crime committed in this
 26 state shall be tolled from the date of the commission of the alleged crime or the act giving rise
 27 to such action in tort until the prosecution of such crime or act has become final or otherwise
 28 terminated, provided that such time does not exceed six (6) years. In respect with the Georgia
 defendants the Statue of limitations is tolled. Moreover, this case involves an on-going and/or
 continuing conspiracy, and/or fraudulent concealment, there fore the Plaintiffs is entitled to
 equitable tolling and/or the tolling of the Statue of limitation.

- 24

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 **VI. STATEMENT OF CASE /RICO INTERPRISE/INTERSTATE NEXUS**

2 VI. STATEMENT OF CASE/ RICO INTERPRIZE/INTERSTATE NEXUS

3
4
5 The Defendants are US Government employees and/or contractor for the Government,
6 acting under the color of Federal or State law. The Defendants acts or omissions and/or
7 commission of multiple crimes, including predicate crimes under the RICO statute Title 18
8 United States Code § 1961, 1964(a)(b)(c) and (d)] predicated under the RICO (e.g., mail fraud,
9 wire fraud) are numerous RICO violations as defined in 18 USC section 1961. All Defendants
10 both jointly and/or severally came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful
11 agreement and/or secretive agreement to limit open competition of the Plaintiffs, minority
12 business owners and/or minorities and/or to transport the Plaintiffs property valued at over
13 \$5000.00 across state lines and/or weapons of the US Government without Congress approval
14 and/or knowledge, by deceiving, misleading others and/or abusing US Government Power abuse
15 US Supreme Court Justice, Appeals Court Judge, State Court Judges, and defrauding the
16 Plaintiffs and/or others of their legal rights, and/or money and/or property without due process of
17 law. The Defendants knowingly, intentionally associated with the RICO enterprise, particated in
18 a criminal venture, and sought to make the venture succeed. (A governmental agency, court,
19 political office or the like serve as a RICO "enterprise." *United States v. Thompson*, 685
20 F.2d 993, 999 (6th Cir. 1982)(en banc) *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 1072 (1983). Among the
21 government units that have been held to be "enterprises" are offices of governors and
22 state legislators, courts, court clerks' offices. See e.g., *United States v. Stratton*, 649 F.2d
23 1066, 1072-75 (5th Cir. 1981); *United States v. Clark*, 656 F.2d 1259, 1261-67 (8th Cir.
24 1981) Office of county judge); *United States v. Frumento*, 405 F. Supp. 23, 29-30 (E.D.
25 Pa. 1975), *affd*, 563 F.2d 1083 (3d Cir. 1977). *cert. debued*, 434 U.S. 1072 (1978)]).

26 All Defendants, operated under the United States Government, engaged in RICO activity over a
27 *prolonged or indefinite period of time*; sought the use discipline or control, perpetrtaed *serious*
28 *criminal offences*, through the use violence or other intimidation, "AND RETAILATED
AGAINST WHISTLEBLOWER OF US GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES" and exerted to
influence media, public administration and/or judicial authorities. Obama and/or Holder abuse
US Government Power, engaged in corrupt activities, and have treated others

In advancing, furthering, executing, concealing, conducting, participating in, or carrying out the
schemes the Defendants specifically use the wires/mails or caused the wires/mails to be used to
receive or deliver, inter alia, the emails, facsimiles, letters or telecommunications with the
Plaintiffs regarding all the events described in this complaint. In addition, for the purpose of
executing such scheme, the Defendants placed or caused to be placed in a post office, or
authorized depository for mail, matter that furthered the scheme to defraud; and each Defendant
committed mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1341. In addition and, for the purpose of
executing such scheme, the Defendants transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire,
radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce matter that furthered the

- 25 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 scheme to defraud (including but not limited to the communications described in this complaint);
 2 each Defendant committed wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1343, each time it used or
 3 caused interstate wires to be used to distribute the materials described in this complaint.

4 The Defendants committed perjury, Constitutional Violations, Fraud, and Conspiracy to defraud,
 5 tampering with evidence, Lying in official court documents, Falsifying court records, fabrication
 6 of evidence, Violations of Oaths of Office, conversion, filing false criminal charges against
 7 Plaintiff(Sharon Bridgewater or Specialty Investment Group LLC)or causing this to be done in
 8 secret illegal surveillance of Plaintiff or her family members, stalking, harassment, invasion of
 9 the Plaintiff privacy etc. caused the Plaintiffs to be prosecuted without probable cause, and just
 10 for the Plaintiff exercising their legal rights, and other grave felonies. Two or more of the
 11 Defendants Defrauded the US Government out of HUD funds, The Plaintiffs were the original
 12 source and Obama and/or Holder, Defrauded the Plaintiff out of False Claims recoveries and/or
 13 money and/or property. All the Defendants actions as defined in 18 U.S.C. section 1961,
 14 extends over the course of seven years, there were numerous other victims that they have treated
 15 the same way as the Plaintiffs. The Defendants actions are continuing and were not isolated
 16 incidents and which qualifies as "pattern and practices." The Defendants threaten to continue
 17 this behavior and must be restrained and enjoined.

18 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

19 All Defendants are US Government private individuals employees and/or
 20 providing private services for the US Government.

21 Gwinnett County is a County in the State of Georgia located in the city of Lawrenceville.
 22 Georgia. Gwinnett County Superior Court and the Gwinnett County Detention Center and/or
 23 Professional Probation services is Private Jail facility and/or Private Probation service.
 24 Gwinnett County contract with the US Federal Government and provide private services for the
 25 US Government.(Rico enterprise 1)

26 Many people "label" Lawrenceville, Georgia as the home of the "white supremesist Group ."
 27 Plaintiffs allege that two or more of the above Defendants control and/or invest in maintain,
 28 Gwinnett County Detention Center and/or Professional Probation Services(Private individual
 providing US Government Services).

-26

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 The Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were minority owned businesses. The
2 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned conducted instate commerce via Real Estate across
3 America, and/of in the State of Georgia and/or California.

4 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned conducted business out of two office suite in the
5 city of Lawrenceville, GA. Gwinnett County.
6

7
8
9 Plaintiffs allege, on numerous occasions, while conducting instate commerce
10 were discriminated against due to race, class or ethnicity and "stopped" by
11 Gwinnett County Police Officers and while conducting business in the State of
12 Georgia. One of the traffic stops resulted in unlawful conviction of reckless
13 driving and driving with no proof of insurance due to threat, coercion, force and/or
14 intimidation, and the violation of the Plaintiffs US Constitutional rights and which
15 resulted in peonage or slavery of Plaintiffs.

16 Dekalb County (Rico enterprise 2) the neighboring County of Gwinnett. Dekalb County also use
17 illegal unlawful policies and procedure or custom, make unconstitutional traffic stops, and steal
18 and Rob citizens on a daily basis.

19 Dekalb County policies and procedures are that of the same as Gwinnett County. Plaintiff allege
20 that Dekalb County made an unconstitutional traffic stop which resulted in the theft of the
21 Plaintiffs business or personal property. Plaintiff allege that many US citizens and/or
22 minorities are victims of illegally and unlawfully traffic stops, resulting in illegal traffic
23 citations, arrest warrants, and/or convictions, without due process of law. Plaintiffs allege that
24 the illegal policies and procedure of Gwinnett and/or Dekalb County of unconstitutional traffic
25 stops defrauded many Citizens (minorities) out of money or property without due process of law.
26 Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County and/or Fulton County, GA account for the majority of
27 population in Georgia.

28 Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County policies and procedures are unlawful and
unconstitutional and many minorities are victims suffered damage at the hands of the RICO
enterprise or Gwinnett County, and/or Dekalb County.

21.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 The probation rate in Georgia is the same rate as their neighboring state Florida, which has a
 2 population of 19,057,542, and nearly 10 million more people in the state of Georgia. Plaintiffs
 3 allege that many minorities are victims of the Defendants unlawful activities.

4 Plaintiff allege and/or upon information and belief Dekalb County and/or Gwinnett County use
 5 of threat, coercion and/or force and intimidation and/or force of unconstitutional traffic stops,
 6 racial profiling of minorities and/or the issuance of traffic citations and/or subjected minorities to
 7 peonage and slavery through treats, coercion, intimidation or force are illegal and unlawful.

8 Plaintiffs allege and/or upon information and belief Gwinnett County and/or Dekalb County
 9 control and/or invest in maintain, an illegal RICO enterprise using these illegal patterns or
 10 practices and/or policies and procedures.

11 Plaintiffs was damaged by the Defendants actions, and/or were forced to flee the state of
 12 Georgia due to peonage or slavery, and do the Defendant illegal RICO Activities. And/or
 13 prevented from conducting business in the State of Georgia .

14 At all times mentioned, while conducting business in Georgia, the Plaintiffs were tenants of a
 15 Public Housing facility located in San Francisco, CA. Plaintiffs at all times mentioned had a
 16 legally binding lease agreement for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA

17
 18 HVLP(RICO #3) all times relevant to the allegations herein, were a US
 19 Government/Public Private Partnership and/or Anti-Trust" and/or monopoly. HVLP
 20 Private Contractors are Real Estate Developers and were granted exclusive control by
 21 the United States Department of HUD, to own, operate and control public housing
 22 facilities in San Francisco and across America.

23 At all times mentioned was a participant of the HUD section 8 program, and a
 24 participant of the HUD Self-Sufficiency Program, which is part of the section 8 program (a HUD
 25 program that is run by public housing agencies (PHAs) and/or local housing authority agencies.
 26 It is a program that encourage to start business, etc. Shawn Dovahavan the Director of the
 27 United States Housing and Urban Development in Washington, allocate funds to States and local
 28 housing authorities. The HUD Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937 , 42 U.S.C. § 1437f,
 authorizes the payment of rental housing assistance to private landlords. It provides assistance
 on behalf of approximately 3.1 million low-income households. The Housing Choice Voucher
 program, pays a large portion of the rents and utilities of about 2.1 million households. The
 Housing Choice Voucher Program provides "tenant-based" rental assistance, so an assisted

- 28

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 tenant can move with assistance from one unit of at least minimum housing quality to another
2 unit. It also allows individuals to apply their monthly voucher towards the purchase of a home,
3 with over \$17 billion going towards such purchases each year. The maximum allowed voucher
is \$2200 a month. Section 8 authorizes a variety of "project-based" rental assistance programs.

4
5 The Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were competitors of HVLP in Real Estate and engaged in
6 fair and open, honest competition and/or were competitor of the
7 HVLP(Public/Private Partnership).

8
9 At all times relevant herein, the Federal Public Housing Tenants across America and/or
10 at HVLP are almost exclusively African-Americans, Hispanics, Asians, other people of
11 color, elderly, or disabled, individuals receiving state and federal financial assistance,
12 and/or families with children, who were and are individuals protected under anti-
13 discrimination laws, hereinafter defined as "protected class."

14
15 At all times relevant to the allegations herein, Shawn Dovanhan adminstred HUD
16 Grants to the San Francisco Housing authority and/or other State or local housing
17 authorities across America, and HVLP did receive federal rent subsidies under the
18 Section 8 program for thousands of tenants across America, Federal HUD grants and
money from HUD to manage the Public Housing Complexes and/or HVLP across
America and/or to the San Francisco Housing Authority, a Public Housing
Agency("PHA ") and/or other public housing authorities, across America.

19
20 In 1992, Congress created a new program to improve the nation's most severely distressed public
21 housing. The program, known as HOPE VI, for Homeownership and Opportunity for People
22 Everywhere, encourages local public housing authorities (PHAs) to use a comprehensive
23 approach that combines physical redevelopment of housing units with provision of supportive
24 services for residents.

25
26 Beginning in 1995, these HOPE VI "revitalization plans" began to be undertaken through
27 public/private partnerships involving PHAs and the income-eligible residents they serve, local
28 governments and social service providers, institutions, and the private sector, using federal funds
as the catalyst for leveraging private investment.

29.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Since 1993, Congress has appropriated more than \$4.05 billion for the HOPE VI program, not including appropriations for FY 2002 (October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2002), the last year of congressional authorization for the program. Including the revitalization grant awards announced in August 2000, the HOPE VI program has funded 90 PHAs for a total of 148 revitalization grants in 34 states. HUD estimates that HOPE VI awards for 1993 to 2000 will result in demolition of almost 70,000 severely distressed public housing units and in production of more than 71,000 revitalized dwellings, including affordable, moderate-income, and market-rate units financed through HUD's mixed-finance development approach, which has become integral to the HOPE VI approach to leveraging federal funds.

The key innovation of the HOPE VI program is the incentive it created for public housing authorities to involve private developers in the creation of these new mixed-income neighborhoods. HOPE VI and HUD's other mixed-finance development programs allow public housing funds to be combined with other public and private development funds to attract greater resources to projects.

HOPE VI revitalization projects in 48 different cities. These firms vary in size, specialization, and experience and include some of the nation's foremost developers. Some develop a wide range of types of projects in cities across the nation. Others specialize in housing development. Many are mission-driven development organizations with a commitment to affordable housing and community development. Even with the success of the mixed-finance, mixed-income developments and the accomplished pool of private sector developers such programs have attracted, HUD is interested in broadening the number and type of private developers involved.

HVLP, Upon information and belief received:

**PUBLIC HOUSING DEMOLITION, SITE REVITALIZATION, AND
REPLACEMENT HOUSING GRANTS
(HOPE VI)
FY 1997 REVITALIZATION GRANT AGREEMENT**

20 billion of HOPE VI grant funds HOPE VI grants to public housing and federal government program outlays but, mostly, of funds from private, state and local government sources— including, for example, program funds from Community Development Block Grants and the HOME Investment Partnership, and the equity value provided by Low Income Housing Tax Credits, these funds are _____ awarded Funds to the San Francisco Public Housing Authority a total of

-30-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

HVLP at all times mentioned entered into an agreement as follows **REGULATORY AND OPERATING AGREEMENT [FOR ALL PUBLIC HOUSING], PROMISED, AND/OR CERTIFIED THAT THEY WERE IN COMPLAINE WITH FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW, ABIDED BY FAIR HOUSING LAWS, HELP TENANTS TO BECOME INDEPENDENT AND ECONOMICALLY SUFFICIENT, AND PROVIDED SUPPORT SERVICES TO THE TENANTS LIVING THE PUBLIC HOUSING FACILITY OR MINORITY BUSINESS OWNERS. HVLP, AT ALL TIMES MENTIONED, USE THREAT, COERCION, FORCE, VIOLATED MINORITY BUSINESSES AND/OR THE TENANTS CIVIL RIGHTS TOOK AND STOLE FROM MINORITY BUSINESSES AND/OR PROTECTED CLASS TENANTS, AND DEFRAUDED TENANTS OUT OF MONEY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, RESTRAINED COMMERCE, AND/OR MONOPOLIZED THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY. HVLP KNOWING, Falsely Certified that they were in Compliance to the Government, and knowingly caused the US Federal Government and knowingly presented, or caused to be presented, to one or more officers, employees or agents of the United States of America, a false and fraudulent claim for payment or approval, in contravention of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(3) and/or the Defendants knowingly made, used or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the United States of America, in contravention of the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(2) and/or conspired to Commit violations and caused to the damage of the treasury of the United States of America, by in the amount in excess of \$100,000,000.00(One Hundred Billion Dollars).**

The Plaintiff the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned original source, and was directly damages by the overt acts, and filed, caused to be delivered to Obama and/or Eric Holder Jr. two or more disclosure statement and/or a Federal False Claims complaint. Two or more disclosure statement and/or a Federal False Claims complaint. The plaintiff filed her complaint against the defendants in 2008, and before Obama was elected in Office.

Plaintiffs that Obama all et al retaliated against the Plaintiffs "minority" business owners, and/or protected class tenants, Federal Witnesses and Victim of Crime of US Government RICO activities, upsurated the Plaintiffs businesses, acted in joint participation with Federal and/or State Judges and others, "ordered" the Georgia and/or the California Corporations department to either dissolve or suspend the Plaintiffs companies, oppressed, used threat, coercion, violence, subjected the Plaintiffs to cruel and usual punishment, criminal prosecuted Plaintiffs without probable cause and defrauded Plaintiffs out of HUD recoveries and/or money or property and "attempted" to halt the Plaintiffs ability to report the RICO crimes, upsurded Plaintiff companies, defrauded the Plaintiffs out of money or property. Plaintiff allege and upon information and belief Obama has use this same technique of other federal Witness and/or Whistleblowers.

- 3) -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Congress currently has a civil lawsuit filed against Holder for the documents in the fast and
2 furious investigations.

3
4
5 As a result of Defendants malicious wrongful conduct Defendants also Plaintiffs have been
6 oppressed, criminal prosecuted without probable cause for exercising US Constitutional legal
7 rights, and/or restrained from commerce.

8
9 **VII. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with**
10 **and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General"**

11 **VII. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or**
12 **without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General"**

13
14 **THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon**
15 **Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty**
16 **Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a**
17 **dissolved Nevada Corporation), says makes the following affirmation under the penalties of**
18 **perjury and that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru present the Defendants upon information and**
19 **belief, two or more of the predicate acts as itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes,**
20 **did take place in the County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of**
21 **Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton,**
22 **State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County of San Francisco,**
23 **State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan and "unknown" cities or**
24 **towns in the State of Georgia, State of California, State of California, in the District of**
25 **Columbia, within the preceding five years of this complaint among the Defendants as listed on**
26 **this complaint jointly or severally.**

27 **AND COMES NOW, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United**
28 **States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf**
29 **of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global**
30 **Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), a Federal Witness and a Victim of Crime by**
31 **the above name defendants complains, alleges and appearing before a Judge of the United States,**
32 **pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report these crimes to the United States Congress, The United States**
33 **House of Representatives, The United States Senate, and formally charges Barak H. Obama, In**
34 **his official personal capacity as Chief Executive Officer of the United States of America, Eric**
35 **Holder Jr., In his official personal capacity as the United States Attorney General, Defendants**

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S.
 2 government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants
 3 JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official
 4 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant
 5 United States Attorney General(s) in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities,
 6 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are sued in
 7 their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown
 8 employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are sued in their official personal capacity
 9 and/or "former "capacities, Sandra Brown Armstrong, Is sued in her official personal capacity
 10 As United States Northern District Court, Judge of California Claudia Wilkins in her official
 11 personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Judge of California Defendants
 12 JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and
 13 Division in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities Orinda D. Evans, Is sued
 14 in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Judge of Georgia Allen
 15 Baverman, in his official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court Magistrate
 16 Judge, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of
 17 Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Shawn
 18 Donovan, in his official personal capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and
 19 Urban Development, Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing
 20 Authority He or she is sued in her/his official or former personal capacity as Director of the
 21 San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San
 22 Francisco Housing Authority in their official personal capacity or former capacities Hayes
 23 Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan
 24 Management Services Inc. MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of
 25 California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn
 26 Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her official
 27 capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County, Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William
 28 Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority,
 in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda
 County Housing Authority Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda
 County Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or "former "capacities, Defendants
 JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual
 and official and/or "former" capacity Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of
 DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official "former "capacity as Chief of Police
 of Dekalb County, Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, in her official and/or "former" capacity as
 arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department,
 Officer Franklin in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
 Police Officer, Detective George in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the
 DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton in his/her official and/or "former"
 capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13
 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former"
 capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of
 Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or
 "former" capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the

73.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
 2 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities,
 3 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in
 4 his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in her
 5 official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or
 6 Sherriff Department Defendants, JOHN DOE 16 unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett
 7 County, GA Police or Sherriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former"
 8 capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of
 9 Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities and Does
 10 John Does 18 thru 1000 inclusive
 11 certifies that the case is of general public importance.

12 jointly and/or severally with;

- 13 (1) knowingly and willfully falsified, concealed or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a
 14 material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or
 15 makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false,
 16 fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001
- 17 (2) Knowingly and willfully while under a lawfully administered oath or affirmation, testified
 18 falsely or made a deposition or statement, in a judicial, legislative or administrative
 19 proceeding under oath in a court of law, in violation of Federal law.
- 20 (3) knowingly and willfully committed an act under the color of law to deprive, and/or violate
 21 the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 242
 22 (multiple counts)
- 23 (4) knowingly and willfully conspiring with each other to deprive, and/or violate the
 24 Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, and/or other tenant, and civil rights in
 25 violation of 18 U.S.C. section 241 (multiple counts)
- 26 (5) knowingly and willfully falsely imprisoned the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in
 27 violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1495 (unjust correction and imprisonment).

28 -34-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

- (6) knowingly and willfully schemed, planned, abused the legal process, obtained labor from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and caused the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to believe that if she did not perform labor that the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater would suffer physical restraint in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1589.
- (7) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally holds the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater by an arrest warrant with the intent of the Complainant to return the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to a condition of peonage, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1581 Peonage
- (8) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally racketeering across state lines, in violation of the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act("RICO") at 18 U.S.C. 1962.
- (9) knowingly and willfully, and intentionally retaliating against federal witness, victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1513.
- (10) Knowingly and willfully devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, by transmitting and causing to be transmitted by means of wire, radio or television communications in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1343.
- (11) misrepresented the United States of America in violation of 28 U.S.C. 530B
- (12) The Defendants individually and/or severally and/or jointly, knowingly and willfully threaten and used physical force against the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater with intent, and influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in an official proceeding; and/or caused the complainant Sharon Bridgewater to withhold

-25-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 testimony, or record, or documents, from an official proceeding with the intent and
2 impaired the availability of the object for use in an official proceeding or influenced,
3 delayed, or prevented the testimony of the Complainant in an official proceeding; or
4 caused or induced the Complainant to withhold testimony, or withhold a record,
5 document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or altered, destroyed, mutilated,
6 or concealed an object with intent to impair the integrity or availability of the object for
7 use in an official proceeding; or evaded legal process summoning to the Complainant to
8 appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official
9 proceeding; or caused the Complainant to be absent from an official proceeding to which
10 that person has been summoned by legal process; or hindered, delayed, or prevented the
11 communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information
12 relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense in violation of 18
13 USC section 1512 and/or;

14 (13) Knowingly and willfully, placed in a post office, or in an authorized depository for mail,
15 matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service for the purposes of executing a
16 scheme and/or artifice to defraud the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and of obtaining
17 money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, after
18 having devised or intended to devise said scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain
19 money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, in
20 violation of 18 U.S.C. 1341.

21 (14) Knowingly and willfully conspiring to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in
22 violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d)

23 (15) knowingly, and willfully, and by threats or force, or by threatening letter or
24 communication, influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence,
25 obstructed, or impeded, the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
26 section 1503;

27 (16) knowingly and willfully by threats or force, willfully prevented, obstructed, impeded, or
28 interfered with, or willfully attempted to prevent, obstructed, impeded, or interfered
with, the due exercise of rights or the performance of duties under any order, judgment,
or decree of a court of the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C section 1509;

-36-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 (17) knowingly and willfully conspired, In addition to the "wrongful use of actual or
2 threatened force, violence, or fear," the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) defines extortion
3 in terms of "the obtaining of property from another, with the Complainant consent
under color of official right and interfered with commerce by threats or violence
obstructed, delayed, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity
in commerce, by robbery and/or physical violence to the Plaintiff and/or property in and
did overt acts in furtherance of a conspiracy in violation of 18 USC section 1951.

6
7 (18) Knowingly, willingly transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign
8 commerce, goods, wares, merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000
9 or more, knowing it to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly,
10 devised or intended to devise a scheme to artifice defraud, or obtain money or property
11 by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transported or
12 causes to be transported, or induced a one or more of the above name Defendants
person to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the
execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud that person or those persons
of money or property having a value of \$5,000 or more in violation of 18 USC section
2314.

13
14 (19) Theft Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds 18 U.S.C. section 666

15
16 (20) Deprivation of Right to Honest Services 18 U.S.C. section 1346

17 (21) Conspiracy to Defraud the U.S. Treasury or Government Federal False Claims trick,
18 USC section 371

19 (22) Theft

20
21 (23) Perjury

22 (24) Fraud

23
24 (25) Concealment

25
26 (26) Violation of Oath of Office/Corruption

27 (27) Misprision of felony.
28

.31.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

(28) Criminal Conspiracy

(29) Robbery

(30) Treason

(31) Fraud on the Court

(32) Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge in Federal and/or State law.

**AFFIDIVANT AND/OR AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE CAUSE IN SUPPORT
OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER -
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT SATIFITORY PERFORMANCE BOND**

AFFIDIVANT AND/OR AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND/OR ALLEGATIONS
AND/OR COMPLAINT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER WITH PREUDGEMENT SATIFITORY PERFORMANCE BOND

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon
Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty
Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company), being duly sworn, says:
I am Sharon Bridgewater is a Federal Witness and Victim of Crime and the "original source"
of the Federal False Claims knowledge of the above named Defendants:

The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater and/or individually and/or on behalf of
the Specialty Investment Group LLC) a dissolved GA Company, advance, articulate, assert,
contend, and complain, by and through this *original* Affidavit and/or *Complaint*, advancing
multiple monetary claims for relief, and declaratory claims for relief, and injunctive relief as
specifically articulated and expressly identified herein below: 1. federal Racketeer Influenced
and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"] [Title 18 United States Code §§ 1961, 1962(a),
1964(a), 1964(b), and 1964(c), and federal Declaratory Judgment Act of 1946 for entry of
appropriate and necessary federal declaratory judgment relief under Title 28 United States Code

- 38 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

§§ 2201-2202. federal supplemental claims under Michigan law, and/or California law for
 monetary relief and equitable relief pursuant to Title 28 United States Code §§1367(a)-(b) against
defendants, Obama and/or Eric Holder Jr., In his official personal capacity as the United States
 Attorney General, Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and
 other agencies of the U.S. government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former
 "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of
 Investigation(FBI)in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants
 JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their official personal
 capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US "State" Attorney
 (ies) General(s) and they are sued in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities.
 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are
 sued in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Saundra Brown Armstrong, Is
 sued in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District Court, Judge of
 California Claudia Wilkins in her official personal capacity As United States Northern District
 Court Judge of California Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern
 District of California, Oakland, and Division in their official personal capacity and/or "former
 "capacities Orinda D. Evans, Is sued in her official personal capacity As United States Northern
 District Court Judge of Georgia Allen Baverman, in his official personal capacity As United
 States Northern District Court Magistrate Judge, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees
 of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal
 capacity and/or "former "capacities, Shawn Donovan, in his official personal capacity
 As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development, Defendants JOHN DOE
 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority He or she is sued in her/his official
 or former personal capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants
 JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San Francisco Housing Authority in their official
 personal capacity or former capacities Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley
 Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. MBA Urban
 Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing
 Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John,
 LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
 Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the
 Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity
 As the Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown
 employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or
 "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Executive Director of Dekalb County,
 Georgia in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity Defendants Terrell Bolton
 "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official "former
 "capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County, Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, in her official
 and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department,
 Officer Franklin in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
 Police Officer, Detective George in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the
 DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton in his/her official and/or "former"
 capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13
 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former"

- 74 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown Lawrenceville, GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department Defendants, JOHN DOE 16 unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former" capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities and Does John Does 18 thru 1000 inclusive and each and everyone of them, and INJUCTIVE RELIEF from OBAMA as follows:

III.

***MULTI COMPLEX RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD
[TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964©)] re: DESTRUCTION and INJURY TO BUSINESS
AND PROPERTY and OBTAINING MONEY OR PROPERTY BY
ANDTHROUGH FALSE PRETENSE, FRAUD, THEFT, and CONVERSION,***

RICO ENTERPRIZE #1

.40.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 On or about Sept. 2004, while visiting a friend(an upsale neighborhood)in Gwinnett County my
3 car rental car was parked in the driveway. The rental car and "an 2004 Chevy Malibu," and had
Michigan Drivers Plates.

4 An "unknown" Gwinnett County Police Officer or Sherriff, "allegedly" checked the Plates on
5 the rental car, asked questions about the car, and subsequently told me the rental car I had in my
6 possession was reported stolen.

7
8 I then responded, and told the Officer that there had to be a mistake. I then told him my
9 automobile(s) had full coverage, and my insurance company was suppose cover my rental car
fee's. (At the time I owned a 1999 Madza 626 and a 1998 Ford Ranger Truck(see exh.).

10
11
12
13 Despite the explanation, the Gwinnett County Officer, arrested me, charged with theft by
14 receiving a stolen vehical, and detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center and my
15 bond was \$2,500.00. I then paid the bond and was release from jail. The arrest caused horrific
psychological damages, as mentioned in the above_____.

16
17
18 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint an Defense Attorney for me in the criminal case.

19 The State then appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense Counsel.

20
21 I met with Lucas Harsh. He then questioned me about my life. I told him I had just relocated
22 from Michigan and had just started a Real Estate Company. Lucas Harsh then asked me for
23 money. I told him I did not have any money, due to the recent moving cost, and the start of my
business the Specialty Investment Group LLC.

24
25
26 Later, maybe a week or so went by, Lucas Harsh called me and told me because I did not have
27 any money, I must plea guilty to theft by receiving a stolen vehical. He then "as defense
counsel" pressured me to plea guilty to the of theft by receiving.

28 - 4/-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3 I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way that I would plea guilty to a crime I did commit. I
then subsequently told Harsh, his acts were that of a Prosecuting Attorney. Lucas Harsh and I
could not see "eye-to-eye," we disagreed, and on two occasions argued about my defense in the
case. I subsequently told Lucas Harsh, that I would represent myself in the criminal
prosecution, and/or hire another attorney for defense counsel. I then "fired" Lucas Harsh, as my
Defense Counsel, and told him he should not be a defense attorney for "anyone" he he treated
others as he had treated me in the case.

8 I borrowed money from a friend, hired a different Defense Attorney (not from Gwinnett County)
to represent me in the criminal prosecution.

10 The charge of theft by receiving of stolen vehical charge resulted in a "DISMISSAL."

12 Upon information and belief Harsh, et al retaliated, conspired with other Gwinnett County
Official, to convict the Plaintiffs of a crime without due process of law and subject the
complaintant to peonage and slavery.

15 The reason why I believe this is:

17 On Nov. 20,2005, I Sharon Bridgewater a African Amerian female "CITIZEN OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" while conducting business in Gwinnett County, the
Plaintiff(a Black female driving with the regular flow of traffic and driving "normal" (not
engaged in any suspicious activity), and had violated no traffic laws.

22 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**
23 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**
24 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**
25 **FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISIONMENT, Federal Principal and**
26 **Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**
27 **and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**
28 **Aiding and Abetting**

-42

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 ***The Plaintiff allege that on Nov. 20, 2005, Defendants Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville***
3 ***Police Officer acted under the color of Georgia law, employed the federal interstate wires via***
4 ***police radio originating in the State of Georgia and terminating in the State of Georgia to***
5 ***Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers the individuals came to***
6 ***the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, knowingly,intentionally and***
7 ***maliciously, discriminated based on class race, or ethnicity used, threat, coercion and "excessive***
8 ***force" "stopped" Plaintiffs at "Gunpoint" for an alleged "improper lane change.***

9 Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14
10 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers, at all times mentioned had a duty and/or obligation
11 to follow correct police procedures in the traffic stop.

12 There was no probable cause for Officer Hardin and JOHN DOE unknown "former" or
13 current Lawrenceville Police Officers, to use excessive force and make a felony traffic stop
14 for a "alleged" improper lane change. There was no probable cause treat to the
15 complainant different from other drivers who are "stopped while driving" for an
16 improper lane change.

17 The Defendants actions constitute a discriminatory traffic stop and based on race, class ethnicity.
18 The Defendants actions constitute an conspiracy under the act color of Georgia State law, and a
19 violation of the Plaintiffs 4th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in
20 the traffic stop. Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or
21 (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10)
22 and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24)
23 and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as
24 mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges(The United States ex rel
25 Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

26 The Plaintiffs allege that excessive force, threat coercion and force, traffic stop was to subject
27 the complainant to Slavery and peonage, and/or coerce the complainant to go to the Superior
28 Court of Gwinnett County Georgia.

- 43

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 Plaintiff allege Officer Hardin and JOHN DOE unknown "former" or current Lawrenceville
3 Police Officers, the Defendants subsequently "falsely arrested the Plaintiff's" without due
4 process of law, illegal issued six traffic citations, illegally detained the Plaintiffs against
5 will, and put restraints on the Plaintiffs and detained the Plaintiffs in the Gwinnett County
6 Detention Center for two days, against my will, through, threat, coercion or force, falsely
7 imprisoned the complainant Sharon Bridgewater without due process of law. (in the
8 Gwinnett County Jail) and did overt acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

9
10 The actions of the Defendants damaged the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff had to pay tow bills,
11 had lost of business, suffered extreme mental and emotional distress and/or had other
12 damages..

13
14
15 Officer Hardin a "former" Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14
16 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers, at all times mentioned knew or should have known
17 to "stop" the Plaintiff while driving, as any other citizen is "stopped" for an improper lane
18 change, and the Defendants breached their duties and/or obligation in traffic stop, and is liable
19 for damages to the Plaintiffs.

20
21
22 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin a "former"
23 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA
24 Police Officers, issued an arrest warrant warrant for the six traffic citations, and did overt
25 acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

26
27
28 The Plaintiffs allege that excessive force, threat coercion and force, traffic stop was to advance
the interest in the Gwinnett County Detention Center a "Private Jail Facility" owed, control,
managed and operated by two or more of the above Defenants, and/or subject the complainant to
Slavery and peonage.

On or about Jan-Dec. 2006 while conducting business, in, I was driving my car, and was
"detained/stopped," again by a Does 18-1000, Gwinnett County Police Officer alleged for a tags
violation.

SH

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Plaintiffs was arrested (for failure to appear for trial for the above six traffic violation of the
 2 Lawrenceville Police Department) and detained for nine days in the Gwinnett County Jail
 3 without any legal representation for failure to appear. Superior Court of the State of
 Georgia, State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon
 Bridgewater.

4 The actions of the Defendants caused the damages, of lost of company vehical, lost of
 5 business, and damages from extreme mental and emotional distress.

6
 7 I requested a court appointed attorney for defense counsel. The State of Georgia then
 8 appointed Jack Spence.

9
 10
 11 On or about the 9th day, Jack Spence, my court appointed Attorney and Defense counsel came
 12 to the Gwinnett County Detention Center and counseled me.

13 27. Mr. Spence at that time told me it would take at least six to eighth months for
 14 the case to be called for jury trial, and subsequently told me I would get convicted of one or
 15 more of the six crimes. Mr. Spence then subsequently gave the Complainant an ultimatum ,
 to either ;

- 16 1) sit in jail approx. six to eight months and await for a trial of the above
 Charges or ,
- 17 2) Pled guilty to one or more of the charges, State Court Accusation and case #
 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. and be released
 18 from jail immediately.¹

19
 20 I then, pleaded guilty to one or more of the above charges, and was subjected to peonage
 21 and/or slavery and was released from jail.

22
 23 Mr. Spence actions constitute a violation of my 6th amendment US Constitutional right
 24 and/or constitute threat, coercion to subject the Plaintiff to peonage and slavery without due
 process of law.

25
 26
 27
 28 ¹ The Sixth amendment provides for a speedy trial in criminal prosecution.

1 After my release from Jail I exercised US Constitutional legal right and immediately
 2 withdrew my plea, demanding a jury trial and requested a different Defense court appointed
 3 attorney(see exh.)

4 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**
 5 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**
 6 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**
 7 **FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**
 8 **Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**
 9 **and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**
 10 **Aiding and Abetting**

11 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin a "former"
 12 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA
 13 Police Officers, on or about June 30, 2007 and/or July 2, 2007, and/or July 10, 2007, Randy
 14 Rich, Lucas Harsh and Rosanno Szabo adopted the acts of Lawrenceville Police Department.
 15 came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement abused the Superior Court
 16 of Gwinnett County, conceal material known facts from the complaintant. Randy Rich
 17 appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense counsel for the complaintant. Randy Rich then order that the
 18 complaintant were not allowed to file any motions on her own behalf.(see exh.)

19 Randy Rich and/or Lucas Harsh and/or Rosanna Szabo had a legal obligation and/or duty to to
 20 do their duties as an State Court Judge to make impartial decisions as a State Judge, Harsh had a
 21 legal duty and/or obligation as Defense attorney to represent the Plaintiff and inform the Plaintiff
 22 of any proceedings pending before the court and Rosanna Szabo had a legal duty as Prosecutor to
 23 abide by State laws, in the criminal proceedings and/or prosecution of the Plaintiffs.

24 The Defendants actions constitute fradulantant concealment and a violated the Plaintiffs Sharon
 25 Bridgewater right to free speech, violated my right to freedom of expression and/or my first
 26 amendment US Constitutional right, and my right to counsel, that he was defense counsel for the
 27 Complaintant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC). with malice, the intent to
 28 aid abet the Lawrenceville Police Officer, use threat coercion or intimidation, discriminated,
 retaliate against a Federal Witness and victim of crime of the Lawrenceville, GA Police
 Department, and the acts were legally done and did overt acts and/or omissions to further of the
 objective of the conspiacy.

29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000
 1001
 1002
 1003
 1004
 1005
 1006
 1007
 1008
 1009
 1010
 1011
 1012
 1013
 1014
 1015
 1016
 1017
 1018
 1019
 1020
 1021
 1022
 1023
 1024
 1025
 1026
 1027
 1028
 1029
 1030
 1031
 1032
 1033
 1034
 1035
 1036
 1037
 1038
 1039
 1040
 1041
 1042
 1043
 1044
 1045
 1046
 1047
 1048
 1049
 1050
 1051
 1052
 1053
 1054
 1055
 1056
 1057
 1058
 1059
 1060
 1061
 1062
 1063
 1064
 1065
 1066
 1067
 1068
 1069
 1070
 1071
 1072
 1073
 1074
 1075
 1076
 1077
 1078
 1079
 1080
 1081
 1082
 1083
 1084
 1085
 1086
 1087
 1088
 1089
 1090
 1091
 1092
 1093
 1094
 1095
 1096
 1097
 1098
 1099
 1100
 1101
 1102
 1103
 1104
 1105
 1106
 1107
 1108
 1109
 1110
 1111
 1112
 1113
 1114
 1115
 1116
 1117
 1118
 1119
 1120
 1121
 1122
 1123
 1124
 1125
 1126
 1127
 1128
 1129
 1130
 1131
 1132
 1133
 1134
 1135
 1136
 1137
 1138
 1139
 1140
 1141
 1142
 1143
 1144
 1145
 1146
 1147
 1148
 1149
 1150
 1151
 1152
 1153
 1154
 1155
 1156
 1157
 1158
 1159
 1160
 1161
 1162
 1163
 1164
 1165
 1166
 1167
 1168
 1169
 1170
 1171
 1172
 1173
 1174
 1175
 1176
 1177
 1178
 1179
 1180
 1181
 1182
 1183
 1184
 1185
 1186
 1187
 1188
 1189
 1190
 1191
 1192
 1193
 1194
 1195
 1196
 1197
 1198
 1199
 1200
 1201
 1202
 1203
 1204
 1205
 1206
 1207
 1208
 1209
 1210
 1211
 1212
 1213
 1214
 1215
 1216
 1217
 1218
 1219
 1220
 1221
 1222
 1223
 1224
 1225
 1226
 1227
 1228
 1229
 1230
 1231
 1232
 1233
 1234
 1235
 1236
 1237
 1238
 1239
 1240
 1241
 1242
 1243
 1244
 1245
 1246
 1247
 1248
 1249
 1250
 1251
 1252
 1253
 1254
 1255
 1256
 1257
 1258
 1259
 1260
 1261
 1262
 1263
 1264
 1265
 1266
 1267
 1268
 1269
 1270
 1271
 1272
 1273
 1274
 1275
 1276
 1277
 1278
 1279
 1280
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300
 1301
 1302
 1303
 1304
 1305
 1306
 1307
 1308
 1309
 1310
 1311
 1312
 1313
 1314
 1315
 1316
 1317
 1318
 1319
 1320
 1321
 1322
 1323
 1324
 1325
 1326
 1327
 1328
 1329
 1330
 1331
 1332
 1333
 1334
 1335
 1336
 1337
 1338
 1339
 1340
 1341
 1342
 1343
 1344
 1345
 1346
 1347
 1348
 1349
 1350
 1351
 1352
 1353
 1354
 1355
 1356
 1357
 1358
 1359
 1360
 1361
 1362
 1363
 1364
 1365
 1366
 1367
 1368
 1369
 1370
 1371
 1372
 1373
 1374
 1375
 1376
 1377
 1378
 1379
 1380
 1381
 1382
 1383
 1384
 1385
 1386
 1387
 1388
 1389
 1390
 1391
 1392
 1393
 1394
 1395
 1396
 1397
 1398
 1399
 1400
 1401
 1402

1 The Plaintiff were unaware of these secret meeting, and would have hired a lawyer and/or would
2 have acted as her own defense attorney.

3
4 Plaintiffs allege the Defendants were under a duty to disclose the above known material facts,
5 and did overt acts or omission to further the object of the conspiracy.

6
7 Plaintiffs allege the Defendants actions were taken to deprive the Plaintiff her right to a jury trial
8 and/or deprive the Plaintiffs their right to confront accusers.

9
10 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants Plaintiff allege that on Sept. 18, 2007
11 Defendant Lucas Harsh, employed the federal interstate wires originating within the state of
12 Georgia , and terminating within the state of Georgia defendants terminating in the State of
13 Georgia to Complainant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)and did overt
14 acts or omissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

15 "meet me at 9:00 am in the morning for trial at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville, GA 30045,"

16
17 The purpose of this call was to deceive the complainant, use threat, coercion and force and trick
18 the complainant to sign a plea agreement against her will.

19
20 Plaintiff allege that Lucas Harsh purposefully, intended to violate my right to counsel, because
21 he failed to tell me their would not be a jury trial, and thfurther the conspiracy to subject the
22 complainant to peonage and slavery.

23
24 On or about Sept. 19, 2007, I followed Harsh's instruction and met him at the court house.

25
26 Plaintiffs allege that on **16 February 2010**, At 9:12 AM, at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville,
27 GA 30045, in an "unknown" courtroom in State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2,
28 State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, at trial, Lucas Harsh, acted under the Color of Georgia
State Law, with the malicious intent to use threat, coercion, force and to fraudulently induce me
used intimation and force, told the Plaintiffs that he(Lucas Harsh) would not represent the
Plaintiffs in a defense and/or jury trial. Harsh then used threat, coercion and/or force and told me
if I did not plea to reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance I would get convicted
of all six traffic charges.

.47.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 I then demanded a jury trial, and demanded to represent myself in the case. Randy Rich and/or
2 Lucas Harsh, told me "it is ordered the Plaintiffs are not to file any motions on her own behalf"
3 and/or I could not speak in my own behalf.

4
5 Lucas Harsh then subsequently made a false,deceitful material representation and told me Sharon
6 Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) if I did not plea to reckless driving and and
7 driving with no proof of insurance that I would get convicted of all six charges.

8 Harsh had a legal duty and/or obligation to inform Plaintiffs that he was my defense counsel
9 before hand. Rich knew and were aware I had the right to speak on my on behalf. Rich and/or
10 Lucas Harsh knew the material representation was false or deciful when they made it. Rich
11 and/or Lucas Harsh intended for me Sharon Bridgewater to rely on the representation. I could
12 not speak for myself based on Randy Rich "order" and I relied on the representation of Randy
13 Rich and/or Lucas Harsh.

14 Plaintiffs allege the false represenatitions, fear of being convicted of all six traffic violations,
15 threats, coercion and/or force caused the Plaintiffs to agree to the Defendants threats, and caused
16 me to involunatry plea to reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance.

17 There was no probable cause for the Defendants to violate the plaintiffs US Constitutional rights.

18 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Plaintiff alleged that on Sept. 19,
19 2007, on the day of trial, Lucash Harsh acted in joint participation with Rosanno Szabo, then
20 acted under the color of Georgia law, aided, abetted,then prepared a guilty plea and waiver of
21 jury, charge of reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance, the disposition of fines
22 \$1080.00, to professional probation services, GNC, Community Service at Gwinnett County
23 Detention Center prepared a disposition form and a Georgia Secretary of State Subspention of
24 license forms and did act or ommissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

25
26
27
28
48

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

IN THE STATE COURT of GWINNETT COUNTY
STATE of GEORGIA
VS.

CRIMINAL ACTION
OFFENSE(S)

TERM. 2007
DATE of OFFENSE: 11.20.05

RACE: SEX: DOB: OTN:

PLEA: ☒ TRIAL: ☐ VERDICT: ☒ OTHER: ☒
☐ NEGOTIATED ☐ JURY ☐ GUILTY ON CT(S) ☒ NOLE PROS CT(S) 1145
☐ GUILTY ON CT(S) ☐ BENCH ☐ NOT GUILTY CT(S) ☐ DEAD DOCKET CT(S)
☐ NOLO CONTENDERE CT(S)

MISDEMEANOR SENTENCE

WHEREAS, the defendant has been found guilty of or has entered a plea to the above-stated offense(s), it is ORDERED that the defendant is sentenced to:
☒ Confinement in the Gwinnett County Jail ☒ Comprehensive Correctional Complex for a period of 12 months

☐ After service of Credit time served CTS 7 months The remainder to be served on ☐ PROBATION ☐ SUSPENSION

☐ The entire sentence of confinement may be served, subject to the conditions set out herein, on ☒ PROBATION ☐ SUSPENSION

☐ Payment of RESTITUTION (see attached order.) ☒ Fine in the amount of \$ 280 Pay by: 11/20/07

PLUS applicable surcharges.

CONDITIONS OF ☒ PROBATION ☐ SUSPENSION

- ☒ You must obey all laws and avoid persons of disreputable or harmful character.
- ☒ You must avoid injurious and vicious habits, especially alcohol and narcotics unless lawfully prescribed.
- ☒ You must work faithfully and not change your current residence or leave the jurisdiction of the Court without the permission of the Court.
- ☐ You must report to your Probation Officer as directed and allow your Probation Officer to visit you wherever you are.
- ☐ You must pay all fines and restitution within the time specified by your Probation Officer.
- ☒ You must pay a Probation Supervision Fee of \$30 each month to Professional Probation Services, Inc., the Court's probation services contractor.
- ☒ You must pay a Crime Victims Compensation Program fee of \$9.00 each month.
- ☐ You must perform _____ hours of COMMUNITY SERVICE at the direction of your Probation Officer.
- ☒ You must perform 5 days of COMMUNITY SERVICE through the GCCC. REPORT For orientation. You must pay a Supervision Fee of \$75 plus a daily fee determined by the program director.
- ☐ You must prove your attendance at ☐ Alcoholics Anonymous or ☐ Narcotics Anonymous _____ meetings PER WEEK for _____ consecutive weeks.
- ☒ You must prove you attended a state approved school for ☒ State mandated Risk Reduction ☐ Defensive Driving
- ☒ You must provide proof of your evaluation and treatment for ☐ mental health ☒ substance abuse at the GRN Mental Health Unit.
- ☐ You will not use alcohol or narcotics and you must submit to random alcohol / drug screening.
- ☐ You must have NO contact with NO VIOLENT contact with, or visit the premises of _____
- ☐ You must provide proof of attendance and completion of ☐ certified family violence intervention program ☐ anger management ☐ values clarification
- ☐ Appear before this Court on _____, at _____ A.M. to prove completion of the terms of this sentence or your inability to comply.
- ☐ You must ☐ pay a \$25 Publication fee ☐ install ignition interlock ☐ tag forfeiture
- ☐ If fines, surcharges, & special conditions of the sentence are met/completed, probation may become ☐ non-reporting ☐ terminated.
- ☐ Attend the Victim Impact Panel ☐ scheduled: _____ @ 6:30 p.m. ☐ as scheduled by probation. Pay a program fee of \$20.00.
- ☒ 11/20/07 11/20/07
- ☐

The Defendant is further advised that the Court may, at any time, revoke any conditions of this probation and / or discharge the defendant from probation. The Defendant shall be subject to arrest for violation of any condition of probation herein granted. If such probation is revoked, the Court may order the execution of the sentence which was originally imposed, or any portion thereof, in the manner provided by law after deducting therefrom the amount of time the Defendant has served on probation.

Defendant was represented by Attorney David H. Hays County, by (Employment) (Appointment)
Court Reporter David H. Hays Judge (print) Barry B. Hays

ORDERED this 17 day of September, 2007 Judge (sign) _____ ☐ By designation

WHITE - Clerk YELLOW - Solicitor PINK - Probation BLUE - Defense Attorney

AOC Fm 18 NCR [30] July 2004

-49-
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)under duress, threat or coercion
3 involunatry signed the disposition and agreed to pay \$1, 080.00, do force labor against my will
against my will and the act was legal done.

4
5 The Defendants through violation of the Plaintiffs civil rights, threat, intimidation, force, or
6 extortion, defrauded Plaintiff out of \$1080.00, and subjected the Plaintiffs to peonage
7 and/or slavery.

8
9 The Defendants actions constitute a violation my 1st or 6th via the 5 or 14th US Constitution
10 amendment right, outrageous Prosecutorial misconduct and the Defendants actions also
11 constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7)
12 and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or(11)and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or
13 (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or
(22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or
(30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal
charges(The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

14 Randy Rich and/or Lucas Harsh and/or Rosanna Szabo breached their legal obligation. Rich
15 knew or should have known to make impartial decision, and/or duty as an State Court Judge
16 made numerous bias, partial decisions and breach his duty as Judge. Harsh knew or should have
17 known to counsel the Plaintiff, and breached his legal duty and/or obligation as Defense attorney
18 failed to inform the plaintiffs of legal proceedings, failed to represent the Plaintiffs, and inform
19 the Plaintiff of proceedings pending before the court. Rosanna Szabo knew or should have
known to comply with State law in the criminal proceeding and breached her legal duty and/or
obligation as Prosecutor failed to abide by State laws, in the criminal proceedings and/or
prosecution of the Plaintiffs, and all are liable for damages to the Plaintiffs.

20
21 The Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions were taken just for the purposes to falsely imprison
22 the complaintant and defraud the complaintant out of money or property and to advance the
23 interest of Gwinnett county jail to prevent me from confront a witness of constitute a joint
24 participation and through, coercion,threat, or force, intimidation caused my signature to be place
on a legal document of a dispostition, and the act was legally done.

25 Plaintiffs allege that Randy Rich, et al actions were taken in clear absence of all Jurisdiction.
26 Plaitiff allege that Rich et al, deprive the Plaintiffs right to confront accusers, and the
27 representation and/or disposition executed and enforced by Harsh, Rich and Szabo, constituted
actionable extortion indictable pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. §1951(a). and/or said individual

28
-50-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL