

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the  
District of Columbia

Sharon Bridgewater [REDACTED]

Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant

v.

Civil Action No.

Donald B. Verrilli in his official capacity as Solicitor  
General of the United States  
The Department of Justice - Room 5614  
950 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington D.C. 20530-0001 et al  
Defendant Respondent Appellee

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Donald B. Verrilli in his official capacity as Solicitor General of the United States  
The Department of Justice - Room 5614  
950 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
Washington D.C. 20530-0001 et al  
Defendant Respondent Appellee

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

**University of Maryland University College**  
*Graduate Student Affairs*

December 18, 2008

Sharon Bridgewater  
105 Miner Place  
P.O. Box 1837, Makawao, HI 96768  
Makawao, HI 96768

Dear Sharon Bridgewater:

A review of your course work indicates that you have not maintained a satisfactory academic record. Therefore, I must inform you that you have been dismissed from the Graduate School at University of Maryland University College.

The academic regulations of the Graduate School require students to maintain specific academic requirements to be eligible to continue enrollment in graduate courses. Information regarding this policy is located at [http://www.umuc.edu/policy/aa15800\\_fall.shtml](http://www.umuc.edu/policy/aa15800_fall.shtml).

If you are currently registered, tuition and fees will be refunded in full. If you have any questions regarding this matter, you can contact Graduate Advising at (301) 985-7155. I wish you success in your future endeavors.

Sincerely,

*Julie L. Coe*

Julie L. Coe  
Director, Graduate Student Affairs

EmplID - 0443920

217

my Lord Jesus Christ. At the age of around seven or eight I learned to pray. I prayed on a daily

mother raised all of us in the church. Every Sunday we went to church and there I learned about

sisters, and one older brother and a younger brother. I am the youngest girl. My father and

United States Citizen, and America is my home. I am the second child. I have three older

imunities and further have rights as defined in the Declaration of Human Rights. I am an

„common goal.“ I am an African American Citizen with equal rights, equal privileges and

I am not Martin Luther King but I agree with his teachings. We are people that have a

Christ and shared with the „Universe,“ his love for our father the Lord Jesus Christ.

are equal in the sight of God. Doctor Martin Luther King preached to many people about Jesus

Constitution, to advocate on behalf of African American and advocated that „all men and women

Spirit. Martin Luther King „took on the United States Government via the United States

Pastor of Ebenezer Baptist Church in Atlanta Georgia, he believed in God, Jesus and the Holy

spokesperson for nonviolent of achieving social change. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. was a

segregation and discrimination in America during the 1950s and 1960s and a leading

Holiday. Martin Luther King was a prominent leader in Civil Rights Movement to end racial

open her any other place to do this example Martin Luther King's Birthday and a

Shareen Birdgewater wanted to file this complaint yesterday, however I could not find a bank

THE PURPOSE OF THIS COMPLAINT AND THE PLAINTEXT-BACKGROUND

[DESCRIPTION OF RECKLESS CLAIM IN ITS ENTIRETY]

CIRCUMSTANCES FOR WHICH CLAIM ARISES

United States as well as the Plaintiff Bridgewater. He at all times mentioned owe a duty of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater a duty of loyalty, a duty of impartiality, accountability and a duty to preserve the public's trust in the government. He is further subjected to regulation and/or laws under the United States Bill of Rights, including the First, second, Fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth and Fourteenth Amendments and "all the amendments of the United States Constitution, and further is subjected to International treaties, the Declaration for human rights." Trump is prohibited from enforcing issuing "unconstitutional executive orders," and/or orders not in accordance with law and contrary to the United States Constitution, and conspiracy to engage in a pattern of Racketeering Activity. Trump acts or omissions has damaged the Plaintiff in business, person or property.

*RICO PERSON*  
*[RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]*

LORETTA LYNCH AND OTHER CO-CONSPIRATORS  
(LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF AND FUNCTIONS AND  
RELATIONSHIP WITH THE  
PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT/CLAIMANT/PETITIONER

On or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to her term Loretta Lynch( the former US Attorney General) and other co-conspirators at all times mentioned had legal duties and obligation while they were in office. At all times mentioned Loretta Lynch and other co-conspirators was acting in joint participation with other under the color of law, discriminating against the Plaintiff based on race, class, gender, ethnicity, disability to deny and/or deprive the Plaintiff equal protection of the laws and/or equal privileges and immunitites under the laws and damaged the Plaintiff and their acts or omissions continues to damage the Plaintiff.

215

2 Nor may the President take an action not authorized either by the Constitution or by a lawful statute.

2 Nor may the President take an action not authorized either by the Constitution or by a lawful

and/or other lives. Trump position as United States President is Superior to citizens of the and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws that will impact the Plaintiff Bridgewater and relationship-public official/citizen relationship." Trump at all times mentioned had legal duties continuing thru present Trump and Bridgewater had and continues to have a "fiduciary owed of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater. On or about 2005 and of the Constitution. Trump, foreign officials, corporations, partnerships, public officials, etc. care that the laws be faithfully executed," as defined in Article II, Section I, Clause 8 Article II laws are carried out and further "Trump," have legal duties and obligations that "He shall take Constitution of the United States." Trump have legal duties and/or obligations to make sure the States, and will to the best of my ability, preserve, protect and defend the do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United the Execution of his Office, he/she the President shall take the following Oath or Affirmation: "I "So help me God!" Article. II. - The Executive Branch Section I - states before he/she enter on and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which "he" and added, same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the "I, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United President of the United States of America on the Holy Bible while swearing,

efficiently. <sup>2</sup> On or about Jan. 20, 2017 Donald Trump before taking his oath of office, as discretion, the President can gauge when and how appropriated monies can be spent most discretion in deciding the meaning of laws he must execute. When an appropriation provides discretion in deciding how and even when to enforce laws. He also has a range of interpretive

24

and the "State of Affairs" of the United States of America. The President possesses wide Donald Trump "is found", "in possession" or "in charge" of the entire United States and President (until being elected in 2017). **He owns "multi-mega", Real Estate + is considered a GOLF PRACTITIONER.**

bearing Trump's name and another 54 including his initials. Donald Trump himself was the chairman well as different countries [globally], and with 515 subsidiaries and entities with 264 of them develops residential real estate, hotels, resorts, residential towers, golf course in America as compiles the business ventures and investments. The company owns, operates, invests, and international conglomerate based in Trump tower in Midtown Manhattan, New York City, which Donald Trump (The Trump Organization - from 1971 to 2017), is a privately owned duties as the United President.

Actor acting under the color of State and/or Federal law. He is under contract to perform legal obligations and responsibilities as the United States President. He is a Federal and/or State appointed public official as defined in 18 USC section 201. He have legal duties and United States and charged with the State of Affairs of the United States and is an elected and/or Office of the President on Jan. 20, 2017. He is "labeled," the Chief Executive Officer of the State and/or Federal law. Donald Trump is a United States Citizen, at the time he inherit the public official as defined in 18 USC section 201, and a State Actor acting under the color of of the United States, and is sovereign. He/she is an employee of the United States Government, a Donald Trump is the Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces etc. and is the current President

PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT/CLAIMANT/PETITIONER  
RELATIONSHIP WITH THE  
(LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF AND FUNCTIONS AND  
PRESIDENT DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT/APPELLEE  
DONALD TRUMP IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES

[RCO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]  
RCO PERSON

(E)

Amount Damages

Plaintiff have not been paid for the following amount of due and now owing

Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damaged. Although duly demanded, the

to have an expressed and/or implied agreement and that ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ breached the contract

That Jan. 1, 2009 and continuing thru present, ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ and the Plaintiff had and continues

## BREACH OF CONTRACT

### [RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION]

#### CLAIM II

their respective rights and duties.

defendant ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ in his official capacity as United States President, concerning

injunctive relief. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiff and

conspirators and violated the Plaintiff human rights, and the Plaintiff is entitled to

defined in 18 USC section 1961, RICO prohibited acts, and/or acted with other co-

Plaintiff alleged that ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ in his official capacity committed overt acts as

#### DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

#### CLAIM #1

offer of proof that not only will Plaintiff prevail in this case, but in fact, there are no de

statement of undisputed facts of the adjudicated that this court which is done to make an

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the  
District of Columbia

SIRRON Bridgewater  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant )  
Donald Trump in his official capacity as United States President ) Civil Action No. 18-cv-00001  
The White House )  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. )  
Washington D.C. 20500 and BARAK Hussein OBAMA and Loretta Elizabeth Lynch  
JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL ACTION ) Defendants/Respondents/Appellees

The court has ordered that (check one):

the plaintiff (name) Shawn Bridgewater Plaintiff/Appellant/Plaintiff  
defendant (name) Donald Trump in his official capacity as United States President et al. recover from the defendant (name) Donald Trump in his official capacity as United States President et al. the amount of \$ 120,000.00, less 0.12,500.00 dollars (\$ 107,500.00 ), which includes prejudgment interest at the rate of Waived %, plus post judgment interest at the rate of Waived % per annum, along with costs.

the plaintiff recover nothing, the action be dismissed on the merits, and the defendant (name) recover costs from the plaintiff (name)

This action was (check one):

tried by a jury with Judge \_\_\_\_\_ presiding, and the jury has rendered a verdict.

tried by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ without a jury and the above decision was reached.

decided by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ on a motion for summary judgment.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**CLERK OF COURT**

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

[state injunction order as in ordinary interlocutory injunction or a mere denial of an interlocutory injunction.]

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**

The above entitled action having duly come on by Motion for summary Judgment therefore the Honorable \_\_\_\_\_, on date \_\_\_\_\_, and the court having granting Summary Judgment, it is hereby

ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that this court shall Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_ recover from ~~Donald Trump~~ ~~in his official capacity~~, ~~BAKIR HUSEIN OBAMA~~ ~~and~~ ~~Loretta Lynch~~ ~~in her official capacity~~ the sum of ~~Jointly & severally~~ ~~Plaintiff/Plaintiff Petitioner/Claimant~~ ~~Waiver~~ ~~Interest~~ ~~Interest~~ ~~Cost~~ ~~Waived~~ ~~% to app'y from to the date of payment, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees incurred in collecting sums due to Plaintiff.~~ ~~Waiver~~ ~~Interest~~ ~~Interest~~ ~~Cost~~

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

3

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN REM AND/OR DEFAULT  
JUDGMENT IN REM AND FOR A PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

This cause came for hearing before a statutory ~~three~~ Judge district court convened pursuant to section 2284 of Title 28 of the United States Code Service, and consisting of the Honorable Chief Judge Beryl A. Howell, Judge, the Honorable Judge

of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Judge, the Honorable Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of the United States

District Court for the District of Columbia, on the application of Sharon Bridgewater

PETITIONER //PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT in the above-entitled cause. The application was for an interlocutory injunction, pursuant to PETITIONER

/PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT complaint demanding an interlocutory and final decree

*Jan 3, 2017 Lynch Law & Son*  
enjoying and restraining the enforcement of Barak H. Obama "Jan. 4<sup>th</sup>, 2016, Gun Law on the ground that the statue and/or "action," official capacity violates Sharon

Bridgewater PETITIONER //PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT US Constitutional rights in

that \_\_\_\_\_. On consideration of the verified complaint and of the affidavits of Sharon Bridgewater PETITIONER

/CLAIMANT/PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT and in opposition to the

application(WAIVED),["and after hearing evidence both in support of, and it appearing that the application was duly set down for hearing at on Date: TBA, at Time: TBA, in

Courtroom #6600 of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia located

at 333 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001, and that five days' notice of the

*Donald Trump* *1 And Barak Hussein Obama*  
hearing, has been given to ~~Barak H. Obama~~ in his official capacity and/or that the five days' notice is "waived," it is ordered adjudged and decreed that

①

Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Sharon Bridgwater

**Plaintiff//Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant**

VS. ~~Prostitution is bad~~

Donald Wiers

President ~~John F. Kennedy~~ in his Official Capacity

as

## United States President

- 1) SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN
- 1) REM AND/OR DEFAULT
- 1) JUDGMENT IN REM
- 1) AND FOR A PERMANENT
- 1) INJUNCTION

## The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

And BAZAK HUSSEIN, et al.  
Loretta Elizabeth Lynch, et al. jointly  
of Secular et al.

**Defendant/Respondent/Appellees**

10

Congressman and/or women.

14. For Claim #14 – The issuance of a preliminary injunction is necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable damage for the reason that Sharon Bridgewater US Constitutional rights have been violated and continues to be violated and/or to prevent the Defendants from engaging in a pattern of Racketeering Activity.

Therefore, it is ordered that a preliminary injunction be and it is, granted

*Donald Trump* <sup>his</sup>  
Plaintiff/Appellant/Petitioner against ~~Barack Obama~~ in her official capacity, ~~their~~ <sup>his</sup> agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all person in active concert or participation with them, restraining them, pending the determination of this action or until further order of this court, from enforcing the Obama Gun law of Jan. 4, 2015. The Appellant/Petitioner/Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater is not required to give a bond for payment cost of damages incurred or suffered by any party who shall be found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

United States District Judge

9

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$ 20,000,000,000,000.00  
(Twenty Trillion Dollars)

6. For Claim # 6 VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF 2<sup>ND</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT  
TO KEEP AND BEAR FIREARMS(U.S. CONST. AMEDS, II AND XIV; 42  
USC SECTION (1983)/1985(3) the court awards the Plaintiff \$6,000,000.00  
(Six million)

7. For Claim # 7 Libel this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$ 5,000,000.00 (Five million)

8. For Claim # 8 Negligence this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$ 2,000,000.00 (Two million)

9. For Claim # 9 Punitive Damages this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$ 100,000,000,000.000.000.00

10. For Claim #10 – this court will issue a writ of prohibition to all Supreme Court  
Justices.

11. For Claim #11 Writ of habeas Corpus and mandamus to expunge it is hereby granted  
and this court will issue a writ of habeas corpus and a mandamus to Obama and/or  
Lynch to expunge all “negative information, arrests warrants, etc. in the DOJ data  
base.”

12. For Claim #12 – This court shall issue a writ of Quo Warranto directed at ~~Donald~~ *Donald*

*Trump*  
~~Obama~~

13. For Claim #13 – This court shall issue a writ of Quo Warranto directed at US

(3)

**ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN REM AND/OR DEFAULT JUDGMENT IN REM AND FOR A PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

After consideration of the papers in support of the Plaintiff's motion for summary adjudication of facts and the oral argument of counsel, the Court determines that the following facts have been established as, and it is hereby granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED as follows;

1. For Claim #1 Declaratory Judgment this court Declare that Sharon Bridgewater is

Granted all relief in this complaint.

2. For Claim # 2 Breach of Contract this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$ 400,000.00.

3. For Claim # 3 Conduct and Participation in a RICO *Enterprise* through a *Pattern of*

*Racketeering Activity* this court awards

The Plaintiff the amount of \$ 28,800.00, and treble

Damages in the amount of \$ 86,400.00

4. For Claim # 4 Conspiracy to Engage in a *Pattern of Racketeering Activity* this court

awards the Plaintiff the amount of \$ 7,800.00, and treble

Damages in the amount of \$ 23,400.00

5. For Claim # 5 Malicious Prosecution this court awards

(2)

Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CASE # (REDACTED)

Sharon Bridgewater

Plaintiff//Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant

VS.

Donald Trump  
Donald Trump in his Official Capacity  
as  
United States President

) ORDER GRANTING  
) SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN  
) REM AND/OR DEFAULT  
) JUDGMENT IN REM  
) AND FOR A PERMANENT  
) INJUNCTION

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

*And BARAK Hussein OBAMA and  
Loretta Elizabeth Lynch et al jointly and  
severely et al*  
Defendant/Respondent/Appellees

expunge all arrest warrants, in the NCIC DOJ data base.

For Claim#12- the Plaintiff has standing for an issuance for a writ of quo warranto against

*Donald Trump*  
~~Barack H. Obama~~

For Claim#13- the Plaintiff has standing for an issuance for a writ of quo warranto against  
US Senators and/or Democrats.

For Claim #14 the Plaintiff suffers irreparable harm and the Defendants must be restrained  
and the Plaintiff is entitled to a TRO and immediate injunction.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE

(S)

injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 20,000,000,000,000.00 (Twenty Trillion) due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

*and/or Trump*  
For Claim #6 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama violated the Plaintiffs US Constitutional second amendment rights and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 6,000,000.00 (Six Million) due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

*and/or Trump*  
For Claim #7 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama "libeled" the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 5,000,000.00 (Five million) due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

*and/or Trump*  
For Claim #8-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were negligent and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 2,000,000.00 (Two million) due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

*and/or Trump*  
For Claim #9-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were with malice, fraud and/or oppression and the Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 100,000,000,000,000.00 (One hundred trillion) due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #10-The Defendants Supreme Court Justices order did violate the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights via the 4<sup>th</sup> and/or 5<sup>th</sup> and/or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional amendment and the Plaintiff is entitled to a writ of prohibition.

For Claim #11-The Plaintiff is falsely imprisoned in violation of the US Constitution and/or international treaties, and is entitled to a writ of habeas and/or mandamus to

(4)

## CONCLUSION OF LAW

A claim for breach of contract, is one in which and/or permits a Plaintiff to attach both tangible and/or intangible property to secure payment

. For Claim # 1 – An actual controversy exist between the Parties which permits this court to adjudicate.

For Claim #2 -The Defendant Breached a Contract and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 400,000.00 due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #3 - The Defendant did commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 86,400.00 due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #4 -The Defendant did conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ 23,000.00 due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #5 -The Defendants committed overt acts against the Plaintiff without probable cause and ~~these~~ acts constituted malicious prosecution and the Plaintiff has been

This case was tried without a jury. This case has ripened beyond an abstract question into an actual controversy and that is otherwise within its jurisdiction and as follow . This cause heard on the motion of Sharon Bridgwater Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant in the above entitled action, for summary adjudicificaiton and primary injunction,, and on the affidavit and verified complaint of Sharon Bridgewater, opposition is hereby waived, the court makes the following findings of facts and conclusions of law as follows:

### **FINDING OF FACTS**

1. The court finds that Barak H. Obama has been served with multiple complaint by Sharon Bridgewater and/or Sharon Bridgewater has diligently pursued to serve Obama and has been unable to; and *Trump and OBAMA* has breached a contract, and caused the Plaintiff harm and damage and continues to damage the Plaintiff in business, person or property and money and/or property is due and now owing requiring immediate injunctive relief.
2. This courts finds that Obama has failed to defend and/or otherwise plead and is in default, *And Trump is his Predecessor and is liable as well.*

(8)

Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT  
333 Constitution Ave. N.W.  
Washington, DC 20001-2866

Sharon Bridgewater  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant

vs.  
  
Donald Trump in his Official Capacity as  
United States President

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

And BARAK Hussein OBAMA and  
Loretta Elizabeth Lynch ~~et al~~  
Jointly and severally et al  
Defendants / Respondents / Appellee

9

#2

**DONALD TRUMP SETTLES RACKETEERING LAWSUIT WITH TRUMP UNIVERSITY**

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed 15<sup>th</sup> day of February 2017 in Detroit, Michigan

  
Sharon Bridgewater - Pro Se  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant  
18952 Date Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

Complaint #12– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and the orders of Judge Sandra Brown Armstrong in case # CV-00703SBA in the United States Federal District Court of Northern California dismissing the plaintiffs complaint without prejudice and/or granting the Plaintiff leave to file a first amended complaint \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #13– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Roger and Mary Tonna and the orders of Maxine Chesney in case # CV-04966(MC) in the United States Federal District Court of Northern California dismissing the plaintiffs complaint with prejudice on \_\_\_\_\_ and the orders dated and/or published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #14– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Social Security Commission in case # 3:11-CV-0828-JSC in the United States Federal District Court of Northern California \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #15– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Barak H. Obama and/or Eric Holder in case # 2:12-CV-13942 in the United States Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the order dated Sept. 9, 2012 date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #16– Complaint of The People of the State of Michigan vs. Sharon Bridgewater “Criminal Complaint,” in case # \_\_\_\_\_ in the State of Michigan – Washtenaw County – resisting and obstructing a police officer and orders of Judge Tabby in her official capacity as State Court Judge dated Oct. \_\_\_\_\_ 2012 date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #17– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Barak H. Obama and/or Eric Holder in case # 2:12-14709 in the United States Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the order dated \_\_\_\_\_ by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #18– The People of the State of Michigan vs. Sharon Bridgewater “Criminal Complaint,” in case # \_\_\_\_\_ notice of removal to the Eastern District Court of Michigan and order of remand of Judge Complaint in case # 2:13-13-13129 in the United States Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan notice of removal of criminal complaint and the order dated \_\_\_\_\_ by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #18– Criminal Complaint,” Complaint of The People of the State of Michigan vs. James S. Bridgewater in case # 1511717148- 1500220 State of Michigan – Wayne County – Lying to a police officer and orders of Judge Wirth in her official capacity as State Court Judge dated from Oct. \_\_\_\_\_ 2015 and continuing thru to May 2016 date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

(4)

Complaint #5– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Randy Rich in his official capacity as State Court Judge and the orders of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman, the service of recommendation, objection to Baverman recommendations, in case # 1:11-SV-3828 is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #6– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County and the orders of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman, the service of recommendation, objection to Baverman recommendations, in case # CV-11-5352 is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #7– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Lawrenceville Police Department et al and the orders of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman, the service of recommendation, objection to Baverman recommendations, in case # 1:11-4088 – ODE is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #8– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and the orders of Judge Peters in case # CGC-08-478207 San Francisco Superior Court Case and order granting the Plaintiff leave to file a first amended complaint is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #9– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and the orders of Judge M.Patel in case # CV-08-5622 United States Federal District Court of Northern California and order of dismissal without prejudice is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #10– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and the orders of Judge M.Patel in case # 09-486994 San Francisco Superior Court Case striking the Plaintiff first amended complaint granting the Plaintiff leave to refile a first amended complaint is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #11– Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and the orders of Judge Phyllis Hamilton in case # C-09-3551 in the United States Federal District Court of Northern California dismissing the plaintiffs complaint and granting plaintiff leave to file the State court claims \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

(3)

**OF RECORD HEREIN: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 201, Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following court documents and the following fact in connection with the Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization and/or failure of Obama to act, defend and/or otherwise Plead.

**#1**

**CASES THAT APPEAR IN THE APPENDIX AND FILED FROM BEING ON OR ABOUT AUGUST 7, 2008 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT**

The Order of Obama's ATF, Gun law via Jan. 4, 2016 is published on the White House.gov website and is reprinted in the Appendix at \_\_\_\_\_.

The Order of Obama's Amnesty Program is published on White House.gov website and is reprinted in the Appendix at \_\_\_\_\_.

The Federal District Court for the \_\_\_\_\_ (injunction via Obama Amnesty Program) published Opinion and is reprinted in the Appendix at \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #1 and order(s) of The order of State Court Judge Randy Rich Judge in case # \_\_\_\_\_ is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #2 – Petition for writ of habeas and order(s) of The order of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman in case # CV- 2971 is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #3 – Petition for writ of habeas and order(s) of The order of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman in case # 1:09-CV-02131 is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

Complaint #4 – Complaint of Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County and the orders of Judge O. Evans and/or Magistrate Judge Baverman, the service of recommendation, objection to Baverman recommendations, notice of pre-trial disposition and dismissal of criminal charges; notice of appeal, and appeals Court Judgment in case # 1:09-CV-0182 is filed on \_\_\_\_\_ date is published and is reprinted at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

(2)

Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT  
333 Constitution Ave. N.W.  
Washington, DC 20001-2866

Sharon Bridgewater ) CASE # \_\_\_\_\_  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant )  
)  
)  
)  
vs. ) REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE  
Donald Trump in his Official Capacity as )  
United States President )

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

and Barack Hussein OBAMA and  
Loretta ~~and~~ Elizabeth Lynch jointly & severally  
Defendants/Respondents/Appellees ~~et al.~~

10

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed 1st day of February 2017 in Detroit, Michigan

Sharon Bridgewater -Pro Se  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant  
18952 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

03

direct evidence or circumstantial evidence in which his joint appearance with Obama,<sup>4</sup> et al, (Exert executive privilege) in furtherance of the conspiracy.

A writ of habeas corpus is so important in connection with constitutional liberty that a motion to dismiss it or quash it is not permitted.

The respondent cannot move to quash the order  
Or to dismiss the petition. . . . This is the only civil  
proceeding in which the legal sufficiency of a  
pleading cannot be directly attacked or in which the  
parties are not limited to the issues raised in the  
pleadings; and writs of habeas corpus do not  
Require a petition that states a cause of action.

The use of a petition for writ of habeas corpus to secure the release of the class Plaintiff a Representative of Public interest and/or Class Plaintiff's unlawfully confined against Petitioners will pursuant to the United States Constitution is specifically authorized by the United States Constitution via Federal and/or State law. A mandamus to Donald in his official capacity "et al" JOINTLY AND SEVERLY, to expunge any and all criminal history record information and any official court records regarding James S. Bridgewater and/or Sharon Bridgewater arrest by the Department of Justice and for charges on each and every arrest and from dates November 2005 and continuing thru present, and all all criminal history record information in the custody of any criminal justice agency and the official records of the Department of Justice and all criminal history record information in the custody of any criminal justice agency (operating under the control of the Department of Justice.

---

<sup>4</sup> UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF OBAMA AND TRUMP IN SECRET FRIENDS – AND TALK ON A DAILY BASIS- SEE NIGHTLY NEWS – ABC – JAN. 29<sup>TH</sup> AND/OR JAN. 30, 2017. TRUMP HAVE NO BUSINESS CONDUCTING BUSINESS WITH A FORMER PRESIDENT.

## DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF

This acts of the Donald Trump and/or other co-conspirators are a criminal violations; as they not only deceived plaintiff they also deceived the American people but also this Court that obstruction of a congressional investigation and thus violated a duty owned to the American People and this court to present the truth.

The acts complained of herein as stated in the verified complaint makes a prima Facia showing that plaintiff and/or Petitioner will prevail on all causes of action in this cause of action against the defendants for "Declaratory and Summary Judgment. It is axiomatic that Trump can not proceed to conspire to engage in a pattern of Racketeering Activity and falsely imprisonment the Plaintiff without due process of law.

Donald Trump is not above the law. No man is this country is so high that he is above the law. No Officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the Officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. It is the only supreme over in our system of government, and every man who by accepting Office participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to that supremeancy, and to observe the limitations which it imposes upon the exercise of the authority which it gives. United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196, 220. Trump conspiracy were either by word or his actions(

0



**COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA** for review of the order and/or decision.

Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/California Petitions the United States District Court

Notice is hereby given this day of April 2, 2017, that Sharon Bridgewater

### Defendant/Respondent/Appellee

The Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington DC 20530-0001

Sharon Bridgewater  
CASE # 17-CV-169 (R.B.W.)  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant/Claimant  
VS.  
Defendant  
FOR REVIEW OF AN AGENCY  
BOARD, COMMISSION OR OFFICE  
Jeff Session in his official capacity as Attorney General  
General of the United States

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Sharon Bridgewater  
18592 Dale Street  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-471-8714  
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

The agreement is required by law as United States Attorney General to uphold the US Constitution, and enforce human rights laws as defined in the Declaration of Human Rights. Plaintiff has duly performed all duties and obligation required to be performed by plaintiff and/or contract. Loretta Lynch has failed to perform her duties Committed multiple overt acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961. (Money laundering, human trafficking etc.) Loretta Lynch as United States Attorney General adopted the acts of Eric Holder (civil and/or criminal contempt) in case number 1:12-cv-01332(ABJ) and/or in case # 0:16-cvus-05078 US Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General Case # 1:12-cv-01332(ABJ) refused to comply with a duly authorized subpoena, has violated her oath of office as United States Attorney General, committed overt acts in violation of Federal and/or State law; and violated legal duties and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws.. Loretta Lynch as failed and/or refuse to use discretion in enforcing laws. Loretta Lynch has breached her legal obligations and legal duties as defined in 28 USC section 503. Loretta Lynch acts are negligent, and/or intentional and/or willful. Lynch has , aided, abetted Planned Parenthood, defended partial birth abortions, knowingly, acquired, received, and transferred human organs for valuable consideration for use in human transplantation transferred affecting interstate commerce" in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 289g and 18 U.S.C. § 1531( and the list goes on) as the Plaintiff will show in the latter. Lynch has not acted in the best interest of the public, breached her legal duties and obligations as United States Attorney General; have breached fiduciary duties, violated the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights, violate the "people of the 50 States 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup>

*Loretta Lynch breached her contract when she failed to comply with this court order on Feb. 1, 2016*

(63)

amendment US Constitutional rights; " " breached her duty of loyalty, acted with partiality, a duty of impartiality, has breached her duty of accountability and breached her duty to preserve the public's trust in the government and/or the Plaintiff which requires immediate declaratory and injunctive relief. Lynch failed to perform *breached her oath of office + violated her oath of office* their obligations of the contract, her acts constitute "negligence, common law breach of fiduciary duties, conduct and participation in "RICO and /or CONSPIRACY." Lynch lost her representative capacity to represent the United States as United States Attorney General, when she adopted the acts of Eric Holder and failed to comply with the Committee and Oversight civil investigative demands in case # 1:12 CV 1:1332(ABJ). Lynch unlawfully usurped the Office as the United States Attorney General. The Plaintiff/Claimant/Appellant/Petitioner (James S. Bridgewater. The Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged requiring immediate injunctive relief and/or has demanded damages and other injunctive relief. Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff sustained damages in the amount of \$ 27,200.00 although duly demanded, have not been paid as following the amount of due and now owing \$ 27,200.00 pray for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of 27,200.00 writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

<u>Damages</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>Consequential damages \$ 27,200.00</u>	\$ <u>27,200.00</u>

Amount paid \$ 0

Amount due: \$ 27,200.00



CLAIM III

RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION

**COMMON LAW BREACH OF FIDUARY DUTIES**

42. Plaintiff re-allege the above. Loretta Lynch at all times mentioned breached her loyalty and/or care of duty to the Plaintiff Bridgewater by engaging in "fraudulent, deceptive, practices and/or engaging in a pattern of racketeering activity and/or further to adopt the acts of Eric Holder to obstruct a congressional investigation in case # 1:12 CV: 1332 and was required to use discretion in enforcing laws, and further Loretta Lynch was required to do her legal duties, obligations and/or responsibilities as United States Attorney General as defined in 28 USC section 503. At all times mentioned Lynch breached her loyalty of care of duty to the Plaintiff Bridgewater by engaging in a pattern of racketeering activity, and committing human rights violations, and trafficking in "organs," and human body parts in violation of International/ Federal and/or State law. The Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged requiring immediate injunctive relief. The agreement further required to Lynch to "keep their end of the bargain," upon being sworn in a United States Attorney General. Lynch and Bridgewater had an expressed and/or implied agreement with the Plaintiff Bridgewater. The Plaintiff depended on Loretta Lynch perform her obligations as United States Attorney general, and as a US Citizen and depended on Obama and Lynch. On or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to

(56)

Jan. 4, 2017 and further continuing thru. Sworn to uphold the US Constitution Loretta Lynch violated legal duties and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws. Lynch has not acted in the best interest of the public, breached her legal duties and obligations as United States Attorney General; have violated the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights, violate the "people of the 50 States 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> amendment US Constitutional rights;" "breached her duty of loyalty, acted with partiality, a duty of impartiality, has breach her duty of accountability and a and breach her duty to preserve the public's trust in the government which requires immediate declaratory and injunctive reliefThe Plaintiff performed all the conditions of the contract, and the above mentioned Defendant failed to perform their obligations of the contract and breached the contract. On or about breach, Jan 4, 2017 and May 9<sup>th</sup>, 206 and continuing thru present Barak Hussein Obama at all times mentioned had legal duties to act in the public best interest of the public. All Defendants were required to keep international treaties, and "not to breach international peace." Breached their legal duties and responsibilities. The agreement required Loretta Lynch to uphold the US Constitution, enforce human rights laws as defined in the Declaration of Human Rights. Defendant Loretta Lynch knowingly, intentionally willfully committed multiple overt acts of concealment, multiple violation of 18 USC section 1961 and violated the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights. Lynch acted with fraud, malice and oppression and subject to Lynch net worth. Lynch acted with fraud, concealment, and malice, bad faith, and the Plaintiff is entitled to punative damages. The Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property

(59)

although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief.

The Senate Judiciary financial disclosure she reported assets of \$1,456,900 mostly in securities and liabilities of \$287,000 for a net worth of \$1,169,900. On her Office of Government Ethics financial disclosure Lynch reported her law partnership income of \$686,000 for 2008 and most of 2009, and can be found at can be found at: the following website: [www.mainjustice.com/tag/loretta-lynch/](http://www.mainjustice.com/tag/loretta-lynch/)

<u>Damages</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>future medical expenses</u>	\$ <u>300,000.00</u>
<u>Anticipated future Psychological counseling</u>	\$ <u>300,000.00</u>
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ _____
	\$ <u>600,000.00</u>
Amount paid \$0	Amount due: \$ <u>600,000.00</u>

The Plaintiff have punitive damages in the amount of \$

300,000.00. This amount is due and now owing.

This amount is due and now owing. Plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of \$900,000.00, writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

Amount paid \$0      Amount due \$ 900,000.00

57

CLAIM IV

[RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION]

Conduct and Participation in a RICO *Enterprise*  
through a *Pattern of Racketeering Activity*:

43. Plaintiff re-allege the above. At various times and places partially enumerated in Plaintiff's documentary material, all Defendants did associate with a RICO enterprise of individuals who were associated in fact and who engaged in, and whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce. Likewise, all Defendant Loretta Lynch did conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of said RICO enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, all in violation of one or more 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4), (5), (9), and 1962(c). During the ten (10)-(20) calendar years preceding from, Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present all Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two (2) or more of the RICO predicate acts that are itemized in the RICO laws at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1) (A) and (B), and did so in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) (Prohibited activities). Plaintiff further alleges that all Defendants did commit two (2) or more of the offenses itemized above in a manner which they calculated and premeditated intentionally to threaten continuity, i.e. a continuing threat of their respective racketeering activities, also in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C.

(54)

1962(c) The Plaintiffs//Petitioners/Appellants/Claimants have been injured and damaged by the Defendants/Appellees/Respondents acts or omissions and have damages and prays: That this Court liberally construe the RICO laws and thereby find that all Defendants have associated with a RICO enterprise of persons and of other individuals who were associated in fact, all of whom did engage in, and whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) (Prohibited activities). That this Court liberally construe the RICO laws and thereby find that all Defendants have conducted and/or participated, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of said RICO enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of the RICO laws at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5) ("pattern" defined) and 1962(c) supra. That all Defendants and all of their directors, officers, employees, agents, servants and all other persons in active concert or in participation with them, be enjoined temporarily during pendency of this action, and permanently thereafter, from associating with any RICO enterprise of persons, or of other individuals associated in fact, who do engage in, or whose activities do affect, interstate and foreign commerce. That all Defendants and all of their directors, officers, employees, agents, servants and all other persons in active concert or in participation with them, be enjoined temporarily during pendency of this action, and permanently thereafter, from conducting or participating, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of any RICO enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity in violation of the RICO laws at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5) and 1962(c) supra. That all Defendants and all of their directors, officers, employees, agents, servants and all other persons in active concert or in participation with them, be enjoined temporarily during pendency

(5d)

of this action, and permanently thereafter, from committing any more predicate acts in furtherance of the RICO enterprise alleged in COUNT TWO supra. That all Defendants be required to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived from their several acts of racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) supra and from all other violation(s) of applicable State and federal law(s). That judgment be entered for Plaintiff and against all Defendants for Plaintiff's actual damages, and for any gains, profits, or advantages attributable to all violations of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) in the amount of \$ 28,800.00 That all Defendants pay to Plaintiff treble (triple) damages, under authority of 18 U.S.C. 1964(e), for any gains, profits, or advantages attributable to all violations of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) ~~supra, according to the best that due and now ~~owing~~ owing~~ available proof \$ 86,400.00. That all Defendants pay to Plaintiff all damages sustained by Plaintiff in consequence of Defendants' several violations of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) in the amount of \$ 86,400.00. That all damages caused by all Defendants, and all gains, profits, and advantages derived by all Defendants, from their several acts of racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) supra and from all other violation(s) of applicable State and federal law(s), be deemed to be held in constructive trust, legally foreign with respect to the federal zone [sic], for the benefit of Plaintiff. The Plaintiff has been injured and damaged in business and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

(19)

<u>Damages</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>Business Contracts</u>	<u>\$ 23,000.00</u>
<u>Leather Briefcase</u>	<u>\$ 300.00</u>
<u>HP office Jet Scanner/Printer</u>	<u>\$ 600.00</u>
<u>Quickbook Pro Software (2)</u>	<u>\$ 3,000.00</u>
<u>1 Dell Laptop computer</u>	<u>\$ 700.00</u>
<u>Microsoft - Software for computer</u>	<u>\$ 300.00</u>
<u>1 HP Copier</u>	<u>\$ 200.00</u>
<u>1 Stereo</u>	<u>\$ 700.00</u>
Amount paid \$0	Amount due: \$ <u>28,800.00</u>

This amount is due and now owing. Plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of 28,800.00 writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

Amount paid \$0	Amount due: \$ <u>86,400.00</u>
triple damage multiplier (3x):	
Amount paid \$0	Amount due \$ <u>86,400.00</u>

This amount is due and now owing. Plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of \$86,400.00 writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

Amount paid \$0	Amount due: \$ <u>86,400.00</u>
-----------------	---------------------------------

(61)

CLAIM V

[RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION]

Conspiracy to Engage in a  
*Pattern of Racketeering Activity:*

44. Plaintiff re-allege the above. At various times and places partially enumerated in Plaintiff's *documentary material*, all Defendants did also conspire to conduct and participate in said RICO *enterprise* through a *pattern of racketeering activity*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(c) and (d). See also 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4), (5) and (9). During the ten (10) calendar years preceding March 1, 2003 *A.D.*, all Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two (2) or more of the predicate acts that are itemized at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1)(A) and (B), in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d). Plaintiff further alleges that all Defendants did commit two (2) or more of the offenses itemized above in a manner which they calculated and premeditated intentionally to threaten continuity, *i.e.* a continuing threat of their respective *racketeering activities*, also in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d) (Prohibited activities *supra*). Pursuant to 84 Stat. 947, Sec. 904, Oct. 15, 1970, the RICO laws itemized above are to be *liberally* construed by this honorable Court. Said construction rule was never codified in Title 18 of the United States Code, however. *Respondeat superior* (as explained above). Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be

(12)

<u>Damages</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>1 Gun</u>	<u>\$ 300.00</u>
<u>1 Rifle</u>	<u>\$ 200.00</u>
<u>1 Chevy Camero</u>	<u>\$ 2,400.00</u>
<u>1 Chevy Van</u>	<u>\$ 1,700.00</u>
<u>4 Good year tires</u>	<u>\$ 700.00</u>
<u>Towing (4x5)</u>	<u>\$ 900.00</u>
<u>1 Jvc Car Stereo</u>	<u>\$ 350.00</u>
<u>1 Panasonic Car Stereo</u>	<u>\$ 350.00</u>

This amount is due and now owing. Plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of \$ 7,800.00 writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

Amount paid \$0      Amount due: \$ 7,800.00.

triple damage multiplier (3x);

Amount paid \$0      Amount due \$ 23,400.00

This amount is due and now owing. Plaintiff prays for declaratory judgment and judgment in the amount of 23,400.00 writ of attachment, warrants of arrest.

Amount paid \$0      Amount due: \$ 23,400.00.

(63)

CLAIM VI

[RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION]

NEGLIGENCE

45. The Plaintiff/Appellant/Claimant/Petitioner re-allege paragraph \_\_\_, and hereby incorporates same by reference, as if all were set forth fully herein. In any negligence case, the plaintiff bears the burden of proving the existence of a duty owed by the defendant, a breach of that duty, causation, and damage. On or about April 24, 2017 and continuing thru to present Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as Untied States Attorney General at all times mentioned owed a standard and/or duty of care a to Bridgewater. Loretta Lynch breached her legal duty and/or obligations and the injures, sustained by Plaintiff caused the Plaintiff injury and damages and caused the Plaintiff to lose interest in social activiities, and Lynch actions and the damages were the direct and proximate consequence of Loretta Lynch failure of legal duties and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws in the Transgender Bathroom Law," and her conspiracy with Barak Obama in enforcing the "Obama's Gun Law on or about Jan. 4, 2017, and failure to do her legal duties as United States Attorney General and/ failure to use reasonable care; the negligence of Defendant Loretta Lynch acts or omissions and "gross conduct," were the Direct and/or indirect and/or proximate cause of the Plaintiffs injuries.

Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as

(64)