

Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or  
RELATOR

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SharonBridgewater777@aol.com

**THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES COMMON LAW COURT**

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ("FOR THE 50 STATES AND/OR "WE THE PEOPLE")

CLAIMANT  
JUDGMENT -CREDITOR

**ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME**

AFFIDIVANT AND "RETROACTIVE ORDER OF ADJUDICATION(JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT) OF "**THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND RELATOR TO INTERVENE AS A MATTER OF RIGHT PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE CIVIL PROCECURE 24(A) IN ONE OR MORE CASES ENTITLED SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND/OR CRIMINAL CASES ENTITLED THE STATE OF GEORGIA, MICHIGAN AND/OR CALIFORNIA VS. SHARON AND/OR JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER(SEE LIST INCORPORATED IN THIS NOTICE OF INTERVENTION AND/OR PURSUANT TO COMMON LAW REMEDIES PURSUANT TO ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW**

**FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH**

**ORDER OF ADJUDICATION “THE 50  
STATES EX REL SHARON  
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND  
RELATOR “RETROACTIVE”  
ADJUDICATION AND TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER  
DECLARTORY JUDGMENT AND  
BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL  
CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY;  
DAMAGES; PUNITIVE DAMAGES;  
RESTITUTION; ADMIRALITY AND  
MARITIME CLAIM, PROCESS  
PURSUANT TO ADMIRALTY AND  
MARTIME LAW VIA COMMON  
LAW-SUPPLIMENTAL RULE B, C, D,  
E AND/OR F, ALL COUNTS;  
FORFEITURE TEMPORARY  
RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE;  
FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY;;  
WRIT OF BODY ( NATIONAL  
AND/OR INTERNATIONAL  
ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF  
COMMITMENTS);**

**FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH  
ADMIRALITY AND MARITIME  
CLAIM, SUPPLIMNET RULE  
SUMMARY ADJUFICATION,  
DEFAULT JUDGMENT, BILL OF  
PARTICULAR, JUDGMENT OF  
CONVICTION, SENTENCING**

**DATE: TBA**

**TIME: TBA**

**DEPART: THE FARMINGTON HILLS, MICH.**

**PUBLIC LIBRARY**

**STUDY ROOM #1**

**TRIAL DATE: NONE SET**

**VS.**

**JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR  
INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM),**

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

1 Observatory Circle NW,  
Washington, DC **20008**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENATIVE,**

John G. Roberts, Jr., in his official capacity  
as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme  
Court **AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND  
CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM),**

United States Supreme Court 1 First  
NE,

Washington, DC 20543-0001,

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE, ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

VS.

THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE,  
THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND  
THE WORLD BANK

1,000,000,000,000,000.00(Quadrillion)

in currency(all currency including but not limited to all currency including the British Pound Sterling and/or Digital Pound Sterling, UAE Dirham and/or Digital Dirham, Saudi dinar and/or Digital dinar, U.S. Dollar and/or Digital Dollar, the Chinese Yuan and/or digital yuan, Russian ruble and/or digital ruble, India rupee and/or digital rupee, etc. all cryptocurrency including but not limited to Bitcoin, USD Coin, etc.) all tangible and intangible property(including but not limited to all types of Digital ledger Technology and/or Block chains, any and all MasterCard cryptocurrencies, including but not limited to any and all forms of “monetary”

payment systems for transactions and/or their companies payment transactions with cryptocurrencies books, documents, intellectual property, gold, coins, precious metals etc.), all intangible and tangible property

**ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)  
GARNISHEE AND/OR

IN REM DEFENDANTS

. THE UNDERSIGNED SHARRON BRIDGEWATER BEING DULY SWORN DISPOSES AND SAYS AS  
FOLLOWS:

The United States has a Substantial Legal Interest in this Litigation  
For an applicant's interest in the subject matter of the litigation to be cognible under Rule 24, it must be  
"direct, substantial and legally protectable." It is a direct substancial legal protectable – involves  
National Security and U.S. Borders

The United States has a legally protectable interest in this litigation. Further the Defendants  
have money or property belonging to the United States of America, thus, the United States' interest in  
the pending litigation merits intervention as of right.

3. The Disposition of the Instant Litigation May Impair the United States' Ability to Protect Its  
Interest

The United States' ability to protect its substantial legal interest would be impaired absent  
intervention, the USA will continue to be damaged in money or property.

4. The Existing Parties(Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater)Do Not Adequately  
Represent the United States. The fourth and final element to justify intervention of right is inadequate  
representation of the proposed intervenor's interest by existing parties to the litigation. The  
Defendants will not be prejudiced.

As discussed above, the United States' retroactive adjudication and intervention in this  
"common law court" litigation is timely and the Plaintiff participation would neither unduly delay the  
proceedings nor prejudice the adjudication of the rights of the original parties. Additionally, Plaintiff  
claims against the Defendant—namely, documents, records, etc. Holder has in his possession share  
common questions of law and fact with the private plaintiffs' claims.

Further, the applicants claim an interest in this lawsuit, and are "persons aggrieved" within  
the meaning of 42 USC section 2000h-2. (Discriminatory policies or practicesand/or denial of  
privileges and immunities equal protection of the laws under the fourteenth amendment to the  
Constitution as guaranteed by the United States Constitutional); and have no other adequate  
remedies at law; and further intervenes in this lawsuit vindicating a policy that Congress  
considered of the highest priority in the public interest to benefit the society at large, and to obtain  
declaratory and injunctive relief. The right to intervene pursuant to FRCP 24(A) are satisfied. For  
the above mentioned reasons, the Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners and/or the applicants should be  
allowed to intervene in this as a matter of right.

A private Citizen may move a federal court on behalf of the United States *ex relatione*. United  
States ex rel. Toth v. Quarles, 350 U.S. 11 (1955). Further, whenever the United States proceeds as a  
party defendant, and/or Plaintiffd the sovereign must grant permission to be sued or to sue.  
Wherefore the Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners intervene in this case as a matter of right

pursuant to FRCP 24 A. Wherefore, THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE (“FOR THE 50 STATES AND/OR “WE THE PEOPLE”)

**CLAIMANT**

**JUDGMENT -CREDITOR** The act permit a private person to sue on behalf the United States Government and further the United States of America is the “REAL PARTY IN INTEREST. “

, The prosecutor is appointed solely to pursue the public interest in vindication of the court’s authority. A private attorney appointed to prosecute a criminal contempt therefore certainly should be as disinterested as a public prosecutor who undertakes such a prosecution.

Both statutes [RICO and Clayton Act] bring to bear the pressure of “**private attorneys general**” on a serious national problem for which public prosecutorial resources are deemed inadequate; the mechanism chosen to reach the objective in both the Clayton Act and RICO is the carrot of treble damages.

[Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Associates]  
[107 S.Ct. 2759, 483 U.S. 143, 151 (1987)]  
[**bold** emphasis added]

In rejecting a significantly different focus under RICO, therefore, we are honoring an analogy that Congress itself accepted and relied upon, and one that promotes the objectives of civil RICO as readily as it furthers the objects of the Clayton Act. Both statutes share a common congressional objective of encouraging civil litigation to supplement Government efforts to deter and penalize the respectively prohibited practices. **The object of civil RICO is thus not merely to compensate victims but to turn them into prosecutors, "private attorneys general," dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity.** <sup>3</sup> *Id.*, at 187 (citing *Malley-Duff*, 483 U.S., at 151) (civil RICO specifically has a "further purpose [of] encouraging potential private plaintiffs diligently to investigate"). The provision for treble damages is accordingly justified by the expected benefit of suppressing racketeering activity, an object pursued the sooner the better.

[Rotella v. Wood et al., 528 U.S. 549 (2000)]  
[**bold** and underline emphases added]

Note: The following California State statutes were recently amended by voter approval of Proposition 64. We leave these provisions here, for comparative historical purposes.

**Private Attorney General** statutes,  
California Business and Professions Code

**Section 17204.** Actions for any relief pursuant to this chapter shall be prosecuted exclusively in a court of competent jurisdiction by the Attorney General or any district attorney or by any county counsel authorized by agreement with the district attorney in actions involving violation of a county ordinance, or any city attorney of a city, or city and county, having a population in excess of 750,000, and, with the consent of the district attorney, by a city prosecutor in any city having a full-time city prosecutor or, with the consent of the district attorney, by a city attorney in any city and county in the name of the people of the State of California upon their own complaint or upon the complaint of any board, officer, person, corporation or association or by **any person acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public.**

[bold emphasis added]

**Section 17535.** Any person, corporation, firm, partnership, joint stock company, or any other association or organization which violates or proposes to violate this chapter may be enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction.

The court may make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any person, corporation, firm, partnership, joint stock company, or any other association or organization of any practices which violate this chapter, or which may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of any practice in this chapter declared to be unlawful.

Actions for injunction under this section may be prosecuted by the Attorney General or any district attorney, county counsel, city attorney, or city prosecutor in this state in the name of the people of the State of California upon their own complaint or upon the complaint of any board, officer, person, corporation or association or by **any person acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public.**

PURSUANT TO FRCP 24A, and the Defendants and/or Respondant respectfully request this court to direct the clerk to file the attached Amended notice of removal.

Furthermore the "United States" ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General RELATOR has certified with this court that relief is sought from a denial, on account of race, of equal protection of the laws under the fourteenth amendment to the US Constitution of the United States if he or she certifies that the case is of public importance in such cases the United States is entitled to the same relief as if it had instituted the action 42 USC section 2000h. \_\_\_\_\_ Wherefore,

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming (The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission) EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and QUI TAM RELATOR "DEFENDANT AND/OR RESPONDANT AND/OR DEFENDANTS AND/OR RESPONDANTS" (PROSECUTOR) - INTERVENE AS A MATTER OF RIGHT PURSUANT TO FRCP 24(a)

**IT IS SO ORDERED, DEGREED AND AJUDGED**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming(The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission) EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and QUI TAM RELATOR PLAINTIFF AND/OR PETITIONER "PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS" ( PROSECUTOR) on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater( one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business ) and those similarly situated

**INTERVENES AS A MATTER OF RIGHT PURSUANT TO FRCP 24(a) AND INCORPORATES THE FOLLOWING AS FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN**

EXH. A – NOTICE OF APPEARANCE AS COUNSEL

COURT FORM #1 VICTIM ORGANIZATIONAL STATEMENT

EXH. B

COURT FORM #2 DISLOSURE STATEMENT

EXH. C.

COURT FORM #3 - RACKETEERING CASE STATEMENT

EXH. D.

COURT FORM #4 - RELATED CASES

EXH.F

COURT FORM #5 - NOTICE OF DEFAULT

CERTIFICATION 2000 D

PLAINTIFF SEEKS FORFEITURE PURSUANT TO RICO LAW 18 USC 1962(D)

INCLUDE ALL FORMS

COMMON LAW COURT FORMS(BELOW) AS FOLLOWS:

APPOINTMENT(S) AS FOLLOWS:

LIST

[appointmentclause1Download](#)

INCLUDE "COMMON LAW FORM WITH SEAL"(INCLUDE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE WITH THIS FORM)

THE FOLLOWING IS THE OFFICIAL "COMMON LAW APPOINTMENT CLAUSE" OF "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR MODELED AFTER THE BELOW FORM #1

FORM #1

[appointmentaffidavitDownload](#)

"THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL APPOINTS HERSELF AS PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA! EFFECTIVE DATE: JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO

**FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH**

**ORDER OF ADJUDICATION "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND RELATOR "RETROACTIVE" ADJUDICATION AND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER DECLARTORY JUDGMENT AND BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY; DAMAGES; PUNATIVE DAMAGES; RESTITUTION; ALL COUNTS; FORFEITURE TEMPORARY RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE; FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY;;**

**WRIT OF BODY ( NATIONAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF COMMITMENTS);**

**FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH ADMIRALITY AND MARITIME CLAIM, SUPPLIMNET RULE SUMMARY ADJUFICATION, DEFAULT JUDGMENT, BILL OF PARTICULAR, JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION, SENTENCING**

Attorney General ( PROSECUTOR), under penalty of perjury, state that we are the Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners and that the above statement of facts and laws is true and correct, according to the best of

my current information, knowledge and belief, so help me God, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746(1). See the Supremacy Clause (the Constitution, Laws and Treaties of the United States are all the supreme Law of the Land).

Respectfully submitted,

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest  
CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM

Sworn to and subscribed before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2015

---

NOTARY PUBLIC or other person  
authorized to administer an oath

**MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:**

Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or  
RELATOR

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SharonBridgewater777@aol.com

**THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES COMMON LAW COURT**

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ("FOR THE 50 STATES AND/OR "WE THE PEOPLE")

CLAIMANT  
JUDGMENT -CREDITOR

**ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME**

**ORDER OF ADJUDICATION "THE  
50 STATES EX REL SHARON  
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND  
RELATOR "RETROACTIVE"  
ADJUDICATION AND TEMPORARY  
RESTRANING ORDER  
DECLARTORY JUDGMENT AND  
BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL  
CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY;  
DAMAGES; PUNATIVE DAMAGES;  
RESTITUTION; ALL COUNTS;  
FORFEITURE TEMPORARY  
RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE;  
FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY;;  
WRIT OF BODY ( NATIONAL  
AND/OR INTERNATIONAL  
ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF  
COMMITMENTS);**

**FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH  
ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME  
CLAIM, SUPPLIMNET RULE  
SUMMARY ADJUFICATION,  
DEFAULT JUDGMENT, BILL OF  
PARTICULAR, JUDGMENT OF  
CONVICTION, SENTENCING**

**DATE: TBA**

**TIME: TBA**

**DEPART: THE FARMINGTON HILLS, MICH.**

**PUBLIC LIBRARY**

**STUDY ROOM #1**

**TRIAL DATE: NONE SET**

**VS.**

**JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR  
INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM),**

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

1 Observatory Circle NW,  
Washington, DC **20008**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENATIVE,**

John G. Roberts, Jr., in his official capacity  
as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme  
Court **AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND  
CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM),**

United States Supreme Court 1 First  
NE,

Washington, DC 20543-0001,

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE, ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

VS.

THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE,  
THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND  
THE WORLD BANK

1,000,000,000,000,000.00(Quadrillion)

in currency(all currency including but not limited to all currency including the British Pound Sterling and/or Digital Pound Sterling, UAE Dirham and/or Digital Dirham, Saudi dinar and/or Digital dinar, U.S. Dollar and/or Digital Dollar, the Chinese Yuan and/or digital yuan, Russian ruble and/or digital ruble, India rupee and/or digital rupee, etc. all cryptocurrency including but not limited to Bitcoin, USD Coin, etc.) all tangible and intangible property(including but not limited to all types of Digital ledger Technology and/or Block chains, any and all MasterCard cryptocurrencies, including but not limited to any and all forms of “monetary”

payment systems for transactions and/or their companies payment transactions with cryptocurrencies books, documents, intellectual property, gold, coins, precious metals etc.), all intangible and tangible property

**ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)  
GARNISHEE AND/OR

IN REM DEFENDANTS

COMES NOW THE THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming(The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission) EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and AND/OR PETITIONER "PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS" ( PROSECUTOR) on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater( one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business ) and those similarly situated PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS move this court to 1)ENJOIN and/or RESTRAIN Eric Holder Jr. et al from committing overt acts as defined in 18 USC section 371; 2) from and other felonious criminal acts; committing overt acts multiple predicate acts as defined in 18 U.S.C. section 1961(5); assaults, abuse, peonage, torture, slavery, false imprisonment list \_\_\_\_ of class 3) from continuing conspiracy to engage in a pattern of Racketeering activity; 4) from withholding Classified Information in this Civil Proceedings Brought by the United States as such as allegations made by the Plaintiffs relating to Benzahzi, 911, Iraq War, IRS, etc, in order for the committee and oversight to complete its investigation; 5) from illegally, unlawfully, upurption of public as US Attorney General.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Plaintiffs relies on the affidavit of intervention as a matter of right" filed concurrently with this and/or incorporates the complaint as fully set forth herein.

## **III. ARGUMENT**

The egregious facts of this case and Defendants' pattern of RICO activities warrants BILATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND/OR REPRESENTATIVE; BURFICIATION OF LIABILITY OF DAMAGES; PUNITIVE DAMAGES; ALL CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION; DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, ASSET FREEZE, EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, IMMEDIATE ACCESS TO DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS PREMISE, APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY RECEIVER to administer and supervise the affairs and operations of defendants' entities and to assist courts in monitoring compliance with courts' orders and in imposing sanctions for violations of courts' orders. including, but not limited to, the intrusive remedies. Plaintiffs moves the court to certify the Defendants Class and/or Class Defendant Representative pursuant to 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>1</sup>of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as follows.

---

<sup>1</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

## **DEFENDANT CLASS REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFICATION**

Barak Obama .

## **DEFENDANT CLASS CERTIFICATION**

List all defendant in complaint and does defendants expressed or impleied agreement, invested, in associate with enterprise, and does defendants

## **ARGUMENT**

### **I. Principles applicable to class certification.**

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b) Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

### **II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.**

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

- (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;

(2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

#### **A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).**

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department an agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

#### **B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).**

Rule 23(a)(2) “requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed overts or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

#### **C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative

parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

#### **D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).**

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

#### **III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>2</sup> - Predominates**

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. “The writers of Rule 23 intended that subsection (b)(2) foster institutional reform by facilitating suits that challenge widespread rights violations of conspiracies to defraud the USA. Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or

---

<sup>2</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for *the class as a whole*. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class defendant representative and/or members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction “state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail … the act or acts restrained or required.” Second, cohesiveness also requires that class members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money requiring injunctive relief.

## **THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES**

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>3</sup> Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

### **Bifurcation**

---

<sup>3</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which requires declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

**THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE**

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) equitable in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

**THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURCATION**

1.Plaaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies

AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phas

---

THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION INJUNCTION AND DECLARATORY JUDGE PROHIBING THE DEFENDANTS FROM ENGAGING IN BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, whether acting directly or through any trust, corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promoting, marketing, proposed sale, or sale of any product, service, plan, or program, are hereby restrained and enjoined from:

#### **ORDER OF ADJUDICATION AND/OR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

This case was tried without a jury. This case has ripened beyond an abstract question into an actual controversy and that is otherwise within its jurisdiction and as follow . This cause heard on the motion of Sharon Bridgwater Claimant/Appellant in the above entitled action, for summary adjudicificaiton and primary injunction,, and on the affidavit of "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR, opposition is hereby waived, the court makes the following findings of facts and conclusions of law WHICH ACCOMPANIES THIS ORDER as follows:

#### **IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECLARED**

**THE CONTROVERSY BETWEEN JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, DONALD TRUMP IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, KAMALA HARRIS IN HIS OFFICIAL**

**CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, "ALL U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY ET AL**

The 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater" private attorney general has a legally protectable stake and/or interest and has a dispute that entitles her to bring this controversy before the court. The controversy that exist between JOE BIDEN Donald Trump in his official capacity as President and Sharon Bridgewater.

And that Donald Trump acts or omissions constitute illegal restraints in trade, and/or an illegally monopoly and that the Appellant is fasley, maliciously imprisoned in violation of international/federal and/or state laws, and is entitled to immediate declaratory and/or injunctive relief. Controversy between the United States Supreme Court Justices, Merrick Garland, Dr. Faccui, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, Donald Trump and other via National Security, Putin and the COV-19 vaccine.

ON OR ABOUT JULY 9, 2013  
COV-19 VACCINE MANDATES ARE  
UNCONSTITUTIONAL WE ARE NOT THE GLOBAL  
ELITE PROPERTY AND VIOLATES US Supreme Court  
Strikes Down Gene Patents but Allows Patenting of  
Synthetic DNA THE COV-19 VACCINE IS PATENT,  
THEREFORE EVERY PERSON WHO RECEIVED THE  
COV-19 VACCINE NOW IS SOMEONE'S PROPERTY,  
IN ADDITION ALL U.S. SUPREME COURT JUDGES,  
MERRICK GARLAND THE CURRENT U.S.  
ATTORNEY GENERAL(FORMER U.S. COURT OF  
APPEALS COURT JUDGE COMMITTED FRAUD)  
COMMITTED FRAUD, ISSUED VOID ORDERS  
WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO HEAR THE CASE IN  
AN ATTEMPT TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES  
OUT OF 125 TRILLION TO AID, HELP, ABET  
DONALD TRUMP, JOE BIDEN AND VAL

In a unanimous decision last month, the Supreme Court ruled that naturally occurring genes are not patentable. But, said the Court, cDNA, a man-made copy of the genetic messenger in cells, is patentable.

the Court also ruled that cDNA, an edited man-made copy of the gene, can be patented. Ruling that cDNA can be patented will have important consequences for research, including research to discover new disease treatments and create new genetic tests.

## COV-19 VACCINES

**complementary DNA (cDNA)** is the enzyme reverse transcriptase. ... Reverse transcriptase synthesizes a **DNA** strand **complementary to an RNA are patentable making all who receive the COV-19 SOMEONE(THE GLOBAL ELITE-BILL GATES ET AL PROPERTY)**

A **reverse transcriptase** (RT) is an enzyme used to generate complementary **DNA** (cDNA) from an RNA template, a process termed **reverse transcription**.

**THOUSANDS, EVEN MILLIONS OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATES ALLOWED IN THE U.S.A.(FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE TO INTERGRATE ALL IMMIGRATES & U.S. CITIZENS(TOGETHER)AND FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE(TO TELL THE PUBLIC IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO KNOW "WHO'S WHO, & FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE TO FORCE/MANDATE VIA EXECUTIVE ORDER ALL TO GET THE COV-19 JAB, AND COMING INVISIBLE TATOO-TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE VIA "AN UNDERTHESKIN MARK**

IT IS DECLARED THAT BIDEN CAN NOT GRANT CHINA SOVERIEGNTY OVER THE U.S.A.

LIST ALL

- BIDEN GRANTS CHINA AND THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION PRESIDENT(A MARXIST TERRORIST "LITERALLY")U.S.A. NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY(HANDS THE U.S.A. OVER TO CHINA(BASED ON ONE OR MORE "OBAMACARE" AND/OR HEALTH CARE!!)
- BIDEN SIGNS EXECUTIVE ORDER TO CHAN <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2022/09/12/executive-order-on-advancing-biotechnology-and-biomanufacturing-innovation-for-a-sustainable-safe-and-secure-american-bioeconomy/> ALL FORMS OF FOOD, WATER, The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the vital role of biotechnology and biomanufacturing in developing and producing life-saving diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccines that protect Americans and the world. Although the power of these technologies is most vivid at the moment in the context of human health, biotechnology and biomanufacturing can also be used to achieve our climate and energy goals, improve food security and sustainability, secure our supply chains, and grow the economy across all of America - CHANGE YOUR GENES(GENE EDITING) OF EVERY U.S. CITIZEN(AND/OR HUMANITY) THRU FOOD, THE WATER, ETC. "BIO - TO AI
- ONE OR MORE BIDEN, OBAMA AND TRUMP(PRESIDENTS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 THRU TO PRESENT TRAIN CHINESE TROOPS ON U.S. SOIL( LLOYD AUSTIN - AND PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM AND CO-CONSPIRATOR MAJOR CORPORATION(PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP) - CONSPIRES WITH CHINESE GOVERNMENT AND/OR MILITARY USE THREAT, FORCE AND COERCION FORCE EVERY TROOP TO RECEIVE <https://www.defense.gov/News/Releases/Release/Article/3114220/three-new-projects-for-dods-innovate-beyond-5g-program/>
- BIDEN SIGNS EXECUTIVE ORDER TO DIGITIZE THE U.S. DOLLAR(TO DEFRAUD EVERY U.S. CITIZEN OUT OF THEIR MONEY IN BANKING ACCOUNTS, DEFRAUD U.S. CITIZENS OUT OF ALL REAL ESTATE PROPERTY, FORCE U.S. CITIZENS TO EAT, BUY ETC. WHAT THE GOVERNMENT MANDATES, FORCE U.S. CITIZENS INTO SLAVERY, TOTAL SURVEILLANCE, CONSOLIDATE WITH CHINA'S CASHLESS SOCIETY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM AND [CHINA'S SOCIAL CREDIT SYSTEM](#)([COMING SOON TO AMERICA](#)) TO CONTROL AND ENSLAVE
- [BIDEN AND/OR TRUMP GIVE CHINA ACCESS TO POWER GRID](#)
- China is buying up all U.S. land and our 50 States legislator have done "nothing" but talk, [China's presence in the American food system poses a national security risk](#)
- [ON OR MORE BIDEN\(AND PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM SALE THE STATE OF HAWAII AND CALIFORNIA TO CHINA](#)
- [China's Latest Land Purchase Could Pose Major U.S. Security Risk](#)
- TRUMP
- CHINA DRAINING U.S. RESOURCES, DEPLETING U.S. WATER <https://news.wttw.com/2018/03/19/foxconn-seeks-7m-gallons-lake-michigan-water-daily>
- [CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR NEWSOME EITHER ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR SPEND ONE BILLION DOLLARS ON "MASK" VIA A FAKE PANIC](#)

IT IS ADJUDICATED, ORDERED AND DECLARED

- NOTICE OF "NATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL EMERGENCY!!
- NOTICE DECLARATION, ADJUDIFICATION AND RETROACTIVE APPEARANCE OF JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT AS PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES[INCLUDING TWO OR MORE "SATANIC FIRST LADIES CO-CONSPIRATORS & RACKETEERING EMPLOYEES, ASSOCIATES, AIDERS & ABETTERS OF U.S. PRESIDENT FROM JAN. 1, 1993" INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO JILL BIDEN AND PREDESSCORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 THRU TO JILL BIDENS TERM, INCLUDING MELANIA TRUMP, MICHELLE OBAMA, LAURA BUSH, HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON, BARBARA BUSH - SCHEMES TO DEFRAUD THE U.S.A. IT'S DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371]
- (BY OPERATION OF LAW & BECAUSE OF THE U.S. PRESIDENT SCHEME TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES, WAR AGAINST THE U.S.A., THE CITIZENS OF THE U.S.A. AND/OR HUMANTY - VIA WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION ETC. - IT IS ADJUDGED, DECREED AND ORDER THAT "THE 50 STATE SEE BELOW), AS "THE 50 STATE EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" AS PROSECUTOR, REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR CONGRESS WOMEN FOR ALL CONGRESSIONAL MEMBER OF THE 50 STATES," JUDGE AND/OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY(PROSECUTOR) OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
- NOTICE AND ADJUDIFICATION/JUDGMENT THAT MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS "DEFACTO CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL "LACKED" THE STANDING(falsely assumed or pretended to be an officer or employee acting under the authority of the United States Department of Justice and acts as such, or in such pretended character to demand or obtain money in the amount of 125 Trillion Dollars in violation of [18 U.S.C. section 912](#) and impersonated the United States Attorney General and conspired with Jack Smith to defraud both "the 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater private attorney general and/or relator" ) and SPECIAL PROSECUTOR JACK SMITH(FAILED TO DO HIS LEGAL DUTY AS "CORRUPT" PROSECUTOR" IS GUILTY OF RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY ALONG WITH MERRICK GARLAND TRUMP, ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS IN WASHINGTON ILLEGALLY, UNLAWFULLY URPURP PUBLIC OFFICE BY FORCE AND ALL EXECUTIVE ORDERS, CONGRESSIONAL LEGISLATION, U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE RULING, ORDER FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & AJDUDIFICATION THAT ALL U.S. REPRESENTATIVES, U.S. CONGRESSMAN/WOMEN AND/OR COMMITTEE AND OVERSIGHT ORDERS AND/OR LEGISLATION(FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT SUCH AS H.R. BILL [6666](#) (SATAN'S #) IS NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT
- NOTICE OF CONVENTION OF THE "50 STATES" AND NOTICE OF AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AS FOLLOWS: [THE TWELFTH AMENDMENT](#), [THE SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT](#) AND NOTICE OF AN ADDITIONAL U.S. AMENDMENT WHICH INCLUDE THE 28TH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND [UNIVERSAL DECLARATION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS](#) TO INCLUDE NEURO RIGHTS(NEURO WEAPONS AND WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION ON HUMANITY)- SEE BELOW.

- NOTICE OF DECLARTORY JUDGMENT, AJUDIFICATION AND/OR BURIFICATION OF BI-LATERAL OF "CLASS REPERESENTATIVE FOR THE 50 STATES VS. "CRIMINAL CLASS REPRESENTATIVE" - JOE BIDEN "DEFACTO" PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, KAMALA HARRIS "DEFACTO" VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, MERRICK GARLAND "DEFACTO" UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL AND JOHN GLOBER ROBERTS JR. "DEFACTO" CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.
- NOTICE OF ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME CLAIMS, NOTICE OF SUMMARY ADJUDIFICATION, AND NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND ADJUDICATION THAT ALL CONTRACTRUAL RIGHTS BY "ANY" OF THE DEFENDANTS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT PURSUANT TO RICO LAW!!
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND ADJUDICATION THAT ALL DEFENDANTS, K. HARRIS, N. PEOLSI, BIDEN, THE OBAMA'S, THE CLINTONS, ET AL payments, royalties, receipt of the beneficial interest of any trust, and receipt of any benefit by any means present or future" in connection with the preparation, publication, or promotion of the non-fiction and/or fiction work(books, etc.)via proceeds traceable to racketeering because "the proceeds would not exist were it not for THE DEFENDANTS FRAUD PERPATRATED ON THE TWO WITNESSES, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITY
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, ADJUDICATIONADIFICATION
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT ALL EXECUTIVE ORDERS BY JOE BIDEN IN HIS "DEFACTO" CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT OF THE U.S.A.(AND PREDECCESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUNING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT
- NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT ALL U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE ORDERS BY ONE OR MORE JOHN ROBERTS, BREYER ET AL. FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUNING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT
- NOTICE OF CRIMINAL TRIAL/CONVICTION AND SENTENCING
- NOTICE AND ADJUDIFICATION/JUDGEMENT OF FORFEITURE OF ALL PROPERTY(TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE) PURSUANT TO RICO LAW AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1963(D)
- NOTICE AND ADJUDIFICATION/JUDGMENT THAT ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS IN WASHINGTON ILLEGALLY, UNLAWFULLY URPURP PUBLIC OFFICE BY FORCE AND ALL EXECUTIVE ORDERS, CONGRESSIONAL LEGISLATION, U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE RULING, ORDER FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!
- NOTICE OF AND ADJUDICAITON AS INTERNATIONAL PROSECUTOR AND
- NOTICE(S) OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, ADJUDIFICATIONS, ORDERS PURSUANT TO COMMON LAW ADMIRALITY AND MARITIME REMEDIES
- NOTICE OF PUBLICATION PURSUANT TO ONE OR MORE
- INTENATIONAL - NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & ADJUDIFICATION THAT ALL EUROPEAN UNION AND/OR EUROPEAN(E.U. COURT ORDERS REGARDING ANY AND ALL TRANSNATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL MAJOR U.S. COMPANIES AND BUSINESSES CONDUCTING CORPORATION[FROM JAN. 1,, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!



- INTERNATIONAL - NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & ADJUDIFICATION THAT ALL "HEADS OF STATE" PRIME MINISTERS, PRESIDENTS, ETC. "EXECUTIVE ORDERS" REGARDING ANY AND ALL TRANSNATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL MAJOR U.S. COMPANIES OR CORPORATIONS AND/OR FORGEIN COMPANIES AND CORPORATIONS(OIL COMPANIES, GAS COMPANIES ETC.)WHICH CONDUCT BUSINESS IN THE U.S. "FOREIGN COMMERCE"[FROM JAN. 1,, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!
- INTERNATIONAL - NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & ADJUDIFICATION THAT ALL EUROPEAN UNION AND/OR EUROPEAN(E.U. COURT ORDERS REGARDING ANY AND ALL "HEADS OF SATE AND OTHER CO-CONSPIRATORS PROSECUTIONS ARE ULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGA EFFECT,(DOES NOT CONSTITUTE DOUBLE JEOPORDY AND ARE BASED ON THE SOLE PURPOSES OF hindering apprehension or prosecution(DEATH PENALTY AND/OR LIFE IMPRISONMENT), with the intent to hinder, prevent or delay the discovery, apprehension, prosecution, conviction or punishment for the commission of a INTERNATIONAL CRIMES(VIOLATIONS OF THE NUMBERG CODE, THE COV-19 INJECTION)FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!
- [https://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/j\\_6/en/](https://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/j_6/en/)
- INTENATIONAL - NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & ADJUDIFICATION THAT ALL EUROPEAN UNION AND/OR EUROPEAN(E.U. COURT ORDERS, JUDGMENTS, CONTRACTS, SETTLEMENTS FROM JA. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFEKT!!
- INTENATIONAL - NOTICE AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT & ADJUDIFICATION THAT ALL INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL PROSECUTON REGARDING THE COV19 INJECTION, THE COVID ANDEMIC AND/OR PROSECUTIONS FROM JAN. 1,, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!!

IT IS DECLARED THAT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED TREASON, REBELLION, UPURP OFFICE, ACTED IN JONT PARTICIPATION WITH CHINAL AND RUSSIA TO ENSLAVE U.S. CITIZEN ND/O HUMANITY ENGAGED IN A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY VIOLATED RICO, AND ALL PROPERTY IS FORFEITED TO "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE AND/OR

RELATOR" INCLUDING THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE, ALL GLOBAL CENTRAL BANKS  
CONTROLLED AND OWNED BY ROTHHILD, DIGITAL CURRENCY ETC.

BRIDGEWATER FILED AT LEAST 50 COMPLAINT AND/OR CASES, THE DEFENDANTS RECEIVED  
SERVICE, REFUSED TO PLEAD OR OTHERWISE DEFEND ARE IN DEFAULT  
LIST ALL HERE

"THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND RELATOR  
IS LAWFUL, LEGAL OWNER THE THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE, IS U.S. PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES  
AND ALL DEFENDANTS ARE ILLEGALLY, UNLAWFULLY UPSUPING PUBLIC OFFICE(ALL HAVE BEEN  
SENTENCE AND JUDGED)FOR TREASON, REBELLION, VIOLATIONS OF THE NUBMER

## **ARGUMENT**

### **CLASS DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE**

#### **Barak Obama**

#### **I. Principles applicable to class certification.**

In ruling on a motion for class certification, "the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court's injury is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

#### **II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.**

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

- (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

#### **A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).**

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department and agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

**B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).**

Rule 23(a)(2) requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed overts or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

**C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

**D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).**

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

**III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>4</sup> - Predominates**

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. ‘Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for *the class as a whole*. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction “state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail ... the act or acts restrained or relieved.” Second, cohesiveness also requires that class

members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money requiring injunctive relief.

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES**

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>5</sup> Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

---

<sup>4</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

<sup>5</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

### **Bifurcation**

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which re.res declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

### **THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE**

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) e.table in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

### **THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURCATION**

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phase would determine Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant

and/or members ), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

Class members and subclasses

1.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest  
CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM  
“COMMON LAW” CHIEF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER OF THE 50 STATES/PROSECUTOR  
AND JUDGE

Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or  
RELATOR

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SharonBridgewater777@aol.com

**THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES COMMON LAW COURT**

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ("FOR THE 50 STATES AND/OR "WE THE PEOPLE")

CLAIMANT  
JUDGMENT -CREDITOR

**ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME**

**FACT FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION OF LAW**

(CRIMINAL SENTENCING AND MONEY AND/OR PROPERTY DUE AND OWING TO "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENEAL AND/OR RELATOR- FORFEITURE OF ALL TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE PROPERTY PURSUANT TO RICO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1963(D))

VS.

**JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR  
INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM),**

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

1 Observatory Circle NW,  
Washington, DC **20008**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENATIVE,**

John G. Roberts, Jr., in his official capacity  
as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme  
Court **AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND  
CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM),**  
United States Supreme Court 1 First  
NE,  
Washington, DC 20543-0001,

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE, ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

VS.

THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE,  
THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND  
THE WORLD BANK  
1,000,000,000,000,000.00(Quadrillion)  
in currency(all currency including but not  
limited to all currency including the British  
Pound Sterling and/or Digital Pound Sterling,  
UAE Dirham and/or Digital Dirham, Saudi  
dinar and/or Digital dinar, U.S. Dollar and/or  
Digital Dollar, the Chinese Yuan and/or digital  
yuan, Russian ruble and/or digital ruble, India  
rupee and/or digital rupee, etc. all  
cryptocurrency including but not limited to  
Bitcoin, USD Coin, etc.) all tangible and  
intangible property(including but not limited to  
all types of Digital ledger Technology and/or  
Block chains, any and all MasterCard  
cryptocurrencies, including but not limited to  
any and all forms of “monetary”

payment systems for transactions and/or their companies payment transactions with cryptocurrencies books, documents, intellectual property, gold, coins, precious metals etc.), all intangible and tangible property

**ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)  
GARNISHEE AND/OR

IN REM DEFENDANTS

**FACT FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION OF LAW**

(CRIMINAL SENTENCING AND MONEY AND/OR PROPERTY DUE AND OWING TO “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR- FORFEITURE OF ALL TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE PROPERTY PURSUANT TO RICO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1963(D))

**FINDING OF FACTS**

1. All Corporations and Joe Biden (and Predessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his term) had and an express and/or implied express agreement with “defacto Presidents from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his term conspired with corporation to defraud the U.S.A. in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 371 owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents,

servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, syndicated or other legal, individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own, control, operate, manage firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, and/or the International Community which provides that one who 1)have some sort of fee-based or similar relationship; 2)have an established duty either fixed and/or not fixed arising from an express or implied, contract; 3)use, or cause to be used, by the Government or accept government property as security, from a government officer or employee; 4) is an or licensor-licensee; 5)or are current or former persons who have a duty or obligation under a statute or regulation; 6)Or have an obligations fixed and definite at the time and/or which included fixed and/or contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods and/or including contingent obligations such as, “yet fixed,” and/or fixed term ‘obligation’ now defined under new Section 3729(b)(3) and includes fixed and contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods.... defined to the instance where there is a relationship between the Government and a person that results in a duty to pay the Government money, whether or not the amount owed is yet fixed with presents, or causes to be presented, claim for payment or Approval to the US Government; 7)makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, record or statement material to claim; delivers, or causes to be delivered, money or property; 8) or are authorized to make or deliver a document certifying receipt of property used, or to be used, by the Government makes or delivers the receipt buys, or receives as a pledge of an obligation or debt, public property from an officer or employee of the Government; 9)Are member of the Armed Forces who knowingly conceals or otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duties, directly or indirectlypresent claims to the federal government or department or agency and that as DEFINDED IN 3729(a)(1)(G); 10)Submits budgets; 11)Submits for payment or reimbursement a claim known to be false or fraudulent; 13)Makes or uses a false record or statement material to a false or

fraudulent claim or to an ‘obligation’ to pay money to the government; 14) engage in a conspiracy to defraud by the improper submission of a false claim; 15) Conceals, improperly avoid or decreases an ‘obligation’ to pay money to the government; 16) are recipients under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”) and the Recovery Act or Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act (FERA) which to include Toxic Asset Recovery Program (“SIGTARP”), who has auditing, investigative and criminal referral authority over every recipient and participant in TARP funding programs, including, not only the Department of Treasury’s capital enhancement funding activities, but entities created under the Term Asset-Backed Loan Program (“TALF”): the Legacy Loan Program and the Legacy Security Program under the Public-Private Investment Program (“PPIP”); 17) Private Mortgage Lending (Under the Criminal Code “financial institution” in 18 U.S.C. §20) or a mortgage lending business, as defined knowingly, intentionally submitted to the Treasury for approval in violation of 18 U.S.C section 371 18 U.S.C. §27 or “an organization which finances or refinances debt secured by an interest in real estate, including private mortgage companies and any subsidiaries,” whose “activities affect interstate commerce.” private mortgage firms and their affiliates, or were persons who makes or made false statements in loan and credit applications as defined in 18 U.S.C. §1014, made false statements made to influence an action by a mortgage lending businesses which extend beyond its current reach of frauds affecting federal agencies, banks and credit unions to include those affecting private mortgage brokers and companies. 18) Persons under TARP, Stimulus Programs Under the Criminal Fraud Statue as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1031, which prohibited fraud against the United States, and include both funds received under the various TARP programs and funding under the Recovery Act. Commodities Fraud Added to the Criminal Securities Fraud Statue. 19) Individuals or person as defined in securities criminal fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1348) involving commodities options or futures, which the Committee report notes have included derivatives and other financial products that contributed to the current financial crisis or money laundering statute which suggested that “proceeds” of unlawful activity included only actual profit, and which definition of “proceeds” includes the entire gross receipts of illegal activity, not just the “profit” component. 20) persons where movement of money across international borders in furtherance of tax evasion and subject to money laundering statute; 21) to conceal, avoid, or decrease a payment obligation to the government, expanding the current provision that reaches only the making, use, or causing the use of a false record. “Obligations” of the government are now defined to include not only fixed but also contingent obligations, codifying existing Department of Justice

policy 22) entered into a conspiracy or conspiracies to violate or to get a false claim paid or “knowing” submitted a false claim, or used of a false statement or record in making a claim or in reducing an amount otherwise owed, or retention of money due and owing to the government or “Knowing”, with respect to information, with actual knowledge that the information is false, or with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information – and with No specific intent to defraud the USA government. 23) Request or demand, whether under a contract or otherwise for money or property and whether or not the United States has title to the money or property” presented directly to the United States, or (2) “to a contractor, grantee, or other recipient, money or property is to be spent or used on the Government’s behalf or to advance a Government program or interest” and the government provides or reimburses portion of the requested funds; and a false statement was “material to” a false claim without intent; 24) Submitted false claims under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ( health reform bill or PPACA)[1]; had an “obligation” under the FCA to include “retention of any overpayments.”

25) makes, or made improper solicits, or solicited receive or receives or received , offer, offered or offers or pay, paid or pays remuneration (monetary or otherwise) in exchange for referring patients to receive certain services that are paid for by the government; 26) submit claims or submitted claims in violation of the AKS automatically constitute false claims for purposes of the FCA; 27) person that did not not have actual knowledge ... or specific intent to commit a violation”

**The Statutory Anti-Kickback Liability** – Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b) (AKS) “ a criminal statute” of the AKS and can not successfully argue that they did not know they were violating the FCA because they were not aware the AKS existed. Part II and 1) dishonestly obtain a benefit 2) deceive or commit other means and behaviors that are deemed fraudulants 3) theft 4) obtain property or a financial advantage or any other Benefit by deception 5) Created a loss or avoid or create a liability by deception 5)providefalse or misleading information to the make or use or possess forged or falsified documents; 6)one who commit bribery, abuse public office 6) Bill for goods and services that were never delivered or Rendered 7) Double bill or charging more than once for the same goods or Service 8) Bill for marketing, lobbying or other non-contract related corporate activities 9) Submitting false service records or samples in order to show better-than-actual performance 10)Present broken or untested e.pment as operational and Tested 11)Shift expenses from one fixed-price contract to another12) Illegal marketing of prescription drugs and devices through Kickback 13) Billing for non-FDA approved drugs or devices 14) Illegal drug smuggling of cocaine, herion and other illegal Drug 15) Perform

inappropriate or unnecessary medical procedures in order to increase Medicare reimbursement 16) Billing for work or tests not performed 17) Bill for premium payment but actually providing inferior treatment 18) Automatically running a lab test whenever the results of some other test fall within a certain range, even though the second 19) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit overt act 20) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit human rights violations, crimes against humanity, war crimes, acts of aggression against nationalities, origin and ethnic groups, 21) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental and commit genocide against national, origin and ethnic group 22) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire murder US Citizens without due process of law 23) person(s) who commit predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961 ,

#### ALLEGED ALL DEFENDANT NAMES

24) persons who limit competition and engage in fix pricing 25) Bid Rigging 26) illegal Agreements in restraint of trade 27) Market division or customer allocation 28) Group Boycotts(29)illegal agreements among competitors (30)illegal agreements with foreign Government, their agencies and Instrumentalities(31) illegal monopolies or conspiracy to monopolize32) test was not specifically requested(33) Defective testing – and certifying that something has passed a test, when in fact it has not (34) “kick and stick” prescription rebate fraud and “marketing the spread” prescription fraud, both of which involve lying to the government about the true wholesale price of prescription drugs(35) Unbundling – Submitting multiple billing codes instead of one billing code for a drug panel test in order to increase remuneration(36) Bundling — billing more for a panel of tests when a single test was asked for(37) Upcoding – Inflating bills by using diagnosis billing codes that suggest a more expensive illness or treatment(38) Billing for brand billing for brand-named drugs when generic drugs are actually provided(39) Phantom employees and doctor time slips: charging for employees that were not actually on the job, or billing for made-up hours in order to maximize reimbursement(40) Upcoding employee work: billing at doctor rates for work that was actually conducted by a nurse or resident intern(41) Falsifying

natural resource production records — pumping, mining or harvesting more natural resources from public lands that is actually reported to the government(42)Being over-paid by the government for sale of a good or service, and then not reporting that overpayment(43)Misrepresenting the value of imported goods or their country of origin for tariff purposes(44)False certification that a contract falls within certain guidelines (i.e. the contractor is a minority or veteran)(45) Billing in order to increase revenue instead of billing to reflect actual work performed(46) Failing to report known product defects in order to be able to continue to sell or bill the government for the product(47)Billing for research that was never conducted and/or falsifying research (48) data that was paid for by the U.S. government(49) Winning a contract through kickbacks or bribes(50) Prescribing a medicine or recommending a type of treatment or diagnosis regimen in order to win kickbacks from hospitals, labs, or pharmaceutical companies(51) Billing for unlicensed or unapproved drugs(52) Forging physician signatures when such signatures are required for reimbursement from Medicare or Medicaid (53)Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons discriminate against racial, ethnic or religious groups commit overt acts of aggression, crimes against humanity, civil rights violations, torture, terrorist attacks, ( 54) Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons receive illegal campaign contributions, gratuity, violate the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, etc.55) Interfere and/or restrain commerce; 56) Short-changes the government on the transfer of funds or other property or issues false government receipt; 57) Purchase government property or accept government property as security from a government officer or employee or member of the armed forces who has no authority to sell or pledge the property or obligation; 58) two or more persons that conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for purposes which prohibits conspiracies to defraud the United States or creates a separate offense from the “offense clause” in Section 371.59) persons who committed acts or omissions as defined in 18 USC section 371 language is very broad, and any conspiracy for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful function of any department of government or any conspiracy which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially accepted in the way and at the time required by law or departmental regulation; 60) or person(s) involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in

violation of section 1956, 1957 or 1960 of this title, or any property traceable to such property within the jurisdiction of the United States, constituting, derived from or traceable to, any proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from a offense against a foreign nation, or and property used to facilitate such an offense, which (i) involves trafficking in nuclear, chemical, biological, or radiological weapons technology or material, or the manufacture, importation, sale, or distribution of a controlled substance (as that term is defined for purposes of the Controlled Substances Act), or any other conduct described in section 1956(c)(7)(B); or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of section 215, 471, 472, 473, 474, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 485, 486, 487, 488, 501, 502, 510, 542, 545, 656, 657, 670, 842, 844, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1014, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1032, or 1344 of this title or any offense constituting “specified unlawful activity” (as defined in section 1956(c)(7) of this title), or a conspiracy to commit such offense or which represents or is traceable to the gross receipts obtained, directly or indirectly, from a violation of— (i) section 666(a)(1) (relating to Federal program fraud); (ii) section 1001 (relating to fraud and false statements);(iii) section 1031 (relating to major fraud against the United States); (iv) section 1032 (relating to concealment of assets from conservator or receiver of insured financial institution); (v) section 1341 (relating to mail fraud); or (vi) section 1343 (relating to wire fraud), and violation relates to the sale of assets ac.red or held by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as conservator or receiver for a financial institution, or any other conservator for a financial institution appointed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency or the National Credit Union Administration, as conservator or li.dating agent for a financial institution and committed for the purpose of executing or attempting to execute any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent statements, pretenses, representations or promises,or individual, entity, or organization or persons engaged in planning or perpetrating any Federal crime of terrorism (as defined in section 2332b(g)(5) against the United States, citizens or residents of the; 61) Insider trading; 62) individual any means to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest 63) Public Officials holding office failure to do legal duties or obligations as Government Official and/or how do illegal acts or omissions as public officials 64) Public Offfficial who act in their private persons and/or participation and abuse of the United States Judicial System(legal system-US Supreme Courts, Appeals Courts, US Federal, State courts) as a weapon to retaliate against the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater Class representative and members and other **Whistle blowers** and/or victims to silence our speech in

violation of our 12th US Constitutional Civil Rights( RICO Predicate Act); 65) Public Officials who act in their private persons who commit wrongful discriminatory practices; 66)Public Officials who act in their private persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or who practice unlawful customs policies and procedures as police officers, Sgt., etc.; 67) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to prosecute "wall street" individuals, corporations, banks, etc. for the major fraud committed against the USA and failed to ; 68) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to protect consumers from fraud and identity theft and failed to protect US consumers from fraud and identity theft; 69) Public officials who have a legal duty to maintain DOJ records and the DOJ Data base and failed to ; 70) Public Official who have a legal duties to protect US citizens from, unlawful custom polices and procedures and failed to; 71) Public official acting in their individual capacity and committed overt acts or omission and/or one of the following drug trafficking, extortion, a racketeering, and large-scale organized drug networks, including but not limited to murder, genocide, kidnapping, dealing in obscene matter, acts of bribery, theft, embezzlement, fraud, dealing in obscene matter, obstruction of justice, which constitute a Continuing Criminal Enterprise (trafficking of cocaine, heroin, methamphetamine, and marijuana); the illegal gun trafficking, and related offenses; 73) persons or individuals who hold office as a government official both foreign and domestic who fail to prevent violence among women and crimes among their citizens; 74) Public official who had a legal duty or obligation and failed to protect US citizens, and taxpayers from identity theft of tax filing at the Internal Revenue Service –accessing Consumers information and filing taxes to obtain money via theft; 75) Public Officials who failed to protect Consumers from Identity theft such as the Target Stores Security Breach; 76) Person, corporations and/or companies who use abuse, illegal spying and use surveillance in violation of the Intervening and/or Petitioners 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional rights by internet companies; 77) Person, etc who wrongful torture of prisoners and/or the unlawfully holding of prisoners in Guantomo Bay against their will and/or the class Plaintiff Bridgewater ; 78) Persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or the continued conspiracies to defraud Class Plaintiff Representative and/or the USA ; 78) Person who perpetrate, plan or commit unlawful acts of war crimes, genocide, crimes against humanity, ie Class member Defendants 79) persons, foreign individual etc. who conspires to defraud the US Government, its Departments, and agencies as defined in 18 section 371 and violations of Federal and/or State or international laws 80) Public Official who hold Office WHO USE OTHERS SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS and/or who are not US Citizens and/or are illegal immigrants; 81) Persons who have a US/UK military defense

agreement and who prepartate, plan to commit overt acts against and/or overt acts and/or omissions against Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group Prisioner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman , treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current; 82)Persons who commit overt acts or omissions against all US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships; 83) Persons, who who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Survielience(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights are violated; 84)Persons who have been harmed US Citizens by the Statement and mispresentation “if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan”; 85 ) Persons who have committed overt acts or omissions against all small minority business owners, member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce; 86) Perosn who have committed overt acts or omissions against all person Whistleblowers now and in future; 87 ) Persons who had a legal duty or obligation to protect consumers from security breaches and failed to protect Consumers against security breaches such as the “TARGET STORES” SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breaches; 88)Person who had a legal duty or obligation to protect all taxpayers against tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud and failed to 89) Person who torture, prisioner, subject prisoner to cruel and usual punishment such as in Guantomo Bay Prisioner who are subjected to cruel and unusual punishment, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will and/or the Class Plaintiffs; 90) Person or individuals who impair, obstruct or defeat the lawful function of the department of governmentor conspiracies which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially ac.red in the way and at the time re.red by law or departmental regulation; and to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest who holds legal or beneficial interest in property and/or Defrauded the USA, it Departments or agencies from 1993 thru to present; 91)AND FOR OTHER DECLARTOARY AND/OR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AS DEEM FIT AND JUST BY THIS COURT and DECLARE that Eric Holder and/or Obama did act in joint participation with agent, directors etc of Corporations,

and/or AIG et al did received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, or did use or invest, directly or indirectly, part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce; did through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce; was employed by or associated with a enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, and/or did conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt or did conspire to violate the above 1, 2 or 3 and/or did commit overt and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies. Declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief.

The Defendants engaged in a pattern of Racketeering activity, list from 2007 thru to present against Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater two witness, continual stalking, harassment, fraud, wire fraud,

list and threaten continuanceThe court finds that Donald Trump in his official capacity has caused the Claimant injuries and damage and continues to damage THE U.S.A. AND/OR James and/or Sharon Bridgewater has breached a contract, and caused the Plaintiff harm and damage and continues to damage the Plaintiff in business, person or property and money and/or property is due and now owing requiring immediate injunctive relief. THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION SENTENCING,

2. This courts finds that Donald Trump has failed to defend and/or otherwise plead and is in default.

#### CONCLUSION OF LAW

THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT, FORFEITURE, VIOLATIONS OF THE NUMBER CODE, THE DEATH PENALTY – THE PENALTY 20 YEARS O

A claim for breach of contract, is one in which and/or permits a Plaintiff to attach both tangible and/or intangible property to secure payment

. For Claim # 1 –The Defendant Breached a Contract and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #2 - The Defendant did commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #3 -The Defendant did conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #4 -The Defendants committed overt acts against the Plaintiff without probable cause and Obama acts constituted malicious prosecution and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #6 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama violated the Plaintiffs US Constitutional second amendment rights and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #7 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama “libeled”the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #8-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were negligent and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #9-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were with malice, fraud and/or oppression and the Plaintiff is entitled to punative damages and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest  
CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM  
“COMMON LAW” CHIEF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER OF THE 50 STATES/PROSECUTOR  
AND JUDGE

Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or  
RELATOR

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SharonBridgewater777@aol.com

**THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES COMMON LAW COURT**

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,  
Arkansas, California, Colorado,  
Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,  
Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,  
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine,  
Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan,  
Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,  
Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New  
Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North  
Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,  
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South  
Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas,  
Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West  
Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District  
of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto  
Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the  
Northern Marianna Islands, the American  
Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater  
(A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis)  
Private Attorney General and  
RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing  
thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ("FOR THE  
50 STATES AND/OR "WE THE  
PEOPLE")

CLAIMANT  
JUDGMENT -CREDITOR

**ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME**

**"RETROACTIVE" ORDER OF  
ADJUDICATION**

VS.

**JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR  
INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM),**

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

1 Observatory Circle NW,  
Washington, DC **20008**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENATIVE,**

John G. Roberts, Jr., in his official capacity  
as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme  
Court **AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND**  
**PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND**  
**CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM),**  
United States Supreme Court 1 First  
NE,  
Washington, DC 20543-0001,

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE, ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

VS.

THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE,  
THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND  
THE WORLD BANK  
1,000,000,000,000,000.00(Quadrillion)  
in currency(all currency including but not  
limited to all currency including the British  
Pound Sterling and/or Digital Pound Sterling,  
UAE Dirham and/or Digital Dirham, Saudi  
dinar and/or Digital dinar, U.S. Dollar and/or  
Digital Dollar, the Chinese Yuan and/or digital  
yuan, Russian ruble and/or digital ruble, India  
rupee and/or digital rupee, etc. all  
cryptocurrency including but not limited to  
Bitcoin, USD Coin, etc.) all tangible and  
intangible property(including but not limited to  
all types of Digital ledger Technology and/or  
Block chains, any and all MasterCard  
cryptocurrencies, including but not limited to  
any and all forms of “monetary”

payment systems for transactions and/or their companies payment transactions with cryptocurrencies books, documents, intellectual property, gold, coins, precious metals etc.), all intangible and tangible property

**ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)  
GARNISHEE AND/OR

IN REM DEFENDANTS

**ORDER OF ADJUDIFICATION**

After consideration of the papers in support of the “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER Plaintiff’s summary adjudication of facts and the oral argument of counsel, the Court determines that the following facts have been established as, The 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Relator proved beyond a reasonable doubt and all the Defendants are guilty of Racketeering conspiracy and this matter is adjudicated. AND CONVICTED OF RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, VIOLATION OF THE NUMBERG CODE,

IT IS SO ORDERED as follows;

1. For Claim #1 Declaratory Judgment this court Declare that Sharon Bridgewater is

Granted all relief in this complaint.

2. For Claim # 2 Breach of Contract this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

3. For Claim # 3 Conduct and Participation in a RICO *Enterprise* through a *Pattern of*

*Racketeering Activity* this court awards

The Plaintiff the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_, and treble

Damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

4. For Claim # 4 Conspiracy to Engage in a *Pattern of Racketeering Activity* this court

awards the Plaintiff the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_, and treble

Damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

5. For Claim # 5 Malicious Prosecution this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

7. For Claim # 7 Libel this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

8. For Claim # 8 Negligence this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

9. For Claim # 9 Punative Damages this court awards

The Plaintiff damages the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest  
CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM  
“COMMON LAW” CHIEF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER OF THE 50 STATES/PROSECUTOR  
AND JUDGE

Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General  
and/or RELATOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219  
1-313-688-6175  
SharonBridgewater777@aol.com

**THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES COMMON LAW COURT**

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ("FOR THE 50 STATES AND/OR "WE THE PEOPLE")

CLAIMANT  
JUDGMENT -CREDITOR

**ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME**

**"RETROACTIVE"  
CONVICTION NOTICE  
DATE: JAN. 1, 1993 AND  
CONTINUING THRU TO  
PRESENT**

VS.

**JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR  
INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM),**

The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20500

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

1 Observatory Circle NW,  
Washington, DC **20008**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE,**

**MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY (AND  
PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993  
AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS  
TERM)**

**THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530**

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENATIVE,**

John G. Roberts, Jr., in his official capacity  
as Chief Justice of the United States  
Supreme Court **AND/OR**  
**INDIVIDUALLY (AND**  
**PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993**  
**AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS**  
**TERM),**

United States Supreme Court 1

First NE,

Washington, DC 20543-0001,

**“CRIMINAL” CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE, ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

VS.

THE U.S. FEDERAL RESERVE,  
THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND  
THE WORLD BANK

1,000,000,000,000,000.00(Quadrillion)

in currency(all currency including but not limited to all currency including the British Pound Sterling and/or Digital Pound Sterling, UAE Dirham and/or Digital Dirham, Saudi dinar and/or Digital dinar, U.S. Dollar and/or Digital Dollar, the Chinese Yuan and/or digital yuan, Russian ruble and/or digital ruble, India rupee and/or digital rupee, etc. all cryptocurrency including but not limited to Bitcoin, USD Coin, etc.) all tangible and intangible property(including but not limited to all types of Digital ledger Technology and/or Block chains, any and all MasterCard cryptocurrencies, including but not limited to any and all forms of “monetary”

payment systems for  
transactions and/or their  
companies payment  
transactions with  
cryptocurrencies books,  
documents, intellectual  
property, gold, coins,  
precious metals etc.), all  
intangible and tangible  
property

**ET AL**

DEFENDANTS, JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)  
GARNISHEE AND/OR

IN REM DEFENDANTS

**“RETROACTIVE” CONVICTION NOTICE DATE: JAN. 1, 1993 AND  
CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT**

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM  
“COMMON LAW” CHIEF LAW ENFORCEMENT

OFFICER OF THE 50 STATES/PROSECUTOR  
AND JUDGE

**CONTROVERSY BETWEEN MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE 50  
STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL, SUPREME COURT JUSTICES IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL  
CAPACITY, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, ARREST WARRANTS IN THEIR  
OFFICIAL CAPACITES**

**ACTS OF WIRE FRAUD, ETC. RACKETEERIGN ACTS**

**ETC.**

**RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES**

**FINDING OF FACTS**

**FINDING OF FACTS**

1. The court finds that Donald Trump in his official capacity has caused the Claimant injuries and damage and continues to damage THE U.S.A. AND/OR James and/or Sharon Bridgewater has breached a contract, and caused the Plaintiff harm and damage and continues to damage the Plaintiff in business, person or property and money and/or property is due and now owing requiring immediate injunctive relief.

2. This courts finds that Donald Trump has failed to defend and/or otherwise plead and is in default.

#### CONCLUSION OF LAW

A claim for breach of contract, is one in which and/or permits a Plaintiff to attach both tangible and/or intangible property to secure payment

. For Claim # 1 –The Defendant Breached a Contract and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #2 - The Defendant did commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #3 -The Defendant did conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity commit two or more predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961[RICO prohibited Acts] and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and

have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #4 -The Defendants committed overt acts against the Plaintiff without probable cause and Obama acts constituted malicious prosecution and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #6 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama violated the Plaintiffs US Constitutional second amendment rights and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #7 -The Defendants Barak H. Obama “libeled”the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #8-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were negligent and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

For Claim #9-The Defendants Barak H. Obama acts were with malice, fraud and/or oppression and the Plaintiff is entitled to punative damages and the Plaintiff has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ due and owing, the Defendants have failed to pay, and the Plaintiff is entitled to attach property to secure payment.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed 18<sup>TH</sup> day of May 2015 in Union City, New Jersey.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,

Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and RELATOR[ FROM 1993 and continuing thru present]- Real parties in interest CLAIMANT AND

JUDGMENT - CREDITOR

P.O. BOX 19631  
Detroit, MI 48219

1-313-688-6175

**SHARONBRIDGEWATER777@AOL.COM**  
“COMMON LAW” CHIEF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER OF THE 50 STATES/PROSECUTOR  
AND JUDGE