



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion to Intervene

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:08 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT
RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy
permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free
electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by
the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the
free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:05 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 47

Docket Text:

MOTION to Intervene as a Plaintiff by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted-but not exparte"
signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits)(jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov,
eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov,
marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov,
william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov,
jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov,
christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov,

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-0]
[23f04fa9e0113b47480973bf30b9210f4521fe43d10ad478589073ee794265d7a00
1d

40cab2f860cadcabef99c45e8881cb6a05989a636366c4f3bbc566e9d441]]

Document description:Exhibit

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-1]
[022f3a4f6a046e2581326afc49895a78899b80a93ff958c9353c976bc829e7325eea
6
f977983b5114c7ce7a416a6f97f3e74af87b762649a51254dc201219496]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion to Intervene

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:08 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT
RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy
permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free
electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by
the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the
free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:05 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 47

Docket Text:

MOTION to Intervene as a Plaintiff by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted-but not ex parte"
signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits)(jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov,
eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov,
marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov,
william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov,
jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov,
christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov,

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-0]
[23f04fa9e0113b47480973bf30b9210f4521fe43d10ad478589073ee794265d7a00
1d

40cab2f860cadcab99c45e8881cb6a05989a636366c4f3bbc566e9d441]]

Document description:Exhibit

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-1]
[022f3a4f6a046e2581326afc49895a78899b80a93ff958c9353c976bc829e7325eea
6

f977983b5114c7ce7a416a6f97f3e74af87b762649a51254dc201219496]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion to Intervene

CD-ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:08 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT
RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy
permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free
electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by
the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the
free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:05 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 47

Docket Text:

MOTION to Intervene as a Plaintiff by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted-but not ex parte"
signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits)(jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov,
eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov,
marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov,
william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov,
jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov,
christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov,

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-0]
[23f04fa9e0113b47480973bf30b9210f4521fe43d10ad478589073ee794265d7a00
1d

40cab2f860cadabe99c45e8881cb6a05989a636366c4f3bbc566e9d441]]

Document description:Exhibit

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-1]
[022f3a4f6a046e2581326afc49895a78899b80a93ff958c9353c976bc829e7325eea
6
f977983b5114c7ce7a416a6f97f3e74af87b762649a51254dc201219496]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion to Intervene

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:08 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:05 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 47

Docket Text:

MOTION to Intervene as a Plaintiff by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted-but not ex parte" signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits)(jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov,

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-0]
[23f04fa9e0113b47480973bf30b9210f4521fe43d10ad478589073ee794265d7a00
1d
40cab2f860cadcab99c45e8881cb6a05989a636366c4f3bbc566e9d441]]

Document description:Exhibit

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-1]
[022f3a4f6a046e2581326afc49895a78899b80a93ff958c9353c976bc829e7325eea
6
f977983b5114c7ce7a416a6f97f3e74af87b762649a51254dc201219496]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion to Intervene

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:08 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:05 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 47

Docket Text:

MOTION to Intervene as a Plaintiff by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted-but not ex parte" signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits)(jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov,

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-0]

[23f04fa9e0113b47480973bf30b9210f4521fe43d10ad478589073ee794265d7a00

1d

40cab2f860cadcab99c45e8881cb6a05989a636366c4f3bbc566e9d441]]

Document description:Exhibit

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666365-1]

[f022f3a4f6a046e2581326afc49895a78899b80a93ff958c9353c976bc829e7325eea

6

f977983b5114c7ce7a416a6f97f3e74af87b762649a51254dc201219496]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:09 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:06 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE
Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ
Filer: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
Document Number: 48

Docket Text:

MOTION filing personally and declaration by SHARON BRIDGEWATER. "Leave to file granted" signed by Judge Amy B. Jackson on 06/04/2013 (jf,)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666376-0]
[7c61fb2252065df04d0ee17dae58c17405253d270804b3542b12896b598ec670baf7
5
bca77b823b7c436528988e8741a9c76dec2791fb81b08b3a7395df3a6fe]]

kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:suppressed

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=973800458 [Date=6/6/2013] [FileNumber=3666376-0]
[7c61fb2252065df04d0ee17dae58c17405253d270804b3542b12896b598ec670baf7
5
bca77b823b7c436528988e8741a9c76dec2791fb81b08b3a7395df3a6fe]]



Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Order on Motion to Intervene
DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:59 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT
RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy
permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free
electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by
the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the
free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:57 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE

Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ

Filer:

Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:
MINUTE ORDER denying [47] Motion to Intervene because the motion fails to satisfy the requirements for intervention set forth in Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 24(a). Movant asserts that she has a substantial legal interest in this action because it "directly implicates the United States' responsibility [sic] the Violence Against Women's [sic] Act" and that she should be granted permissive intervention because her claims against defendant, "namely, unfair competition, and violation of Anti-trust laws and/or that its failure to provide adequate community-based services to individuals with spinal cord injuries renders them at risk of institutionalization in violation of the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act," share common questions of law and fact with this case. This case does not involve the Violence Against Women Act, antitrust laws, the Americans with Disabilities Act, or the Rehabilitation Act. Accordingly, the motion to intervene is denied.
Signed by Judge Amy Berman Jackson on 6/6/13. (DMK)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov,
eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov,
marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tateelman@mail.house.gov,
william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov,
jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Exh A

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

Jay Alan Sekulow sekulow@aclj.org, cgammill@aclj-dc.org

Ian Heath Gershengorn ian.gershengorn@usdoj.gov

William Bullock Pittard, IV william.pittard@mail.house.gov, MaryBeth.Walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov

Eric R. Womack eric.womack@usdoj.gov

Luke M. Jones luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Mary Beth Walker marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Sean H. Donahue sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Gregory Peter Dworkowitz gregory.p.dworkowitz@usdoj.gov

Todd Barry Tatelman todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, Rachel.sussman@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kirsten.konar@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

Eleni Maria Roumel eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice will be delivered by other means to::

Leave to file GRANTED

Amy B. Jackson 6/6/13
Amy B. Jackson Date
United States District Judge

1 Sharon Bridgewater
2 1524 Harvest Lane
3 Superior Township, MI 48198
4 Sbridge11@yahoo.com


5
6 1-734-276-2464

7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

10
11 CASE No. 1:12 CV-1332(ABJ)

12 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND) EX-PARTE MOTION TO EXCEPT
13 GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED) FILING PERSONALLY AND
14 STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES) DECLARATION OF THE UNITED
15 Vs.) STATES EX RELS SHARON
16 Eric Holder Jr.,) BRIDGEWATER QUI TAM RELATOR
17 In his official capacity as Attorney General of) AND/ NOTICE OF "ERROR"
18 the United States) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, AND
19 Defendant,) OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN
20) ERROR AND/OR INCORRECTLY
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

MOTION TO EXCEPT FILING PERSONALLY AND/OR FROM PERSONAL CLERK





Activity in Case 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND
GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES v. HOLDER Order on Motion to Intervene
DCD_ECFNotice to DCD_ECFNotice

06/06/2013 02:59 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/6/2013 at 2:57 PM and filed on 6/6/2013

Case Name: COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF RE

Case Number: 1:12-cv-01332-ABJ

Filer:

Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

MINUTE ORDER denying [47] Motion to Intervene because the motion fails to satisfy the requirements for intervention set forth in Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 24(a). Movant asserts that she has a substantial legal interest in this action because it "directly implicates the United States' responsibility [sic] the Violence Against Women's [sic] Act" and that she should be granted permissive intervention because her claims against defendant, "namely, unfair competition, and violation of Anti-trust laws and/or that its failure to provide adequate community-based services to individuals with spinal cord injuries renders them at risk of institutionalization in violation of the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act," share common questions of law and fact with this case. This case does not involve the Violence Against Women Act, antitrust laws, the Americans with Disabilities Act, or the Rehabilitation Act. Accordingly, the motion to intervene is denied.
Signed by Judge Amy Berman Jackson on 6/6/13. (DMK)

1:12-cv-01332-ABJ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Kerry William Kircher kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov, christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, eleni.roumel@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov, marybeth.walker@mail.house.gov, thomas.sundlof@mail.house.gov, todd.tatelman@mail.house.gov, william.pittard@mail.house.gov

John Russell Tyler john.tyler@usdoj.gov

Christine Marie Davenport christine.davenport@mail.house.gov, Thomas.Sundlof@mail.house.gov, jamie.whitelock@mail.house.gov, kristin.roscoe@mail.house.gov

Exh A

St. Joseph Mercy Hospital, Ann Arbor

St. Joseph Mercy Hospital – Ann Arbor

Ypsilanti, Michigan

A Member of Trinity Health
Livonia, Michigan

Patient Name: BRIDGEWATER, SHARON
MRN: (aac)-000919118
Date of Birth: 04/11/1962
Admit Date: 10/06/2012
Discharge Date: 10/06/2012
Account Number: 011074548-2280
Patient Type: Emergency
Attending: Perrotta MD, Dominic A

Depart Summary

Depart Summary

Date: 10/06/2012 3:56:28 PM EDT

Electronically Signed By: per contribution

Date Signed: per contribution

Signed By: McIntee PA-C, Mark B (10/06/2012 03:48 PM EDT); Shelton LPN, Margaret M (10/06/2012 03:49 PM EDT);

10/06/2012 15:11	XR T-Spine 3 Views	FACIAL BONES; THORACIC SPINE DATE OF EXAM: 10/06/2012 CLINICAL HISTORY: A 50-year-old female with right sided facial injury and pain, upper back and shoulder pain. FACIAL BONES: Five views were obtained. IMPRESSION: 1. No definite fracture identified. The zygomatic arches are not well seen on the base view. If there is specific tenderness in this region clinically, a dedicated base view with attention to the zygomatic arches would be recommended. 2. Sinuses are well aerated without opacification or air fluid level. Lateral view shows intact nasal bone on this projection and unremarkable anterior maxillary spine. THORACIC SPINE: Three views were obtained. IMPRESSION: There is limited visualization of the upper thoracic spine due to streak artifact. However, vertebral body height and alignment grossly maintained from T1 through T3. Remainder of the thoracic spine unremarkable without fracture, subluxation or other abnormality. Reading Location: AASJPRW2005
------------------	--------------------	--

DISCHARGE INSTRUCTION(S)

Patient Education received:

NEW MEDICATIONS

ibuprofen (Motrin 800 mg oral tablet) 1 Tab, By Mouth, 3 Times a day, As Needed, for pain, Refills: 0

methocarbamol (Robaxin-750 750 mg oral tablet) 1 Tab, By Mouth, 3 Times a day, 7 Day(s), Refills: 0

MEDICATIONS TO CONTINUE THAT HAVE CHANGED **Be sure to take the NEW Dose**

None

MEDICATIONS TO CONTINUE WITH NO CHANGES. **This list is based on the information available to us at this time and we may not have been able to verify all home medications during your visit.

None

STOP TAKING THESE MEDICATIONS

None

DO NOT TAKE UNTIL YOU TALK TO YOUR DOCTOR

None

MEDICATIONS RECEIVED WHILE IN ED

None

*Stating
There are other reports indicating facial injury
bruises. This is
only
page 1*

Your medication list: **These are the medications you should continue taking.**
ibuprofen (Motrin 800 mg oral tablet) 1 Tab, By Mouth, 3 Times a day, As Needed, for pain, Refills: 0

Printed Date: 12/06/12

Printed Time: 14:37

ERH B

OTHER FACTS

1. I Sharon Bridgewater(and/or James S. Bridgewater) the undersigned having been duly sworn, do hereby depose and state: I have first hand knowledge of the facts stated herein are true and correct and to declare the rights, duties, legal relationships, and liabilities of parties. and further state that I am a federal and/or State Prisoner unlawfully imprisonment in violation of the United States Constitution, via a State Court Judgment(and with active arrest warrants in which "all were unconstitutional obtained in violation of the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights"). The Petitioner has been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged by the above named Defendant and/or Respondent Jeff Sessions and Donald Trump et al states as follows:

2. Sharon Bridgewater Place of Confinement is as follows:

a.Gwinnett County State Court via a State Court Judgment and in a conspiracy with the Department of Justice and other Judges

There is no grievance conering the facts relating to this complaint, and the Sharon Bridgewater PETITIONER /CLAIMANT/PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT have filed no grievance concerning the facts relaint to this complaint.

3.. James S. Bridgewater Post Judgment relief is as follows:

1. 36A District Court for the Distirct

4. There is no grievance conering the facts relating to

That I have a reasonable probability of success on the merits; and there is a danger of real, immediate, and irreparable injury which may be prevented by injunctive relief, and there are no other plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. An actual controversy betwwen Jeff Sessions in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or Donald Trump in his official capacity as United

PL77

States President as more fully appear later; and the action arises under the Constituiton of the United States , and may be finally adjudication as between the parties; and comes now the Plaintiff apply for a temporary restraining order and/or writ of mandamus directed to Jeff Sessions in his official capacity as follows:

On August 13, 2012, the Committee and Oversight commenced a investigation , filed a civil case in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern Judicial District of California (“DCUS”), in Sacramento, California, alleging five (5) counts against a total of 129 named Defendants.

The count included declaratory judgment, and unfair competition under California laws.

The Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organzaiton Act

National Security, James Comey and/or Jeff Sessions have taken “Oath of Office,” to faithfully execute their legal duties and obligation as public officials. Further federal law regulation “Oath of Office” by government Officials is divided into four parts along with an “Executive Order” which further defines the law for purposes of enforcement. The law of 5 USC 3331/1 provides the text of the actual “Oath of Office” that members of Congress are required to take before assuming Office. The law of 5 USC 3333/2 requires members of Congress to sign an Affidavit that they have taken the

1/Employees of the United States Government including all members of Congress are required to take the following “Oath” before assuming elected or appointed Office.

5 USC 3331: “An individual, shall take the following oath: “I, AB, do solemnly swear(or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God.”

2/5 USC 3333: “...an individual who accepts office or employment in the Government of the United States...shall execute an affidavit within 60 days after accepting the office or employment that his acceptance and holding of the office or employment does not or will not violate section 7311 of this title. The affidavit is

1254

“Oath of Office” required by 5 USC 3331 and have not, or will not violate the “Oath of Office” during their Tenure of Office as defined by the third art of the law, 5 USC 7311/3 which explicitly makes it a federal criminal offense (and a violation of “Oath of Office”) for anyone employed in the United States Government (including members of Congress) to “advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”⁴ The fourth Federal Law, 18 USC 1918/5 provides penalties for violation of “Oath of Office” described in 5 USC 7311 which include: (1) removal from office and; (2) confinement or a fine. The definition of “advocate” is further specified in Executive Order 10450/6 which purposes of enforcement, supplements 5 USC 7311. One provision of Executive Order 10450 specifies that it is a

prima facie evidence that the acceptance and holding of office or employment by the affiant does not or will not violate section 7311 of this title.”

3/ Federal law specifically prohibits any individual from accepting or holding any position (including elected office) in the United States Government if they advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of Government.

5 USC 7311 (1): “An individual April not accept or hold a position in the Government of the United States or the Government of the District of Columbia if he(1) advocates the overthrow of our constitutional form of Government.....”

4Advocate: To speak in favor of or defend by argument. To support, vindicate, or recommend publicly.
Black's Law Dictionary

5 Federal criminal law is explicit and direct regarding a violation of “Oath of Office” by Federal Officials which includes all members of Congress. The law requires the removal of the Office holder as well a prison term or fine from the Offender.

18 USC 1918: “Whoever violates the provisions of section 731 of title 5 that an individual April not accept or hold a position in the Government of the United States or the government of the District of Columbia if he/she (1) advocates the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”

Executive Order 10450 states (in part): “Whereas the interest of national security require that all persons privileged to be employed in.....the Government shall be reliable, trustworthy, of good conduct and character, and of complete and unwavering loyalty to the United States..it is hereby ordered as follows:

6 In order to instruct investigating “a Federal Grand Jury and/or Special Prosecutor” to what is a violation of “Oath of Office” under 5 USC 3331 and 5 USC 7311, Executive Order 10450 was issued to serve as a guideline from determining what actions constituted a criminal violation of the “Oath of Office” by Federal Officials. The “Order” affirms the law of 5 USC 7311 that is a criminal violation under 18 USC 1918 for a member of the government, which includes members of Congress, to “advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”

#235

violation of 5 USC 7311 for any person taking the “Oath of Office” to advocate “the alteration...of the form of government of the United States by unconstitutional means.” Our form of government is defined by the Constitution of the United States. It can only be “altered” by Constitutional Amendments. Thus, according to Executive Order 10450(and therefore 5 USC 7311) any acts taken by government Officials who have taken the “Oath of Office” prescribed by 5 USC 3331 which alters the form of government other than by Amendment, is a criminal violation of the 5 USC 7311.

IRAQ WAR

Chilcot report can be found on the google website

WARRANTS/US CONGRESSMAN AND SENATORS AND CO-CONSPIRATOR ARE NOT IMMUNE FROM ARREST

US Senators voted for Iraq war, breached international peace. International law prohibits the granting of immunities to public officials who have engaged in acts of torture, war crimes, willful, intentional international breach of peace, human rights violations. This applies not only to the actual perpetrators but also to those senior officials within the US Government who devised, planned and authorised these crimes. As a matter of international law, the US is legally obliged to bring those responsible to justice. The UN Convention Against Torture and the UN Convention on Enforced Disappearances require States to prosecute acts of torture and enforced disappearance where there is sufficient evidence to provide a reasonable prospect of conviction. States are not free to maintain or

permit impunity for these grave crimes. It is no defence for a public official to claim that they were acting on superior orders. CIA officers who physically committed acts of torture therefore bear individual criminal responsibility for their conduct, and cannot hide behind the authorisation they were given by their superiors. However, the heaviest penalties should be reserved for those most seriously implicated in the planning and purported authorisation of these crimes. Former Bush Administration officials who have admitted their involvement in the programme should also face criminal prosecution for their acts.

The U.S. Constitution recognized the fundamental necessity of protecting members of Congress from arbitrary arrest. U.S. Constitution Article I, section 6 has some restrictions on the police or justice to arrest or detain legislators during a legislative session, however it does not apply to situations involving willful violations of oath of office, "treason, felony or breach of the peace." The Plaintiff is entitled to arrest warrants against the "breakers of the law, and persons

911

OBAMACARE

ALL DEMOCRATS VOTED FOR OBAMACARE

On or about May 3, 2009 and continuing thru present Hillary R. Clinton had custody of one or more records, e-mails, maps, books, documents, papers, or other things, and willfully and unlawfully concealed, removed, mutilated, obliterated, or destroyed 32,000 plus e-mails after being served with a duly authorized subpoenaed “foreign; engaged in unfair, unlawful, deceptive, fraudulent business practices, “and conducted prohibited Unfair” Business Practice and conduct prohibited by Section 17200 is “unfair” business practices all while acting within the purpose and scope of such agency and employment for the Secretary of State and/or New York Senator. Further, violated Judge Sullivan’s order(see motion for contempt filed concurrently)and has forfeited her right to hold office as the United States President and is disqualified from holding any office under the United States, has committed multiple “felonious, grave acts.”

On or about May 1, 2015 and/or continuing thru present Judicial Watch and/or the Committee and Oversight commence an investigation of Hillary e-mail server(Handling of US Classified “top secret materials”) in one or more cases entitled: Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State (No. 1:13-cv-01363)). What is known as the Hillary Clinton’s “e-mail scandal?” The timeline of the events can be found at website: <http://www.amp.usa.com> (Timeline: Hillary Clinton e-mail investigation). They demanded e-mails and/or other material from Hillary R. Clinton, her aids and other persons operating under her direction while she was Secretary of State. On or about Feb. 1, 2009 and continuing thru to 2013 Hillary Clinton was the secretary of State and at all times mentioned had custody of such records, books, documents, papers, or e-mails. On or about May 3, 2015, Hillary

A 238

Clinton receive a duly authorized subpoena, to turn "all e-mails over to the Committee and Oversight and/or Judicial Watch in one or more lawsuits. In turn, Hillary Clinton knowingly, willfully, intentionally destroyed in excess of 32,000.00 e-mails after receiving a duly authorized subpoena,

U.S. Code Title 18, Section 2071 in pertinent part states: and establishes the concealment or destruction of some government records as a crime, and that public officeholders who violate the code are to be disqualified from "holding any office under the United States":

- (a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.
- (b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States.

Hillary R. Clinton was prohibited from destroying in excess of 32,000 emails. Hillary R. Clinton actions are illegal and unlawful and in violation of Federal and/or State law, and prohibits and/or Hillary Clinton disqualifies her from holding office "any," office and prohibits her from running for United States President and she is prohibit from running for "any office."

In addition, of the unlawful acts of Hillary R. Clinton, on or about May 15, 2015 one or more Judge(s) per a minute Order, ordered Hillary Clinton to "turn over all," the e-mails she had in her possession to one or more the Committee and Oversight and/or Judicial Watch in one or more lawsuits. In turn, Hillary Clinton violated "one Judge," order. (See contempt of court and affidavit and complaint filed concurrently).

A-249

ALL DEMOCRATS VOTED FOR HILLARY CLINTON

And are equally criminally liable for her acts or omissions.

**LORETTA LYNCH DISQUALIED HERSELF FROM THE INVESTIGATION AND
ADOPTS THE ACTS OF HER PREDESSCOR [ERIC HOLDER JR. CIVIL AND/OR
CRIMINAL CONTEMPT OF COURT] OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND/OR OBSTRUCT A
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION IN CASE # 1:12-CV-01332(ABJ)**

On or about May 15, 2015 and continuing thru present Loretta Lynch in her official capacity disqualifies herself from the "Clinton e-mail Scandal," upon information and belief because Bill Clinton, appointed Lynch as U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York, were she serve from From 1999-2001, in turn Loretta Lynch appointed FBI Director James Comey to handle the investigation. In turn,

Defendant Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General and James Comey and each of them did the acts and things herein alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, the conspiracy and above-alleged agreement.

Defendant Loretta Lynch, furthered the conspiracy by cooperation with Hillary Clinton and/or adopted the acts of Hillary Clinton in that on or about the AUGUST 12, 2012, the Committee and Oversight commence an investigation known as the "fast and furious" Case # 1:12-cv-01332(ABJ) and entitled Committee and Oversight vs. Eric Holder in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General. The Committee and oversight issued Holder a duly authorized subpoena. Eric Holder knowingly, intentionally refused to comply with the subpoena (continuing thru the department of his term). On or about Jan. 6, the District Court ordered Loretta Lynch in her official capacity to "turn over

Pl 2d^o

documents" to the Committee – document #9087, 883, 6592, 6594, 7038, 7987, 8002, 9685, and 14768. Loretta Lynch knowingly, intentionally, willfully refused to comply, violated her oath of office, and the committee and oversight appealed. Loretta Lynch actions are in violation of federal and/or state law. Loretta Lynch is "guilty of civil and/or criminal contempt of Court Just as her predecessor Eric Holder. The Plaintiff is entitled to a Special Prosecutor.

JAMES COMEY UNLAWFUL ACTS

COMEY FAIL TO RECOMMEND PROSECUTION OF HILLARY CLINTON IN VIOLATION OF HIS LEGAL DUTY AS FBI DIRECTOR

Defendant Comey, furthered the conspiracy by cooperation with the above and/or lent aid and encouragement to and/or ratified and adopted the acts of Defendant Comey, and co-conspirators and each of them did the acts and things herein alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, the conspiracy and above-alleged agreement. In that, on or about the March 2, 2015 thru to July 5th, 2016 the FBI and/or DOJ James Comey the FBI Director, with legal duties and responsibilities to prosecute criminals. July 5, 2016 knowingly, intentionally failed to prosecute Clinton and release the following statement:

STATEMENT OF COMEY

. July 5, 2016 - James Come Statement – Clinton, "extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information, "he said no charges are appropriate in this cause. "

Come at all times mentioned had legal duties to prosecute and knowingly intentionally failed to do his legal duty as United States Attorney General and is "criminally," liable for her acts or omissions.

14271

More than 100 agents and analysts were assigned to the case. They all thought Clinton committed crimes. The FBI, as the nation's most prominent law informant agency is badly damaged. Its reputation tarnished. Its image corrupted. A second source, a high-ranking FBI confirmed the crux of his colleague's stunning revelation. He said that it may not have been a unanimous belief, the vast majority felt Clinton should have been prosecuted. Stripping her of her security clearance was unanimous. But none of that appear to have mattered to come. He didn't care what his lawyer told him. It didn't matter that his skilled agents painstakingly uncovered overwhelming evident that Clinton mishandled classified documents in clear violation of federal statutes. Come is criminal liable for the acts and omission of Hillary Clinton and Lynch.

SEPT 13, 2016

REFUSAL TO TESTIFY BEFORE COMMITTEE

VIOLATION OF COURT ORDER

COMMITTEE AND OVERSIGHT AND/OR JUDICIAL
WATCH COMMENCED A CONGRESSIONAL
INVESTIGATION AND/OR FILED SUIT AND/OR CALLED
BRYAN PAGLIANO "CLINTON" AID TO TESTIFY BEFORE
CONGRESS

CONTEMPT OF CONGRESS

1242

Defendant/Respondent/Appellee Bryan Pagliano Defendants, Respondents, Appellees a former tech aid to Hillary Clinton did the acts and things herein alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, the conspiracy and above-alleged agreement, and furthered the conspiracy by cooperation with Hillary R. Clinton, Obama, Holder, Lynch, and Lerner in that he on or about Sept. 13, 2016 the committee and oversight called Bryan Pagliano Defendants, Respondents, Appellees to testify before the committee and oversight and the above named Defendant refused to show up at a second committee hearing, and the committee and oversight held him in contempt of court. Bryan Pagliano Defendants, Respondents/Appellee is guilty of direct and/or direct civil contempt of court.

7 – TREASON

BREACH OF NATIONAL SECURITY **TRUMP/RUSSIAN TIES – AND RUSSIANS MEDDLING IN THE UNITED STATES ELECTIONS**

In furtherance, on or about Nov. 1, 2016 Donald Trump ran for president. Trump has significant ties with the Russian President. Before his win Trump repeatedly spoke in high regards for the President of Russia. Upon information and belief Russia conspired with Trump, “hack computers, and alter the 2016 election.” Clinton won the popular vote and she had 65,844,610 votes – 48.2 percent compared to Trump 62,979,636 votes 46.1 percent. It was the largest margin of victory in popular vote in history for a candidate who did not win the election.

CLINTON BLAMES RUSSIA AND COMEY

TRUMP FINANCIAL TIES TO RUSSIA – MONEY LAUNDERING IN AN ARTICLE OFF THE INTERNET –APRIL 26, 2017

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/03/connections-trump-putin-russia-ties-chart-flynn->

P-243

All of Trump's Russia Ties, in 7 Charts

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/table-of-contents/2017/03>

1. Trump and Putin, via Administration
2. Trump and Putin, via Michael Flynn
3. Trump and Putin, via Campaign Advisers
4. Trump and Putin, via Paul Manafort
5. Trump and Putin, via Business Ties
6. Trump and Putin, via Felix Sater
7. Trump and Putin, via Trump Family Members

7 – TREASON

BREACH OF NATIONAL SECURITY

TRUMP IS APPOINTED PRESIDENT, HIRES FLYNN AS NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR.

In furtherance, Trump's acts or omission, upon election Trump appoint Flynn as national security advisor. A man who has significant ties with the Russian President. The United States President should not hire a National Security Advisor who has significant tie with the adversary. Trump actions suggest Treason.

6 – TREASON

BREACH OF NATIONAL SECURITY

DONALD TRUMPS NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR - GENERAL FLYNN RESIGNS

In furtherance of the acts or omissions of Trump, links began to surface that Donald Trump

National Security Advisor Flynn was conspiring with Russia. Flynn discuss status of US Sanction on Russia with Kislyak(Russian Ambassador Sergey). General Flynn's resigns as the National Security Adviser.

TRUMP APPOINTS MICHEAL FLYNN AS NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR

FLYNN RESIGNS

Top Pentagon watchdog launches investigation into money that Michael Flynn received from foreign groups

By [Dan Lamothe](#), [Ed O'Keefe](#) and [Sean Sullivan](#) By [Dan Lamothe](#), [Ed O'Keefe](#) and [Sean Sullivan](#) mailto:dan.lamothe@washpost.com;ed.okeefe@washpost.com;sean.sullivan@washpost.com?subject= Reader feedback for 'Top Pentagon watchdog launches investigation into money that Michael Flynn received from foreign groups' April 27 at 1:15 PM Follow [@danlamothe](#) Follow [@edatpost](#) Follow [@WaPoSean](#)

The Pentagon's inspector general launched an investigation into Gen. Michael Flynn over payments he accepted from foreign governments. The revelation came on April 27, when House Democrats released a letter sent from Defense Department Inspector General Glenn A. Fine to the House Oversight Committee. (Reuters)

JEFF SESSIONS LIED UNDER OATH

BEFORE CONGRESS

4245

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE **“MULTIPLE OFFENSES IN** **VIOLATION OF SECTION 1503, 1505,**

On or about August, 13, 2012, and continuing one or more of the above Defendants, knowing, intentionally, willfully obstructed a congressional investigation

DONALD TRUMP IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS **PRESIDENT VIOLATE VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY** **RESTRAING ORDER**

JAN. 2017

DONALD TRUMP, HOMELAND SECURITY,
EXECUTIVE ORDER: PROTECTING THE
NATION FROM FOREIGN TERRORIST
ENTRY INTO THE UNITED STATES

EXECUTIVE ORDER

4246

Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and laws of the United States of America, including the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq., and section 301 of title 3, United States Code, and to protect the American people from terrorist attacks by foreign nationals admitted to the United States, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Purpose. The visa-issuance process plays a crucial role in detecting individuals with terrorist ties and stopping them from entering the United States. Perhaps in no instance was that more apparent than the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, when State Department policy prevented consular officers from properly scrutinizing the visa applications of several of the 19 foreign nationals who went on to murder nearly 3,000 Americans. And while the visa-issuance process was reviewed and amended after the September 11 attacks to better detect would-be terrorists from receiving visas, these measures did not stop attacks by foreign nationals who were admitted to the United States.

Numerous foreign-born individuals have been convicted or implicated in terrorism-related crimes since September 11, 2001, including foreign nationals who entered the United States after receiving visitor, student, or employment visas, or who entered through the United States refugee resettlement program. Deteriorating conditions in certain countries due to war, strife, disaster, and civil unrest increase the likelihood that terrorists will use any means possible to enter the United States. The United States must be vigilant during the visa-issuance process to ensure that those approved for admission do not intend to harm Americans and that they have no ties to terrorism.

On or about April 7, 2017 Donald Trump, illegally, unlawfully without Congress approval "bombed" Syria. The UN Charter, a US treaty obligates and/or prohibits the use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state. The US Constitution declares treaty obligations "the Supreme law of the land. The Nuremberg Tribunal defined aggressive wars as the "supreme international crime. Trump has attacked a sovereign state with neither congressional nor UN Security Council authorization. Donald Trump acts constitute a war crime in violation of international law.

Case # 1:17-CV-00421 Judicial Watch vs. Department of Justice(JUDICIAL WATCH

SUES FOR:

All records and/or transcripts of meetings held between Attorney General Loretta Lynch and former President Bill Clinton in June 2016. All records communications sent to or from official in the Office of the Attorney General regarding the meeting held between Attorney General Loretta Lynch. All records

of communication setn to or from officials in the Office of the Deputy Attorney General regarding meeting held between Attorney General Loretta Lynch. All referenceds to the meeting held between Lynch and Bill Clinton

JEFF SESSIONS FAILS TO RELEASE BILL AND LORETTA

“TAMAC MEETING” LYNCH PLAIN RIDE MEEETING

Jeff Session in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, , was made aware of the unlawful conduct of the Defendants, had a legal duty or obligation to take positive action, prosecute thses wrongdoer, and knowingly, intentionally failed to do his duty, Defendants refused to discipline, prosecute or otherwise hold any of this Defendants accountable, for the felonies they committed against the Plaintiffs, person, business nd/or property, nor has any been disciplined, prosecuted or otherwise made to be accountable for their unlawful conduct, and Holder knowing, intentionally did oacts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy, Holder at all times mentioned had a legal duty or obligation to disclose the conflict of interest, to, divest themselves, apply for a waiver, etc. and knowingly, intentionally failed to disclose his conflict of interest, knowingly, intentionally, fail to divest themselves, failed to apply for a waiver, and did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy

CASE # 1:17-cv-00414 - JUDICIAL WATCH VS. CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY DONALD TRUMP, JEFF SESSIONS AND THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY(RUSSIAN INVESTIGATION – ILLEGAL HACKING OF

**JAMES COMEY CONFIRMS FBI INQUIRY ON RUSSIA; SEES NO EVIDENCE OF
WIRETAPPING**

PA 48

On or about March 25, 2017, The House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence is holding the first public hearing on Russia's efforts to influence the election. Comey had a legal duty to investigate Russian hacking. The F.B.I. director, James B. Comey, publicly confirmed an investigation into Russian interference in the presidential election and whether associates of the president were in contact with Moscow. Mr. Comey also said the F.B.I. had "no information" to support President Trump's allegation that Barack Obama wiretapped him. The hearing's featured witnesses: Mr. Comey and Adm. Michael S. Rogers, the director of the National Security Agency. Comey confirms the F.B.I. is investigating Russian election interference.

The F.B.I. is investigating Russian interference in the 2016 election and possible links between the Trump campaign and the Russian government — and whether there was any coordination, Mr. Comey said. Mr. Comey said that it was unusual for the F.B.I. to confirm or deny the existence of any investigations, but that in unusual circumstances when it is in the public interest, the bureau will sometimes discuss such matters.

TRUMP APPOINTS JEFF SESSIONS AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL A MAN KNOWN TO HAVE RUSSIAN CONNECTIONS

JEFF SESSIONS LIED BEFORE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE IN HIS INVOLVEMENT WITH RUSSIAN MEDLING IN US ELECTIONS

DONALD TRUMP ISSUES "SECOND" IDENTICAL TO JAN. 28TH ORDER IN WHICH A FEDERAL US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ISSUES AND INJUNCTION ON MARCH 6, 2017, - AND HIS

A249

ACTIONS CONSTITUTE WILLFUL, INTENTIONAL DISRESPECT FOR THE COURT

On the afternoon of Friday, January 27, 2017, President Trump issued an Executive Order entitled “Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States.” Section 3(c) of the Executive Order, among other things, suspends for 90 days entry of all immigrants and nonimmigrants from seven majority-Muslim countries: Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, and Yemen. (The order exempts persons traveling on diplomatic visas, North Atlantic Treaty Organization visas, C-2 visas for travel to the United Nations, and G-1, G-2, G-3, and G-4 visas. Section 3(e) and (f) contemplate expanding the list of banned countries based on future.) recommendations by the Secretary of Homeland Security. Section 3(g) provides that “the Secretaries of State and Homeland Security may, on a case-by-case basis, and when in the national interest, issue visas or other immigration benefits to nationals of countries for which visas and benefits are otherwise blocked.” The Executive Order restricted entry by immigrants from the banned countries who have lawful permanent residence (“green card”) status in the United States, as well as non immigrants traveling on student or work visas (e.g., B-1, H-1B, L-1, O, F-1, F-2, J-1), and their family members traveling on authorized visas (e.g., B-2, J-2, F-2). Because the Executive Order was issued on a Friday afternoon without prior notice, numerous persons from the seven banned majority-Muslim countries had already commenced their travel to the United States in reliance on their U.S. green card or a valid student or work visa, unaware of the Executive Order. Beginning Friday evening and continuing over the weekend, hundreds of people appeared at international airports throughout the United States, expecting the arrival of their friends and loved ones, only to discover that those arriving passengers were being detained incommunicado by CBP. This led to spontaneous protests and demonstrations at airports throughout the United States by persons demanding that the detainees be allowed access to the many lawyers who had also gathered at the airports to provide pro bono legal assistance to the detainees and their

relatives. (*E.g., Protests Erupt at Airports Following Trump Travel Ban*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 29, 2017, 2:12 A.M.), <https://goo.gl/pKOHoR>; Elise Viebeck and Michael Laris, *Hundreds of lawyers descend on airports to offer free help after Trump's executive order*, The Washington Post (Jan. 29, 2017), <https://goo.gl/AiGZJ3>; Betsy Woodruff, *Trump's Border Patrol Defies Judge, U.S. Senator at Dulles Airport as His First Constitutional Crisis Unfolds*, The Daily Beast (Jan. 29, 2017, 8:44 a.m.), <https://goo.gl/unbtlN>). On January 28, travelers from Yemen and sixty “John Doe” travelers who likewise had arrived with valid credentials but had been detained by CBP at Dulles.

DONALD TRUMP ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL CALL US DISTRICT COURT FEDERAL JUDGE GONZALES CUREIL A HATER INDIRECT CONTEMPT OF COURT

Trumps acts or omissions is in violation of rico prohihit act. 18 USC section 1503 prohibits any threaten letter or communication in a attempt to nfluenc, initimate, orimpeded any court officer “in the discharge of his duty, any one using any threatening letter or communication influences, obstructs ro impedes or endeavors to influenc, obstruct, impeded the due aminatration of justice commits a felony punishable by imprisonment for up to ten years. Moreover Appellants courts have upheld such santions for conduct less egregious than Trum such as vulgar words. Trump is in indirect contempt of court for his unlawful acts or omissions via Judge Cureil

When a federal judge rule a against the government, it also means against any official implicated in the order, including the president is supposed to obey even if they believe the court's order is incorrect. Donald Trump is not immune. “A president can be held in contempt of court,” “President Clinton was held in contempt of court in 1999 over his false testimony in the Monica Lewinsky scandal. Such assess was not provided and Defendant/Respondent/Appellee Donald Trump

A-251

in his official capacity is guilty of civil contempt of court. This court should require that Donald Trump in his official capacity as United States President to show cause why he is not in contempt. In furtherance of Donald Trump's unlawful acts or omissions.

JEFF SESSIONS DECISION AND/OR ORDER

The Jan. 3, 2017 decision and Executive Order 12333 (Raw signal availability procedure) against Jeff Sessions in his official capacity as United States Attorney General et al Defendant/Respondent/Appellee (U) Section 2.3 of Executive Order (E.O.) 12333 allows an Intelligence Community (IC) element (IC element) to disseminate information to other appropriate IC elements "for purposes of allowing the recipient element to determine whether the information is relevant to its responsibilities and can be retained by it." For the dissemination of information derived from signals intelligence (SIGINT), section 2.3 requires that such information only be disseminated or made available in accordance with procedures established by the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) in coordination with the Secretary of Defense and approved by the Attorney General. The DNI is establishing these Procedures to implement this provision of section 2.3 and to govern the availability of unevaluated and/or unminimized SIGINT and associated data (hereinafter "raw SIGINT") to IC elements by the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS, hereinafter "NSA").

The "Wiretap Act" is a federal law aimed at protecting the privacy of US Citizens in their communications with others

The electronic communications privacy act of 1986, is a law that in pertinent part states ECPA Title II of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (the Wiretap Statute) is designed to prevent the unauthorized government access to private electronic communications.

The third party doctrine is a United States legal theory that holds that people who voluntarily give information to third parties, such as banks, phone companies, internet providers (ISPs), and e-mail servers have no reasonable expectation of privacy

In furtherance of the Defendants acts or missions The fourth Amendment to the United States condition provides: "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon

1252

probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

UNCONSTITUTIONALITY

Plaintiffs allege that Jan. 3, 2017(procedure for the availability or dissemination of raw signals intelligence information by the national security agency under section 2.3 of executive order 12333), is unconstitutional on its face, and is contrary to the due process clause of the fourth and/or fifth and/o fourteenth amendment to the United States Constitution.

Plaintiffs allege that Jan. 3, 2017(procedure for the availability or dissemination of raw signals intelligence information by the national security agency under section 2.3 of executive order 12333), jeoporazize National Security, violates the Plaintiff 4th amendment US Constitutional rights, Section as applied in that it is overbroad and encompasses within its coverage activities which are clearly protected by the guarantees of the first amendment to the Constitution of the United States contrary to the due process clause of the fourteenth amendment to the United States Constitution. I have been injured and damaged and continues to be damaged by this unconstitutional order.

8 – TREASON

BREACH OF NATIONAL SECURITY
MARCH 2, 2017 – JEFF SESSIONS –DISQUALIFY HIMSELF AND/OR REMOVED
HIMSELF AS PROSECUTOR BECAUSE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN THE RUSSIA
ALLEGED HACKING AND TRUMP TIES TO RUSSIA

Jeff Sessions had a legal duty and/or obligation to appoint a Special Prosecutor, and investigate the “alleged Russian hacking.” and “alleged meddling in the United States elections, and knowingly,

18252

intentionally failed to, and rescues himself. Statement of Jeff Sessions: "I have now decided to rescue myself from any existing or future investigations of any matter relating in any way to the campaigns for President." Sessions action had a legal duty and obligation to investigate any alleged Security breach of "US elections meddling." Jeff Session's acts or omissions constitute a breach of National Security, and Jeff Sessions violated his oath of office, and is not qualified as US Attorney General and this court must appoint a Special Prosecutor.

Plaintiff re-alleges the above paragraph. Sharon Bridgewater has (1) a substantial likelihood of success on the merits; (2) will suffer irreparable injury; (3) the injury to Plaintiffs outweighs the harm an injunction may cause Defendants; and (4) that granting the injunction would not harm the public interest, and the Plaintiff is on a limited income and is not required to post bond.

This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 15-2-17 to review the allegations of the denial of effective assistance of legal counsel in the criminal case in the Gwinnett County Superior Court which resulted in a guilty which is contrary to and involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal Law, as determined by the United States Supreme Court within the meaning of U.S.C. Code Title 28 § 2254 (d). Petitioner was charged with driving under the influence as well as unsafe lane usage, No proof of insurance as well as reckless driving.

Attorney Carl Jackson Spence was representing petitioner and on June 26, 2007 that attorney withdrew from legal representation by Court Order. The Superior Court appointed Lucas O Harsh on 6/29/07 to represent petitioner herein.

The Superior Court held two hearings one on 09/06/2007 for counsel conflict notice attorney for defendant and one on 09/14/2007 - counsel conflict notice attorney for defendant.

A254

There are no notations as to what the actual conflict of the representation of petitioner was by the court or for that matter any determination by the Court for the record of just what was the basis for the denial of the motion.

The Court then proceeded 9/19/07 to take a plea in this case.

Petitioner never had any conversation with the attorney of record regarding any defenses to the charges and nor did petitioner herein ever discussed the factual basis for the charges in this case at any time and nor has petitioner ever discussed the issues regarding the criminal charges with said attorney regarding if there was a factual basis for the plea.

Petitioner's guilty plea was not voluntarily made as attorney Lucas O Harsh informed petitioner that it was either a plea of guilty to reckless driving or a trial in which said attorney had never prepared or interviewed witnesses, subpoenaed witness for petitioner's defense, and never did any investigation into the criminal case.

Petitioner was placed in position of either pleading guilty to one count of reckless driving or proceeding to a jury trial on the merits on six criminal counts without the appointed attorney ever preparing and discussing the case with petitioner and preparing for trial on the merits.

Petitioner was denied the effective assistance of legal counsel within the meaning of sixth amendment to the U.S. Constitution as guaranteed via the 14th Amendment as petitioner was coerced making said plea involuntary.

Neil Buchanan: Why Trump's Tax Returns Are Going to Stay Secret

<http://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-trust-money-withdrawal/>

Trump can now tap money from his business, raising ethics concerns

Last Updated Apr 4, 2017 3:00 PM EDT

But the revised version of the trust agreement, signed Feb. 10, allows its two trustees -- the president's oldest son Donald Jr. and the firm's chief financial officer, Allen Weissenberg -- to deliver income or principal from the company to the president at his request.

A25

Mr. Trump does not have to divulge any such transactions at once. But his receiving money from the Trump Organization likely would eventually come to light in the personal financial disclosure forms he is required to file annually, with the next one due in 2018.

How might this work? Mr. Trump could pocket proceeds, for instance, from the seven condos sold at a Trump luxury high-rise in Las Vegas since his election. CBS News has confirmed the transactions at the building, which is operated by Trump Ruffin Tower LLC. According to CBS News correspondent Anna Werner and investigative producer Laura Strickler, the sales total for the seven units was \$2.3 million.

The revised trust agreement was released by the General Services Administration, or GSA, a federal agency that oversees the basic functioning of the government.

The government watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington labeled Mr. Trump's amended trust agreement as demonstrating the "inadequacy" of the separation between him and his company. The trust set up "only the appearance of separation," a spokesman for the watchdog organization said.

Richard Painter, the White House ethics counsel under George W. Bush, condemned the latest move as "illustrating that Trump controls the business" and called it a "conflict of interest." To Painter, now a professor at the University of Minnesota Law School, the only way to avoid conflicts is for the president to erect a blind trust, where his assets are completely segregated from his knowledge and control, with trustees having the power to sell them.

If people know Mr. Trump is profiting from a specific transaction, they could seek something in return, warned real estate trust lawyer Fred Tansill, who practices in suburban Washington D.C. People dealing with the Trump administration, he said, "may feel that they have the ability to buy favorable treatment from the president and that's a terrible appearance for our democracy."

White House Press Secretary Sean Spicer said on Monday he was uncertain if Mr. Trump had taken money from his trust and didn't answer a question about whether the president would make a disclosure if he did so.

Mr. Trump receives a \$400,000 yearly salary as president, and on Monday donated his first three months pay to the National Park Service. By law, presidents are exempt from conflict of interest rules for government officials.

Still, it's a convention for presidents to adhere to the rules, and they often used blind trusts. U.S. senators also can take money from trusts they establish, but there's a difference with the Trump situation because senators must house their assets in blind trusts.

Questions about how deeply involved the president can be with his businesses have cropped up with the announcement of the trust in January. While control of the company passed from Mr. Trump to Donald Jr. and Weissenberg, along with his other adult son, Eric, there is no legal stricture preventing him from being involved in company operations.

Mr. Trump has pledged to get reports only on the Trump Organization's basic financial performance, although that has no force of law. Eric Trump told Forbes magazine that he intends to brief his father on company doings.

Another controversy surrounding Mr. Trump's purported conflicts involves the Trump International Hotel, which is located in the Old Post Office building near the White House. One ethics law a president must obey is a constitutional bar on receiving money from foreign governments. Known as the "emoluments clause" in the U.S. Constitution, this provision is meant to shield the president from foreign bribes. Critics say foreign powers might seek Mr. Trump's favor by staying at his hotel.

But a recent ruling by the GSA, which owns the hotel site, concluded the facility is not in violation of its lease by accepting rental income that benefits Mr. Trump. Their reasoning: The funds would be held

1/25

by the hotel and not be funneled to the Trump Organization. But it is not clear what will happen to the money once he leaves office.

conspiracy to violate the other sections of the RICO Act. For ... which were housed in an office suite located near Dulles International Airport conspiracy to violate the other sections of the RICO Act. For ... which were housed in an office suite located near Dulles International Airport

TRUMP NAMED IN 168 AND/OR MORE LAWSUIT INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO FRAUD, RACKETEERING,

<http://lawnewz.com/high-profile/we-investigated-donald-trump-is-named-in-at-least-169-federal-lawsuits/>

MAY 15, 2017

BILLIONS OF PERSON EFFECTED – COMPUTER BRITICOIN MINING

May 17, 2017 – The Appointment of Robert Muller a Former Bush Appointee

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement Robert Muller, former FBI Agent under Bush and who oversaw, the 911 Bush overt acts.

Given the severity of Defendant Donald Trump's acts or omissions in Racketeering and given Jeff Sessions failure to disclose conflicts of interest, and his predecessors willful, intentional "patterns and practices," for failing to comply with the committee and oversight subpeana and and discovery

1257

violations, the district court acted well within its discretion in entering default judgment, via a sanction that can play a 'constructive role in maintaining the orderly and efficient administration of justice.

GOVERNMENT PARTICIPATES AS RICO(1961)(4)

"office of the United States President"

Controlling case law holds that government bodies whose conduct meets the definitions as applied to non-government entities also applies to them, that would include individual employees of the US Federal and/or State Government, state judges, federal judges, U.S. Department of Justice, and others acting outside their scope of authority in their individual capacities and in joint participation with State Actors. A line of cases hold that any governmental agency, court, political office or the like could serve as a RICO "enterprise." *United States v. Thompson*, 685 F.2d 993, 999 (6th Cir. 1982)(en banc) *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 1072 (1983). Among the government units that have been held to be "enterprises" are offices of governors and state legislators, courts, court clerks' offices. See e.g., *United States v. Stratton*, 649 F.2d 1066, 1072-75 (5th Cir. 1981); *United States v. Clark*, 656 F.2d 1259, 1261-67 (8th Cir. 1981) Office of county judge); *United States v. Frumento*, 405 F. Supp. 23, 29-30 (E.D. Pa. 1975), *affd*, 563 F.2d 1083 (3d Cir. 1977). *cert. debued*, 434 U.S. 1072 (1978).

In referring to the RICO liability of government offices the court thought it inconceivable that "in considering the ever more widespread tentacles of organized crime in the nation's economic life, Congress intended to ignore an important aspect of the economy [simply] because it was state operated and state controlled" (563 F.2d at 1091.) Accepting defendants' contentions would mean that "business organizations legitimately owned and operated by the states, even though their activities substantially affect interstate commerce, would be open game for racketeers. [The court refused] to believe that Congress had such 'tunnel-vision' when it enacted the racketeering statute or that it intended to exclude from the protective embrace of this broad statute, designed to curb organized crime, state operated commercial ventures engaged in interstate commerce, or other governmental agencies regulating commercial and utility operations affecting interstate commerce." Decisions after *Frumento* expanded government activity to every conceivable government agency, court, or political office. *United States v. Thompson*, 669 F.2d 1143 (6th Cir), *revid* 685 F.2d 993 (6th Cir. 1982)(en banc), *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 1072 (1983) The Enterprize "OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES PRESIDENT ."

Series of Documented Acts Comprising the RICO Offenses

A criminal racketeering enterprise requires a minimum of two contiguous acts to meet the legal definition of a racketeering enterprise. Dozens of such contiguous acts are documented including but not limited to:

Repeated cover-ups, from 1993 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint, by federal judges and State Judges of ongoing documented corruption in the government's Office of the

President(White House), Office of the Attorney .(Department of Justice), Office of the Internal Revenue Service(Internal Revenue Service), Office of HUD(The Department of HUD), "other US Government Agencies," that enabled to occur a series of major fraud on the United States which is the direct and proximate cause of the financial economic collapse –including but not limited to US Government joint participation with Contractors fraud, Corporations , Partnerships, lawyers fraud , (under the Obama Bush[Iraq War],Clinton Administration)

War Crimes, Crimes against Humanity, Repeated Terrorist Attacks, Repeated cover-ups of the criminal activities in overt and covert government operations that the Plaintiffs(the original source)discovered while a public housing facility.

The evidence of repeated conduct constituting "continual"obstruction of justice that they knew would continue to result in great harm to the American people , and to national security.

The evidence of repeated felony retaliation against Whistleblowers such as Edward Snowden and other the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater, and other Whistleblowers in the "Fast and furious," "Benhi Scandle" etc. for attempting to report high-level crimes against the United States and to criminally halt ability to report such crimes.

The corrupt, illegal and unconstitutional seizure of the Plaintiff business and personal property to restrain commerce knowingly it would cause great harm and impair the Plaintiff ability to conduct commerce.

The IRS corrupt, illegal and unconstitutional targeting of tea party members.

The illegal, unconstitutional "amendment" to our US Constitution right that violate US Citizens right to bear arms and/or our right to freedom of religion.

The corrupt, illegal and unconstitutional seizure of the AP Phone Records, violation of the "press" first amendment US Constitutional right.

The unconstitutional mandate of Obamacare.

Converting the courts, and their judicial positions, into a racketeering enterprise.

Perpetrating a series of predicate acts, that far exceeded the legal criteria for being a criminal racketeering enterprise.

Each of these criminal acts were compounded by the fact that they were perpetrated by people in positions of trust, who were paid to enforce the law.

Expanding on the number of parties involved in the series of predicate acts were the unknown—but suspected—Eric Holder and the U.S. Department of Justice—(and his predecessors)parties that were orchestrating the multiple schemes and conspiracies and protecting each of the criminal acts of each and every one.

Several US Senators and Congressman, confirming Todd Jones ATF director when they knew and were aware of a criminal pending investigation, and schemes to defraud the USA.

A259

Striking against the US Government in contest of a Congressional Investigation

All Democrats voting for Obamacare

Obama "I act on my own."

RICO Details

A criminal RICO racketeering organization crime requires:

Two or more related predicate acts, in a conspiracy. Predicate offenses are related if they have (a) the same or similar purposes, (b) results, (c) participants, (c) victims, or (d) methods of commission.

Same purposes. The participants' purpose was to defraud US Taxpayers, Consumers and/or class Plaintiffs of money and/or property and/or the intangible right to honest services, "inflict" extreme emotional distress on the Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners and/or other Whistleblowers (such as in Swartz case) to block Sharon Bridgewater, and other Whistleblowers, the press from reporting a continuing series of corrupt, with corporations, and criminal acts of public officials joint participation with Corporations, individuals, to defraud the USA involving key people in government.

Results. The results included hindering and halting Sharon Bridgewater and/or other Whistleblowers and/or the press from reporting the crime and/or ability to properly report, publicize, and halt the ongoing corruption and conspiracy to defraud the USA.

Participants. The participants included the repeated acts by the same people and groups, public officials including US Presidents, federal judges, Justice Department employees, lawyers, California, Michigan and judges and others acting in joint participation with each other.

Victims. The victims included:

Repeated harm to the Plaintiff a Whistleblowers. The harm consisted of seizing the Plaintiff's personal and business assets, depriving the Plaintiff the right to conduct commerce; depriving the Plaintiff and/or US Citizens and/or Whistleblower through a series of unlawful and unconstitutional judicial rights and protections guaranteed to all citizens by the laws and constitution of the United States and/or the ability of IRS official to collect taxes, and/or the ability of the Plaintiffs, Whistleblowers and/or the Press to exercise their 1st US Constitutional amendment right to free speech.

People of the United States who were harmed by the series of criminal acts and the documents actions of federal judges, Justice Public Officials, Department of the employees et al, and others, that enabled the crimes and resulting harm to continue.

Method of commission. As it relates to the current and/or former presidents, public officials, federal judges and Justice Department participants, they perpetrated a series of acts

260

including (a) failing to report the crime to congressional members and/or the US Government Oversight Committee members(b)labeling the Plaintiffs as a vexatious ligation (c)gross prosecutual misconduct(d)acts of violence against Whistleblower for attempting to report the ongoing crimes against the United States etc.(e)failing to change IRS tax laws.

The racketeering acts were related, the intent being to halt the reporting and publicizing of high-level criminal activities.

Their actions consisted of a continuing pattern of criminal activities.

All of the actions were continuing, from 1993 thru the filing of this complaint, and arguably continuing as judicial orders still exist in several federal courts attempting to bar the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater and/or Whistleblowers and/or the Press from filing any papers in any federal district or appellate court. These orders knowingly obstructed justice by blocking the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater et al from reporting major and deadly criminal activities against the United States; and blocked the Sharon Bridgewater, Whistleblowers et al from exercising federal defenses against the pattern of civil and constitutional, and criminal acts for which they are federal causes of actions for which federal defenses exist.

The predicate acts and conspiracy gravely affected interstate commerce, and caused, or enabled to occur, a continuing series of major fraud against the USA and/or the Plaintiff which is the direct and proximate cause of the financial economic collapse and also affects interstate commerce through criminal activities in the US Government of public officials, abuse of US Government power, committing criminal acts of bribery, corruption, and for pand acting outside their scope of authority and in their individual capacities.

RICO REQUIRES NO MORE THAN SLIGHT EFFECT UPON INTERSTATE COMMERCE

The Plaintiffs was damaged or injured in business or property. RICO Requires no more than a slight effect upon interstate commerce. *United States v. Doherty*, 867 F.2d 47, 68 (1st Cir. 1989). *United States v. Murphy*, 768 F.2d 1518, 1531 (7th Cir. 1985). *cert. denied*, 106 S.Ct. 1188 (1986). Predicate acts were related to the common purpose of the enterprise, defraud US taxpayers, Consumers, and/or Class Plaintiff and being to halt Sharon Bridgewater and other Whistleblowers and/or the press from reporting and publicizing the ongoing criminal activities. See *United States v. Bonanno Organized Crime Family*, 683 F. Supp. 1411, 1437 (E.D.N.Y. 1988). All aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced or procured to defraud the US, taxpayers, and/or the Class Plaintiff and/or commit predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961(5) and further Eric Holder and Obama obstructed justice. Further, Congress limited the force of Rule 8(b) by loosening the statutory requirements for what constitutes joint criminal activities. *United States v. Friedman*, 854 F.2d 535, 561 (2d Cir. 1988); *United States v. Castellano*, 610 F.Supp. 1359, 1396 (S.D.N.Y. 1985). If a defendant is not named in a conspiracy or RICO count, he September be charged in a separate court, in the same indictment, if he is alleged to have participated in the same series of acts or transactions that constituted the conspiracy or RICO offense. Further as far as the RICO enterprize, some benefited from the enterprise, and some did not. The racketeering activity is not required to benefit the enterprise. (The participants in the scheme are not

A2b

required to have personally profited, though some did. *United States v. Killip*, 819 F.2d 1542, 1549 (10th Cir. 1987.)

Some defendants agreed to join conspiracy with knowledge that other members were to commit at least two acts of racketeering. *United States v. Leisure*, 844 F.2d 1347, 1367 (8th Cir. 1988). See ' 1962(d), defendant agreed to join conspiracy with knowledge that other members were to commit at least two acts of racketeering.

In *Shearin v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.*, 885 F.2d 1162 (3d Cir. 1989). The court held that Shearin, a *pro se* plaintiff, could recover for being fired, if she proved that it was an overt act in furtherance of an alleged ' 1962(d) conspiracy to bilk Hutton customers for trust services which were never performed. In *Sedima* the court noted that standing to sue under " 1962(a)—(c) is based on proof that the predicate racketeering acts caused injury to plaintiff's business or property. 473 U.S. at 495. The court also held that *Sedima* did not foreclose the possibility that harm arising from a conspiratorial overt act, distinct from the predicate acts listed in ' 1961(1), could confer standing under ' 1962(d). 885 F.2d at 1169-70.

Defendant was aware of the "essential nature" of the enterprise, which was a group of persons associated for the purpose of luring people into rigged card games. *United States v. Joseph*, 835 F.2d 1149, 1152 (6th Cir. 1987); in *United States v. Gallo*, 667 F. Supp. 1359, 1401, (S.D.N.Y. 1985), the defendant must have knowledge of the enterprise and at least some of its criminal activities; Defendant must have been aware of at least the . existence of the enterprise (*United States v. Castellano*, 610 F.Supp. 1359, 1401 (E.D.N.Y. 1985); in order to prove RICO conspiracy count government must show the existence of a "unified agreement to participate in the affairs of the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering"

The court held that § 1962(c) does not require concerted criminal activity, only that an individual commit at least two acts of racketeering while participating in the conduct of an enterprise. *United States v. Castallano*, 610 F.Supp. 1359, 1392-1396 (S.D.N.Y. 1985). Id at 1394.

RICO Violations Involving A

Continuing Series of ATTACK ON THE PLAINTIFFS AND OTHER WHISTLEBLOWERS

Dozens of separate predicate acts were perpetrated to block Sharon Bridgewater and/or Whistleblowers and/or the press from reporting ongoing criminal activities in high-level overt and covert operations involving government employees. That constituted claims under ' 1962(a),(b), (c), and (d), in factual allegations.

1. RICO violators within the Office of the President, Office of the Attorney ,. Office of HUD, et al conspired with each other to halt the former Sharon Bridgewater and/or other Whistleblowers, " the press" to disclose their major fraud, and/or to inflict economic hardship on the Plaintiff, restrain commerce, cause the Plaintiff suffering, and prevent the Plaintiff from carrying out her responsibilities to report the crimes,

A26²