

1 Plaintiff is informed and believe that ERIC HOLDER JR. AND/OR OBAMA IS REPSONBILE.
 2 FOR THE CRIMINAL ACTS AND IS CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE.
 3

4 **BIVENS VS SIX FBI AGENTS, RELATIORY PROSECUTION**
 5 **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF'S**
 6 **CIVIL RIGHTS 42 USC 1983/1985)**

7 **Plaintiff reallege the above paraprahs.**
 8

9 It is unlawful for the United States President and/or Obama to defraud the
 10 Plaintiffs out of money or property. Police Officer, Sgts, etc. to enter into a
 11 conspiracy to RETAILATE AGAINST A FEDERAL WITNESS AND VICTIM
 12 OF CRIME OF US GOVNERMENT RICO ACTIVIIIES, deprive any person of
 13 the rights and privileges of a citizen. DEFRAUDE THE PLAINTIFF OUT OF
 14 MONEY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW,, ILLEGAL The
 15 Plaintiff had a right to drive her newly purchased Van with a drive out tag. The
 16 Plaintiff had a right to due process in the traffic stop. The Plaintiff had a right a
 17 fourth US Constitutional right to be free from "unreasonable searches and seizures.
 18 The Plaintiff had right to be free from criminal trespass, invasion of privacy and a
 19 right to own and possess her business and personal possession. On or about Oct.
 20 30, 2007, knowingly, initially, evaded the Plaintiff privacy, trespassed onto the
 21 Plaintiff property, took , stole, converted the Plaintiff possessions initiated a
 22 criminal proceeding against the Plaintiff Charged the Plaintiff with a crime without
 23 probable cause, in an intentional act to hurt, cause harm, restrain or effect instate
 24 commerce. The Defendants knew and were aware they did not have a case against
 25 the Plainitff. The Defendants knowingly, intentionally and dismissed the charges
 26 against the Plaintiffs a year and a half later in hopes that the Plainitff would forget
 27 about their illegal criminal acts. The proceeding terminated in the plainitff favor.
 28 The Defendnats actions were without probable cause and constitute malicious
 prosecution. All Defendants knew or should have known by discriminating against
 the Plaintiff based on race or ethnicity, and engaging in discriminatory practice,
 and stealing, taking and converting the Plaintiffs business and personal property to
 their own use, and falsely imprisoning the plaintiff without due process of law
 would cause injury and suffering to the Plaintiff.

All Defendants acted under the color of Georgia law, misused and abused US
 Government Power, discriminated against the Plaintiff based on race or class,

1 knowingly use deceit collusion violated the Plaintiff 4th via 5th or 14th amendment US
2 Constitutional due process rights as guaranteed by the US Constitution, and denied and/or
3 deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and/or equal privileges under the laws
and prevented the Plaintiff from exercising and enjoying equal privileges immunities as
secured by the US Constitution as a citizen of America and/or deprived the Plaintiff of her
US Constitutional rights via the fourth, fifth, or fourteenth amendment. The
Defendants actions were malicious, criminal and his actions constitute false
arrest, false imprisonment, libel, theft, conversion, robbery, and their actions have
effectuated interstate commerce and their actions constitute Malicious Prosecution,
and a scheme to defraud and/or RICO activities, and or crimes as mentioned in the
above criminal causes of action.

9
10
11 There is no way "ANY" sane, "reasonable" United States President or Attorney
General would have believe the above conduct of the Defendants was lawful.
12 knowingly, intentionally, failed to take reasonable steps to protect the Plaintiff a
victim of another illegal, unlawful acts in violation of 42 USC section 1986. Each
13 Defendant at incident at the time and on the date in question had knowledge that
14 Plaintiff's civil rights were being violated and had the power to prevent or aid in
15 preventing the wrongful acts made in violation of the Plaintiff's rights.

16 The Defendants knew of the Defendants' wrongful conduct and failed or refused to
17 prevent it or aid in preventing and cheered each other on. The Defendants
18 knowingly, intentionally keep charges pending against the Plaintiff without an
19 initiation of criminal proceeding for a year and a half and dismissed the charges
20 against in to prevent the Plaintiff from reporting their crime to a Federal Judge .
The proceeding ended in the Plaintiff's favor.

21 Given the totality of the circumstances, the Defendants actions amounts to AN
22 ABUSE OF US GOVERNMENT POWER, RICO CRIMINAL ACTS, despicable
23 conduct carried on by the defendant with the willful and conscious disregard of the
24 Plaintiff's (AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE) United States US Constitutional
rights as secured by the Constitution and numerous violations of the Plaintiffs civil
25 rights. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON
26 BRIDGEWATER ARE VICTIMS OF MALICIOUS CRIME. The Defendants
27 actions were outrageous. OBAMA, SUPPORTS HIS ATTORNEY GENERAL is
28 AND SHAWN DOVANAN, AT ALL TIMES HAD A DUTY OR OBLIGATION
OF HIS CABINET MEMBERS, ESPECIALLY AFTER 381 US
CONGRESSMAN MEMBERS CALLED FOR HOLDER TO RESIGN, AND IS

1 CRIMINAL LIABLE PURSUANT TO PINKERTON STATUE. OBAMA HAD
 2 A DUTY OR OBLIGATION TO establishing and enforcing unambiguous policies
 3 and procedures, OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND HAD a duty or
 obligation to FIRE HOLDER OR SHAWN DONAVAN, AND HAD A DUTY OR
 OBLIGATION TO make clear that THE DEFENDANTS HOLDER OR SHAWN
 DONAVAN ACTIONS will not be tolerated within the UNITED STATES
 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND THE UNITED STATES HOUSING AND
 URBAN DEVELOPMENT.

9 The Defendants actions constitute Retalitory Prosecution(malicious prosecution)
 10 and the Defendants has caused the Plaintiff extensive damage HORRIFIC,
 PHYCHOLICAL DAMAGE, PAIN SUFFERING, MENTAL, AND
 11 EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, NIGHTMARES, SHOCK, the inablitiy to conduct
 12 business and caused the Plaintiff OTHER damages, AND THE DEFENDANTS
 DID OVERT ACTS OR OMISSION TO FURTHER THE OBJECTIVE OF THE
 CONSPIRACY.

14 The Defendants malicious criminal acts against the Plaintiffs, AND RICO acts
 15 forced the Plaintiff forced the Plaintiff out of business. The Plaintiff have been injured
 16 and damaged in person, and/or business and/or property by the malicious criminal actions of the
 Defendants and have damages.

18
 19
 20
 21
 22 **CAUSE OF ACTION**
CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD PLAINTIFFS AND THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
MICHIGAN, THE UNITED STATES US GOVERNMENT AND THE AMERICAN
PEOPLE

24 **Plaintiff reallege the above paraprahs.**

26 The U.S. Constitution: First Amendment guareentees the Plaintiffs and US Citizens:

27 The U.S. Constitution: Fourth Amendment guareentees the Plaintiffs and US Citizens:
 28

1 The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects,
2 against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants
3 shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and
particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be
seized.

5 The U.S. Constitution: Fifth Amendment guareentees the Plaintiffs and US Citizens that:

6 No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a
7 presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or
8 in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be
9 subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in
any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property,
without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just
10 compensation.

11
12
13 **The U.S. Constitution: Fourteenth Amendment guareentees the Plaintiffs and US Citizens,
and/or the State of Georgia Constitution.**

14
15 All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction
16 thereof are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State
17 shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of
18 citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or
property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the
equal protection of the laws.

19
20
21 attacked the Plaintiff by , attack the Plaintiffs, use treat, coercion, falsely arrest, and falsely
imprison the Plaintiff and

22
23
24 Antitrust laws(federal and state statutory law) are primarily for the purpose to prevent
25 businesses including Public/Private Partnerships, and/or **monopoly** such as the
26 Defendants(PUBLIC HOUSING FACILITIES OWNED AND OPERATED BY
27 PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERS) which has advantage over all other businesses in that field of
Real Estate and/or economic control. It is illegal, for a monopoly to conspire with others to drive
28 its competitors out of business in order to create and/or maintain the monopoly.

1 Antitrust law seeks to maximize market efficiency and to protect businesses such as the Specialty
2 Investment Group LLC, Specialty Global Investments, and/or Bridgewater & Company INC.
3 Many specific actions are covered by these Anti-trust laws, including pricing policy, terms of
4 trade, customer and territory selection, bundling of services, advertising and sales technology,
5 and mergers and acquisitions, and others. Businesses are prohibited by antitrust laws from
6 forming or trying to form a monopoly. The law also restricts the way businesses interact with
7 their competitors and customers. In this case all defendants have knowingly, intentionally
8 "criminally" violated many anti-trust laws.

9 Anti-Trust and Monopolies prohibit unlawful relationships with Competitors, Arrangements
10 between competitors, unlawful conspiracies, under antitrust law. The agreements HVLP, Shawn
11 Bankson, or Jane Creason and/or KTJ and Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group
12 LLC/Specialty Global Investment INC.and/or Bridgewater & Company) enter into were
13 unlawful; as the Defendants intentionally purposefully agreed to of restrain trade or commerce
14 just for the purpose to maintain control of a Real Estate Monopoly.

15 The agreements and/or Stipulated Judgements were "**per se illegal**," the arrangement itself, **is**
16 **against the law.** In this case the Defendants, HVLP, KTJ entered into a per se illegal
17 void agreement with the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC
18 incorporated,Obama and/or Holder,Shawn Donahvan all conspired, agreed, to act in joint
19 participation with Federal and/or State Judges, abuse US Governement Power, commit treason
20 against the constitution, abused the US Federal District Courts, void orders, violation of the
21 Plaintiff right to a jury trial, fraud on the court have unreably res restrain commerce. The
22 Sherman Anti-Trust Act is the basic federal antitrust statute. It prohibits businesses in interstate
23 commerce from contracting, combining, or conspiring to restrain trade, or attempting to
24 monopolize the market in a particular area of business. Violations of this Act include making
25 contracts that unreasonably restrain trade, price fixing, group boycotts, allocating markets, and
26 attempting to form and maintain a monopoly in an industry to injure competition. Persons found
27 in violation of certain aspects of the Sherman Anti-Trust Act may be fined or jailed. In practice,
28 however, these violations generally are handled by civil, rather than criminal, lawsuits. The
Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (DOJ) enforces the Sherman Act.

The Sherman Anti-Trust Act federal antitrust statute also prohibits businesses in interstate
commerce from contracting, combining, or conspiring to restrain trade, or attempting to
monopolize the market in Real Estate. Violations of this Act include making contracts that
unreasonably restrain trade, illegal Stipulated Judgments, price fixing, tortuous interference with
US Government Contracts and attempting to form and maintain a monopoly in an industry to
injure competition.

Persons found in violation of certain aspects of the Sherman Anti-Trust Act may be fined or
jailed.

In this case all of the above Defendants joint participation with HVLP, and Shawn Dovanah the US Housing HUD Direction constitute an illegal criminal monoooploly. ALL DEFENDANTS WERE IN CONTROL OF THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, FEDERAL JUDGES, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.

On or about 2006 and continuing through the filing of this complaint, all Defendants knowingly, intentionally, came to the meeting of the minds, entered into civil conspiracy or collusion and/or agreement to deprive Plaintiffs and/or other US Citizens of their legal rights, retaliate against a US Federal Witness, restrain commerce, engage in RICO activities, use treat, coercion force, commit predicate acts, of KIDNAPPING, ASSAULT AND BATTERY, violence against the Plaintiffs, theft, robbery, fraud, to prevent the Plaintiff from exercising her right to free speech, and or disclosing to a federal Judge the overt acts. All conspired to abuse US Government Power, make the Plaintiffs and/or other US Citizens victims of crime of robbery, theft and their The defendants knew and were aware that the Plaintiffs conducted business and/or interstate commerce. The Defendants have knowingly, intentionally inferred conspired to with interstate commerce, to VIOLATE GEORGIA RICO STATUE, AND OR GEORGIA STATE CONSTITUTION, Michigan, California, and other State and Federal statues, and did actions were without probable cause and did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy. Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive Director of Washtenaw County, Michigan in his/her individual and official capacity is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, OF THE WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERRIFFS POLICE OFFICER ACTIONS, ASSAULTS, KIDNAPPING, FALSE ARREST, ETC, and is responsible.

Defendants JOHN DOE 19 unknown Chief of Police of Washtenaw County Sherriffs Department in his or her individual and official capacity as Chief of Police/Sherriff of Washtenaw County failed to instruct, supervise, and control officers and/or instructed, supervised, and controlled THE POLICE OFFICER TO BEAT, ASSAULT, ATTACK THE PLAINTIFF AND IS employees and is responsible.

Plaintiff is informed and believe that ERIC HOLDER JR. AND/OR OBAMA IS REPSONBILE. FOR THE CRIMINAL ACTS AND IS CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE.

BIVENS VS SIX FBI AGENTS, RELATIORY PROSECUTION MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL RIGHTS 42 USC 1983/1985)

Plaintiff reallege the above paraprahs.

It is unlawful for Police Officer, Sgts, etc. to enter into a conspiracy to RETAILATE AGAINST A FEDERAL WITNESS AND VICTIM OF CRIME OF US GOVNERMENT RICO ACTIVIIIES, deprive any person of the rights and

1 privileges of a citizen. DEFRAUDE THE PLAINTIFF OUT OF MONEY OR
2 PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW,, ILLEGAL The Plaintiff had
3 a right to drive her newly purchased Van with a drive out tag. The Plaintiff had a
4 right to due process in the traffic stop. The Plaintiff had a right a fourth US
5 Constitutional right to be free from “unreasonable searches and seizures. The
6 Plaintiff had right to be free from criminal trespass, invasion of privacy and a right
7 to own and possess her business and personal possession. On or about Oct. 30,
8 2007, knowingly, initially, evaded the Plaintiff privacy, trespassed onto the
9 Plaintiff property, took , stole, converted the Plaintiff possessions initiated a
10 criminal proceeding against the Plaintiff Charged the Plaintiff with a crime without
11 probable cause, in an intentional act to hurt, cause harm, restrain or effect instate
12 commerce. The Defendants knew and were aware they did not have a case against
13 the Plaintiff. The Defendants knowingly, intentionally and dismissed the charges
14 against the Plaintiffs a year and a half later in hopes that the Plaintiff would forget
15 about their illegal criminal acts. The proceeding terminated in the plaintiff favor.
16 The Defendnats actions were without probable cause and constitute malicious
17 prosecution. All Defendants knew or should have known by discriminating against
18 the Plaintiff based on race or ethnicity, and engaging in discriminatory practice,
19 and stealing, taking and converting the Plaintiffs business and personal property to
20 their own use, and falsely imprisoning the plaintiff without due process of law
21 would cause injury and suffering to the Plaintiff.

22 All Defendants acted under the color of Federal law, misused and abused US
23 Government Power, discriminated against the Plaintiff based on race or class,
24 knowingly use deceit collusion violated the Plaintiff 4th via 5th or 14th amendment US
25 Constitutional due process rights as guareenteed by the US Constitution, and denied and/or
26 deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and/or equal privileges under the laws
27 and prevented the Plaintiff from exercising and enjoying equal privileges immunities as
28 secured by the US Constitution as a citizen of America and/or deprived the Plaintiff of her
US Constitutional rights via the fourth, fifth, or fourteenth amendment. The
Defendants actions were malicious, criminal and his actions constitute false
arrest,false imprisonment,libel,theft, conversion, robbery, and their actions have
effectived instate commerce and their actions constitute Malicious Prosecution,
and a scheme to defraud and/or RICO activities, and or crimes as mentioned in the
above criminal causes of action.

1 There is no way "ANY" sane, "reasonable" police Officer, Sgt. Lueituant, or
2 Dectective would have believe the above conduct of the Defendnats was lawful.
3 knowingly, intentionally, failed to take reasonable steps to protect the Plaintiff a
victim of another illegal, unlawful acts in violation of 42 USC section 1986. Each
Defendant at incident at the time and on the date in question had knowledge that
Plaintiff's civil rights were being violated and had the power to prevent or aid in
preventing the wrongful acts made in violation of the Plaintiff's rights.

6 The Defendants knew of the Defendants' wrongful conduct and failed or refused to
7 prevent it or aid in preventing and cheered each other on. The Defendants
8 knowingly, intentionally keep charges pending against the Plaintiff without an
9 initiation of criminal proceeding for a year and a half and dismissed the charges
10 against in to prevent the Plaintiff from reporting their crime to a Federal Judge .
11 The proceeding ended in the Plaintiff's favor.

12 Given the totality of the circumstances, the Defendants actions amounts to
13 purposeful, racial profiling, despicable conduct carried on by the defendant with
the willful and conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's United States US
14 Constitutional rights as secured by the Constitution and numerous violations of the
15 Plaintiffs civil rights and the Defendants action of racial profiling, unconstitutional
16 traffic stop, charge the Plainitffs with theft by taking (false arrest, imprisonment,
17 illegal search and seizure, libel-false mugshots, arrest, etc) was without probable
cause, intentional, malicious, and taken in an intentional act to hurt the Plainitff.
18 The defendants actions deprived the Plaintiff of her US Constitutional right as
guareenteed by the US Constitution. THE PLAINITFFS ARE VICTIMS OF
19 CRIME. The Defendants actions were outrageous, John Doe Chief of Police is
20 responsible for establishing and enforcing unambiguous policies and procedures,
had a duty or obligation to make clear that discriminatory policing will not be
21 tolerated within the Dekalb County Police Department.

22
23
24 The Defendants actions constitute malicious prosecution, and the Defendants has
caused the Plaintiff extensive damage the lost of the Company Van, the lost of
25 profit, PLAINTIFF HORRIFIC, PHYCHOLICAL DAMAGE, PAIN
26 SUFFERING, MENTAL, AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, NIGHTMARES,
27 ETC, INABILITY TO CONCENSTRATE, CAUSED THE PLAINITFF
"SHOCK;" the inablitiy to conduct business and caused the Plaintiff OTHER
28 damages. The Defendants malicious criminal acts against the Plaintiffs, illegal

1 arrest warrants, traffic citations, RICO acts forced the Plaintiff to flee from the
2 State of Georgia, and forced the Plaintiff out of business. The Plaintiff have been
3 injured and damaged in person, and/or business and/or property by the malicious criminal actions
of the Defendants and have damages. The Plaintiff are victims of a malicious crime.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

14 **(Conspiracy to Restrain Trade in Violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act)**
15 **Illegal Maintenance of Monopoly in Violation of Section 2 of the Sherman**
16 **(Conspiracy to Monopolize in Violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act)**
(Attempted Illegal Maintenance of Monopoly in Violation of Section 2 of the
17 **Sherman Act)**

18
19 Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the allegations of this Complaint as
20 though fully set forth herein.

21 The Illegal unlawful Agreement and conspiracy of the above name defendants and
22 between HVLP, Shawn Dovan, Holder et, al RICO activities, thereby precludes
23 competitors and or the Plaintiffs from ever entering the Real Estate Market. The
24 illegal agreement and conspiracies allows the US Government to exploit its
25 monopoly power to tortiously interfere with competitor HUD contracts, cooperate
26 with Police Officer to assault, hit use excessive force, et, and abuse US
27 Government Power. The Defendants injure and eliminate competition in said
28 market.

The acts alleged above of Defendants and their co-conspirators, by and through
their officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives, have

1 unreasonably restrained and restricted competition in the market for Real Estate,
2 and have deprived the Plaintiffs and or consumers of the benefits of free and open
3 competition in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and
threaten to continue to restrain such competition in that market in the future unless
enjoined by the Court.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(UNLAWFUL AND UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES
(California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq.*)
ON BEHALF OF THE SPECIALTY INVESTMENT GROUP LLC AND
BRIDGEWATER COMPANY INC.

90. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

91. California Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.* declares unfair competition unlawful and defines unfair competition as, *inter alia*, “any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice....”

92. Defendants have engaged in unlawful business practices in violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* and in violation of the Cartwright Act, California Business & Professions Code § 16700 *et seq.* and violation of the Clayton Act. These statutory violations alleged above of Defendants HVLP, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason and KTJ constitute unfair competition that will continue unless enjoined by the Court.

CAUSE OF ACTION
FRAUDULANT CONVEYANCES

In 1984, this Uniform Fraudulent Conveyances Act was revised and renamed the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA). Under state and federal fraudulent transfer laws, a person who owes a debt cannot transfer or convey assets if the intent is to hinder, delay or defraud his creditors. The UFTA creates a right of action for any creditor against any debtor and any other person who has received property from the debtor in a fraudulent transfer. The UFTA has been adopted in many states, and others have enacted similar laws prohibiting a debtor from transferring assets in order to keep

1 It is the Plaintiff's belief the Defendants knowing that they will be sued by the US Government
 2 and/or the Plaintiff, begin disposing assets, etc. McCormack Baron has partnered and/or sold 1/3
 3 of it's company to Goldman Sach. The Related Companies has changed their name from
 incorporated to Limited Liability Corporation. The Plaintiff believes the legal Defendants have
 disposed of assets **with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor or an officer of the**
 estate charged with custody of the property under this title, **has transferred, removed,**
 destroyed, mutilated, or concealed, or has permitted to be transferred, removed, mutilated,
 or concealed property Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA)

RETAILTORY PROSECUTION

CAUSE OF ACTION

CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD/ FRAUDULANT CONCEALMENT **SHARON BRIDGEWATER INDIVIIULALLY AGAINST ALL FEDERAL DEFENDANTS**

16 On or about May 2009 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint, it is the Plaintiff belief
 17 that United States Department of Justice Eric Holder Jr., United States Attorney General,
 Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of
 18 the U.S. government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities,
 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in
 19 their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown
 Assistant United States Attorney General(s), in their official personal capacity and/or "former
 20 "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), Evans,
 21 Baverman, Wilkins, and Armstrong, came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful
 22 agreement, to frabucate evidence, falsely imprision the plaintiff for the rest of her life, use the
 Plaintiff to serve the Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership complaint on the
 23 US Government Private Real Estate developer to obtain HUD federal false claims recoveris, and
 defraud the Plaintiff out of HUD federal recoveries or damages substained by the US
 24 Government. With due diligengt the Plaintiff could not discover this cause of action, therefore
 25 the statue of limitations is tolled. The defendants conspiracies cause the Plaintiff damage, and the
 Plaintiff have damages.

CAUSE OF ACTION

FALSE IMPRISIONMENT AND FRAUDULANT CONCEALMENT

On or about May 2009 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint Plaintiff are informed and believe the federal defendants concealed known facts from the Plaintiffs transported property of the Plaintiffs across state lines, in violation of federal law, concealed known On or about April 12, 2006 thru June 5, 2008 the Defendants deliberately concealed the true facts regarding the the fact they they had frabracate evidence and/or submitted illegally obtain evidence impaneled a grand jury, FRAUDULANT CONCEALMENT & CONSPIRACY TO FRAUDULANT CONCEAL falsely charged and/or transported stolen property of the Plaintiff value at 5000.00 or more across state lines, or caused to be flown in, property of the plaintiff valued at 5000.00 abused the US Federal District Court of Northern Georgia, and/or California impaneled a Grand Jury, submitted illegally, stolen property of the Plaintiff in a court of law, and deliberately concealed the true facts known to them falsely imprisoned the Plaintiff, with the intent to or was done with the intent to induce plaintiff to enter into file a federal false claims complaint against Hayes Valley Limited Partnerhip, and falsely imprison the plaintiff as soon as the complaint was served on the Defendants HVLP private Real Estate Developer, and by a reasonably competent and diligent investigation and inspection and with due dilently the complaintant could not have discovered the fraud. The Plaintiff have been falsely imprisoned for the rest of her life, and have been damaged by the defendants action, and have damages.

The Defendants actions constitute gross prosecutorial misconduct, abuse of the US Federal District Court.

The defendants actions constitute one or more of the above criminal acts, the defendants actions deprived the Plaintiff of her US Constitutional right and the Plaintiff have been damage.

On or about from Nov. 2007 and contining thru the filing of this complaint, Plaintiffs filed numerous motions, court filings with Defendants JOHN DOE 6 aunknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and Division and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County and/or Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership. Plaintiffs allege the Defendants, acted in joint participation with Holder et al, illegally kept one complaint seized took, converted, Plaintiff personal property, after the Plaintiff have repeated requested the complaint from one or more of the Defendants, refused to file, have written on the Plaintiffs complaints and other papers filed by the Plaintiffs the Plaintiffs complaint, the Plaintiff personal property of a complaint. 4th via 5th or 14th amendment US Constitutional right, have intentionally written on the complaintant motions and complaints without the Plaintiffs permission, deprive the Plaintiffs the right to file papers in the

1 court and sent back one copy of the complaint, and the defendants did overt acts or omission to
2 further the objective of the conspiracy.

3
4
5 The Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions were taken just for the purpose to deny the Plaintiff
6 court assess and/or to use illegal obtained evidence against the Plaintiff in a court of law against
7 the Plaintiffs.

8 In furtherance of the conspiracy the Armstrong Order the Plaintiff to file a complaint(one of
9 which Armstrong previously dismissed all FEDERAL CLAIMS WITH PREJUDGE)and did
10 overt acts in further the object of the conspiracy.

11 In furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy the Plaintiff filed the case and forgot to put the
12 case number on the complaint. Plaintiffs are informed and believe Armstrong conspired with
13 does clerk and told them to assign the case to Wilkins. Plaintiff allege that one Plaintiff filed the
14 exact same case. Armstrong at all times failed to do her duty as a Judge and relate the case.
15 Plaintiff allege the purpose for the failure to relate the case was to coerce the Plaintiff to file a
16 federal false claims complaint against the Defendatns, and to obtain civil penalties. Plaintiff are
17 informed and belief Holder hired 55 Attorney General and several other Attorney FBI agents,
18 caluculated the amount of Federal False Claims, civil recoveries in the 9 figures, and ratified
19 approved to use the Plaintiffs

20 The defendants at all times mentioned knew and were aware they could not do anything to their
21 Real Estate Development Partners without a service on all Defendants of the Complaint. The
22 Defendants caused the Plaintiff so much emotional distress, horrific, the Plaintiff was unable to
23 serve the complaint on all the Defendants and only served the complaint on the US Attorney
24 General, the Attorneys for the Defendants Brisbois, bisgaard and Smith, and may one of the
25 Defendants.

26 The court clerk actions constitute a denial of court access, constitute one or more of the above
27 crimes, tampered with evidence.

28 The defendants actions are illegal, unlawful and criminal.

Plaintiff allege

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, violated the Plaintiff civil rights,
stopped the Plaintiff social security checks without due process of law(see case number)

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the allegations of this Complaint as though fully set
forth herein. Void, violates Federal and State law, unlawful illegal ill

1
2 Plaintiffs allege that said individual Defendants' intimidation, threats, corrupt persuasion, or
3 attempts to do so, or misleading conduct toward Plaintiffs, with intent to influence, delay, or
prevent testimony of any person in an official proceeding, or to coerce or induce any person to
withhold testimony, from an official proceeding, or to hinder, delay or prevent Plaintiffs from
communication with a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States relating to
Defendants' commission of possible federal or state criminal offenses, and such acts violated 18
U.S.C. 1512

7
8
9
10
11 **CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **42 USC § 1985(3)/42 USC § 1983**

13 **In violation of the Plaintiff's 5th and/or 14th amendment due process termination of**
14 **tenancy due process**

15 All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set
16 forth herein.

17 The Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution provides in pertinent part that
18 "no Person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."

19 The Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause and Equal Protection clause (Section expressly
20 declares no state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities
of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or
21 property, without due process of law. Title 42 USC 1983 provides in relevant part that: "every
22 person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any
State....subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within
23 the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the
Constitution. ..shall be liable to the party injured " Section 1985(3) under Title 42 reaches both
24 conspiracies under color of law and conspiracies effectuated through purely private conduct.
25 and/or based on class or race based discriminatory animus.

26
27 The Plaintiff at all times mentioned was a member of a race or class or based
28 discriminatory animus, minority business owner.

On Sept. 21, 2010 and/or Oct. 22, 2010, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee (a State Court Judge) Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna and William Gilg "private individuals" knowingly intentionally acted in joint participation, came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, conspired under the color of law, Plaintiff allege the purpose of the concealment, William Gilg, with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's knowingly, intentionally, misused and abused the Superior Court proceeding of trial discriminated against the Plaintiff because the Plaintiff was a socially economically disadvantaged African American unable to enforce her legal rights, and/or a member of a race or class based discriminatory animus and/or did not attend trial,; and under Oath, knowingly, intentionally made intentional false material representations to Judicial Tribunal Jo-Lynne Q. Lee and told her that the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater was illegally, and unlawful in possession of the premise at 111 Preda Street, San Leandro, CA 94577; and that the Plaintiff HUD section 8 lease was cancelled and forfeited; and that the Plaintiff owed \$722.50, and that the Tonna's was entitled to possession of the Plaintiff's apartment.

commit fraud, knowingly, intentionally deprived the Plaintiff of her due process right in the termination of tenancy process, and denied and/or deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and/or equal privileges under the laws and prevented the Plaintiff from exercising and enjoying equal privileges immunities as secured by the US Constitution as a citizen of America, gained possession of the Plaintiff's apartment through the misuse and abuse of the "Superior Court, and tortuously interfered with the Plaintiff HUD On or about Oct. 22, 2010 Gilg with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's obtained assistance from and the local County Sherriff (S.Grajeda # 1223).

71. The local County Sherrif a State Actor, acted under the color of law, violated the Plaintiff's 5th and/or 14th amendment US Constitutional right as secured by the United States Constitution and denied and/or deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and/or equal privileges and immunities under the laws and prevented the Plaintiff from exercising and enjoying equal privileges and immunities as secured by the US Constitution as a citizen of America in the termination of tenancy process and/or right to a jury trial and forcibly removed the Plaintiff from her apartment at 111 Preda Street, #7, San Leandro, CA 94577 "breached the HUD lease agreement" and/or tortuously interfered with the Plaintiff contractual relation with HUD without justification refused to afford the Plaintiff her due process as afforded to other "HUD" tenants. gained property through the misuse and abuse of the Alameda County Court/ Judge and/or the Sheriff wrongfully evicted the Plaintiff in complete retaliation, within one thru six months after the Plaintiff exercised her legal right, and inflicted severe emotional distress upon the Plaintiff.

72. "Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's ights. ⁶ and The Defendants actions were based on race or class based discriminatory animus..

73. "Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's "private" Individuals conspiracy under the Color of law, and/or a conspiracy to deprive rights as defined in 42 USC § 1985(3)/42 USC § 1983 and/or a STATE ACTION.

⁶ After the illegal eviction the Plaintiff repeatedly called Gilg and the Tonna's the Defendant's to release her personal possessions. The Defendants illegally and unlawfully converted the Plaintiff's glass table, kitchenette, furniture and bed/mattress (beauty rest) and other items. The Defendants refused to release to the Plaintiff her possessions, stole, and converted the Plaintiff's property to their own use.

74. "Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's actions also amounted to: 1) Intentional misrepresentations and concealment of known facts ; 2) tortuous interference with HUD Contract; 4) Retaliatory Eviction and 5) Intentional infliction of emotional distress.

75. Gilg was under a duty to disclose the above known facts as mentioned in the above paragraph _____ to the Plaintiff and/or the Judge and and/or the court and/or the Sheriff that the Plaintiff was in lawful possession of the premise at 111 Preda Street #7, San Leandro, CA 94577.

76. "Gilg", and caused the Plaintiff ;

- 1) "an illegal eviction;
- 2) to lose her section 8 HUD rental assistance;
- 3) to be debarred from the program, and to be disqualified to participate in the program for life;
- 4) to become homeless;
- 5) extreme hardship;
- 6) the Plaintiff to lose her primary resident;
- 7) and caused the Plaintiff to suffer "severe" emotional distress as stated in the above sentence #24;
- 8) and other damages.

contract without probable cause and did overt acts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

Gilg with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's actions constituted "private individuals" conspiracy under the color of law and/or a State Action as defined in 42 USC§ 1985(3) /42 USC§ 1983 5th and/or 14th amendment due process in the termination of tenancy.

The defendants have caused the Plaintiff(a disabled person) an "illegal eviction" to be posted on the Plaintiff's record, caused the Plaintiff damage, restrained commerce.

CAUSE OF ACTION TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

It is illegally and/or unlawful to tortuously interfere with a person's HUD contract and/or HUD contractual relations without justification.

The Defendants knew and were aware, the Plaintiff had a valid, legally, and lawful binding "three way" section 8 contract between the Tonna, the Plaintiff Bridgewater, and HUD for the premise of 111 Preda Street #7, San Leandro, CA 94577.

The Defendants knew and was aware the HUD contract existed.

The Defendants knew and was aware the Plaintiff had contractual relations with HUD.

1 The Defendants knew and were aware the Plaintiff needed her section 8 HUD
2 voucher to secure future housing.

3 William Gilg is an Attorney knew or should have known that section 8 lease agreement
can only be terminated upon a 90 day notice of termination of tenancy and/or for "good cause."

4
5
6 Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's knew or should have known
by concealing known facts he was under a duty to disclose, canceling the Plaintiff HUD contract
7 and/or lease agreement without providing to the Plaintiff a ninety day notice as required by
Federal and/or State law and/or tortuously interfering with the Plaintiff HUD contract and/or
8 contractual relations with HUD would cause the Plaintiff injury and damage.

9 "Gilg" with the consent of or and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's knew and/or should have
known by illegally evicting the Plaintiff from 111 Preda Street #7 and cancelling the Plaintiff's
10 HUD contract the Plaintiff would lose her HUD, section 8 housing voucher, become ineligible to
participate in the program and/or "barred" from the program.

11 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants On Sept. 21, 2010 and/or September
12 29, 2010 and/or Oct. 22, 2010, Gilg, with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the
Tonna's knowingly, intentionally disrupted and/or tortuously interfered with the Plaintiff Sharon
13 Bridgewater section 8 HUD lease agreement contract and/or contractual relations with
14 HUD without justification in an intentional act to cause the Plaintiff to become disqualified to
participate in the program and become homeless.

15
16 "Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with the Tonna's knowingly,
17 intentionally, intended to hurt the Plaintiff, and inflict intentional infliction of emotional
distress upon the Plaintiff and cause the Plaintiff to lose her HUD and become ineligible to
18 receive HUD and cause the contract to terminate.

19
20
21 The Defendants actions constituted and/or amounted to tortuous interference of contract
22 and/or tortuous inference with the Plaintiff's contractual relations with the Plaintiff HUD
voucher and/or relations.

23
24 The Defendants acted with fraud or malice or oppression and the Plaintiff is entitled to
25 punitive damages as codified 3294 (c) (1)(2), and(3), requiring punitive damages.

26
27 The Plaintiff has compensatory and/or special damages and/or treble compensatory and/or
special damages and/or punitive damages according to proof at trial. The Plaintiff request
28 a partial judgment for compensatory damages the amount of \$356,409.60(three hundred
and fifty six thousand, four hundred, nine dollars and sixty cents)

1
2
3
4
5
6 **CAUSE OF ACTION**
7 **INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

8 All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

9
10 On Sept. 21, 2010 and/or Oct. 22, 2010, "Gilg" with the consent of and/or in a conspiracy with
11 the Tonna's knowingly, intentionally acted recklessly and/or intentionally to inflict severe
emotional distress upon the Plaintiff.

12 The Defendants conduct was extreme and outrageous.

13 The Defendants conduct was the direct and proximate cause of the severe intentional infliction of
14 emotional distress the Plaintiff's suffered.

15 The Defendants actions caused the Plaintiff to suffer "severe" emotional distress including
16 extensive psychological, emotional and a "set-back" in the Plaintiff mental health as mentioned
in sentence #24.

17 "Gilg" with the consent of or under the direction of and/or in a conspiracy with the
18 Tonna's actions were intentional, "foul" cruel, and evil.

19 The Defendants actions constituted and/or amounted to intentional infliction of emotional
20 distress.

21 The Defendants acted with fraud or malice or oppression and the Plaintiff is entitled to
22 punitive damages as codified 3294 (c) (1)(2), and(3), requiring punitive damages.

23 The Plaintiff has compensatory and/or special damages and/or treble compensatory and/or
24 special damages according to proof at trial and/or punitive damages according to proof at
25 trial.

26
27 **CONVERSION**
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, Supervisor knew and were aware of the Defendants actions at all times mentioned had a legal duty or obligation to prevent the action of in his/her individual and official and/or "former" capacity As the Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority knew or should have known to aid, assist the Plaintiff and/or stop the eviction, and/or the tortuous interference with the Plaintiff HUD contract, and All Defendants fail to prevent and aid the Plaintiff in the eviction, breached their duties and/or obligations and are liable to the Plaintiffs for damages.

JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, is responsible for the actions of the Supervisor and is are liable to the Plaintiffs for damages.

Defendant Shawn Donovan, in his official personal capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development in his individually and official and/or "former" capacities has failed to instruct, supervise, and control officers and/or instructed, supervised, and controlled and instructed Alameda County Housing Authority to discriminate against the Plaintiffs and liable to the Plaintiffs for damage and the Plaintiffs have been damaged by the Defendants actions and have compensatory and/or punitive damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUDULANT CONVENYCES

In 1984, this Uniform Fraudulent Conveyances Act was revised and renamed the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA). Under state and federal fraudulent transfer laws, a person who owes a debt cannot transfer or convey assets if the intent is to hinder, delay or defraud his creditors. The UFTA creates a right of action for any creditor against any debtor and any other person who has received property from the debtor in a fraudulent transfer. The UFTA has been adopted in many states, and others have enacted similar laws prohibiting a debtor from transferring assets in order to keep creditors from being paid.

1
2 **IN FUTHERANCE OF THE UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT OF THE DEFENDANTS**
3 **Plaintiff's ALLEGE that the disposed of assets, and tranfered property to family members**
4 **and friend with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor or an officer of the estate charged**
5 **with custody of the property under this title, has transferred, removed, destroyed, mutilated, or**
6 **concealed, or has permitted to be transferred, removed, mutilated, or concealed property Uniform**
7 **Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA) AND DID OVERT ACTS OR OMISSIONS TO FURTHER**
8 **THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY, AND CAUSED THE PLAINTIFFS**
9 **INJURY, AND THE PLAINTIFF HAVE DAMAGES ACCORDING TO PROOF AT**
10 **TRIAL.**

11 **ASSAULT AND BATTERY**

12
13 **IN FUTHERANCE OF THE UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT OF THE DEFENDANTS ALL**
14 **DEFENDANTS AGREED AND ASSAULT THE PLAINTIFF AND DID OVERT ACTS**
15 **OR OMISSIONS TO FURTHER THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY, AND**
16 **CAUSED THE PLAINTIFFS INJURY, AND THE PLAINTIFF HAVE DAMAGES**
17 **ACCORDING TO PROOF AT TRIAL.**

18 **KIDNAPPING**

19
20 **IN FUTHERANCE OF THE UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT ALL DEFENDANTS**
21 **AGREED AND ASSAULT THE PLAINTIFF AND DID OVERT ACTS OR OMISSIONS**
22 **TO FURTHER THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY AND CAUSED THE**
23 **PLAINTIFFS INJURY, AND THE PLAINTIFF HAVE DAMAGES ACCORDING TO**
24 **PROOF AT TRIAL.**

25
26 \

27 On or about Sept. 6, 2012, Plaintiff files a complaint two year statue of limitations "case fixing"

28 for a dissolve Specialty Investment Group, and tells the Judge the complaint is unfinish, and would have to amend complaint, knowingly, intentionally issue the judgement purposefully so the Plaintiff could not request a new trial.

During the Democrat presidential conviction Obama and Bill Clinton Picture. The scheme was to fix the case to Victoria Roberts, ANOTHER AFRICAN AMERICAN JUDGE⁷ and an Clinton appointee.

On or about Oct. 6, 2012, Washtenaw County Sherriffs entered into an unlawful agreement, came to the meeting of the minds. Tresspassed on the Plaintiffs property(law)used threat, coercion and force, assaulted the Plaintiff, "kidnapped the Plaintiffs falsely imprision the Plaintiff without due process of law, attack the Planitiffs keep the Plainitffs mentally and emotionally instable and prevent the Plaintiffs from filing this lawsuit.

Plaintiff now realizing that Obama and/or Holder will continue the acts of violence, and now ddecitized and stronger than ever from this recent attack by the Washtenaw County Sherriff Department assert her legal rights.

Further it is believe that a deal was entered into between Victoria Roberts in joint participation with the court clerks and Holder and/or Obama to manipulate does Defendants clerks of and Obama and/or Holder. To dismiss the Plaintiff case.

The second deal was to arrange with Victoria Robert to manipulate or act in joint participation with the US District Court Clerks, would fix and/or mail the decision of dismissal to the Plaintiffs and for the Plaintiff to receive the notice of dismissal on the tenth day to prevent the Plaintiffs from requesting a motion for a new trial.
(Pursuant to FRCP, Plaintiff must request a new trial within 10 days of a decision) so the Judges and the clerks aided in the cover-up and protected the rico enterprise.

The third deal was to tamper with evidence, alter amend etc.

Plaintiff allege the assigning of this case to African American Judge Roberts along with joint participated with the court clerks, participated in the scheme and her refusal to rescue herself was perpetuate to cover-up and aid the defendants.

Judge Robert, used her position as trial judge, when she knew at all times the Plaintiffs mentioned in her complaint that the complaint was incomplete, and that the Plaintiff specifically requested a temporary restraining order regarding her business the Specialty Investment Group LLC "to prevent the deadline" from experiencing to re-new with the corporation division of the the Georgia Companies.

Roberts knew and were aware that elections are here and knew here African American peers careers were at stake and plaintiff is informed and believe her actions were taken just for the

⁷ Eastern Michigan District Court

1 purpose to aid, assist the Defendants, protect the enterprise Roberts manipulate the Order to
2 suppress the Plaintiff complaints and claims, and/or the bribes were to coverup the illegal
3 conduct of her African American colleagues. Plaintiff allege that all the named Judges abused
their discretionary powers to suppress the plaintiffs complaint, and "fixed" the cases, to put a
"GAG" ORDER upon the Plaintiff and deprived the Plaintiff of her US Constitutional rights.
Plaintiffs were harmed by the actions of Roberts and does clerk of the United States federal
district court. The Plaintiff suffered extreme emotional pain from the actions of the Defendants,
and seeks damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

6 Given the plaintiffs track record, of lawsuits, Holder and Obama and/or Roberts knew the
7 Plaintiffs would file another lawsuit and with Roberts as a defendant.

8 Plaintiff are informed and believe Robert went next door to her colleague Judge Omera, John
9 Corbett, a

12 Plaintiff allege that all these Federal Defendants and especially Holder knew and were aware the
13 Planitiff would file this complaint have knowingly, intentionally bribes, his employees, retaliated
14 against federal official to clear his name in the Fast and Furious Investigation, and to prevent him
from being liable for "two or more" predicate acts occurring over the course of four years.

16 Plaintiffare informed and believe Obama and/or Holder KNEW AND WERE AWARE the
17 plaintiff was going to file this complaint, and at all cost bribed the Inspector General IN THE
18 FAST AND FURIOUS INVESTIGATION to clear his name so Holder and/or Obama could not
be criminally and civil liable for TWO OR MORE PREDICATE ACTS.

19 The Plaintiff is informed and believe Defendant Eric Holder and/or Obama, knowingly,
20 intentionally order does Defendant Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive Director of
Washtenaw County, Michigan in his/her individual and official capacity, Defendants JOHN
21 DOE 19 unknown Chief of Police of Washtenaw County Sherriffs Department in his or her
individual and official capacity as Chief of Police/Sherriff of Washtenaw County, Defendants
22 JOHN DOE 20 unknown Washtenaw County Sherriff # 1810, Individually and in his official
and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw County Sherriff Department.
23 Defendants JOHN DOE 21 unknown Washtenaw County Sherriff # 1803, Individually and in his
official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw County Sherriff
24 Department to beat, harass, assault the Plaintiff kidnap the Plaintiffs from her home, falsely
25 imprision the Plaintiffs without due process of law, to keep the Plaintiff quiet and the inability to
file this complaint.

26 All Defendants are liable for the Plaintiffs actions.
27
28

Plaintiff are informed and believe that Obama, Holder, Shawn Dovahan, Armstrong, Evans, Baverman, and Wilkins and/or et al all had knowledge that that criminal acts were committed against the Plaintiffs and had knowledge and had the power to prevent or aid the wrongful acts of Dekalb County, Gwinnett County et al, and/or each other knew of the each other wrongful conduct failed or refused to prevent aid, or help the Plaintiffs the United States of America, and adopted the acts RICO defendants Gwinnett and/or Dekalb County in violation of 42 USC section 1986, came to the meeting of the mind, entered into an unlawful agreement to abuse US Government Power, to use the Plaintiffs to serve "ALL" the US Government Real Estate Developer Private Partners Defendants with the Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership complaint (Federal False Claims or other complaint) falsely imprison the Plaintiff for the rest of her life, defraud the Plaintiff out of money or property, retaliate against the Plaintiffs federal witness and victim of crime, to halt the ability of the Plaintiffs to report the crimes and did overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy.

Plaintiff allege upon Obama knowing, Obama at all times mentioned had a legal duty or obligation to do the right thing, re-organize public housing, and settle with the Plaintiff, and which the Plaintiff would have been happy with just a small amount, instead Obama, ratified, approved to protect his corrupt EXECUTIVE CABINET MEMBERS, HOLDER AND DOVANA, attack the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff allege that the Defendants actions are "clearly baseless" "delusional" "fantastic" and "frivolous."

The defendants actions are without probable cause, move, as one of the Defendants "put it" only shows the inability of Obama and his executive cabinet members to run this country, and only shows an abuse of power, corruption, in which this members must be removed from Office. move by Obama and/or Holder, failure to investigate the true facts, and only saw "dollars signs"

OBAMA AT ALL TIMES MENTIONED KNEW AND WERE AWARE THE PLAINTIFF WOULD FILE THIS COMPLAINT AND KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY MADE MITT RODNEY A VICTIM OF HIS ILLEGAL ACTIONS BY ATTACKING MITT RODNEY WITH A FELONY, AND TO CREATE THE IMPRESSION IN THE MIND WHO OBSERVE AS IF MITT RODNEY HAD ATTACKED HIM.

THIS ONLY SHOWS AND PROVE OBAMA CHARACTER AS OUR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES. THE DEFENDANTS ACTIONS ARE ILLEGAL, CRIMINAL AND MUST BE INVESTIGATED BY CONGRESS. OBAMA IS NOT TO RUN FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES WITH THESE ACTIONS. THE PLAINTIFF REQUEST THIS COURT FOR OBAMA TO APPEAR BEFORE THIS FIRE HOLDER AND SHAWN DONAVAN – EXECUTIVE CABINET MEMBERS.

COUNT VI

BRIBERY AND EXTORTION

Plaintiff re-alleges all the general allegations.

Bribery and Extortion are governed by Federal and State Laws and the Statue of Frauds. Plaintiff allege that Obama and Holder knew and were aware the Plaintiff would file this rico complaint, illegal surviellence, and have bribed the inspector General the inspector general resigned. Plaintiff are informed and believe that

Further Plaintiff on or about Sept. 6, 2012, filed a complaint entitled the The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. most of the above named Defendants fixed the case to be assigned to Roberts and African America Judge in in US Federal District Court of Michigan. All these facts were before Victoria Roberts, "an African America Judge" who claimed to perform "de novo" reviews. However the legal system works on a system of bribes(favors) and extortion to manipulate out comes of case. Despite The Rules of Judicial Conduct that require reporting crimes to by other judges, the reality is systematic, cover up and circle wagon. Plaintiffs are informed and believe the appointment of Roberts to hear this case coul not have occurred without manipulation of Holder and/or Obama, who had a stake in the perpertuation of a corrupt system of favors.

Plaintiff are informed and believe that Obama and or Holder knew and were aware the Plaintiff would filed this complaint and have bribe the Inspector General to alter, and say that Holder was not responsible for the fast and furious.

Holder previous Inspector General resigned,

All of obama and or Holder staff have resigned Clearly this is gross dysfunction US government under Obama, gross incompetent Obama supports his attorney general and his cabinet, as shown in this complaint.

The Plaintiff demand the US Congress to investigate.

Plaintiff allege and/or is informed and believe, at all times mentioned the Defendants severally and/or jointly and/or agreed from 1997 and continuing to the date of filing this complaint the Defendants "private individuals" Contractors of the United States Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or employees of HUD, and the United States Department of Justice invested in, organized and/or controlled and/or maintained by and through a consensual hierarchy of partners, managers, directors, officers, supervisors, agents, and/or representatives that formulate and implement policies relative to the promoting,

1 advancing and/or otherwise operating a the United States Federal Government
2 Public Housing Facilities, Private Jail facilities, for the purpose of the facilitating,
3 furthering, and promoting the Private Real Estate Developers, and/or AIG,
4 Gwinnett County Detention Center, Professional Probation services, or Dekalb
5 County DE formulated and implement policies relative to the promoting,
6 advancing and/or otherwise operating a the United States Government, State of
7 Georgia and others, including, but not restricted to, the raising of monetary funds
8 by and through the abuse of the Superior Court of the United States of America,
9 void, illegal, Judgements for possession of federal public housing facilities thru,
10 extortion, or attempts, or conspiracy to do so, and/or threats of physical violence,
11 under color of official right, theft, conversion of US Public Housing tenants
12 property without the right , tranporation of illegal weapons, Murders, assaults and
13 battery, violence against women, violations of US Citizen Civil rights, threats,
14 coercion or force, abuse of the Superior Court of Gwinnett County,
15 unconstitutional traffic stops, extortion, or attempts, or conspiracy to do so, and/or
16 threats of physical violence, under color of official right, upon Hispanic and
17 African Americans and other minorities, and/or US Citizen, employing federal
18 mails and/or federal interstate wires, as well as and providing documentary
19 materials such as Stipulated Judgment, dismissals, traffic citations, dispositions,
20 Judgments for possession, invalid notices to pay rent or quit, resulting in the
21 obtaining of US Citizens(and/or minorities), collection of unlawful ldebts
22 Plaintiffs allege that RICO persons defendants, and other persons unknown to
23 plaintiffs, dispositions, traffic citations, warrants of arrest, mugshots, resulting in
24 slavery and peonage of US Citizens(minorities). acting in concert therewith, are
25 employed by and associated with said Georgia RICO enterprise and/or Federal
26 Rico enterprise that is engaged in, or activities of which affect, Plaintiffs allege that
27 Georgia RICO persons, and other Federal Rico Person, unknown to plaintiffs,
28 acting in concert therewith, are employed by and associated with said Georgia
RICO enterprise that is engaged in, or activities of which affect and/or violated
Georgia RICO and/or federal interstate and/or foreign commerce, and that said
Georgia RICO persons, and persons acting in concert therewith, conduct or
participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such RICO federal interstate
and/or foreign commerce, and that said Georgia Georgia RICO persons, and
persons acting in concert therewith, conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in
the conduct of such Georiga RICO enterprise's affairs through a Georgia RICO
pattern of racketeering activity, a Georgia RICO pattern of racketeering activity.
All defendants conspired to Defraud the US Government and Taxpayers by there
illegal actions.

Plaintiffs allege RICO Enterprise No. 1: Obama and/or Eric Holder Jr., In his individual capacity as the United States Attorney General, Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI They are sued in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) in their individual current or former capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice in their current or former individual capacities, Sandra Brown Armstrong, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Claudia Wilkins, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and Division in their current or former individual capacities, Orinda D. Evans, , a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in her individual capacity, Allen Berman, a United States Federal District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in his individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia in their current or former individual capacities, Shawn Donovan, in his individual capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown, Director of the San Francisco Housing in her/his individual and/or official current or former capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San Francisco Housing Authority in his official and/or individual current or former capacity, Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her Individual and official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County, Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official current or former capacity as Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 11 is the unknown Supervisor of the Plaintiff section 8 worker of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official current or former capacity as Supervisor of Plaintiff section 8 worker. Defendant DEKALB COUNTY, by and through "Unknown" Chief Executive Officer, Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official current and/or "former" capacity Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia He is sued in his individual and official "former" capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA

Police Officer Detective George Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA Police Department Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or “former” capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department Defendants JOHN DOE 12 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin “former” Police Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in his/her current or “former” capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in his official and/or “former” capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department He/She is sued in his/her individual and official or “former” capacity Defendant Gwinnett County is sued by and through unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in their official current or “former” capacities, Defendant Victoria Roberts, in her individual capacity As United States Eastern District Court Judge of Michigan Defendants JOHN DOE 16 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Eastern District Court of Michigan in their current or former individual capacities And Does John Does 16 thru 1000 inclusive acting in concert therewith, are employed by and associated with said Georgia RICO enterprise that is engaged in, or activities of which affect, Georgia State and/or federal interstate and/or foreign commerce, and that said Georgia RICO persons, and persons acting in concert therewith, conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such Georgia RICO enterprise’s affairs through a Georgia RICO pattern of racketeering activity constitutes a RICO enterprise as defined in 1964.

At all relevant times, the enterprises alleged were engaged in, and their activities affected, interstate commerce and foreign commerce. All the predicate acts described in the above complaintant are related. Plaintiffs allege that the afore described factual allegations establish the commission of two or more forms of “predicate acts,” “predicate offenses,” and/or “racketeering activity,” as defined pursuant to Title 18 United States Code § 1961(1)(B) of the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 [“RICO”][Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968], committed by defendants. Plaintiffs allege that the commission of two or more forms of “predicate acts,” “predicate offenses,” and/or “racketeering activity” committed by defendants contravened the following federal statutory provisions: Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Liability and/or conspiracy to aid and abett Title 18 U.S.C.A. §2(a)-(b). and/or Pinkerton vs. the United States. Plaintiffs allege that defendants engaged in the above activities and/or conduct that constitutes the following form of “racketeering activity,” as that term is defined pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §1961(1) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 [“RICO”]. Plaintiffs allege that the forms of “racketeering activity” include, and are not restricted to, various formulations of conspiracy to aid and abet,

and aiding and abetting a conspiracy: Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Liability: Title 18 U.S.C.A. §2(a)-(b) and/or **PINKERTON DOCTRINE CONSPIRACY, PINKERTON v UNITED STATES**. Plaintiffs allege that above activities and/or conduct engaged in by RICO defendants constitute a “pattern of racketeering activity,” as that term is defined pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §1961(5) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 [“RICO”]. Plaintiffs further allege that the activities and/or conduct engaged in by defendants was both related as to the *modus operandi* engaged in by said defendant of depriving plaintiffs of plaintiffs’ interests in business and/or property, and was continuous inasmuch as the activities and/or conduct engaged in by defendants exhibited a realistic, long term threat of continued future injury to plaintiffs’ interest in their business and/or property. Plaintiffs further allege that said activities and conduct engaged in by said defendants as evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence and/or Federal Rule of Civil Procedure.

Disposition # UNKNOWN

Warrant Number# UNKNOWN

Warrant Number# UNKNOWN

Mugshot # UNKNOWN

Mugshot# UNKNOWN

Citation Number# UNKNOWN

Citation Number# UNKNOWN

Citation Number# UNKNOWN

Plaintiffs allege that defendants’ activities described herein above constituted an artifice and scheme to subject the Plaintiffs to peonage and/or slavery, defraud, the plaintiffs out of money or property, and/or to publish, transmit, and/or otherwise circulate misleading, false information about Sharon Bridgewater (Speciatly Investment Group LLC) to maliciously, defame, libel, and hurt the Plaintiffs representation, name and/or minority businesses, and/or false and misleading information including of but not restricted to that there actions were :

Approved by the State of Georgia

Approved by Gwinnett County

Approved by Gwinnett County Superior Court

Approved by the United States Federal Government Department of Justice

Approved by the State of Georgia

Approved by Alameda County Superior Court

Approved by the San Francisco Housing Authority

Approved by the Alameda County Housing Authority

Approved by the San Francisco Superior Court

Approved by the United States Federal District Court of Georgia

Approved by the United States Federal District Court of California’

Approved by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

1 Approved by Gwinnett County
 2 Approved by County of Dekalb County
 3 Approved by the State of California
 4 Approved by the State of Michigan
 5 Approved by Washtenaw County
 6 Approved by Washtenaw County Superior Court
 7 Approved by the United States Federal Government Department of Justice
 8 Approved by the United States Federal District Court of Michigan
 9 Approved by the United States President

10 Plaintiffs allege that defendants' activities described herein above constituted an artifice and
 11 scheme to defraud, the plaintiffs out of money or property, and/or to publish, transmit, and/or
 12 otherwise circulate misleading, false information about Sharon Bridgewater (Speciatly
 13 Investment Group LLC) to maliciously, defame, libel, and hurt the Plaintiffs representation, name
 14 and/or minority businesses, and/or false and misleading information including of but not
 15 restricted to that there actions were financed by US taxpayers funds and constitute fraud upon the
 16 US Government. :

17 The Defendants actions drove the Plaintiffs out of business and prevented the complaintant from
 18 conducting business, and the plaintiffs lost profits and the defendants actions constitute a course
 19 of conduct and a pattern of practice intended, designed, implemented, and executed to destroy
 20 the Plaintiffs and/or other victims (African Americans and Hispanics) and constitutes
 21 contravention of both Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343 and/or a violation of (1) and/or (2)
 22 and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10)
 23 and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18)
 24 and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26)
 25 and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as
 26 mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges.

27 The Plaintiffs are victims of crime by the above Defendants. **O.C.G.A. 9-3-99** provides that
 28 **the** running of the period of limitations with respect to any cause of action in tort that may be
 brought by the victim of an alleged crime which arises out of the facts and circumstances relating
 to the commission of such alleged crime committed in this state shall be tolled from the date of
 the commission of the alleged crime or the act giving rise to such action in tort until the
 prosecution of such crime or act has become final or otherwise terminated, provided that such
 time does not exceed six (6) years, and therefore the statue of limitation is tolled to the Plaintiffs
 RICO cause of action. Therefore any cause of action brought by the Plaintiff against the
 Defendants are not "time-barred."

CAUSE OF ACTION #1**INVESTMENT IN A ENTERPRIZE ENGAGED IN A PATTERN OF
RACKEETERING ACTIVITIY**

**18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5) AND/OR 1962(a) and/or 1964(a)and/or IN VIOLATION OF
GEORGIA RACKEETEERED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION STATUES(CITE
STATUE)**

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS(EXCEPT OBAMA)AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FROM OBAMA**

It is unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, [from a pattern of racketeering activity] [through collection of an unlawful debt in which he has participated as a principal] to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of the income, or the proceeds of the income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of any enterprise which is engaged in or the activities of which affect trade or commerce. Plaintiff now re-alleges each and every allegation as set forth above, and hereby incorporates same by reference, as if all were set forth fully herein.

The Defendants at all times mentioned constituted a Federal and/or State and/or local US Government Monoploy.

All defendants were US Government Contractors, and/or employess of the US Government. It is the Plaintiff belief that Obama, Holder allege that Holder and Shawn Donovan knew and were aware of the RICO activities. Plaintiff allege that Obama at all times mentioned invested in or control SunAmerica Affordable housing partnership 92 percent. Plaintiff allege Obama knew and were aware of the RICO activities and the violation of hundred if not thousands of tenants and other civil rights. Plaintiff allege that Obama and/or Holder at all times had legal interest in AIG.

Plaintiff allege that Obama is responsible for Holder and/or Shawn Dovanah, at all times aided Abetted Holder Plaintiffs alledge and as mentioned in the above Plaintiffs affidivaint of *affidant of probable cause*, at different times and/or different dates and/or places as mentioned above, all Defendants did jointly and/or severally participate as principle. It is the Plaintiff belief All Defendants did jointly and/or severally and/or agreed to make the Plaintiff(s) and/or other US Citizens, victims of crime and/or victims of RICO activites in violation of Federal and/or Georgia RICO Statue, collected unlawful debts and/or receive any income derived from, directly or indirectly, ratified, approved used fictitious false names, take defraud the federal US Government out of money ro property violate tenants civil rights in the termination of tenantcy process, ratified, approved to make Plaitniff a victim of there RICO activities, profit from the

Plaintiffs company, restrain commerce, monopolize the Real Estate Industry, oppress, etc. retaliate and halt the ability of the Plaintiff to report crimes discriminated against minorities, in contracts, etc. agreed to used threat, coercion or force, take steal money from tenants living in public housing complexes across America from a pattern of racketeering activity, and/or used and/or invested directly or indirectly, part of the income, or the proceeds of the income, in acquisition and/or in interest in, or the establishment or operation of Gwinnett County Dete

All Defendants ratified, approved to convert the Plaintiff property to their own use, etc. and did overt acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

All Defendants both severally or joint ratified, approved to make the Plaintiffs Rico activities subject the Plaintiffs to peonage and slavery. Plaintiff are in

All Defendants did knowingly, intentionally ratified, approved, and did an express or implied agreement among the defendants to deprive the plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC, a dissolve company) of constitutional rights.

Plaintiffs alledge and as mentioned in the above Plaintiffs affidaint of *affidant of probable cause*, at different times and/or different dates and/or places as mentioned above, falsely arrested the Plaintiffs, libel, published false and misleading information of the Paintiffs, subjected the Plaintiffs to peonage and slavery without probable cause, and knowing intentionally violated Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343 and/or a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges.and hold the Plaintiff t all Defendants did jointly and/or severally participate as principle. All Defendants did jointly and/or severally and/or agreed to make the Plaintiff(s) and/or other US Citizens, victims of crime and/or victims of RICO activites in violation of Georgia RICO Statue, collect unlawful debts and/or receive any income derived from, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity, and/or used and/or invested directly or indirectly, part of the income, or the proceeds of the income, in acquisition and/or in interest in, or the establishment or operation of Gwinnett County Detention Center a private jail facility, and Professional Probation Services. Various Bails Bondmans, Lance Towing, Gwinnett County Courts and other Gwinnett County facilities performing a private services and/or private contractor of the US Government, and/or Dekalb County.

It if the Pliaintiff belief Eric Holder, agreed to violate the Plaintiff civil rights , falsely imprision the plaintiffs for the rest of their lives. Illegal search and seizure, retaliatory prosecution(BIVEN VS. SIX FBI AGENTS) prosecution.

1
2
3 All Defendants did jointly and/or severally acquire and/or agreed and/or maintain, directly or
indirectly, an interest in or control of a RICO *enterprise*, *profited from and/or caused damages to*
4 *the Plaintiffs Specialty Investment Group LLC et al* of individuals who were associated in fact
and who did engage in, all Defendants did associate with a RICO *enterprise* of individuals who
5 were associated in fact and/or did conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly, in the
6 conduct of the affairs of said RICO *enterprise* through a *pattern of racketeering activity* whose
7 activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce.

8
9 All Defendants did conspire to participate as principle and/or participated a principle. All
Defendants did conspire to collect unlawful debts and/or conspired to receive income derived,
10 directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity, and/or conspired to use or invest
directly or indirectly, part of the income, or the proceeds of the income, in acquisition and/or in
11 interest in, or the establishment or operation of a US Government Federal and/or State
Government Rico Enterprize. All Defendants did conspire to acquire and/or maintain, directly or
12 indirectly, an interest in or control of a RICO *enterprise* and were one and/or two or more
individual(s) who were associated in fact and who did engage in, all Defendants did conspired to
13 associate with a RICO *enterprise* of individuals who were associated in fact and/or conspired to
conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of said RICO
14 *enterprise* through a *pattern of racketeering activity* whose activities did affect, interstate and
foreign commerce and did overt acts or omissions in furtherance of an unlawful agreement to
15 achieve the objective of the conspiracy.
16

17
18 And during all of the Defendants acts were committed the ten (10) calendar years preceding the
Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two (2) or more of the
19 RICO predicate acts that are itemized in the RICO laws at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1)(A) and (B), and
did so in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(a) and/or 1964(a) and threaten continuity
20 and/or is a continuing threat of their respective *racketeering activities*, also in violation of the
RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(a) and/or 1964(a).
21

22 The Defendants threaten to continue this behavior and must be restrained and enjoined.

23 The Private Attorney General statue relies upon private attorney generals to enforce its
provisions. Said US "PRIVATE" Government "CONTRACTOR" AND/OR DEFENDANTS
24 had a discriminatory impact upon African- Americans, Hispanics, minority businesses and/or
other US Citizens.
25

26
27 Defendants' discriminatory policy and pattern and/or practices, have damaged Plaintiffs as well
as the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater and the Plaintiff continues to
28 damage. Said Defendants RICO activites, forced the Plaintiff out of business. **THE UNITED**
STATES OF AMERICA EX REL REQUEST AND DEMANDS OBAMA TO

IMMEDIATELY DISSOLVE THE RICO ORGANIZATION, AND TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTARY MATERIAL, OF FASTANDFURIOUS INVESTIGATIONS, FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS.

O.C.G.A. 9-3-99” provides that the running of the period of limitations with respect to any cause of action in tort that may be brought by the victim of an alleged crime which arises out of the facts and circumstances relating to the commission of such alleged crime committed in this state shall be tolled from the date of the commission of the alleged crime or the act giving rise to such action in tort until the prosecution of such crime or act has become final or otherwise terminated, provided that such time does not exceed six (6) years, and therefore the statue of limitation is tolled to the Plaintiffs RICO cause of action. As a direct result of said Defendants' discriminatory policy and pattern of interference and retaliatory conduct, and Rico Activities, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER ARE VICTIMS OF CRIME, AND HAS BEEN DAMAGED, directed at Plaintiffs and others, Plaintiffs have sustained loss of businesses, damages, including lost profits and have been forced out of business and incurred other damages. **THE UNITED STATES SEEKS ALL THE PLAINTIFF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES, AND AN AMOUNT ACCORDING TO PROOF AT TRIAL. DISSOLUTION OF RICO ORGANIZATION AND/OR RE-ORGANIZATION OF GWINNETT COUNTY AND THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.**

Plaintiffs seek all their compensatory damages and amount according to proof at trial. Plaintiffs also seeks, removal of office and dissolution of organization.

CAUSE OF ACTION #2

ACQUITITION AND/OR MAINTANCE OF AN INTEREST IN AND/OR CONTROL OF AN ENTERPRIZE ENGAGED IN A PATTERN OF RACKEETEERING ACTIVITY IN VIOLATION OF 18 USC SECTION 1961 AND/OR 1962(B) AND/OR 1964(B) AND/OR IN VIOLATION OF GEORGIA RACKEETEERED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION STATUES: SHARON BRIDGEWATER, ON BEHALF OF THE SPECIALTY INVESTMENT GROUP LLC A DISSOLVED GEORGIA COMPANY, SPECIALTY GLOBAL INVESTMENT INC. A DISSOLVED NEVEDA COMPANY, AND BRIDGEWATER & COMPANY INC. AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS(EXCEPT OBAMA)AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FROM OBAMA

For the Plaintiffs first cause of action, reallege and incorporates the above paragraphs

In furtherance of the objective of the Rico activities. ALL Defendants Defendants did acquire and/or maintain, directly or indirectly, an interest in or control of a RICO *enterprise* of individuals and were individual associated in fact with other individuals of RICO enterprise, and did engage in RICO prohibited activities, that did affect Plaintiffs businesses and/or interstate commerce, and/or either were associated in fact and who did engage in, and whose activities did affect, interstate in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(4), (5), (9), and 1962(b) IN THE TEN YEAR PREVIOUS, OF THE FILING OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND ALL DEFENDANTS DID COMMIT TWO PREDIACATE ACTS, IN VIOLATION OF RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(b) AND THREATEN TO CONTINUE THEIR BEHAVIOR 18 U.S.C. 1962(b) and did overt acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

The plaintiff have been damage by the RICO activities, and the Defendants forced Specialty Investment Group LLC (et al) out of business by the RICO Organization.

Whereby the Plaintiff and/or the (Specialty Investment Group LLC a dissolved Georgia Company) business was a "victim(s) of crime" of RICO activity and LOST PROFITS, was injured in business or property. The Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages and/or punitive and/or treble damages according to proof at trial.

CAUSE OF ACTION #3:

ALL DEFENDANT CONDUCTED OR PARTICIPATED IN A RICO ENTERPRISE
AND/OR THROUGH A PATTERN OF RICO ACTIVITY

18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) AND/OR IN VIOLATION OF GEORGIA RACKETEERED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION STATUTES(CITE STATUE)

SHARON BRIDGEWATER, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF THE SPECIALTY INVESTMENT GROUP LLC A DISSOLVED GEORGIA COMPANY, SPECIALTY GLOBAL INVESTMENT INC. A DISSOLVED NEVADA COMPANY, AND BRIDGEWATER & COMPANY INC. AGAINST AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FROM OBAMA

In furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy, ALL Defendants Defendants did associate with a RICO *enterprise* of individuals who were associated in fact and who engaged in, and whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce.

Likewise, all Defendants did conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of said RICO enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4), (5), (9), and 1962(c). AND/OR and were individual associated in fact with other individuals of RICO enterprise, and did engage in RICO prohibited activities, that did affect Plaintiffs businesses and/or interstate commerce, and/or

1 either were associated in fact and who did engage in, and whose activities did affect,
 2 interstate in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(4), (5), (9), and 1962(b) IN THE TEN YEAR
 3 PREVIOUS, OF THE FILING OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND ALL DEFENDANTS DID
 COMMIT TWO PREDIACATE ACTS, IN VIOLATION OF RICO law at 18 U.S.C. 1962(b)
 AND THREATEN TO CONTINUE THEIIR BEHAVIOR 18 U.S.C. 1962(b), and did overt
 acts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

6 The plaintiff have been damage, and forced out of business by the RICO Orginanzation.

7 Whereby the Plaintiff and/or the (Specialty Investment Group LLC a dissolved Georgia
 8 Company) business was a "victim(s) of crime" of RICO activity and LOST PROFITS, was
 9 injured in business or property. The Plaintiff have compensatory damages and/or punitive
 and/or treble damages according to proof at trial.

13 CAUSE OF ACTION #4:

14 CONSPIRACY TO ENGAGE IN A PATTERN OF RICO ACTIVITIES.

15 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5), 1962(d) IN VIOLATION OF GEORGIA RACKEETEERED AND

16 CORRUPT ORGANIZATION STATUES(CITE STATUE)

17 **SHARON BRIDGEWATER, INDIVIDULALY AND ON BEHALF OF THE SPECIALTY**
 18 **INVESTMENT GROUP LLC A DISSOLVED GEORGIA COMPANY, SPECIALTY**
 19 **GLOBAL INVESTMENT INC. A DISSOLVED NEVEDA COMPANY, AND**
 20 **BRIDGEWATER & COMPANY INC. AGAINST AGAINST ALL**
DEFENDANTS(EXCEPT OBAMA)

21
 22
 23 In furthernac of the objective of the conspriacry, ALL Defendants Defendants did did
 24 associate with a RICO *enterprise* of individuals who were associated in fact and who
 engaged in, and whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce.

25
 26 All Defendants did conspire to participate as principle and/or participated a principle. All
 27 Defendants did conspire to collect unlawful debts and/or conspired to receive income
 28 derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity, and/or conspired to use
 or invest directly or indirectly, part of the income, or the proceeds of the income, in
 acquisition and/or in interest in, or the establishment or operation of a US Government

1 Federal and/or State Government Rico Enterprize. All Defendants did conspire to acquire
 2 and/or maintain, directly or indirectly, an interest in or control of a RICO *enterprise* and were
 3 one and/or two or more individual(s) who were associated in fact and who did engage in, all
 Defendants did conspired to associate with a RICO *enterprise* of individuals who were
 associated in fact and/or conspired to conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly,
 in the conduct of the affairs of said RICO *enterprise* through a *pattern of racketeering*
activity whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce and did overt acts or
 omissions in furtherance of an unlawful agreement to achieve the objective of the conspiracy.

7 whose activities did affect, interstate in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1961 IN THE TEN YEAR
 8 PREVIOUS, OF THE FILING OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND ALL DEFENDANTS DID
 COMMIT TWO PREDIACATE ACTS, IN VIOLATION OF RICO STATUES AND
 9 THREATEN TO CONTINUE THEIR BEHAVIOR .

10 The plaintiff have been damage, and forced out of business by the RICO Orginanzation.

11 The Private Attorney General statue relies upon private attorney generals to enforce its
 12 provisions. Said Defendants had a discriminatory impact upon African- Americans,
 Hispanics, and/or minority businesses individuals, those receiving state and federal financial
 13 assistance, African American drivers, and/or "protected class tenant" living within the United
 States of America public housing complexes and/or minority owned businesses.

16 Defendants' discriminatory policy and pattern and retaliatory conduct have damaged Plaintiffs,
 and continues to damage Plainitffs. Said Defendants RICO activites, forced the Plaintiff out of
 17 business.

19 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement Obama and/or Holder illegal hack e-mails obtain this
 complaint, attacks the Mitt Rodney campain and/or Bain campaign with a FELONY, AND/OR
 20 DID OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY.

22 Plaintiff allege and/or upon information and belief Obama attack Rodnmey to create the
 23 impression in US Citizen, that Rodney is attacking him with a felony. Romeny has nothing to
 do with this complaint.

26 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER BRING THE
 27 ABOVE CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL
 CAPACITIES, AND PRAY TO THIS HONORABLE COURT THAT THE JUDGE WHOM
 28 THIS COMPLAINT IS PRESENTED TO IS HONORABLE, FAIR AND IMPARTIAL. AS

1 THE PLAINTIFF HAS HAD ENOUGH! THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE HAD ENOUGH
2 OF HOLDER ILLEGAL CRIMINAL MALICIOUS ACTS. OBAMA SUPPORTS HIS
3 ATTORNEY GENERAL AND IS EQUALLY LIABLE FOR CRIMINAL ACTS AS AIDER
AND ABETTER, ACCESSORY, ACCOMPLISH.

5 FAR EVEN WORST OBAMA HAS MADE MITT RODNEY A VICTIM OF CRIME,
6 OBAMA KNEW AND WERE AWARE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WOULD FILED THIS
7 COMPLAINT, AND HAVE KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY ATTACKED MITT
8 RODNEY WITH A FELONY, IN THE BAIN CAMPAIGN. OBAMA ACTIONS ARE
CRIMINAL, ILLEGAL AND AN ABUSE OF US GOVERNMENT POWER.

10 FURTHER THE PLAINTIFF ARE INFORMED AND BELIEVE THAT OBAMA AND/OR
11 HOLDER HAVE transported gun and/or illegal weapons to the Mexican mafia without congress
12 approval and are engaged in other predicate acts and have retaliated against federal witness and
victims of RICO activities.

15 PRAYER FOR RELIEF

16 **Wherefore**, the Plaintiff prays for judgment against all named Defendants pursuant to the 18
17 U.S.C. 1964(a)(b)(c) or (d), Plaintiff requests judgment against all named Defendants as
18 follows:

- 21 1. All Defendants be enjoined *temporarily* during pendency of this action, and *permanently*
22 thereafter, ENJOIN AND PREVENT THE DEFENDANTS FROM ATTACKING,
23 BEATING, ASSALTING THE PLAINTIFF, FROM UNCONSTITUTIONAL TRAFFIC
24 STOPS, AND PREVENT THE PLAINTIFF FROM COMING NEAR THE
25 PLAINTIFFS HOUSE, ONTO THE PROPERTY OF THE PLAINTIFFS, FROM
26 KIDNAPPING THE PLAINTIFFS, FROM FALSELY CHARGING THE PLAINTIFFS
27 WITH CRIMES WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, OF SO MANY FEET,
28

1 STALKING, HARRASING, CAUSING ILLEGAL SURVIENCE, HACKING INTO
2 PLAINTIFF EMAILS, ETC.

3 2. DECLARE THAT THE DEFENDANTS ACTIONS ARE ILLEGAL, AND DECLARE
4 THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAVE A RIGHT TO HER COMPANIES, AND OR
5 OPERATE HER COMPANIES

6 3. OBAMA APPEAR BEFORE THIS COURT AND DISSOLVE THE RICO
7 ORGANIZATION OF HOLDER AND SHAWN DONVAN, AND TO GIVE THE
8 PLAINTIFF HER FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS HUD RECOVERIES DEFRAUDED
9 BY HOLDER ET AL.

10 4. ANY OTHER RELIEF AS DEEM JUST AND APPROPRIATE


11 5. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS NOT TO HARRASS THE PLAINTIFFS CAUSE AN
12 INDICTMENT, BEAT, KICK, HARRASS THE PLAINTIFFS

13 6. ORDER AND RESTRAIN THE DEFENDANTS FROM ILLEGAL CONFINSTATION
14 TO THE PLAINTIFFS BUSINESS OR WEBPAGE.

15 7. ALLOW THE PLAINTIFF TO AMEND THIS COMPLAINT.

16 8. ORDER JOHN CORBETT TO RESCUE HIMSELF.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Oct. 18, 2012

25 
26 Sharon Bridgewater
27
28

VERIFICATION

I, The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, individually and on behalf of the the Specialty Investment Group LLC, a dissolved company, as member/manager is the Plaintiff in the above entitled action.


I make this verification because th facts set forth in the complaint are within my knowledge and it is I who is the victim of crime of the above named Defendants.

I have read th foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowlegede. I except as to those matters which are therein alleged in this complaint and belief and believe to those matters, I believe it to be true.

I Sharon Bridgewater declare under penalty and perjury under the laws of the State of Michigan the laws and the United States of America, without the "United States" (federal government)that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: OCT 18, 2012

Signed: Sharon Bridgewater



PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the **United States of America**, without the “**United States**” (federal government), that I am at least 18 years of age, a Citizen of the United States of America, and that I personally served the following document(s):

Eric Holder Jr. CERTIFIED MAIL TO 7012-1010-0002-7360-2660

United States Attorney General of Michigan 7012-1010-0002-7360-2657

SENATOR GRASSLEY- US GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

7012-1010-0002-7360-2664

Sharon Bridgewater
1524 Harvest Lane