

241

Sharon Bridgewater  
1524 Harvest Lane  
Superior Township, MI 48198

1-313-375-0351

In Pro Se

Case:2:12-cv-14709  
Judge: Roberts, Victoria A.  
MJ: Michelson, Laurie J.  
Filed: 10-24-2012 At 12:27 PM  
CMP Bridgewater v. Obama, et al (at  
)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
(with and/or without the United States)  
ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney  
General" and/or individually and/or on behalf  
of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C.  
A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty  
Global Investments Inc., a dissolved  
Nevada Corporation ),Bridgewater & Company  
Inc. a California Corporation

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

Barak H. Obama,  
In his individual capacity as  
Chief Executive Officer of the  
United States of America  
Eric Holder Jr.,  
In his individual capacity as the  
United States Attorney General  
Defendants JOHN DOE 1 is unknown employee  
of the Executive Branch. They are sued in their  
current or former individual capacities

CASE No.

ORIGINAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT  
FOR RACKETEERED  
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT  
ORGANIZATION(RICO);

SUPPLEMENTAL CRIMINAL  
PLEADING ATTACHED AS  
EXH. A- FILED UNDER SEAL

CERTIFIED MAIL# 7012-1010-0002-7360-2657  
CERTIFIED MAIL# 7012-1010-0002-7360-2660  
CERTIFIED MAIL# 7012-1010-0002-7360-2664

FOR DECLARATORY  
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH  
EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER TO

1 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents  
2 of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI  
3 They are sued in their current or former  
individual capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 3 are unknown  
Assistant United States Attorney General(s).  
They are sued in their current or former  
individual capacities.

6 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 is unknown US  
7 "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are  
sued in their individual current or  
8 former capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 5 is unknown employees  
9 of the U.S. Department of Justice. They are sued  
in their current or former individual capacities.

10 Sandra Brown Armstrong, a United States  
11 Federal District Court Federal Judge for the  
Northern District of California

12 Is sued in her individual capacity

13 Claudia Wilkins, a United States Federal District  
14 Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of  
California Is sued in her individual capacity

15 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 is unknown employees  
and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of  
16 California, Oakland, and Division. They are sued  
in their current or former individual capacities

17 Orinda D. Evans, a United States Federal  
District Court Federal Judge for the Northern  
18 District of Georgia Is sued in her individual  
capacity

19 Allen Baverman, a United States Federal District  
20 Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the Northern  
District of Georgia Is sued in his  
21 individual capacity

22 Defendants JOHN DOE 7 is unknown employees  
and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of  
23 Georgia. They are sued in their current or former  
individual capacities

24 Shawn Donovan,

25 Is sued in his individual capacity

26 As the Director of the United States Housing  
and Urban Development

27 Defendants JOHN DOE 8 is the unknown  
Director of the San Francisco Housing

28 Authority He or she is sued in her/his individual  
and/or official current or former capacity as

SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION AND PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION, EXP. DISCOVERY,  
APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER, AND  
OTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF WITH MEM  
AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES IN  
SUPPORT OF

Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 9 is unknown employee of the San Francisco Housing Authority. He is sued in his official and/or individual current or former capacity  
 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership  
 (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),  
 McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.  
 MBA Urban Development Co.,  
 The Related Companies of California, Inc.  
 Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc.,  
 Hasinah Rahim,  
 Shawn Bankson,  
 Jane Creason,  
 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,  
 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, is sued in her Individual and official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,  
 Roger Tonna,  
 Mary Tonna,  
 William Gilg,  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 is the unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, He or She is sued in his/her individual and official current or former capacity as Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 11 is the unknown Supervisor of Lynn Shanks, of the Alameda County Housing Authority; She is sued in his/her individual and official current or former capacity.  
 Defendant JOHN DOE 12 is Unknown” Executive Director of DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official “former” capacity  
 Defendants Terrell Bolton “former” Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia  
 He is sued in his individual and official “former” capacity as Chief of Police of DeKalb County  
 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491,  
 Individually and in her official and/or “former”

1 capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb  
 2 County, GA Police Department  
 3 Officer Franklin  
 4 Individually and in his/her official and/or  
 5 “former” capacity as a DeKalb County, GA  
 6 Police Officer  
 7 Detective George  
 8 Individually and in her official and/or “former”  
 9 capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA  
 10 Police Department  
 11 Lieutenant Hamilton  
 12 Individually and in his/her official and/or  
 13 “former” capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb  
 14 GA County Police Department  
 15 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown  
 16 DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their  
 17 individual and official and/or “former”  
 18 capacities.  
 19 Randy Rich,  
 20 Individually and in his official capacity as the  
 21 Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County  
 22 Lucas O. Harsh,  
 23 Rosanna Szabo  
 24 Individually and in her official and/or “former”  
 25 capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor  
 26 Officer Hardin “former” Police Officer of the  
 27 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department  
 28 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown  
 29 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their  
 30 individual and official and/or “former”  
 31 capacities.  
 32 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown  
 33 Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is  
 34 sued in his/her current or “former” capacity as  
 35 Chief of Police  
 36 Officer Caldwell  
 37 Individually and in his official and/or “former”  
 38 capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett  
 39 County, GA Police or Sherrieff Department  
 40 Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown “  
 41 Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA  
 42 Police or Sherrieff Department  
 43 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official  
 44 or “former “capacity  
 45 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are Executive  
 46 Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors,

1 of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in  
 2 their official current or "former" capacities  
 3 Defendant Victoria Roberts, is sued in her  
 individual capacity As United States Eastern  
 District Court Judge of Michigan along with  
 unknown employees of the United States  
 Eastern District Court of Michigan  
 Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive  
 6 Director of Washtenaw County, Michigan in  
 his/her individual and official capacity  
 7 Defendants JOHN DOE 19 unknown Chief of  
 8 Police of Washtenaw County Sheriff's  
 Department is sued in his or her individual and  
 9 official capacity as Chief of Police/Sheriff of  
 Washtenaw County  
 10 Defendants JOHN DOE 20 unknown  
 11 Washtenaw County Sheriff # 1810,  
 Individually and in his official and/or "former"  
 12 capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw  
 County Sheriff Department.  
 13 Defendants JOHN DOE 21 unknown  
 14 Washtenaw County Sheriff # 1803,  
 Individually and in his official and/or "former"  
 15 capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw  
 County Sheriff Department.  
 16

17  
18  
19 And Does John Does 22 thru 1000 inclusive  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 **I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION AND VENUE, AND COMMERCE**

### 25 **I. PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION, VENUE AND COMMERCE**

26  
27 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Racketeer  
28 Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 This Court has jurisdiction and Plaintiffs

herein are alleging standing under: Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961, ( Fair Housing Act of 1968 and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C. Sections 3601, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1985; and/or for violation of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and/or and injunctive relief for violations of the federal antitrust laws. The jurisdiction of this Court is also authorized by 18 U.S.C. Section 1964 (c), 42 U.S.C. Section Sections 1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

2. The amount of controversy well exceeds the statutory sum of \$75,000.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and/or (b). Obama, Holder and/or Victoria Roberts, and Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive Director of Washtenaw County, Michigan in his/her individual and official capacity Defendants JOHN DOE 19 unknown Chief of Police of Washtenaw County Sheriff's Department is sued in his or her individual and official capacity as Chief of Police/Sheriff of Washtenaw County, Defendants JOHN DOE 20 unknown Washtenaw County Sheriff # 1810, Individually and in his official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw County Sheriff Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 21 unknown Washtenaw County Sheriff # 1803, Individually and in his official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Washtenaw County Sheriff Department and (a) other defendants resides, is found, has an agent or transacts their affairs in the Eastern District of the State of Michigan and/or in the District of Columbia, Washington. (b) The acts and occurrences in furtherance of the alleged herein arose in this Eastern District of Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington D.C. The ends of justice require that other parties residing in other Districts be brought before this District Court to be summoned, processed, by the United States Marshal.

## **II. Civil actions, Request for an Special Prosecutor and/or Attorney and for injunctive relief as provided by the Private Attorney General Statue**

The Plaintiffs commences this action without paying a filing fee. The Private Attorney General statue provides that a private party may commence an action without payment of fees, costs, or security, if persons are engaged in prohibited RICO acts. The statue also provides, a that a civil action for preventive relief, including an application for a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order, or other orders, may be instituted by the person if the person certifies that the case is of general public importance. In addition, the statue provide that upon application by the complainant and in such circumstances as the court may deem just, the court may appoint an attorney for such complainant. In this case, the Defendants have engaged in act(s) or practice(s) prohibited by Federal and/or State RICO statue. The Plaintiff brings this complaint on behalf to the United States and as a "Private Attorney General." The United States of Sharon Bridgewater ex rel Sharon Bridgewater certifies that this case is of Public importance. Also pursuant to the

statue The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater states an Attorney or Special Prosecutor may be appointed. In this case there are many defendants who conspired under the color of Federal and/or State law and committed criminal acts. The United States of America must appoint a Special Prosecutor, a Congressional Investigation and Attorney in this case. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater request this honorable court to appoint an Attorney, Special Prosecutor, and Congressional Investigation. If for some reason the court does not allow the Plaintiff to commence this action without paying the pre-filing fee, the Plaintiffs will pay the filing fee upon notice from this court.

## II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

### [RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)

## II. RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS

### RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

Plaintiffs allege that:

Barak H. Obama is a citizen of the United States a resident of Washington DC, and is unincorporated business entity principle place of business within, Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government, chief executive Officer of the United States of America of the Executive, Judiciary and legislative Branch of the United States of America.

Eric Holder Jr., is a citizen of the United States, a resident of Washington DC, and is unincorporated business entity principle place of business in Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government, chief executive Officer of law enforcement of the United States of America.

Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch are citizens of the United States, and residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in,



1 “unknown “cities in Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and/or Washington, District of  
2 Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government under the direction of Barak  
3 Obama.

6 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)  
7 in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities are citizens of the United States and  
8 residents of Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are  
9 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in “unknown “cities in  
10 Michigan, California, and/or Georgia, and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of  
11 Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

12 Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their official  
13 personal capacity and/or “former “capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of  
14 Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated  
15 business entities with their principle place of business in “unknown “cities in Michigan,  
16 California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia unincorporated business  
17 entities, principle place of business within, the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature  
18 Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder  
19 Jr.

20 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US “State” Attorney (ies) General(s) in their official  
21 personal capacity and/or “former “capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of  
22 Washington DC, and/or Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are unincorporated  
23 business entities with their principle place of business in, “unknown “cities in Michigan,  
24 California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia, Nature Trade of Commerce  
25 the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.

26 Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice are citizens of  
27 the United States, and residents of Michigan and/or California and/or Georgia and are  
28 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in “unknown “cities in  
Michigan, California, and/or Georgia and Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of  
Commerce the U.S.A. Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder.



1  
2  
3 Sandra Brown Armstrong United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen  
4 of the United States, and residents of California unincorporated business entity, principle place  
5 of business in the City of Oakland, California, Armstrong is a "former" seven year Oakland  
6 Police Officer/Law Enforcement Officer. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government  
7 Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch (Federal/California)

8  
9 Claudia Wilkins United States Northern District Court Judge of California is citizen of the  
10 United States and residents of California and is unincorporated business entities her principle  
11 place of business in the City of Oakland, California. . Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A.  
12 Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch  
13 (Federal/California).

14  
15 Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of California,  
16 Oakland, and Division. are citizens of the United States and residents of California and are  
17 unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in, the City of Oakland,  
18 California. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the  
19 direction of the United States Judiciary Branch and/or Sandra Brown Armstrong and/or Claudia  
20 Wilkins (Federal/California)

21  
22 Orinda D. Evans, United States Northern District Court Judge of Georgia is a citizen of the  
23 United States and is a resident of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity with her  
24 principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of  
25 Commerce the U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States  
26 Judiciary Branch (Federal/Georgia)

27  
28 Allen Baverman, United States Northern District Court Magistrate Judge of Georgia is a citizen  
of the United States and residents of Georgia and is an unincorporated business entity principle  
place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of Commerce  
the U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch  
(Federal/Georgia)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities are citizens of the United States and residents of Georgia and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, County of Fulton. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch and/or Orinda Evan and/or Allen Baverman (Federal/Georgia)

Shawn Donovan, Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development is a citizen of the United States and residents of the District of Columbia, and is an unincorporated business entity principle place of business in the City of Washington, District of Columbia. Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate/under the direction of Obama.

Defendant JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority in her/his official or former personal capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority is a citizen of the United States, and resident of an “unknown” in the United States of America and is an unincorporated business entity with its principle place of business within, “unknown” cities or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Donovan/under the direction of Obama.

Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employees of the San Francisco Housing Authority in their official personal capacity or former capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of “unknown” cities and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in, “unknown” cities or towns in America. – Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction of Defendant John Doe 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority/under the direction of Shawn Donovan/under the direction of Obama.

Hayes Valley Limited Partnership [AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.](HVLP), is a citizen of the United States and/or corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of San Francisco, CA with it principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, California. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and a Partner of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan /under the direction of Obama.

McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. is a citizens of the United States, and is a corporation or partnership duly licensed to do business in the City of San Francisco, CA with it principle place of business in the City of San Francisco, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and an Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

MBA Urban Development Co., is a citizen of the United States, duly licensed to do business in the in the city of St. Louis, Missouri, with it principle place of business in the St. Louis, Missouri, and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and a Partner of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

The Related Companies of California, Inc. is a corporation and/or company with it principle place of business in the CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and a Partner of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., is a corporation and/or company with it principle place of business in CA and/or and is an partner of HVLP, and/or duly licensed to business in most if not all of the 50 states in the United States America and is an Partner of HVLP and the United States Government. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and a Partner

1 of the United States Government and/or United States Housing and Urban Development and  
2 under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

3  
4  
5 Hasiah Rahim, individually and current or former official personal capacity is an unincorporated  
6 business entities and a managing member of HVLP with her principle place of business in the  
7 City of San Francisco, California. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and under the  
8 direction of Defendant HVLP and/or Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

9  
10 Shawn Bankson, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle  
11 place of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee  
12 of Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of  
13 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

14 Jane Creason, Attorney, Counselor is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place  
15 of business within, the City of San Francisco, California, is a current or former employee of  
16 Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and served as an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of  
17 commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

18  
19 Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Attorneys, and/or Counselors is an partnership and/or  
20 corporation with their principle place of business within, the State of California, is a served as  
21 an agent for HVLP/USA HUD nature trade of commerce Real Estate under the direction Shawn  
22 Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

23  
24  
25 Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, Alameda County Superior Court Judge is an unincorporated business entity  
26 with her principle place of business within, the City of Oakland, California, Alameda County.  
27 Nature Trade of Commerce the U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the  
28 United States Judiciary Branch.

1  
2 Roger Tonna, is citizen of the United States, and residents of California and unincorporated  
3 business entities his principle place of business in the City of San Leandro, California, Alameda  
County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or under the direction of Defendant Shawn  
Donavan/ under the direction of Obama.

6  
7 Mary Tonna is the wife of Roger Tonna and is citizen of the United States and residents of  
8 California and is unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the City of  
San Leandro, California, Alameda County. Nature Trade of Commerce-Real Estate and/or  
under the direction of Defendant Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

12 William Gilg, is an Attorney and a citizen of the United States, and residents of California and is  
13 an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business in the San Bruno, California.  
14 and served as an agent for Roger and Mary Tonna, nature trade of commerce Real  
Estate under the direction Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

17 Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority,  
18 in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity is a citizen of the United States, and  
resident of California and is an unincorporated business entities his principle place of business  
19 in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. - Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A.  
Government Department of Housing and Urban Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or  
20 Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Donovan under the direction Shawn Donovan/ under  
the direction of Obama.

23 Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown Supervisor of the Plaintiffs section 8 worker, for the  
24 Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity  
is a citizen of the United States, and resident of California and is an unincorporated business  
25 entities his principle place of business in the City of Hayward, California, Alameda County. -  
Nature Trade of Commerce-The U.S.A. Government Department of Housing and Urban  
26 Development and/or U.S.A. Housing and/or Real Estate under the direction of Shawn Donovan  
under the direction Shawn Donovan/ under the direction of Obama.

1  
2 Defendant "Unknown" Chief Executive Officer, Executive Director of DeKalb County, Georgia  
3 in his/her individual and official current and/or "former" capacity is a citizen of the United  
States, and/or a the director of a local municipally of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated  
business entity with his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA Nature Trade of  
Commerce-The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr.  
under the direction of Obama.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia is a citizen of  
the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with  
11 his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- the U.S.A.  
local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of  
Obama.  
13  
14

15 Chandra Y. Schneider #2491, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is a  
citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business  
16 entity with his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce-  
The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the  
17 direction of Obama.  
18  
19  
20

21 Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb  
County, GA Police Officer is a citizen of the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and  
22 is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA.  
Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction  
23 of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.  
24  
25  
26

27 Detective George Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity is an citizen of the  
United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with  
28 his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.

1 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr./ under the direction of  
2 Obama.

3  
4  
5  
6 Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity is a citizen of  
7 the United States, and resident of "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with  
8 his principle place of business DeKalb County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A.  
9 local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of  
10 Obama.

11 Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and  
12 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of "unknown"  
13 cities and are unincorporated business entities with his principle place of business DeKalb  
14 County, GA Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under  
15 the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.

16  
17 Randy Rich, Individually in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County  
18 is a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated  
19 business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County.,  
20 Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the  
21 United States Judiciary Branch (Georgia).

22  
23 Lucas O. Harsh, is an attorney, a citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown"  
24 city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville,  
25 GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government criminal defense  
26 attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA.

27  
28 Rosanna Szabo, is an solicitor and/or counselor, citizen of the United States, and resident of an  
"unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with her principle place of business



1 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature trade of commerce The U.S.A. local Government  
2 prosecutor and/or attorney law under the direction of Gwinnett County, GA. Eric Holder Jr. Eric  
3 Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.

5 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers in their individual and  
6 official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and resident of "unknown"  
7 cities and is are unincorporated business entity with their principle place of business  
8 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local  
9 Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of  
10 Obama.

11 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Chief of Police Officer in his/her  
12 current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police is a citizen of the United States, and resident of  
13 an "unknown" city and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business  
14 Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local  
15 Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of  
16 Obama.

17  
18 Officer Caldwell, Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of  
19 the Gwinnett County, GA is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and  
20 is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA,  
21 Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement  
22 under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.

23  
24 Defendants JOHN DOE 15, Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff  
25 Department, is citizen of the United States, and resident of an "unknown" city and is an  
26 unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett  
27 County. Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the  
28 direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.

Defendant JOHN DOE 16, Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and official and/or "former" capacities are citizens of the United States, and residents of an "unknown" cities and is an unincorporated business entity with his principle place of business Lawrenceville, GA, Gwinnett County, Nature Trade of Commerce- The U.S.A. local Government law enforcement under the direction of Eric Holder Jr. under the direction of Obama.

Defendant Victoria Roberts is citizen of the United States and residents of Michigan and is an unincorporated business entity her principle place of business in the City of Detroit, Michigan. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch (Federal/Michigan) and Defendants JOHN DOES 17 unknown employees of the U.S. Eastern District of Michigan, are citizens of the United States, and residents of Michigan and are unincorporated business entities with their principle place of business in, the City of Detroit, Michigan. Nature Trade of Commerce The U.S.A. Government Judicial Branch under the direction of the United States Judiciary Branch and/or Victoria Roberts, AND DOES DEFENDANTS 17 THRU 1000 INCLUSIVE ARE TWO OR MORE, THAT CAME TO THE MEETING OF THE MIND, ENTERED INTO AN UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT, TO DEFRAUD THE PLAINTIFFS, AND ALL ARE FEDERAL AND STATE ACTORS UNDER THE COLOR OF FEDERAL AND/OR STATE constitutes a US Government RICO enterprise as defined in 1964.

Plaintiffs allege that at all times material herein, the activities, conduct, and/or omissions committed and/or engaged in by the defendants herein give rise to this action being instituted within this federal district court inasmuch as plaintiffs are citizens and residents, and maintain their principal place of business within, County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan;

that each jointly and/or severally are engaged in activities and conduct that affect federal interstate and/or foreign commerce, and/or control, hold legal, equitable, and/or beneficial interests in property of the US Government and/or the Plaintiffs and each is a "person," as that term is defined pursuant to Section 1961(3) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"]. Plaintiffs further allege that each and every RICO person that is specifically identified and named as a RICO defendant is liable as a principal pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §§ 2(a)-(b) and that each and every RICO person defendant is liable as a co-conspirator pursuant to Title 18 United States Code § 371.(except Obama). Plaintiffs allege that each and every defendant have engaged in continuous, concerted, and systematic

activities with plaintiffs and/or within these districts, and/or the USA resulting in injury to their respective business or property pursuant to Racketeered and Corrupt Influenced Organization.

COMES NOW PLAINTIFFS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER, PURSUANT TO CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND/OR BILL OF RIGHTS, AND FOR VIOLATIONS OF USC TITLE 18; 286, 371, 1502, 1512 AND OTHER RICO STATUTES AS DEFINED IN USC 42 SECTION 1961, FOR FEDERAL AND STATE LAW PENAL CODES, JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT, PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT, VIOLATION OF FEDERAL ANTI RETAILATORY LAW, SUES FEDERAL NAMED DEFENDANTS(EXCEPT OBAMA) IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES AND STATE DEFENDANTS IN THEIR OFFICIAL AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES, FOR MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND/OR OTHER US CITIZENS UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS, CONSPIRACY UNDER THE COLOR OF FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW, CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD, RETAILATION AGAINST A FEDERAL WITNESS, VIOLATIONS OF THE PLAINTIFFS RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH, BREACH OT JUDICIARY LAWS, BREACH OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT LAW, AND FAILURE TO RESCUSE THEMSELVES FOR CONFLICT OF INTEREST, AND REQUEST INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FROM THIS US FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AND/OR FROM OBAMA TO DISSOLVE THE ORGANIZATION.

## **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND TO BRING CRIMINAL CHARGES**

### **II. STANDING TO SUE AND ACT AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND TO BRING CRIMINAL CHARGES**

8. The Plaintiffs "private citizens" move this Federal Court on behalf of the United States of America *ex relatione* as a "private attorney general" to vindicate a policy that Congress considers to be of the highest priority and for injunctive relief. A private Citizen may move a federal court and has the standing to sue as on behalf of the United States Government (with and/or without the Federal Government). The Plaintiff Federal Witness and Victim of Crime Criminal of the US Government, (RICO) activities" bring this lawsuit to enforce a public right and/or to protect the public's interest. The U.S. Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary wanted to level the playing field so that private citizens could serve as "private attorneys general" to enforce the civil rights laws and turn RICO "victims" into Prosecutors, dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity. The United States of America *ex relatione* Sharon Bridgewater also bring "criminal" charges against the above named Defendants. The United States of America *ex rel* Sharon Bridgewater certifies that the case is of general public importance.

### **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

#### **III. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS**

9. This case is about a grossly dysfunctional, United States Department of Justice,(DOJ) and United States Department of Housing and Urban Development(HUD), Executive Cabinet member of the White House, and under the Direction of Obama; and in which Obama support, and fail to dissolve the RICO ACTIVITIES OF HIS CABINET MEMBERS, BUT AID, ABETT AND HELPS HIS MEMBERS. continuing conspiracy of Obama, and Holder acting in joint participation with three female African American Federal Judges, and other federal Judges who aided, abetted the African American Judges to commit overt acts.

10. The Plaintiffs lost their ability to earn a living due to the above Defendants conspiracies, conspiracies to defraud the Plaintiffs out of money or property, unfair business practices, unfair competition, acts of threats, extortion, coercion, force, violence against the plaintiff, oppression, violence against the Plaintiffs (WOMEN), assault and battery, usurpation of business(es)which violates both federal antitrust laws.

12. The objective of the racketeering *enterprise* was to protect the Rico Enterprise, retaliate against the Plaintiffs "WHISTLEBLOWERS and Federal Witness and Victim of Crime," defraud the Plaintiff out of money or property and halt the ability of the Complainant to report such crimes.

13. The Defendants are continuing with their practices and must be restrained and enjoined, The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater - Plaintiffs have no other adequate remedies at law.

### **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

#### **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

The complainant writes this complaint under extreme mental stress. The most recent retaliatory attacks ORDERED by Obama and/or Holder was on Oct. 5, 2012.(Friday night). The Plaintiffs 50 year old women were horribly beaten by two police Officer one, which was six foot, four

1 inch, approx. 250 lb “football player-Police Officer.” The Defendants trespassed onto the  
 2 Plaintiffs property then “kidnapped” the Plaintiff without due process of law, and/or falsely  
 3 imprisoned without probable cause. The Plaintiffs spent the night in jail in the medical unit,  
 4 from the beating of the Officer. The Plaintiffs were release from jail and the Plaintiff  
 5 immediately the hospital Saturday on Oct. 6, 2012. The Plaintiff is currently suffering from  
 6 horrific physical pain and trauma, post traumatic stress syndrome, and currently taking pain  
 7 medication, and THIS COMPLAINT MUST BE AMENDED, and requests this court to excuse  
 8 discrepancies. Pursuant to FRCP, short, plain statement is needed. ALL EXHIBITS ARE  
 9 EXCLUDED FROM THIS COMPLAINT DUE TO THE URGENCY OF THIS COMPLAINT.  
 10 The Plaintiff is trying her best to keep the sentence short and plain. Plaintiffs THE UNITED  
 11 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER (A WOMEN) AND/OR OR WOMEN AND/OR  
 12 OTHER US CITIZENS ARE “victims” of crime of violence and cruel and unusual punishment,  
 13 and victims suffering from trauma, shock, mental and emotional distress, police brutality,  
 14 assaults, mental anguish, physical sufferings and pain and without probable cause and at the  
 15 hands of the Defendants, pleading must be excused for discrepancies.

16 Pro se litigant are not held to the same high standards of perfection as practicing lawyers.  
 17 (Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914 F2d 1459 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir1990); See Hulsey v.  
 18 Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re: HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th  
 19 Cir. 1991). Further the Supreme Court states that RICO complaint must not be dismissed for  
 20 discrepancies. In order to survive a motion to dismiss” under Rule 12(b) (6). A Plaintiffs need  
 21 only ‘adduce a set of facts’ supporting their legal claims Wells v. United States, 851 F.2d 1471,  
 22 1473 (D.C. Cir.1988) and/or all that is required is that the complaint “provides enough factual  
 23 information to make clear the substance of that claim.” Caribbean Broad. Sys., 148 F.3d at 1086.  
 24 The applications of heighten pleadings Rule 12(b) (6) in circumstances should not be dismissed  
 25 if the complaint or affidavit appear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is entitled to relief; and the  
 26 heighten rule pleading requires of fraud with particularity judgment of dismissal is warranted  
 27 only if it appear to a certainty that the Plaintiff is not entitled to relief under any state of facts  
 28 which could be proven in support of the claim.

## **V.MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATE CASE**

### **V.MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATE CASE**

29 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater moves this court to ORDER ALL  
 30 JUDGES TO RESCUE THEMSELVES, relate, transfer and/consolidate with this case(s)  
 31 C10-5436(SBA), C10-703(SBA), C10-3022(CW), C10-4966(MMC)and all the cases the  
 32 Plaintiff have filed entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, Sharon  
 33 Bridgewater vs. DeKalb County, Sharon Bridgewater vs. Randy Rich, the State of Georgia,  
 34 Lawrenceville Police Department et al, in which the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater and/or all  
 35 cases assigned to Judges, listed as Defendants(they are among the probable  
 36 CAUSE).

## VII. STATEMENT OF CASE /RICO INTERPRISE/INTERSTATE NEXUS

### VII. STATEMENT OF CASE/ RICO INTERPRIZE/INTERSTATE NEXUS

This case consist of multiple conspiracies and/or separate agreements operating independently of each other, and other sub schemes that included State of Georgia Defendants as well as State of California/Federal Government Defendants(Public/Private Partnership)(Department of HUD Director-Executive Cabinet member) that attracted the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC HOLDER (Master "MIND) , which included the perpetration of *serious criminal offences*, and the operations the US Government, under the Direction of ERIC HOLDER), the use violence or other intimidation and the exertion of influence on politics, media, public administration, judicial authorities or the economy; and, *motivated by the pursuit of profit and/or power*, AND Master MINDS AND Co-conspirators, of African American Federal Judges-Armstrong, Evans-) and with Aiders, and abettors, of Wilkins, Baverman, and lastly Roberts and African American Federal Judge); misuse and abuse of US Government Power, for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful functions of the United States State Government, by "deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest; and/or (2) to defraud the United States Government and (3) an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy committed by one of the conspirators. It consists of continuing core agreement. Case fixing, and scheme cases to be assigned to African America Federal Judges.

It can involve wage fixing, kickbacks, or misrepresentation the independence of the relation between the cloudy parties. In legal terms all acts affected by collusion are considered void. requires a plaintiff to show that the It consist of criminal activity carried on by groups of Federal Employees operating under the direction of Obama and/or Holder. The Group primarily consist of African American in power, which includes Chief Executive Officer, Attorney General, and Three African American US Federal District Court Judges, with other African Americans aiders and abettors and several other Federal or State employees or US Government "private" Contractor that is loosely or tightly organized for the enrichment of those participating at the expense of the United States Federal Government. These actor accomplish their missions through ruthless disregard of the United States Constitution and/or the law, corruption, committing "grave felonies" including offences against United States Citizens person and in connection with corruption

A recent example of how Obama and Holder operate is the recent "FAST AND FURIOUS" scandal. "the supplying weapons(guns, etc.)" to the Mexican drug Cartels(Mafia), without



1 Congress or for that matter "anyone" knowledge or approval, which resulted in the Death of US  
 2 American and Mexican citizens.  
 3

6  
 7  
 8 (A governmental agency, court, political office or the like serve as a RICO "enterprise."  
 9 *United States v. Thompson*, 685 F.2d 993, 999 (6th Cir. 1982)(en banc) *cert. denied*, 459  
 10 U.S. 1072 (1983). Among the government units that have been held to be "enterprises"  
 11 are offices of governors and state legislators, courts, court clerks' offices. See e.g.,  
 12 *United States v. Stratton*, 649 F.2d 1066, 1072-75 (5th Cir. 1981); *United States v.*  
 13 *Clark*, 656 F.2d 1259, 1261-67 (8th Cir. 1981) Office of county judge); *United States v.*  
 14 *Frumento*, 405 F. Supp. 23, 29-30 (E.D. Pa. 1975), *affd*, 563 F.2d 1083 (3d Cir. 1977).  
 15 *cert. debued*, 434 U.S. 1072 (1978)]). The

16 RICO predicate acts abuse of judicial authorities, abuse of US Government Power "have the  
 17 same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or otherwise  
 18 are interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated events. Defendants actions  
 19 are continuing and were not isolated incidents and are pattern and practices and there were  
 20 numerous other victims that they have treated the same way, and which activity over a *prolonged*  
 21 *or indefinite period of time*, the use discipline or control and it is regular way of the Defendants  
 22 business; The predicate acts include but not limited to felonies crimes such as perjury,  
 23 Constitutional Violations, Fraud, US Postal Mail tampering, Conspiracy to defraud, tampering  
 24 with evidence, Usurpation of the Plaintiffs businesses, identity theft, Lying in official court  
 25 documents, Falsifying court records, fabrication of evidence, Violations of Oaths of Office,  
 26 BRIBERY, EXTORTION, conversion, filing false criminal charges against Plaintiff(Sharon  
 27 Bridgewater or Specialty Investment Group LLC)or causing this to be done in secret illegal  
 28 surveillance of Plaintiff or her family members, stalking, ASSAULT AND BATTERY,  
 harassment, invasion of the Plaintiff privacy etc. other grave felonies as defined in 18 U.S.C.  
 section 1961, and which extends over the course of four thru seven years and is their nature and  
 threaten continued criminal activity, and/or is the regular way that the enterprise conducts its  
 business, there were numerous other victims and/or is was the way they treated other victims.  
 The Defendants actions are continuing and were not isolated incidents and which qualifies as  
 "pattern and practices, " and/or pose a special threat to national security of the America and/or  
 public interest and social well-being.

All cooperated, agreed, to limit open competition by deceiving, misleading or defrauding the  
 plaintiffs, other citizens, of the US Constitutional legal rights, and/ or to gain an unfair advantage



of US Citizen by fraud and deceit, a collusion secretly) and the their acts were done in furtherance of the conspiracy.

In advancing, furthering, executing, concealing, conducting, participating in, or carrying out the schemes and for the purpose of executing such scheme, the Defendants placed or caused to be placed in a post office, or authorized depository for mail, matter that furthered the scheme to defraud from the United States Federal District Courts, and each Defendant committed mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C § 1341. In addition and, for the purpose of executing such scheme, the Defendants transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce and/or the Defendants used the wires/mails or caused the wires/mails to be used to receive or deliver, inter alia, the emails, facsimiles, letters or telecommunications matter that furthered the scheme to defraud with the Plaintiffs regarding all the events described in this complaint. The Defendants must be restrained and enjoined.

## THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER VICTIM

### IMPACT STATEMENT

The Obama Administration has been a nightmare to THE UNITED STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER, THE CONTINUAL LYING OF HOLDER TO THE US CONGRESS (SEE EXH. ) FRAUD, DECEIT OR COLLUSION MUST END. Upon the filing of this complaint the Plaintiff are informed and believe the Defendants will take the "defense" that the Plaintiff is delusional, as one defendant has already claimed. The Plaintiff has had enough, and

However, the Plaintiff is a victim of constant crime and for the Defendants to attack the Plaintiff again, will only show and prove this vicious, unthinkable, foul, outrageous, character of the Attorney General and Federal Judges, and it is for the American Public to decide this case and not just mere statements, and allegations, and attacks against the Plaintiffs. "PLAIN AND SIMPLE" THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA HAS HAD ENOUGH!! THE UNITED STATES FILES CRIMINAL CHARGES AS FOLLOWS:

**IX. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General"**

**IX. FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General."**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), says makes the following affirmation under the penalties of perjury and that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru present the Defendants upon information and belief, two or more of the predicate acts as itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes, did take place in the County of the District of Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland, State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan and "unknown" cities or towns in the State of Georgia, State of California, State of California, and/or Michigan, and in the County of Wayne, State of Michigan and in the State of California in the District of Columbia, within the preceding ten years of this complaint among the Defendants as listed on this complaint jointly or severally.

**AND COMES NOW**, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(with and/or without the United States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), a Federal Witness and a Victim of Crime by the above name defendants complains, alleges and appearing before a Federal Judge of the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report these crimes and/or to the United States Congress, The United States House of Representatives, The United States Senate, and formally charges Barak H. Obama, In his individual capacity as Chief Executive Officer of the United States of America, Eric Holder Jr. In his individual capacity as the United States Attorney General, Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI) in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s) in their individual current or former capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice in their current or former individual capacities, Sandra Brown Armstrong, a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Claudia Wilkins; a United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California, Oakland, and Division in their current or former individual capacities, Orinda D. Evans, United

States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in her individual capacity, Allen Baverman, United States Federal District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in his individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia in their current or former individual capacities, Shawn Donovan, in his individual capacity As the Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development, Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority in her/his individual and/or official current or former capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San Francisco Housing Authority in his official and/or individual current or former capacity, Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, in her Individual and official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County, Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official current or former capacity as Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, Lynn Shanks, Defendant DEKALB COUNTY, by and through "Unknown" Chief Executive Officer, Executive Director of DeKalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official current and/or "former" capacity, Defendants Terrell Bolton "former" Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia in his individual and official "former" capacity as Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Chandra Y. Schneider #2491 Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department, Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as a DeKalb County, GA, Police Officer, Detective George Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or "former" capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Sabot Individually and in her official and/or "former" capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin "former" Police Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 12 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Chief of Police in his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in his official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department in his/her individual and official or "former" capacity, Defendant Gwinnett County by and through unknown Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors, of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in their official current or "former" capacities, Defendant Victoria Roberts, in her individual capacity As United States Eastern District Court Judge of Michigan, Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Eastern District Court of Michigan in current or former individual capacities And Does John Does 16 thru 1000 inclusive jointly and/or severally with;

- (1) knowingly and willfully falsified, concealed or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001
- (2) Knowingly and willfully while under a lawfully administered oath or affirmation, testified falsely or made a deposition or statement, in a judicial, legislative or administrative proceeding under oath in a court of law, in violation of Federal law.
- (3) knowingly and willfully committed an act under the color of law to deprive, and/or violate the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 242  
(multiple counts)
- (4) knowingly and willfully conspiring with each other to deprive, and/or violate the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, and/or other tenant, and civil rights in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 241  
(multiple counts)
- (5) Knowingly and willfully falsely imprisoned the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1495 (unjust correction and imprisonment).
- (6) Knowingly and willfully schemed, planned, abused the legal process, obtained labor from the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and caused the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to believe that if she did not perform labor that the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater would suffer physical restraint in violation of 18 U.S.C. sections 1589.
- (7) Knowingly and willfully, and intentionally holds the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater by an arrest warrant with the intent of the Complainant to return the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to a condition of peonage, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1581 Peonage

- 1 (8) Knowingly and willfully, and intentionally racketeering across state lines, in violation of  
2 the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO") at 18 U.S.C. 1962.
- 3
- 4 (9) Knowingly and willfully, and intentionally retaliating against federal witness,  
5 Victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. sections 1513.
- 6
- 7 (10) Knowingly and willfully devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud and  
8 to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or  
9 promises, by transmitting and causing to be transmitted by means of wire, radio or  
10 television communications in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals,  
11 pictures or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, from the  
12 Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1343.
- 13
- 14 (11) Misrepresented the United States of America in violation of 28 U.S.C. 530B
- 15 (12) The Defendants individually and/or severally and/or jointly, knowingly and willfully  
16 threaten and used physical force against the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater with intent,  
17 and influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of Complainant Sharon Bridgewater  
18 in an official proceeding; and/or caused the complainant Sharon Bridgewater to withhold  
19 testimony, or record, or documents, from an official proceeding with the intent and  
20 impaired the availability of the object for use in an official proceeding or influenced,  
21 delayed, or prevented the testimony of the Complainant in an official proceeding; or  
22 caused or induced the Complainant to withhold testimony, or withhold a record,  
23 document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or altered, destroyed, mutilated,  
24 or concealed an object with intent to impair the integrity or availability of the object for  
25 use in an official proceeding; or evaded legal process summoning to the Complainant to  
26 appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official  
27 proceeding; or caused the Complainant to be absent from an official proceeding to which  
28 that person has been summoned by legal process; or hindered, delayed, or prevented the  
communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information  
relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense in violation of 18  
USC section 1512 and/or;
- (13) Knowingly and willfully, placed in a post office, or in an authorized depository for mail,  
matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service for the purposes of executing a  
scheme and/or artifice to defraud the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater and of obtaining  
money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, after

1 having devised or intended to devise said scheme or artifice to defraud and to obtain  
2 money by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, in  
3 violation of 18 U.S.C. 1341.

(14) Knowingly and willfully conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in  
Violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d)

(15) knowingly, and willfully, and by threats or force, or by threatening letter or  
communication, influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence,  
obstructed, or impeded, the due administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C.  
section 1503;

(16) knowingly and willfully by threats or force, willfully prevented, obstructed, impeded, or  
interfered with, or willfully attempted to prevent, obstructed, impeded, or interfered  
with, the due exercise of rights or the performance of duties under any order, judgment,  
or decree of a court of the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C section 1509;

(17) knowingly and willfully conspired, In addition to the "wrongful use of actual or  
threatened force, violence, or fear," the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) defines extortion  
in terms of "the obtaining of property from another, with the Complainant consent  
under color of official right and interfered with commerce by threats or violence  
obstructed, delayed, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity  
in commerce, by robbery and/or physical violence to the Plaintiff and/or property in and  
did overt acts in furtherance of a conspiracy in violation of 18 USC section 1951.

(18) Knowingly, willingly transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign  
commerce, goods, wares, merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000  
or more, knowing it to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly,  
devised or intended to devise a scheme to artifice defraud, or obtain money or property  
by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transported or  
causes to be transported, or induced a one or more of the above name Defendants  
person to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the  
execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud that person or those persons  
of money or property having a value of \$5,000 or more in violation of 18 USC section  
2314.



1 (19) Conspiracy to Defraud the U.S. Treasury or Government Federal False Claims trick,  
2 USC section 371, impair the lawful functions of US Government

3 (20) Theft

4 (21) Perjury

6 (22) Fraud

8 (23) Concealment

10 (24) Violation of Oath of Office/Corruption

11 (25) Misprision of felony.

13 (26) Criminal Conspiracy

15 (27) Robbery

17 (28) Treason

18 (29) Fraud on the Court

20 (30) Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge in Federal and/or State law.

21 (31) Assault and battery

23 (32) Conspiracy to restrain commerce

26 **X. AFFIDIVANT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR**  
27 **TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE**  
28 **PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT**  
**DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER.**



1 X. AFFIDIVANT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY  
 2 RESTRAINING ORDER - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION  
 3 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF  
 RECEIVER.

4 I THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (with and/or without the United States)  
 5 ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and/or on behalf  
 6 of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global  
 7 Investments Inc., a dissolved Nevada Corporation ),Bridgewater & Company Inc. we are  
 8 competent(understand) adults and being duly sworn, says :are Federal Witness(as) and Victim(s)  
 9 of Crime by the above named Defendants advance, articulate, assert, contend, and complain, by  
 10 and through this *original* Affidavit and/or Original and/or First Amended **Complaint**, advance  
 11 multiple monetary claims for relief (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT OBAMA) and  
 declaratory claims for relief, and injunctive relief (by Obama)as specifically articulated and  
 expressly identified herein below:

12 **The United States of America ex rel**

13 Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen **is a citizen of the United States, and is a resident** Specialty  
 14 Investment Group LLC was established in 2004 and Specialty Global Investments Incorporated  
 15 was established in 2009 both companies was licensed under Georgia, and Nevada, and includes a  
 16 individuals, or a group of individuals associated in fact, joint ventures, of Real Estate  
 17 Professional which the Plaintiff Solar Energy. Bridgewater & Company Inc. was establish in  
 18 2009 licensed under California, is Real Estate Company which involves a variety of services,  
 19 open to the general public. Nature of commerce – Real Estate an enterprise as defined in 18  
 20 USC 1964.

21 The Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater is authorized to manage and handle and conduct all  
 22 Business for the Specialty Investment Group LLC, Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or the  
 23 Plaintiff is an officer of Bridgewater and Company and is authorized to sue on behalf of these  
 24 companies.

25 My son he is currently a licensed Real Estate Broker and is the owner of Bridgewater and  
 26 company and has no prior felonies or misdemeanor convictions.

27 We at all times mentioned were minority owned businesses, and were at all times mentioned  
 28 competitors with two or more of the above Defendants.

1 I am a University of Michigan Graduate, with Master degree credit. (See ex. ) my time I was  
2 attacked by a police officer, and civil rights violation, which is the direct and proximate cause of  
3 disability the doctor render me, despite this over the years I have been constantly attacked  
without probable cause(see ex. ) however I have continued to strive excel and conduct business.

5 Plaintiff was the victim of an unconstitutional traffic stop by years ago the incident causes the  
6 Plaintiff horrific psychological damage and for the Officers refusing to apologize to the  
7 Plaintiffs, there actions were was the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiffs disability,  
which resulted in the Plaintiffs deep depression.

8 I am not crazy, delusional as one co conspirator in this complaint upon information and belief are  
9 co-conspirators with Obama and/or Holder. I consider myself to be very intelligent.

11 The Plaintiffs Specialty investment Group Specialty Investment Group LLC "and Specialty  
12 Global Investments INC. dissolved companies, organized and existing under the laws of the  
13 State of Georgia, with its principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State  
14 of Nevada. The Plaintiff is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment  
15 Group LLC, and the chief Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC. The Plaintiff  
16 and authorized to handle all the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty  
Global Investment. Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son's company. The  
17 Plaintiff is an Officers of Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the  
18 State of California, with its principal office and place of business in State of State of California.  
19 The Plaintiff is authorized to sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.

20 I was making money in five figures my first or second Real Estate transaction, and my  
21 companies were excelling. I had started to receive Real Estate Joint from across American and  
22 international ventures wanting to partner with my company. We all came up with the ideal to  
23 Poole our resources together, after making so much money, and incorporate the Real Estate  
24 Company with a Solar Energy Company.

25 I moved to Georgia, at all times was a participant in section 8 program, self sufficiency which  
26 operate business, and business expenses, and profit. I at all times mentioned had high self  
27 esteem, big dreams, was goal oriented and happy, and had a beautiful relationship with friends  
28 and others. I at all times mentioned was full recovery from the horrific incidents which caused  
my disability.

I at all times mention during my life had jobs, corrections office, etc. raised my son alone, and  
spent most of my life struggling. I decided to start my own business, and I was able to buy a  
house in Ann Arbor, Michigan where I and My son spent most of our Adult life, to my  
reconciliation I have never been arrested in my home town of Ann Arbor, MI.

1 I currently have an on-line ministry and have relatives that are Harvard graduates, Professors and  
 2 instruction in colleges, dedicated to helping others(this is my brother-see exh) and are considered  
 3 to be an asset to the Greater Detroit or Ann Arbor Communities.

4 My business was doing so well I decide to expand to California, at which I informed my section  
 5 8 worker, and she told me it was OK to conduct business in another state, while I was absent  
 6 from my home for extended period of time my son occupied the unit, while I conduct business in  
 7 Georgia. I at all times mentioned was a 42 year old African America on the "verge" of being  
 8 financially independent and free from all HUD subsidies or public assistance.

9 I at all times mentioned rented two Office Suite in Lawrenceville, GA, and operated Specialty  
 10 Investment Group LLC.

11 On or about Jan. 1, 2005, while I was conducting instate commerce in Georgia, my business was  
 12 doing very well, Plaintiff at all times mentioned had high self esteem, goal oriented, had dream,  
 13 the Real Estate Market was at its peak. The Plaintiff at all times mentioned was a 42 year old  
 14 African American female on the verge of financial independence. and I decided to expand my  
 15 business to California. I searched for an apartment to rent, and after searching one apartment I  
 16 looked at and I then located a Federal Housing Public Facility. I the plaintiffs at all times was in  
 17 peaceful, lawful possession of the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, California. My  
 18 rental payments at all times were current.

19 The name of the apartment complex was Hayes Valley Limited Partnership. THE HUD  
 20 CONTRACT IS BINDING AND RE-NEW EACH MONTH – A 90 day notice of HUD  
 21 termination of tenancy PURSUANT TO FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW] Partnership Inc.,  
 22 Hasinah Rahim(HVLP)A US GOVERNMENT PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP  
 23 CONTRACT. Hashiah Rashid explained to me that the apartment were build from HOPE VI  
 24 grant, which provide for open competition, help get off public assistance. I explain to her. She  
 25 agreed that I could conduct business in Georgia, extended stay while my son operated thea  
 26 apartment.

27 I Sharon Bridgewater, individually (James Bridgewater-listed as a household member) the  
 28 (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global  
 Investments Inc., a dissolved Nevada Corporation ),Bridgewater & Company Inc.(minority  
 business Owners) entered into a Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) the San  
 Francisco Housing Authority(SFHA) entered into a "three way" legally binding, HUD lease  
 agreement contract for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA 94102), a legally  
 binding lease agreement with Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco  
 Housing/San Francisco Housing and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San  
 Francisco Housing Authority(SFHA) Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley  
 Apartments II L.P.),McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.MBA Urban  
 Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing,  
 at all times mentioned agreed on this terms.

1 I the Plaintiffs competitors of HVLP. The Defendants knew and were aware the Plaintiffs were  
2 competitors and conducting interstate commerce.

3 Plaintiff alleges the defendants knew and were aware the Plaintiffs were competitors.

4 Plaintiff alleges the defendants HVLP at all times mentioned had a HUD regulatory and  
5 management agreement pursuant to HOPE VI. Plaintiff allege the defendants at all times  
6 mentioned were required to help, aid assist the Plaintiff in her Real Estate Business and help the  
7 Plaintiffs to excel, and/or engage in free open competition. I at all times enrolled in a MBA  
8 Masters of Business Administration Degree Program program and was accepted at the University  
9 of Maryland, to operate my business more efficiency. in 2008, The Plaintiffs son at all times  
10 mentioned were studying to become a license Real Estate Broker at the times. All defendants  
11 came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, to use threat, coercion,  
12 extortion, take money without due process of law, violate the Plaintiffs rights to free  
13 speech(silence the Plaintiff), violate the Plaintiff due process rights in the termination of tenancy  
14 process, restrain commerce, monopolize the Real Estate industry and did overt act or omissions  
15 to further the objective of the conspiracy.

16 I moved to the State of Georgia from Michigan, I had rented a vehical in the state of Michigan  
17 and on or about Sept. 2004, while visiting a friend(an upsale neighborhood)in Gwinnett County  
18 the rental car I had driven from Michigan to Georgia was parked in her "an 2004 Chevy Malibu,"  
19 and had Michigan Drivers Plates.

20 An "unknown" Gwinnett County Police Officer or Sherriff, "allegedly" checked the Plates on the  
21 rental car, the vehical was "listed as a stolen vehical." The Officer asked questions about the  
22 car, and subsequently I had rented the car in Michigan, and told the Officer that there had to be a  
23 mistake as to why the vehical was reported stolen. I then told him my automobile(s) had full  
24 coverage, and my insurance company was suppose cover my rental car fee's.(At the time I  
25 owned a 1999 Madza 626 and a 1998 Ford Ranger Truck – both were inoperable and I had rental  
26 car coverage pursuant to insurance).

27 Despite the explanation, the Gwinnett County Officer, arrested me, charged with theft by  
28 receiving a stolen vehical, and detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center and my  
bond was \$2,500.00. I then paid the bond and was release from jail.

29 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint an Defense Attorney for me in the criminal case.

30 The State of Georgia then appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense Counsel.

1 I met with Lucas Harsh. He then questioned me about my life. I told him I had just relocated  
2 from Michigan and had just started a Real Estate Company and conducted instate commerce.  
3 Lucas Harsh then asked me for money. I told him I did not have any money, due to the recent  
moving cost, and the start of my business the Specialty Investment Group LLC.

4  
5  
6 Later, maybe a week or so went by, Lucas Harsh called me and told me to plea guilty to theft by  
7 receiving a stolen vehical because I did not money. He then "as defense counsel" pressured me  
to plea guilty to the of theft by receiving.

8  
9 I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way that I would plea guilty to a crime I did commit. I  
10 then subsequently told Harsh, that he acted like a "Prosecuting Attorney" instead of a defense  
11 Attorney. I disagreed with Harsh, and on two occasion argued with Harsh about my defense.  
12 Harsh acted like a prosecutor. I subsequently told Lucas Harsh, that I did not want him to  
represent me a defense counsel, and I then "fired" Harsh, and hire another attorney for defense  
counsel(not of Gwinnett County).

13  
14 The charge of theft by receiving of stolen vehical charge resulted in a complete "DISMISSAL."

15  
16  
17 Plaintiff claims that Harsh, et al took an vicdivitive, as it is the Plainitff belief that the Plaintiff  
18 were the first African American to escape the unlawful conspiracies of the Gwinnett County

19 Plaintiffs is informed and believe that Harsh, Officer Hardin "former" Lawrenceville Police  
20 Officer and four or five, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers  
21 in their individual and official and/or "former" capacities came to the meeting of the minds,  
22 entered into an unlawful agreement, to make the Plaintiff a victim of crime, and subject the  
Plaintiff to peonage and slavery, and defraud the Plaintiffs out of money or property, and did  
overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**III.**

***MULTI COMPLEX RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD  
[TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964©)] re: DESTRUCTION and INJURY TO BUSINESS  
AND PROPERTY and OBTAINING MONEY OR PROPERTY BY  
ANDTHROUGH FALSE PRETENSE, FRAUD, THEFT, and CONVERSION,***

On or about Nov.20, 2005, just around the corner from my Office suites, I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) a African Amerian female /minority business owner and an“CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA” driving my Chevy Camero(with a valid, lawful Georgia Drivers License)I was minding my own business and had violated no traffic laws, and driving with the regular flow of traffic, not engaged in any suspension activity and minding my own business and/or conducting interstate commerce.

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Harsh, et al On or about Nov.20, 2005 Officer Hardin “former” Lawrenceville Police Officer and four or five, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities acted under the authority of the municipality of the City of Lawrenceville, GA, and/or Georgia State law, used threat, force or coercion, or “excessive force” surrounded the Plaintiffs vehical and stopped the Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) at “**Gunpoint,**” and did overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy.

Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), was illegal detained under threat or coercion at GUNPOINT AND WAS NOT FREE TO LEAVE THE SCENE.

The Defendants actions caused the Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), extreme fear, horror, trauma, shock, and other mental ailments as mentioned in the above paragraph, and the Plaintiffs damaged in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin “former” Lawrenceville Police Officer et al, the Defendants “ordered” Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), to put my hands up in the air and slowing exit vehical and did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), dilently followed the Officers instructions, exited the vehical with hands in the air.



1  
2  
3 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Officer Hardin "former" Lawrenceville Police et al  
4 Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers DOE  
5 unknown "former" or current Lawrenceville Police Officers, touched the Plaintiffs Sharon  
6 Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), in a terrible way(search my body with no female  
7 Officer present), subsequently forced/ordered Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty  
8 Investment Group LLC), to sit on the ground(like a dog) and away from the car and did overt act  
9 or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

10  
11 Police Procedures requires a female Officer to search a female, and there was no reason for the  
12 Officer to touch, and treat the Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group  
13 LLC), in an "inhumane" way.

14  
15 The Defendants actions cause the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group  
16 LLC), humiliation, shame, low-self esteem etc, and caused horrific psychological damages and  
17 the Plaintiff was injured, and damage in an amount according to proof at trial.

18  
19 Any reasonable Officer would have dispatched a female Officer to search the Plaintiff. The  
20 Defendants actions constitute total disrespect for the Plaintiff as a female.

21  
22 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Hardin et al searched the Plaintiff pursue and  
23 subsequently search my glove compartment obtained proof of insurance, registration, my valid  
24 Georgia Drivers license, and/or vehical without my consent, and without due process of law, and  
25 violated the Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), 4<sup>th</sup> via the 5<sup>th</sup> or  
26 14<sup>th</sup> amendment US guaranteed Constitutional right, and did overt acts or omissions to further  
27 the objective of the conspiracy.

28  
29 Plaintiff allege before an office can search a vehical it requires the arrest of a person.

30  
31 Clearly the Officer actions are an abuse of Police Power, and multiple violation of Police  
32 Procedures. The Officer conduct constitute total, intentional, and/or disregard and/or disrespect  
33 for the Plaintiff and/or the Plaintiff US Constitutional Civil Rights.

34  
35 While sitting on the ground, Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), and  
36 then asked the Officer Hardin, et al the reason for the excessive force "felony" Gunpoint traffic  
37 stop.



Officer Hardin responded, and said quote: “ **you made an improper lane change.**”

Based on the Officer answer, Hardin and et al actions constitute a threat, force, coercion, abuse of US Gwinnett Power, and willful, intentional discrimination based on race, class, ethnicity, etc. and/or racial profiling, illegal detainment without due process of law and unconstitutional traffic stop, illegal search and seizure and violation of 4<sup>th</sup> via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment US Constitutional right (18 USC section 241/242), and/or a violation of the Georgia State Constitutional law and or constitute one or more of the above crimes listed in on this complaint.<sup>1</sup>

There was no probable cause for JOHN DOE unknown “former” or current Lawrenceville Police Officers, to make a felony traffic stop of the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater for an “alleged” improper lane change. There was no probable cause for Officer Hardin “former” Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers and/or treat the complainant different from other driver who are “stopped while driving” by police officer for an improper lane change.

The Defendants actions were clearly in violation of Georgia State Constitutional law, and/or established law.<sup>2</sup> The fourth US Constitutional Amendment guarantees the Plaintiffs the right to be free from illegal search and seizure. The Plaintiff at all times had a right to be free from discrimination based on race, class or ethnicity.

Any reasonable Officer would have followed correct procedures, and/or would have “not” made a felony traffic stop on a US citizen for an improper lane change.

**RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)] RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or FRAUD, PEONAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**

<sup>1</sup> The Officer did [ **not** ] give any other reason, such as; “a robber was spotted leaving the scene of a robbery in a vehicle that fits this description, or anything like that, or you were fleeing the scene of the traffic stop

1 Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding  
 2 and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit  
 3 Aiding and Abetting

4  
 5 There was no probable cause for JOHN DOE unknown "former" or current Lawrenceville  
 6 Police Officers, to make a felony traffic stop of the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater for an  
 7 "alleged" improper lane change. There was no probable cause for Officer Hardin "former"  
 8 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA  
 9 Police Officers and/or treat the complaintant different from other driver who are "stopped  
 10 while driving" by police officer for an improper lane change.

11 The fourth US Constitutional Amendment guareetees the Plaintiffs the right to be free from  
 12 illegal search and seizure. The Plaintiff at all times had a right to be free from  
 13 discrimination based on race, class or ethnicity and/or racial profiling.

14 Corrupt Police Officer are must be fired, and the Lawrenceville, GA Police Department  
 15 must be investigation for these illegal acts.

16 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Hardin et al, then physically restrained and/or  
 17 arrested the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater, for an alleged "driving with no proof of  
 18 insurance." and/or "kidnapped the Plaintiff" transported the Plaintiffs to the Gwinnett  
 19 County Detention Center. Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC),  
 20 was arrested , and the Defendants subsequently called a tow company truck and towed the  
 21 Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) company vehical without  
 22 due process of law. There was no reason to tow the Plaintiff vehical, and the defendants  
 23 did overt acts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

24 Plaintiffs claims the traffic citation was without probable cause, as the initial traffic stop  
 25 was unconstitutional, based on race, class and/or discriminatory. Plaintiff allege the arrest  
 26 was malicious and, the towing of the Plaintiffs vehical was without probable cause and  
 27 violated due process and the defendants actions consitutte a scheme to defraud the Plaintiff.  
 28 The Plaintiffs was damaged by the defendants illegal action and have damages according to  
 proof at trial

29 The defendants actions were intentional, constitute a conspiracy under the color of Georgia  
 30 State law by private individuals acting in the owe interest and constitute an illegal and  
 31 unconstitutional and constitute "kidnapping" and/or false imprisonment without due  
 32 process of law, and a violation of my US Constitutional Civil right via 4<sup>th</sup> via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup>

1 amendment and the defendants Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group  
2 LLC),(18 USC 241/242).

3  
4  
5 The Defendants had no right commit these criminal acts against the Plaintiffs and there actions  
6 constitute on or more of the above crimianial acts as mentioned on this complaint.

7 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the defendants, the Defendants then transported the  
8 Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), to Gwinnett County Detention  
9 Center and took the Plaintiff mugshot "to resemble that of – Hey! I caught a n-----," of the  
10 posted the Plaintiff picture in their Gwinnett County Police Computer Data base, and did overt  
11 acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

12 The Plaintiff Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), was libeled from  
13 the mugshot, libeled, as a "business owner" being arrested for "drunken driving" (in which upon  
14 any investigation of the Plaintiffs background, and/or upon wanting to conduct business with the  
15 Plaintiff any potential person wanting to do business with the Plaintiff would not want have any  
16 business dealings) defamed, because of an unconstitutional arrest and I was injured in business  
17 or property in an amount to be proven at trial.

18 The defendant knowingly, intentional libel the Plaintiff in an intentional act to cause injury and  
19 harm to the Plaintiff and/or her business.

20 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, the defendants detained Plaintiffs  
21 Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), in the Gwinnett County Detention  
22 Center for two days, restrained from freedom of movement, deprived the plaintiffs of her liberty.  
23 Charged, and issued six traffic violations, (3) DUI's citations, reckless driving, driving with no  
24 proof of insurance, failure to maintain lane. I was unable to conduct business and was injured in  
25 business and/or property and have damages according to proof at trial.

26 Officer and by John Doe Lawrenceville Police Officers was issued on the State of Georgia, City  
27 of Lawrenceville, Gwinnett County, Georgia.

28 Plaintiffs allege the traffic citation lacked due process of law, were unconstitutional due to an  
illegal traffic stop and must be suppressed and/or are illegal, and constitute a scheme to defraud  
the Plaintiffs out of money or property without due process of law.

1 Plaintiff allege the Defendants have defrauded the US Government and the Plaintiffs out of  
2 money or property by issuing these unlawful traffic citation.

3  
4  
5 Gwinnett county Detention Center, et al, set bail, I then was "forced" to pay a Gwinnett County  
6 bailsbonds an "unknown" amount and in order for me to be released from jail. I then paid the  
7 bail amount under threat, coercion or force, was released from the Gwinnett County Detention  
8 Center, and was damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

9 Plaintiff allege that all the above Defendants bails bond man, Lance Towing Service and/or other  
10 Gwinnett County towing Service, Gwinnett County Detention Center, Professional Probation  
11 Services, and other private individual contracting service for the US Government all know each  
12 other and/or are related and/or are family members which heavily invest in the Gwinnett County  
13 Detention Center "a private jail facility" and or the Professional probation services., et in  
14 Gwinnett County.

15 Plaintiff is informed and believe that all have conspired and targeted African American, or  
16 minorities to defraud and make the US Citizens victims of RICO activity.

17 There was no reason to make the Plaintiff a victim of crime.

18  
19 After I was released from jail, exercised her legal right disputed all the charges, fought/litigated  
20 the case for approx. one year. The time in fighting the case took away from my valuable time  
21 need to conduct my business the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and I suffered damage in an  
22 amount to be proven at trial.

23 The case was then bonded over from the municipal court of Lawrenceville, GA, to the Gwinnett  
24 County Superior Court. I then **demand a court appointed attorney and a jury trial.**

25 I was appointed an Attorney by the name of Jack Spence. Jack Spence counseled me and told  
26 me to plea guilty to one or more of the crimes. I told Jack Spence I wanted and/or demanded a  
27 jury trial. Jack Spence then told me he would inform me of court dates, etc. I never heard from  
28 Jack Spence again regarding any court dates.

On or about April/May 2006 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was  
conducting interstate commerce, minding my own business and driving my car I had just  
purchased. I was "stopped" again by a Gwinnett County Police Officer. The Officer asked for  
my Drivers license, proof of insurance, etc.

1  
2 I politely gave the Officer the information, and asked the Officer the reason for the traffic stop.  
3 He responded and told me my tags were expired.

4  
5 I explained to the Officers that I just had purchased the vehical and showed him proof of the new  
6 purchase, showed him of the drive out tag, and proof of insurance and/or all proof which  
7 permitted me to drive the vehical(Georgia law permits a driver 21 days to obtain a plate).

8  
9  
10 Despite my showing the Officer the proof as mentioned in the above sentence, "ran" my name  
11 through the computer data base. The Gwinnett County Police Officer then told me I had a  
12 warrant for my arrest for the failure to appear in court for the above six traffic violations and  
13 Superior Court of the State of Georgia, State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State  
14 of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. The Officer then physically restrained and/or arrested  
15 transported me to the Gwinnett County Detention Center. I the Plaintiffs suffered from trauma,  
16 shock, flashbacks, post-tramatic stress syndrome, and other mental ailments due to the arrest, and  
17 that which resemble as previous unconstitutional traffic stop as mentioned with Officer Hardin  
18 and does defendants Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers, was unable to operate my  
19 business/office for nine days, and suffered business loses, lost of Real Estate Contracts, etc and  
20 suffered damages and I was injured in business or property in the amount to be proven at trial.

21 The Officer then issue two or three traffic citations.

22  
23 The citations was issued on the State of Georgia, City of Lawrenceville, Gwinnett County,  
24 Georgia.

25 Any reasonable Officer would have have "not" issued traffic citations upon the showing of  
26 proper proof of purchase, etc.

27  
28 The Gwinnett County Police Officer then "used police radio" called an "unknown" tow  
company and towed the vehical I had just purchased.

I Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)was detained in the Gwinnett  
County Detention Center for nine days, restrained from freedom of movement, deprived of my  
liberty, and against my will and without any legal representation. On or about the 9<sup>th</sup> day, "Jack

1 Spence,” the State of Georgia court appointed Attorney and my Defense counsel came to the  
2 Gwinnett County Detention Center to counsel me.

3  
4 Plaintiff allege the detainment was to make money from the US Government of the illegal  
5 detainment of the Plaintiffs.

6  
7 Mr. Spence a that time told me to plea guilty to one or more of the charges. I told him I was not  
8 going to plea to guilty. I then subsequently told him I wanted to go to trial and demanded a jury  
9 trial.

10 Mr. Spence then, told me that it would take at least six to eighth months for the case to be called  
11 for jury trial. He then assured that I would “most likely” be convicted of one of more of the  
12 crimes. Mr. Spence then subsequently gave me ultimatum, to either;

- 13 1) sit in jail approx. six to eight months and await for a trial of the above  
14 Charges.  
15 2) Pled guilty to one or more of the charges, State Court Accusation and case #  
16 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. and be released  
17 from jail immediately.

18 I did not want to sit in jail for six to eight month and wait for a trial, so I involuntary  
19 pleaded guilty to one or more of the above crimes.

20 The Plaintiff allege that Jack Spence counsel constitute a form of coercion, and/or a force  
21 plea, or deceit or collusion to convict the Plaintiff of a crime without due process of law.

22 Upon release from jail, I then immediately called the tow company to obtain my vehical  
23 towed by the Gwinnett County Police Officer. The the towing and storage bill had  
24 accumulated so high over nine days, and in amount I could not afford. I was unable to get  
25 my newly purchased vehical out of tow, and lost my newly purchased vehical I had just  
26 brought and was damaged and/or I was injured in business or property and was damaged in  
27 unknown amount to be proven-at trial.

28 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was unable to conduct business for the  
nine day I was detained in the Gwinnett County Detention center against my will, and lost



1 business, in an undisclosed amount and I was injured in business or property and was damaged  
2 in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

3  
4  
5 I then immediately, filed and/or petition the court(Randy Rich) to withdraw the plea, on the  
6 basis that the plea was involuntary and/or coerced and/or forced.

7 A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" Register of court actions"  
8 and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

9  
10 Based on the evidence that the I submitted to Randy Rich(State Court Judge). The court  
11 (Randy Rich)granted the Complalintant motion and withdrew the Plaintiffs guilty plea,  
12 and/or set a Jury Trial date.

13 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint a different court appointed attorney.

14  
15 Randy Rich a Superior Court Judge for Gwinnett County located in Lawrenceville, GA holds  
16 himself with a "high standard" as a Judicial Officer, and a representative for the State of Georgia  
17 and/or an Public Servant or Officer of the court law to make fair, impartial decisions on behalf of  
18 the State of Georgia. Rich, had a legal duty or obligation to perform his judicial duties and make  
19 impartial decisions on behalf of the State of Georgia. Harsh, a defense attorney for the State of  
20 Georgia, had a legal duty or obligation to represent and defend client to the best of his ability,  
21 and not to use deceit or collusion. Szabo had a legal duty or obligation to comply with Federal  
22 and State laws in the criminal prosecution of the Plaintiffs and not to use deceit or collusion.

23 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**  
24 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**  
25 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**  
26 **FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**  
27 **Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**  
28 **and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**  
**Aiding and Abetting**

1 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Harsh, Hardin et al On or about June 30, 2007  
2 and/or July 2, 2007, and/or July 10, 2007, Rich, Harsh, and Szabo concealed known facts from  
3 the Plaintiffs, , had two notice of conflict of interest hearings and/or other court hearings and  
4 other meeting all came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, abused  
5 the Superior Court of Gwinnett County aided, assisted, Hardin, et al, acted under the color of  
6 law, abused the Gwinnett County Superior Court and violated the Plaintiffs 1<sup>st</sup> and/or 6<sup>th</sup> United  
7 States Constitutional Right via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under  
8 the laws, and/or privledges and immunities under the law, as secured and guarantee by the  
9 United States Constitution and prevented the Plaintiff from exercising her legal rights as  
10 guareetee by the US Constitution and did overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy. by  
11 the United State rights as defined in U.S.C.18 USC section 242/241.

12 A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" Register of court actions"  
13 and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

14 The Plaintiff were unaware of these secret meeting, between the parties.

15 The Defendants were under a duty to disclose the known facts to the Plaintiffs.

16 Plaintiff had a right to know about criminal court proceedings and/or dates regarding the  
17 Plaintiffs, and had the right to know who was appointed to the Plaintiffs as defense counsel.

18 Plaintiff allege that Rich, Harsh and Szabo, constitute a form of active and/or passive form of  
19 concealment, constitute a conspiracy under the color of state law, effectuated through private  
20 conduct and willful, intentional, fraud, deceit, collusion.

21 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Harsh On Sept. 18, 2007 at approx. 3:30 P.M. "and  
22 one(1) day" before the Jury Trial, telephone the Plaintiffs and said quote:

23 "meet me at 9:00 am in the morning at "Randy Rich's" Court room for Jury Trial at 75 Langley  
24 Drive, Lawrenceville, GA 30045,"

25 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants On or about Sept. 19, 2007, I  
26 followed Harsh's instruction and met him at the court house on the day of the Jury Trial. Upon  
27 my arrival at the court house. Harsh, Randy Rich, Szabo, Officer Hardin "former"  
28 Lawrenceville Police Officer et al, all were talking together, and I the Plaintiff Sharon

1 Bridgewater could not here what they were discussing. Plaintiff are informed and believe all  
 2 Defendants entered into an unlawful agreement to abuse the superior court of Gwinnett, use  
 3 threat, coercion, and force, initiation against Plaintiffs, oppress, subject the Plaintiff to peonage  
 or slavery and defraud the Plaintiff out of money or property or restrain commerce and did overt  
 acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

4 Plaintiff allege, At 9:12 AM, at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville, GA 30045, in an "unknown"  
 5 courtroom in State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon  
 6 Bridgewater, at trial, Harsh departed from the above secret meeting with the Defendants, Rich,  
 7 Szabo, Officer Hardin "former" Lawrenceville Police Officer et al, approach me (Sharon  
 8 Bridgewater/Specialty Investment Group LLC). Harsh then told me he was not going to  
 9 represent me in the Jury Trial. Harsh subsequently told me if I did not plea guilty to reckless  
 10 driving and driving with no proof of insurance I would get convicted of all six traffic  
 11 charges (and without any prior discussion about the case or six charges or any factual basis for  
 the plea).

12 Harsh action constitute a violation of the Plaintiffs 6<sup>th</sup> via the 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment US  
 13 Constitutional amendment right and constitute joint participation with Szabo, et al, and constitute  
 14 threat, force, intimidation or coercion and/or conspiracy under the color of law, abuse of the  
 Superior Court of Gwinnett County and a violation of my 6<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment US  
 Constitutional rights.

15 Plaintiff allege that Harsh's actions were taken to use, threat, coercion, obtain the Plaintiff  
 16 signature on an Official Legal document, of a disposition of plea and/or to defraud the plaintiffs  
 17 out of money or property.

18 Harsh, Rich, et al had a All had a legal duties or obligation to perform their professional duties as  
 19 a Judge, Prosecutor, Defense Attorney, or Police Officers, to make impartial non-biased decisions  
 20 or to perform professional duties as State employees for the State of Georgia. Plaintiff allege  
 21 that on Sept. 19, 2007, at the Jury Trial, each and every defendant, Officer Hardin "former"  
 22 Police Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police et al knowingly, intentionally, failed to take  
 23 reasonable steps to protect the Plaintiff a victim of another illegal, unlawful acts in violation of  
 24 42 USC section 1986 and/or Georgia Constitutional State law. had knowledge that the Plaintiff  
 civil rights were being violated and had the power to prevent or aid the wrongful acts made in  
 violation of the Plaintiff rights. The Defendants knew of the each other wrongful conduct failed  
 or refused to prevent aid, or help the Plaintiff and "cheered" each other on.

25 The Defendants actions constitute a violation of the code of Professional Code as defined in  
 26 Georgia State Law and all defendants breached their duties and/or obligation and are liable to the  
 27 Plaintiff for damages.  
 28

1 I under duress, and feeling helpless, defenseless, "TREPPE TEAMED" BY THE ENTIRE  
2 GWINNETT COUNTY AND INCLUDING THE JUDGE" AND in fear of being convicted of  
3 all six charge, unable to speak on my own behalf (ORDERS OF RANDY RICH),  
INVOLUNTARY pled guilty to reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance.

There was no probable cause for the Defendants criminal acts toward the Plaintiffs.

4 All had a legal duty to perform their obligations or duties for the State of Georgia. Corrupt  
5 Judges, Prosecutor, Defense Attorney must be impeached, removed from Office and preventing  
6 from committing such illegal acts.

7  
8 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants Harsh and Szabo acted in joint  
9 participation, acted under the color of Georgia law, prepared a Official legal document of a  
10 guilty plea (disposition) and/or a waiver of jury trial and/or prepared a Official legal document of  
11 a Georgia Secretary of State, suspension of the Plaintiff Georgia Driver license, and presented  
12 the Official legal documents to Plaintiffs or Randy Rich and Szabo, amended the  
13 traffic citation from DUI to reckless driving, and served the Gwinnett County Court Clerk with  
14 the amended citation on the day of trial in violation of OCGA 17-7-1 (Prior to trial, the  
15 prosecuting attorney may amend the accusation, summons, or any citation to allege or to change  
16 the allegations regarding any offense arising out of the same conduct of the defendant which  
17 gave rise to any offense alleged or attempted to be alleged in the original accusation, summons,  
or citation, A copy of any such amendment shall be served upon the defendant or his or her  
counsel and the original filed with the clerk of the court), and violated her professional code of  
conduct despite the unconstitutionality of the amended citation AT TRIAL and did acts or  
omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

18 Plaintiff allege the actions of the Defendants were to convict the Plaintiffs of crimes without due  
19 process of law, and subject the Plaintiff to peonage, Slavery and/or interfere with commerce.

20  
21 I Sharon Bridgewater (Specialty Investment Group LLC) a defenseless victim of crime,  
22 involuntary signed the Official legal document-disposition and/or plea agreement, against my  
will and under threat, coercion, intimidation or force, and the act was legal done.

23  
24 The Defendants action of threat, force and coercion to obtain the Plaintiff signature on an legal  
25 document constitute an indictable offense as defined in one or more of the above criminal  
26 causes of action.

27 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Randy Rich acted in joint  
28 participation with Szabo and Harsh, Hardin (Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers) presented the

form(disposition) to himself, determined the offense a charge and plea of Reckless Driving and Driving with no proof of insurance, "AT TRIAL" signed the guilty plea(disposition) and caused his signature and/or the plaintiff signature to be placed thereon a disposition and waiver of jury trial, and suspended the Plaintiff Georgia Driver license, knowingly and willfully, placed in a post office, or in an authorized depository for mail, material matter to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service Secretary of State Driving with suspended license, and suspended the Plaintiff driver license, order the Plaintiffs to pay \$1080.00 with in fines and cost to Gwinnett County, and subjected the Plaintiff to peonage and Slavery(order the Plaintiff to serve free labor at the Gwinnett County Detention Center)restrained commerce,and/or interferened with interstate commerce, and knowing it was the basis of an unconstitutional conviction and did overt act or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

The Plaintiff had a right to legal counsel and right to trial by jury and a right to confront her accuser, and had a right to be free from criminal acts, all defendants deprived the Plaintiff her right to a trial by jury, right to confront accuser, and deprive the Plaintiff of her US Constitutional rights as guareentee by the US Constitution.

I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) then under threat, coercion or force to was subjected to peonage or slavery. The Defendants actions of force, threat and coercion caused me to feel, restrained from conducting commerce in the State of Georgia, "cut-down, weigh down" "oppressed, suffered from trauma, shock, flashbacks, post-tramatic stress syndrome, and other mental ailments due to the violation my United States Constitutional Civil Rights, and the Defendnat actions also caused me the inability to conduct business in a free manner, the inability to drive my car to appaise houses, and/or manage my business or meet with my joint ventures, and the defendants illegal interference with commerce. The Defendants actions constitute one or more of the above criminal acts as mentioned in this complaint.

Minority Business owner have a right to conduct business in the State of Georgia, and have a right to be free from conspiracies to defraud.

I suffered damages and/or I was injured in business or property in the amount to be proven at trial. I then reported to the Professional Probation Service against my will, and made installment payments of the unlawful debt of the Defendants, several installment payments in "unknown amounts" to the Professional Probation Services.

The Defendants action deprived the Plaintiffs their US Constitutional 1<sup>st</sup> amendment and/or 6<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> or 13<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> amendment and/or right to a Jury Trial and/or a right to confront accusers, subjected the Plaintiffs to peonage a slavery, suspended the Plaintiffs driver license without due process of law and/or defrauded the Sharon Bridgewater and/or Plaintiffs Specialty Investment Group LLC out of money or property and ineffectd instate commerce and were without probable cause.

Minority business have the right to conduct instate commerce in Gwinnett County, and have the right to be free from peonage or slavery.

1  
2 The Defendants actions constitute a scheme and/or artifice to and/or mail fraud as defined in  
3 USC 1341 or 1343, and constituted a violation of 1 thru 31 criminal charges as listed on page  
4 paragraph extortion obtaining the plaintiff on an official legal document against her will,  
5 knowingly and willfully conspired, In addition defendants actions constitute peonage and/or  
6 slavery, and a violation of my 13<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional Civil Rights, and/or Georgia Civil Rights.  
7 The defendants deprived the Plaintiff her right and constitute a violation of my 13<sup>th</sup> amendment  
8 US Constitutional right. Plaintiffs allege the defendants Rich, and in is taken in clear absence of  
9 all Jurisdiction over justifiable matters, and constituted a partial, prosecutorial act and/or  
10 prosecutorial misconduct, violations of oath of office, and a violation of the professional code of  
11 conduct and the "wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear," obtaining the  
12 Plaintiff signature on an official legal document of disposition the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951)  
13 defines extortion in terms of "the obtaining of property from another, with the Complainant  
14 consent under color of official right and interfered with commerce by threats or violence  
15 obstructed, delayed, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in  
16 commerce, by robbery and/or physical violence to the Plaintiff and/or property in violation of 18  
17 USC section 1951.

18 The defendants actions are illegal, malicious, intentional and without probable cause and the  
19 Plaintiff charges all these above name Defendants with one or more of the above criminal acts.  
20 The Plaintiff was forced to flee the State of Georgia, therefore these criminal charges are  
21 "tolled."

22  
23 The defendants have deprived the Plaintiff her right to a jury trial, and right to confront accuser.  
24 Rich and/or Harsh and/or Szabo committed a number of violations of judicial conduct which  
25 requires impartial administration of justice and equitable and fair dispensation of the laws of the  
26 State of Georgia, and was required to recuse himself when unable to render an impartial  
27 decision (not retaliation). Rich and the named attorneys acted as accessories, aiders, abettors of  
28 the crimes, and whom failed to report them when they knew and were aware, and witness are  
same as guilty of violating the Professional Rule of Conduct. The Defendants actions constitute  
serious felonious offenses, as mentioned in the above criminal charges and/or constitute one or  
more of the above criminal offense as list in the above paragraph.

29 I under threat, coercion or forced did "free" labor against my will at the Gwinnett County  
30 Detention Center and reported to the Professional Probation Service against my will, and made  
31 installment payments of the unlawful debt of the Defendants, several installment payments in  
32 "unknown amounts" to the Professional Probation Services, and was subjected to peonage or  
33 slavery subjected to an unjustified, false imprisonment.

34 All Defendants then monitored the Plaintiffs actions closely, aided, abetted each other and acted  
35 in joint participation, to take, steal or defraud the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater (Specially  
36 Investment Group LLC) out of money or property.



Congress must investigation the illegal actions of Gwinnett County.

**RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**  
**RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**  
**and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**  
**FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, Federal Principal and**  
**Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding**  
**and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit**  
**Aiding and Abetting**

Despite the oppression, peonage and slavery of the Defendants and/or the tramatic events the Plaintiff suffered the and by Rich et, al, the Plaintiffs was determined to make her business a success, continued to strive and continued to conduct enterstate commerce, and attempted to conduct business as usual, and under extreme emotional distress, and mental anquish of the and under peonage or slavery.

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Rich, Szabo et al, Plainitiff are informed and believe that Rich, et al informed Caldwell that they had suspended the Plaintiff Driver license and all came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement to make a unconstitutional traffic stop and on the 30<sup>th</sup> day, deadline to file an appeal of the unlawful action of Rich, et al] and did overt acts or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

On Oct. 19, 2007 “)approx. 3:30 p.m. on Centerville Hwy. in Gwinnett County Georgia and after leaving from the Gwinnett County Detention Center, and after completion of “one day” “free labor(slavery I was driving a “newly purchased” Company White Van driving with the regular flow of traffic and driving “normal” (not engaged in any suspicious activity), minding my own business and had violated no traffic laws , and leaving from the Gwinnett County Detention Center after doing free labor.

Officer Caldwell, initiated his police lights and made a traffic stop “on the Plaintiffs.” The traffic stop cause post-tramatic stress sydrome, flashback, trauma, shock, and other mental ailments as mentioned in the above paragraph(the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Officer felony traffic stop at GUNPOINT) and I was damaged in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

Officer Caldwell, subsequently asked me for my proof of purchase of the vehical, insurance, and drivers license.

1  
2 I then politely gave the Officer "recent proof of purchase" of the vehical, insurance and valid  
3 California Drivers license.

4  
5 I the Complainant then asked the Officer Caldwell the reason for the traffic stop.

6  
7 Officer Caldwell hassitated, studdard, and then gave me "no concrete" reason for the traffic  
8 stop. Officer Caldwell then subsequently issued me a citation that's "NOT" listed in the in  
9 "Georgia traffic code of notations."

10  
11 There was no probable cause for Offficer Caldwell to issue a ticket that's not listed or that is  
12 not a citable offense and/or to stop the Plaintiffs.

13  
14 Based on Officer Caldwell actions, his actions constitute, threat, coercion, force and unlawful,  
15 unconstitutional traffic stop based on race, class or ethnicity and the issuance of an illegal  
16 unlawful citation without probable cause(MALICIOUS PROSECUTION), and a violation of  
17 my US Constitutional 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> and/or 14<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional Amendment in the traffic stop.

18  
19 Officer Caldwell knew or should have known he could not stop the Plaintiffs without probable  
20 cause and/or based or race, class ethnicity, write the Plaintiff a ticket thats not in the Georgia  
21 code of traffic notation, and his actions are in violation of clearly established law which permit  
22 the Plaintiff to drive her car and be free from an unconstiutional traffic stop. Any reasonable  
23 officer would have known to "not" write a ticket thats not in the code of traffic notation.

24  
25 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendnats,Officer Caldwell then charged the  
26 complaintant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) with a traffic violation of  
27 driving with Suspended license, despite the Plaintiff giving him a valid Drivers  
28 license(Califonria license) and despite the unconstitutionally of the initial traffic stop and used  
threat, coercion or force, intentionally did illegally, unlawfully put illegal restraints on my  
freedom and falsely arrested me without due process of law, and falsely imprision me again  
without due process of law.(imprisoned in the Gwinnett County Detention Center) and did  
overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.