

1 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Obama, Holder et al, On or about _____ Orinda
2 Evans _____ dismissed the Plaintiff complaint with prejudice and upon information
3 and belief Orinda Evan did overt acts or omissions in furtherance of the objective of the
conspiracy.

5 On or about from Jan. 2010 thru present upon information and belief and/or Plaintiff alleges that
6 Orinda Evans and/or Baverman acted in joint participation with Obama and/or Holder, concealed
7 known facts, retaliated against Plaintiff federal witness, and victim of crime impaneled and grand
8 jury, acted as prosecutors, violated the Plaintiffs due process 1st 4th or 6th via the 5th or 14th
9 amendment US Constitutional, and falsely imprisoned the Plaitniffs without due process of law,
without probable cause and did overt acts and/or omissions in furtherance of the objective of the
conspiracy.

10
11 There was no probable cause for Obama and/or Holder et al to retaliate against a Federal
12 Witness and victim of crime of US Government RICO activities and falsely imprision the
13 Plaintiffs without due process of law.

14 Plaitniff allege the Obama, Holder et al actions constitutes outrageous Prosecutorial misconduct
15 and/or criminal prosecution of the Federal Witness and victim of Crime of US Govenrment
16 RICO activities.

17
18
19 Plaintiff allege Orinda Evans and/or Baverman at all times mentioned knew or should have
20 known to make impartial decisions and had a duty and/or obligation as a Federal Judge to
21 disqualify her/hisself, report the crimes of Rich, Harsh, Szabo, Dekalb County et al to Holder
22 and/or those operating under the direction of Holder. Orinda Evans and/or Baverman at all
23 times mentioned breached their duty and/or obligation to the Plaintiff s and is liable for damages.

24 In Furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, on or about May/June. 2010 Upon
25 information and belive and/or the Plaintiff alleges Obama, Holder, Orinda Evans and/or
26 Baverman et al, asked a favor from the appeals Judge. The reason why I believe this is: I
27 appealed Evans/Baverman dismissal of the Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County in the 11th
28 Circuit. court of appeal.

- 96 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

The reason why Plaintiffs believe this is:

Judge Carnes a Harvard graduate, of the 11th circuit (Obama is a Harvard Graduate- a conspiracy may be a slight connection) and his two other Judges, affirm Orinda Evans, and Baverman decision as follows:

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-15276

Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 1:10-cv-01082-ODE

SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

DEKALB COUNTY,

by and through Vernon Jones, Chief,

N. T. MARTINELLI,

Executive Officer; Chief of Police for the

DeKalb County Police Department,

C. SCHREINER,

Police Officer; #2491; Individually and in her

official capacity as the arresting Officer,

DETECTIVE GEORGE,

individually and in his/her official capacity

as Detective.,

.97

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 LIEUTENANT HAMILTON,
2 Individually and in her/his official capacity
3 as Lieutenant,
DOES 1 THROUGH 50,
Defendants-Appellees.

4
5 Appeal from the United States District Court
6 for the Northern District of Georgia

7
8 (June 16, 2011)
9 Before CARNES, WILSON and BLACK, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

10 Sharon Bridgewater, proceeding pro se, filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action
11 against Dekalb County, the Dekalb County Chief of Police, and various Dekalb
12 County police officers, asserting claims under the Fourth and Fourteenth
13 Amendments. The action stems from events that occurred in October and
14 November 2007 in Georgia. Bridgewater filed the complaint in April 2010, more
15 than two years after either event. The district court sua sponte dismissed her
16 complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), finding that it was time barred.
17 Bridgewater appears to contend that the statute of limitations should have been
tollled under Georgia Code § 9-3-99 while “[c]harges were pending against [her]
from 2007 thru [sic] 2009” for “theft by taking.”¹

18 We review de novo a district court’s dismissal of a complaint for failure to
19 “We construe pro se pleadings liberally.” *H&R Block E. Enter., Inc. v. Morris*, 606
F.3d 1285, 1288 n.1 (11th Cir. 2010).

20
21 state a claim under to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), viewing all allegations in the
22 complaint as true. *Mitchell v. Farcass*, 112 F.3d 1483, 1489–90 (11th Cir. 1997).
23 The length of the limitations period governing a § 1983 action is dictated by state
24 law. *Wallace v. Kato*, 549 U.S. 384, 387, 127 S.Ct. 1091, 1094 (2007). “[T]he
25 proper limitations period for all section 1983 claims in Georgia is the two year
26 period set forth in O.C.G.A. § 9-3-33 for personal injuries.” *Williams v. City of*
27 *Atlanta*, 794 F.2d 624, 626 (11th Cir. 1986); see also GA. CODE ANN. § 9-3-33.
28 Georgia provides for statutory tolling of tort claims arising from a crime
until the prosecution of the person who committed that crime is final. GA. CODE
ANN. § 9-3-99. That tolling, however, is expressly limited to “any cause of action
in tort that may be brought by the victim of an alleged crime.” *Id.* (emphasis

- 98

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 added). Bridgewater admits that she was not the victim of the alleged crime, but
 2 instead she was the defendant charged with the crime. See Valades v. Uslu, 689
 3 S.E.2d 338, 342 (Ga. Ct. App. 2009). Therefore, § 9-3-99 did not toll the two-year
 statute of limitations period.²

AFFIRMED.

4 Even liberally construing Bridgewater's largely incomprehensible brief, she does not
 5 appear to make any additional arguments in it. Therefore, any additional arguments are
 6 abandoned. See Access Now, Inc. v. Sw. Airlines Co., 385 F.3d 1324, 1330 (11th Cir. 2004) ("If
 an argument is not fully briefed . . . we deem [it] abandoned and do not address its merits.").

7
 8
 9 Plaintiff allege this judgment was render just for the purpose to aid, assist, Obama, and/or Orinda
 10 Evans, and to falsely imprision the Plaintiffs, and defraud the Plaintiff out of money or property.
 The Plaintiff was unaware of these facts. The Plaintiffs would have acted differently and/or ask
 Congress for help.

11
 12
 13 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants Upon information and believe and/or
 14 Plaintiffs. On or about May 2010, Obama signs an Executive Order (Specialty Investment
 Group LLC)to extends the financial fraud from 5 year to 10 years in 2010.(see page__paragraph
 15 2 Most of the Plaintiffs business was in the year 2005), and the defendants did overt acts and/or
 omission in furtherance of the conspiracy.

16
 17 Obama actions constitute abuse of US Government Power, and constitute deceptive, unlawful
 actions.

18
 19
 20 Plaintiff allege that Obama and/or Holder knew and were aware Plaintiff conducted most of their
 21 business in 2005, and knew and were the statue of limitations were soon approaching to falsely
 22 imprision and/or prosecute the Plaintiffs for any financial crimes.

23
 24 Upon information and believe and/or Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement
 25 of the Defendants, Obama and/or Holder, acts in joint participation with Shawn Dovanah,
 Dekalb County takes, steals, use the Plaitniffs Specialty Investment Group LLC joint ventures
 26 valuable contracts valued at over \$5,000.00, solar energy blue prints, profit from the Plaintffs
 27 agreements, and make money from the Plaintiffs business without the right and the defendants
 did overt acts and/or omission in furtherance of the conspiracy.

28
 99

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 The reason why I believe this is
3

4 On or about May 2010 McCormack Baron, changed one of their companies name and/or formed
5 a new Company and named it: UNKNOWN "GROUP" LLC, (Real Estate and Solar Energy-a
6 replica of the Specialty Investment Group LLC)and commenced to solarize all their properties.

7 Plaintiff allege the Defendants had no business profiting from the Plaintiffs valuable business
8 contracts, and/or profit from the Plaintiffs agreements.

9 Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions constitute either copyright infringement, theft, criminal
10 profiteering, fraud Plaintiff allege Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a
11 violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or
12 (9) and/or (10) and/or(11)and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15)
13 and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23)
14 and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31)
15 and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges(The United
16 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)
17
18
19
20
21
22



23 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Plaintiffs allege On or about Sept
24 1, 2011 thru Oct. 30, 2011 I sent to the United States District Court in Georgia two different
25 Dekalb county complaints. Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern
26 District of Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former
27 "capacities at all times mentioned had a duty and/or obligation as US Federal District Court
28 Clerks to file the Plaintiffs complaints entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County.
Plaintiff allege on or about Sept 1, 2011, I sent certified mail a Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb
county complaint. I received my return receipt that the complaint was delivered. Defendants
JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia, returned

- 100

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 to complaint back to me marked unfiled. On or about Oct. 4, 2011 I sent a second complaint
 2 entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County.(one original and one copy). It was received by,
 3 A. Saunders. I had realized that I filed the wrong complaint, because it had private individual
 social security number, etc on the complaint. I immediatley called A Saunders and told her not
 to file the complaint because it had other individuals private information such as social security
 number, etc., and requested her to return the complaint, and for a later filing of the complaint.

6 A. Saunders told me she would immediately return the complaint. A Saunders illegal kept the
 7 complaint in her possession for approx. two weeks, acted under the color of Federal law, and/or
 8 acted in joint participation with Holder illegally kept one complaint, seized , took, converted, the
 Plaintiff personal property of a complaint entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County for her
 9 own personal use the complainant entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County Complaint,
 and 4th via 5th or 14th amendment US Constitutional right, and sent back one copy of the
 10 complaint, and the defendants did overt acts or omission to further the objective of the
 conspiracy.

12 The Defendants had no reason to keep my paper work for two weeks and/or no reason not to
 13 accept my court filings.

15 A. Saunder and Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of
 Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities
 16 adopt Federal actor under the color of law, actions constitute a conspiracy under the color of law
 and with Obama and/or Holder et. Al, an illegal conversion of the complainant property, and/or
 17 complaint and/or complaint filed, and also constitute a denial of assess to the courts in the
 Northern District court of Georgia, also constitute tampering with evidence in violation of
 18 Federal and State law.

19 The Defendants actions constitute a violation of my civil rights, and Plaintiffs allege the
 20 defendants at all times exhibited conduct constitutes a form of active concealment of material
 21 known facts. Plaintiff allege that the defendants actions constitute a conspiracy under the color of
 state law, and violation of the Plaintiffs 1st and/or 6th United States Constitutional Right via the
 22 5th or 14th amendment in the traffic stop and/or an intentional. The Defendants actions constitute
 theft, conversion and acted by a Federal Clerk acting under the color of federal law, and an
 23 illegal seizure, theft without the right. Plaintiff allege Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions
 also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7)
 24 and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or(11)and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or
 25 (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or
 26 (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or
 27 (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal
 charges(The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

28 -101-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities at all times mentioned knew or should have known to file the Plaintiffs complaints, and to to their duties and/or obligation as Clerks and breached their a duty and/or obligation as US Federal District Court Clerks. Upon information and belief Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown employees of the U.S. Northern District of Court of Georgia , A. Saunders knew of the conspiracy of Obama and/or Holder et al, and failed to aid and/or help or prevent the criminal acts against the Plaintiffs. and are liable for damages.

Plaintiffs allege that said individual Defendants' intimidation, threats, corrupt persuasion, or attempts to do so, or misleading conduct toward Plaintiffs, with intent to influence, delay, or prevent testimony of any person in an official proceeding, or to coerce or induce any person to withhold testimony, from an official proceeding, or to hinder, delay or prevent Plaintiffs from communication with a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States relating to Defendants' commission of possible federal or state criminal offenses, and such acts violated 18 U.S.C. 1512

ON Nov. 4, 2011 THE COMPLAINANT SHARON BRIDGEWATER FILES A
**"SECOND" CRIMINAL/CIVIL COMPLAINT ENTITLED SHARON BRIDGEWATER
 VS. DEKALB COUNTY CASE # _____, IN THE U.S.
 NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA, INSTEAD OF GEORGIA AND
 ALLEGED IN THIS COMPLAINT "RACIAL PROFILING" (I
purposely filed this complaint in California and with the intentions were to transfer the
 case to Georgia, and because the clerks denied me access to the courts in the Northern
 District Court of Georgia, and refused to file my paper work in Atlanta).**

Upon information and believe to in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Obama request assistance from a newly appointed federal court judge in the Northern District of California to dismiss the Plaintiffs complaint.

The reason why I belief this is: On or about Dec. 2,2011 One or more parties "DEKALB COUNTY DEFENDANTS" "**IN CALIFORNIA,**" (Armstrong *et al*) decline a magistrate Judge and request a Federal Judge. The Sharon Bridgewater vs. Dekalb County case was then

- 102

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 assigned The case was then assigned to a newly OBAMA Appointee Federal Judge Edward
 2 Chen. Upon information and belief, "attempted, conspired, committed" and/or asked Judge
 3 Chen to dismiss the complaint, and did overt acts and/or omissions to further the objective of the
 conspiracy.³

6 I then realized that the Defendants had falsely imprision me and were using me to serve the
 7 Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Complaintant on the US Government(so they could obtain
 8 civil penailities and/or prosecute the Private Real Estate Developer). I then dismissed the
 9 complaint without prejudice in fear the Obama and/or Holder et al would dismiss the complaint
 as they did in the above partagraph as mentioned _____ on page,

11 Plaintiff allege the purpose of the appointment was to dismiss the Plaintiff complaint and dismiss
 12 themselves(Obama, Holder et al)as Does Defendants and from being civilly and criminally for
 13 damagages to the Plaintiffs.

15 Plaintiff allege upon this discovery, and in fear of being "kidnapped" by the Defenants, and
 16 knowing want they wanted, I immediately sent to the United States Department of Justice and
 17 Eric Holder, and my intentions on re-opening the Federal False Claims case with Claudia
 Wilkins.(see exh.)

18 I then sent the United States Department of Justice a letter of my intentions on vacate the
 19 Judgement of dismissal, re-open the Federal False Claims "ORIGINAL."(see exh.). Upon
 20 information and belief the California Attorney General knew of the false imprision of the
 21 complaintant, knew of the conspiracy failed to act.

22 Plaintiff allege Claudia Wilkins commits an overt act, issues an order without the Plaintiffs filing
 23 any motion .

25 ³ Upon information and belief Obama asked, or would have asked Federal Judge
 26 Chen to dismiss the Dekalb County lawsuit. The reason why I believe this is
 27 because; 2 out of 11 Federal Northern District Court Judges are Obama
 28 appointee's one of which is Chen with the recent appointment in May 9, 2011.

103

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 The reason why I believe this is she returns to the complaintant a letter with the Assistant
2 Attorney General, and tells me to give the letter to the assistant attorney general.

3
4
5 Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3)
6 and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or(11)and/or (12)
7 and/or (13) and/or(14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18)
8 and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26)
9 and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above
10 pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges(The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs.
11 the Defendants)

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Plaitiff allege that Obama knew and were aware, had a legal duty to reorganize public housing,
dissolution, failed to acts, continue to fund the US Private Real Estate

**EVENTS AND OCCURANCE AFTER THE FILING OF THE
SECOND SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. DEKALB COUNTY
COMPLAINT**

******* COVER-UP*******

to 4
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Upon information and believe to in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants
 2 **OBAMA ET AL COVER-UP THEIR ACTIONS MANIPULATE THE MEDIA IN THE**
 3 **FAST AND FURIOUS INVESTIGATIONS, UNFAIRLY TARGETS, ATTACKS LAW**
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND USE RACIAL PROFILING TO (and the illegal
racial profiling of the complainant by the local law enforcement Officers, as mentioned in
the above paragraph _____) TO DISSOCIATE “LOCAL” DEKALB/GWINNETT
COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS AND THEIR CO-CONSPIRATORS.

6
 7
 8
 9 **ON OR ABOUT DECEMBER 15, 2011**
 10 **thur Jan. 31, 2011**

11
 12
 13 **FIRST INTERNET MEDIA ARTICLE IS AS FOLLOWS:**

14
 15
 16 *“The Holder Justice Department declares open season on big city police departments*
 17 *Jan 31, 2011, Vol. 16, No. 19 • “*

18 **WRITE WING NEWS**

19
 20 “Either there has been a huge increase in discrimination by law enforcement during the
 21 Obama administration, or Obama is targeting law enforcement for politically motivated
 22 reasons. In the current era of heightened sensitivity to racism and police brutality, it makes
 23 no sense that abuses by law enforcement are increasing. The U.S. Department of Justice’s
 24 sudden flurry of investigations finding massive amounts of discrimination and abuses by
 police agencies around the country is coincidentally occurring at the same time the U.S.
 Department of Justice is undergoing a highly publicized Congressional investigation into
 Fast and Furious.

25 Law enforcement is a natural target for the left, which often seems to prefer criminals over
 26 the police. Alleging vague charges of racism or racial profiling is becoming one of the most
 27 prevalent ways the left dishonestly demonizes and discredits its opponents. Made-up charges
 28 of racism are difficult to defend against, because almost any difference in treatment between
 two people of different ethnic backgrounds can be blamed on racism with no way of proving

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 otherwise. You cannot get inside someone's head to prove that he had no racist thoughts; it is
2 one person's word against another's.

3 This latest round of politically motivated investigations comes on the heels of the Obama
4 administration suing Arizona, Utah, Alabama and South Carolina over their tough new laws
5 against illegal immigration. Those lawsuits are mostly based on unfounded grounds of racial
6 profiling; Arizona's law specifically includes a provision prohibiting racial profiling. The
7 constant barrage of lawsuits is costing taxpayers exorbitant amounts of money. They're
8 paying double; once to fund Obama's lawsuits through their federal taxes and again to defend
9 against the lawsuits and pay any penalties through their local taxes.

10 The flurry of attacks on law enforcement agencies began last week on December 15, when
11 the DOJ announced vague racial profiling accusations against Maricopa County Sheriff Joe
12 Arpaio. The DOJ claims that the agency racially profiles more than any other police agency
13 around the country. However, it refuses to release the "statistical study" on which the
14 findings are supposedly based. It is widely thought that Arpaio was targeted in retaliation for
15 enforcing laws against illegal immigration. Since Arizona has such a high percentage of
16 Latinos, it is easy to make people think there could be discrimination. The DOJ stripped the
17 agency of its ability to screen for illegal immigrants through the 287(g) program and
18 is ordering Arpaio to make numerous changes, such as setting up policies against
19 discrimination. This is redundant considering there are so many policies, rules, and laws
20 prohibiting discrimination at every level of government.

21 The next day, on December 16, the DOJ released a report claiming there was evidence of
22 "biased policing" by the Seattle Police Department, and that officers routinely and illegally
23 use excessive force during arrests. The DOJ ordered the agency to implement onerous new
24 regulations and procedures.

25 This week, on December 19, the DOJ issued a scathing report alleging numerous civil rights
26 violations against Latinos by the East Haven Police Department in Connecticut. Six to 15
27 police officers may be arrested for civil rights violations.

28 On Tuesday, the DOJ ordered the town of Rome, Wisconsin to pay a police officer
\$351,891 because the police department allegedly discriminated against her because she was
female. The DOJ filed a complaint in federal court alleging the town violated the Civil
Rights Act of 1964.

The DOJ is currently investigating the Miami Police Department over allegations of racial
discrimination in the shootings of seven black men. The Meridian Police Department and
Lauderdale County Juvenile Detention Center are under investigation by the DOJ for
alleged discriminatory treatment of three black youths.

There are likely more investigations on the way. The DOJ is considering launching a civil
rights investigation into the Albuquerque Police Department in New Mexico, which some

- 106 -

1 believe is retaliation over Mayor Richard Berry requiring the police to check the citizenship
2 of everyone arrested. The ACLU and other activist groups are demanding a federal
3 investigation of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the shooting of a black
army veteran. At least two dozen members of Congress are demanding that the DOJ
investigate the New York Police Department over allegedly profiling Muslims.

4 This is not right. The federal government should not be suing local governments. It is
5 trampling on the rights of states and localities to conduct their own business. The
6 Constitution grants the federal government very limited powers; the Tenth Amendment
7 states that all powers not specifically assigned to the federal government shall be left to the
8 states. The federal government is micromanaging local law enforcement. If there really are
9 problems with law enforcement, Congress or local legislatures should look into them, not
the partisan Executive Branch.

10 The Obama administration knows that investigations and lawsuits will tie up the resources
11 of smaller law enforcement agencies so they will be unable to accomplish much else. Sheriff
12 Joe Arpaio will not have anymore resources left to enforce illegal immigration laws and
other laws the left would prefer to see ignored.

13 The DOJ is in no place to be criticizing other law enforcement agencies. 60 members of
14 Congress are calling for Attorney General Eric Holder's resignation over Fast and Furious,
15 and 75 have signed onto a House resolution vote of no confidence. But by turning the focus
16 towards alleged wrongdoing from large police agencies like the Seattle Police Department
and popular Sheriff Joe Arpaio, there will be less media coverage and public scrutiny of Fast
and Furious.

17 Launching dubious investigations for political reasons must be curbed. The U.S. is going
18 bankrupt. Obama is using money we don't have to attack targets that will earn him favor
19 with his far left supporters. As the first black president, Obama should be moving the
20 country in a direction away from racism. Instead, he is stirring it up. Congress should
expand its investigation of Fast and Furious to this transparent attempt by the Obama
administration to deflect away from it."

21
22 Upon information and belief Holder manipulates the media and uses reverse psychological
23 and to keep the media from discovering Obama, Holders et al actions from falsely
24 imprisoning the complainant Sharon Bridgewater.

25
26
27 The Defendants actions were without probable cause.

28 .167

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 The Defendants actions constitute a violation my US Constitution amendment rights and
3 Plaintiff allege Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2)
and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10)
and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16)
and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24)
and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as
6 mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges(The United States ex rel
Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)
7

8 Upon information and belief Laurie Robinson DOJ victim rights avocate knew of the conspiracy
9 of Obama and/or Holder and failed to aid, prevent the criminal acts of Obama and/or Holder
against the Plaintiffs. The reason why I believe this is:
10

11 Plaintiff sent numerous letters to Washington and/or California Department of Justice for help.
12 Laurie Robinson DOJ victims rights avocate at all times had a legal duty and/or obligation to help
the Plaintiffs protect Plaintiffs from the violence, constant civil rights violations of the US
13 Government.
14

15 Laurie Robinson at all times had a duty and/or obligation to help the Plaintiffs aid and/or assist
the Plaintiffs from the violence of US Government Rico activities. Plaintiff allege Robinson
16 breach her duty and/or obligation to the Plaintiffs and are liable.
17

18 Plaintiff allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, on or about Jan.
2009 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint, Upon information and belief Laurie
19 Robinson DOJ "victim right adocate" know of the conspiracy and fail to aid the complainant
Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) . The reason why the Plaintiffs believe
20 this is. On Jan. 17, 2012, the United States Department of Justice, Laurie Robinson and "crime
victim" advocate for the Department "announces" resignation and is to leave at the end of Feb.
21 2012 and the defendants did overt acts in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.
22

23 Plaintiff allege and upon information and belief Laurie Robinson failed to aid, assist the Plaintiff
and failed to her duty and/or obligation and is liable to the Plaintiffs for damages.
24

25 Plaintiff allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, on or about Jan.
2009 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint United States Attorney General Defendants
26 JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their official personal capacities
and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of
27
28

-104

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Investigation(FBI in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s)in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US “State” Attorney (ies) General(s) in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities knew and were aware of the conspiracy and had a duty and/or obligation to aid, help, and prevent the conspiracies of Obama and/or Holder violence against the Plaintiffs.

The reason why Plaintiffs believe this is and/or upon information and belief alledge took over My e-mail accounts, “hacked my computers, stalked trailed, harrassed me, taped phone calls. I was approached on several different occassions by undercover FBI agents. One incident I was walking in a crowd of people in the financial district, of San Francisco California around the corner from my sons office, well dressed, not engaged in any suspesion a San Francisco police officer, “swooped over frantically” asked me for my ID without probable cause.

Plaintiff allege I sent several letters to the FBI, THREE FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS COMPLAINTS(C10-3022 –CW) TO HOLDER AND THE US ATTORNEY GENERALS OF CALIFORNIA, A SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINSTRATION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs allege the purpose of the failure to aid and prevent the defendants were to act in joint participation with Obama and/or Holder.

Plaintiff allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, on or about Jan. 2009 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint United States Attorney General Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their official personal capacities and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s)in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US “State” Attorney (ies) General(s) in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice in their official personal capacity and/or “former “capacities knew of the conspircacy breach their obligation and/or duties and fail to aid the complaintant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC), and did overts or omission in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

109

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Plaintiff allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Upon information
 2 United States Attorney General Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the
 3 Executive Branch in their official personal capacities and/or "former "capacities.
 4 Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI
 5 They are sued in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN
 6 DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s)in their official personal capacity
 7 and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies)
 8 General(s) in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities. Defendants JOHN DOE
 9 5 unknown employees of the U.S. Department of Justice in their official personal capacity
 10 and/or "former "capacities.

11 Upon information and belief Obama Chief of Staff, "right hand man," know of the conspiracy
 12 and fail to aid the complainant Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) .

13 The reason why I believe this is: On or about Jan. 5, 2012 Obama's Chief of Staff Daley resigns.

14 ***MULTI COMPLEX RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD***
 15 ***[TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964©)] re: DESTRUCTION and INJURY TO BUSINESS AND***
 16 ***PROPERTY and OBTAINING MONEY OR PROPERTY BY ANDTHROUGH FALSE***
 17 ***PRETENSE, FRAUD, THEFT, and CONVERSION***

18 **OBAMA AND/OR HOLDER UPSURATION OF**
 19 **THE PLAINTIFF'S CHRISTIAN WEB PAGE**
 20 **AND/OR BUSINESS(ES)**

21 **SHARONBRIDGEWATER.ORG**
 22 **SHARONBRIDGEWATER.COM**

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 .110.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**I THE COMPLAINANT AT ALL TIMES
MENTIONED STARTED MY ON-LINE GLOBAL
CHRISTIAN MINISTRY –THEME:
INFORMATIVE MINISTRY CORRELATING
CURRENT EVENTS WITH BIBLICAL PROPHECY,
END-TIME EVENTS AND RECENT EVENTS
HAPPENING IN AMERICA(POLITICS,
ECONOMY AND HEALTH).**

ONE OF THE WEBPAGE STATES:

**USA NEW LAW [ORDER AND MANDATE]
BY PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA #1;”**

1.Obama’s Healthcare Plan;

(Senate health care bill H.R. 3200 section 2521, on page 1004, “Not later than 36 month after the date of enactment” It is now the law of the land by **March 3rd, 2013** all citizen in the USA, will be required to have an **RFID chip underneath the**

- ||| -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 **skins.** Penalties are steep for non-compliance of Obama's
2 mandate. The "daily" penalty is \$100.00 per day or more in
3 this depressed economy. (This Health Care is RFID CHIP
4 implant soon will intergrate US citizens, financial
5 information/banking account/social security numbers etc. the
6 US DOLLAR WILL BE OBSOLETE).

7
8 **The Holy Bible – Revelation "13:16-18"**

9
10 **Which States the ANTI-CHRIST SHALL**
11 **CAUSE**

12 **"All both great, rich, small or poor to receive an mark**
13 **in their hand or forehead." No man may buy or sell**
14 **unless he have the Mark.**

15
16 **HE SHALL CAUSE ALL TO DIE WHOEVER DO**
17 **NOT RECEIVE THIS MARK.**

18
19 **Revelation 14: 9-11**

20 **If any man worship the beast and his image and**
21 **receive his mark in his forehead, or in his hand, the**
22 **same shall drink of wine of the wrath of God which is**
23 **poured out without mixture into the cup of his**
24 **indignation; and shall be tormented with fire and**
25 **brimstone in the presence of holy angels.....they**
26 **have no rest day or night, who worship the beast and**
27

28 

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 his imagine,and whosoever receiveth the mark of his
2 name.
3

4
5 And/Or
6

7
8 **USA NEW LAW [ORDER AND MANDATE]**
9
10 **BY PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA #2;"**
11
12
13
14

15 **2. "Military detainment of US Citizens without**
16 **due process of law."**
17

18 **EFFECTIVE 12/2011**

19 (OBAMA ALLOCATES 662 BILLION DOLLARS TO
20 DETAIN US CITIZENS WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF
21 LAW).
22

23 Federal Emergency Management Agency(FEMA and
24 Police Power granted to FEMA and to other Federal by
25 Executive Orders include but are not limited to the
26 following:
27

28 -13

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL