

- a. To take over all modes of transportation and control highways and seaports. (EO 10990)
- b. To seize and control the communications media(EO 10995)
- c. To take over all electrical power, gas petroleum, fuels and minerals(EO 10997)
- d. To take over all food resources and farms(EO 10998)
- e. To mobilize civilians into work brigades under government supervision(slave labor)(EO 11000)
- f. To take over all health, educational welfare functions(EO 11001)
- g. To take over all airports and aircraft, including commercial aircraft(EO 11003)
- h. To take over railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities(EO 11005)
- i. To put all Executive Orders into effect in times of increased international tensions and economic or financial crises(through the Office of Emergency Planning).
- j. Through the Department of Justice, to enforce plans set out in Executive orders, institute industrial support, to establish judicial and legislative liaison, control all aliens, operate penal and correctional institutions, and advise and assist the President(EO 11921)
- k. To develop plans to establish control over the mechanisms of production and distribution, energy resources, wages, salaries, credit and the flow of money in US Financial institutions in any undefined national emergency(EO 11921)

114

1 MANY US CITIZENS WILL NOT RECEIVE THE MARK,
2 (OBAMA HEALTH CARE - RFID CHIP) AND WILL BE
3 CONSIDERED THREAT TO "NATIONAL SECURITY OF
4 THE UNITED STATES AND/OR A TERRORIST AND AN
5 ENEMY OF THE UNITED STATES AND DETAINED
6 WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW WITHOUT A TRIAL
7 FOREVER.

8 And/or
9
10
11

12 INTERNET ARTICLE

13 BECOME AN EXAMINER

14 **ACLU condemns Obama over indefinite detention** 15 **policy...press ignores** 16

17 • On December 31, while on vacation in Hawaii, President Obama signed the National
18 Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) into law which in addition to allocating \$662 billion to the
19 Pentagon also contains a measure which allows U.S. citizens to be taken into custody and held
20 indefinitely without ever being charged with a crime.

21 Not only can any citizen deemed a threat to "national security interests of the United States," be
22 held forever without receiving a trial, but the military will be the ones arresting those citizens.

23 NDAA Section 1022, subsection c allows "(1) Detention under the law of war without trial until
24 the end of the hostilities authorized by the Authorization for Use of Military Force."

25 This law basically repeals habeas corpus, by not requiring the government to give cause or
26 evidence as to why the person is being detained; the 6th Amendment which ensures U.S. citizens
27 the right to counsel as well as the Posse Comitatus Act of 1878 which prevents federal military
28 forces from being deployed and used against U.S. citizens.

116

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Shortly after Obama signed the NDAA into law, the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)
2 declared the measure illegal and began circulating an online petition entitled: "He signed it.
3 We'll fight it."

4 The ACLU's website states: "President Obama signed the National Defense Authorization Act
5 (NDAA) into law. It contains a sweeping worldwide indefinite detention provision."

6 "The dangerous new law can be used by this and future presidents to militarily detain people
7 captured far from any battlefield. He signed it. Now, we have to fight it wherever we can and for
8 as long as it takes."

9 The online petition reads: "I'm outraged that the statute President Obama signed into law
10 authorizes worldwide military detention without charge or trial. I pledge to stand with the ACLU
11 in seeking the reversal of indefinite military detention authority for as long as it takes."

12 "And I will support the ACLU as it actively opposes this new law in court, in Congress, and
13 internationally."

14 While the NDAA seems to have done what no politician could ever do...uniting conservatives
15 and liberals alike on a single issue, this monumental development has not received even a
16 mention in the mainstream press.

17 It seems that the ACLU taking on the most left-wing president in U.S. history should be headline
18 news...but not in today's Obamamedia.

19 WARREN BUFFET INVEST IN RAILROADS AND/OR WHICH LEADS TO
20 CONCENTRATION CAMPS.

21 . PLEASE EXCEPT JESUS CHIST AS YOUR LORD AND SAVIOR, AND PRAY WITH
22 ME NOW. REPEAT THIS , THIS PRAYER WITH ME TODAY. LORD JESUS CHRIST
23 PLEASE FORGIVE ME FOR MY SINS, AND PLEASE HELP ME TO BE A BETTER
24 PERSON, AND SERVE YOU FOR THE REST OF MY LIFE. I ACCEPT YOU AS MY
25 LORD AND SAVIOR, I ACCEPT LOOK FORWARD TO PARADISE, MY NEW HOME
26 HEAVEN. SAVE ME KNOW OH LORD JESUS CHRIST

27 *****END OF SHARON BRIDGEWATER MINISTRIES WEBPAGE*****

28 - 116

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 On or about June 2010 and continuing to the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff alleges and upon
 2 information and belief Obama and/or Holder usurps the Plaintiffs webpage(s), make intentional
 3 false representation of the Plaintiffs; including but not limited to articles on the internet regarding
 Plaintiffs.

The reason why I believe this is:

6 Plaintiff do not tweet, nor does the Plaintiffs have facebook account. Plaintiffs alleges the
 7 defendants posted this information about the Plaintiff just for the purpose to manufacture
 8 evidence, and falsely imprison the Plaintiffs without due process of law.

9 The reason why I believe this is on four different occasions I sent money and/or letters to
 10 reinstate my company the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and/or the Specialty Global
 11 Investments Inc. and/or my companies were never re-instated by the Corporations divisions.

12 Plaintiff allege the reason for the order was to restrain commerce.

13 I am not an active member of Shannon and Company nor do I hold any Official positions, nor
 14 am I an active role member with this company. Upon information and belief the Defendants
 15 have caused another indictment, intentional misrepresentations to another Grand Jury, and used
 16 illegally obtained evidence of the Specialty Investment Group LLC. The Defendants have
 17 knowing suspended Bridgewater and company INC. formed in 2009, and this annual fee is paid
 every year. I have continuously tried to reinstate my company the Specialty Investment Group
 LLC with the Georgia secretary of State. They refused to reinstate, and give me the runaround.

18 Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions constitute conspiracy to restrain commerce, illegal
 19 criminal monopolization, abuse of US Government Power, and illegal restraints in commerce.

20 and have told them that this or Georgia Corporations the Plaintiff is not a member of.
 21 Usurpation of the Plaintiff or her son company, upon information and belief Orders the Plaintiff
 22 company suspended so the could not file a lawsuit, order another company in which should have
 23 been administrative dissolved in 2006 or 2007, and no business activity since 2012, the company
 24 is still "showing as active." Also post the Plaintiff past boyfriend address on the internet to say
 25 "contact Sharon Bridgewater" at twitter, face book. I do not twitter, I do not have a face book,
 and I have not had a home since and have been displaced since 2007, and since the Defendants
 illegally unlawfully evicted me. ~~_____~~
 of and knowing intentionally have usurpation of the plaintiff webpages, post complaints "sealed
 complaints" on the webpage.

26 The Defendants actions constitute libel and defamation of character.

27 Upon information and belief Obama, Holder harass the Complainant stalk

28 -117

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Obama and Holder et al, has illegally called the Plaintiff on her cell phone "VIA UNKNOWN FOREIGN NUMBERS THAT IS NOT TRACEABLE" HARRASEMENT.

Upon information and belief Obama, Holder et al. has caused "ANOTHER" indictment Grand Jury, and/or has counterclaim when the Plaintiff files her lawsuit, KIDNAPPED THE PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER

Plaintiff allege and upon information and belief Armstrong commits wire fraud, has taken a Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley limited partnership account filed under seal intentionally removed US FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT "filed under sealed" court documents in case number C10-5436(SBA),and/or C10-703(SBA); "PUBLICLY DISCLOSED" private under seal information and posted on the internet and under the Plainiff name and under the website **SharonBridgewater.org/files/48748936.doc.**, as follows :

- 114 -

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Sharon Bridgewater
965 Mission Street, Suite 409
San Francisco, CA 94103
415-386-7786

In Pro Se

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Sharon Bridgewater, individually,
And on behalf of Specialty Investment Group
LLC a dissolved Georgia Corporation

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Hayes Valley Limited Partnership(AKA,
Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.,
Hayes Valley Apartments Limited Partnership,
A California Limited Partnership)
McCormack Baron Ragan Management,
MBA Urban Development Co.,(AKA The
Related Companies of California, Inc.(AKA
The Related Companies of California LLC,
Related Companies of California, a California
General Partnership) Sunamerica Affordable
Housing Partnership Inc., and Does 1 thru 50
inclusive

Defendants

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey
& St. John, LLP, and Does 1 through 50
inclusive.

CASE No. C10-5436 (SBA)

**[PROPOSED]
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
BREACH OF LEASE AGREEMENT
CONTRACT
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
CONSPIRACY TO
FRAUDULANTLY CONCEAL
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
CONSPIRACY TO MALICIOUSLY
PROSECUTE
FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD
SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
CONCEALMENT OF KNOWN FACTS
AND INTENTIONAL MISPRESENTATIONS
NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
MALICIOUS PROSECUTION(2x)
NEGLIENT INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL
DISTRESS
CIVIL RICO
UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICE
CARTWRIGHT
CLAYTON
SECTION 1
SECTION 2
SHERMAN ACT
SECTION 1

1 Plaintiff allege Armstrong, conspired with Obama and/or Holder, published this document on the
 2 internet for two reasons: 1) to create the impression of the minds who read as if the
 3 complainant never filed or made Holder knowledgeable of the RICO activities, and Federal False
 4 Claims case. 2) to public disclose information, regarding the Plaintiffs business and/or to
 5 frabucate, information and/or present to Orinda Evans or another Federal judge to Specialty
 6 Investment Group, illegal obtained evidence in a court of law, to falsely imprison the Plaintiffs
 7 without due process of law. \

8
 9 Plaintiffs allege Armstrong actions to take the Plaintiffs "SEALED COMPLAINT AND POST IT
 10 UNDER THE PLAINTIFFS WEBPAGE, was without probable cause.

11
 12 Plaintiffs allege Armstrong and Wilkins and Does Clerks Defendants of the US Federal District
 13 Court of California, et al actions constitute a violation of the complainant Fourth Amendment
 14 right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure, via the 5th or 14th US Constitutional
 15 amendment. Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2)
 16 and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10)
 17 and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16)
 18 and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24)
 19 and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as
 20 mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges (The United States ex rel
 21 Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

22
 23 Plaintiff allege that Armstrong a "seven year former OAKLAND POLICE OFFICER" and
 24 Wilkins and Does Clerks Defendants of the US Federal District Court of California knew or
 25 should have known to make impartial decisions and had a duty and/or obligation as a Federal
 26 Judge to disqualify themselves and/or Holder and/or those operating under the direction of
 27 Holder. At all times mentioned the Defendants breached their duties and/or obligations and is
 28 liable for damages.

29
 30 Plaintiff allege and or upon information and belief Plaintiff allege the Armstrong et al actions
 31 also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7)
 32 and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or (11) and/or (12) and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or
 33 (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18) and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or
 34 (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26) and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or
 35 (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal
 36 charges (The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs. the Defendants)

37
 38 - 120

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Upon information and belief Obama, Holder, "orders" the Georgia Corporations division to dissolve Plaintiffs Companies, suspend Bridgewater & Company INC.

The reason why Plaintiffs believe this is Plaintiffs have consistly requested the Georgia and/or Nevada Corporations division to re-instate Plaintiff companies. They refused to.

(An overt act need not be a criminal act nor a crime. It may be totally innocent and legal. United States v. Hermes, 847 F.2d 493, 495 (8th Cir. 1988), and in order to obtain FEDERAL FALSE

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants and/or upon information and belief Obama, aids, assist, commands orders, FORMS, FRABRUCATES, commands, induces or procures its commission, Orders, "FORM, FRABUCATE A FAKE PROGRAM" using the **New York State's Martin Act, (which allows the Prosecution of criminal and/or civil prosecution of Mortgage Fraud without showing the need for criminal intent)**, and dating back to when the Plaintiff operated her business in Georgia 2007.

Upon information and belief Obama formed the program just for the pupose to obtain civil penalties from the Private Real Estate Investor and "without" the Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Parnterhip, and to falsely imprision the Plaintiffs without due process of law, and obtained civil penalties in the about of 100 billion dollars, and defrauded the Plaintiff out of hud recoveries.

The reason why Plaintiff believe this is:

IN THE PRESIDENTS STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS

Obama order Holder to investigate those who responsible for misconduct contributing to the financial crisis through the Pooling and Sale of and sale of residential mortgage backed securities leading up to the financial crisis of 2007/2008. This is when Plaintiffs conducted business in Georgia.

(An overt act need not be a criminal act nor a crime. It may be totally innocent and legal. United States v. Hermes, 847 F.2d 493, 495 (8th Cir. 1988).

-121

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 **Upon information and belief Obama. Commits a non-official act:**

2
3 Sheme, implements, and/or arrange or manufacture circumstances, commands "ORDERS" a
4 creates and new program "residential mortgage" backed securities group, to study how they were
5 created, sold and valued by financial institutions. **(ON TOP OF THE ONE THAT IS**
6 **ALREADY IN PLACE)**, using the New York State's Martin Act,(which allows the criminal
7 **and/or civil prosecution of Mortgage Fraud without showing the need for criminal intent)**⁴.
8 **Obama and/or Holder know THEY must make a case fast to falsely imprison the**
9 **complainant again (as the complainant will serve her federal false claims complaint on**
10 **HVLP) Holder, dating back to 2005-2007, when the complainant operated her business in**
11 **Georgia, against the United States. Case law decisions: receives, relieves, comforts or assists the**
12 **offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment of Holder,**
13 ***Armstrong, et al to prosecute the Plaintiff and prevent the Plaintiff from filing a law suit,***

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
ARTICLE OF PAPER OBAMA BIG LIE ARTICLE

-122

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL



Holder Announces Formation of Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities Working Group
In this Photo: Eric Holder, Shawn Donovan, Eric Schneiderman

New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman(C) speaks while Attorney General Eric Holder(R) and Hud Secretary Shaun Donovan listen during a new conference at the Justice Department on Jan. 27, 2012 in Washington, DC. Attorney General Holder announces the formation of the residential mortgage backed securities group that will investigate fraud in residential mortgage backed securities.⁵

www.zimbio.com/...Residential+Mortgage/.../Eric+Schneiderman(INTERNET ARTICLE),

Upon information and belief Holder issues 11 civil subpoenas to the US Government Private Partners for civil penalties obtain Federal HUD funds illegally obtain, and without the Plaintiff serving her complaint on the US Government.

⁵ According to a recent internet article these are Eric Schriendman statements. (see exh.)

123

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 OBTAIN HUD FEDERAL FUNDS FROM PRIVATE PARTNERS, OBTAINED BILLIONS
2 OF DOLLARS IN PENALTIES AND/OR WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

3 Campologie his settlement with the US Government Companies, by making the biggest
settlement in history
25 billion dollars, and acts in joint participation with Holder and Shawn Bankson to falsely
imprison the Plaintiff again

6 the Defendants and without due process of law to defraud the complaintant out out of damages
substained, by the US Government/public private partnership is preparing or has prepared
7 another indictment of the Complainant and/or family members to indict, charge, fasley imprisonment
8 without due process of law, as mentioned on page _____

9 **RICO ARTIFICE AND SCHEME TO DEFRAUD [TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)]**
10 **RE: INJURY TO BUSINESS AND PROPERTY AND DESTRUCTION OF BUSINESS**
11 **and OBTAINING MONIES BY AND THROUGH FALSE MISREPRESENTATIONS or**
12 **FRAUD, PEONGAGE or SLAVERY, FALSE IMPRISONMENT,** Federal Principal and
Aider and Abettor, Title 18 U.S.C.A §2(a)-(b), Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor, Aiding
and Abetting A Conspiracy, Federal Principal and Aider and Abettor Conspiracy to Commit
Aiding and Abetting

15 Plaintiffs allege on or about June. 1, 2009, I Sharon Bridgewater, Specialty Investment Group
LLC and Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or Bridgewater and Company INC. entered into
16 a "three way" legally binding, HUD lease agreement and contract with Roger Tonna, Mary
17 Tonna, and the Alameda County Housing Authority for the premise of 111 Preda Street, San
Leandro, CA 94577. Plaintiffs were at all times were tenants of Roger and Mary Tonna. The
18 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned was in peaceful, lawful possession of the premises. The
Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were current on rental payments. The HUD lease agreement
19 provides that a 90 day notice of termination of tenancy must be issued to a HUD tenant in order
20 to terminate a lease agreement.

22 Plaintiff allege that Mary Tonna, Roger Tonna, et al at all times mentioned, hired illegal
23 immigrants as employees, aided and abetted illegal immigrants, and/or harbored illegal
immigrants, acted in joint participaton with convicted felons, and knowing, intentionally falsely
24 certified and/or received US Government funds.

26 In furtherance of the agreement of the Defendants Plaintiffs on or about Dec. 2009 Obama et al
acted in joint participation with Roger, Mary Tonna, Shawn Dovanah, Alameda County Housing
27 Authority director et al, acted in joint participation with convicted felons, illegally entered

28 124

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment INC.
2 and/or Bridgewater and Company INC., the defendants violated the Plaitiffs solitude, evaded the
3 Plaintiff personal privacy, made a warrantless search, absent probable cause and/or exigent
4 circumstances and "without consent,"criminally trespassed, on lawfully lease without the right
5 and without a search warrant and took by theft or robbery the Plaintiff's ,Specialty Investment
6 Group LLC and Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or Bridgewater and Company INC.
7 and/or family personal and/or business(es) intangible property valued at more than \$5,000(of the
8 Specialty Investment Group LLC), and violated the complaintant 4th via 5th or 14th amendment
9 US Constitutional right and did overt acts and/or in furtherance of the conspricy.

10 There was no probable cause to take and/or steal the Plaintiffs possessions.

11 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants the Defenadants
12 fraudulently concealed known facts they were under a duty to disclose to Plaintiff(s), abused the
13 Superior Court of Alameda County and caused to be filed and recorded in the superior court of
14 Alameda County as follows and did overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the
15 conspiracy.

125

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): WILLIAM E. GILG Attorney at Law, SBN 151991 305 San Bruno Avenue West San Bruno, CA 94066		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
TELEPHONE NO.: 650-871-8647		FAX NO. (Optional): 650-873-3168	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):		FILED BY FAX ALAMEDA COUNTY July 27, 2010	
ATTORNEY FOR (Plaintiff/Defendant): Plaintiffs		CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Sonya Arredondo, Deputy	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street MAILING ADDRESS: same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME: Hayward Hall of Justice		CASE NUMBER: HG10527647	
PLAINTIFF: ROGER TONNA, MARY TONNA DEFENDANT: SHARON BRIDGEWATER			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO 10			
COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLAINT <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED COMPLAINT (Amendment Number): _____		CASE NUMBER:	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> from unlawful detainer to general unlimited civil (possession not in issue) <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlawful detainer to general limited civil (possession not in issue) <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited			

1. PLAINTIFF (name each): Rogér Tonna, Mary Tonna
alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each):
Sharon Bridgewater
2. a. Plaintiff is (1) ☒ an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) ☐ a partnership.
(2) ☐ a public agency. (5) ☐ a corporation.
(3) ☐ other (specify):
- b. ☐ Plaintiff has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business under the fictitious name of (specify):
3. Defendant named above is in possession of the premises located at (street address, apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
111 Preda St., #7
San Leandro, CA 94577
4. Plaintiff's interest in the premises is ☒ as owner ☐ other (specify):
5. The true names and capacities of defendants used as Does are unknown to plaintiff.
6. a. On or about (date): June 5, 2009 defendant (name each):
Sharon Bridgewater
- (1) agreed to rent the premises as a ☐ month-to-month tenancy ☒ other tenancy (specify): 1 yr. Sec. 8
(2) agreed to pay rent of \$ 225.00 payable ☒ monthly ☐ other (specify frequency):
(3) agreed to pay rent on the ☒ first of the month ☐ other day (specify):
- b. This ☒ written ☐ oral agreement was made with
(1) ☐ plaintiff. (3) ☐ plaintiff's predecessor in interest.
(2) ☒ plaintiff's agent. (4) ☐ other (specify):

Page 1 of 3

Civil Code, § 1040 at mt.
 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 425.12, 1166
 www.courtinfo.ca.gov



SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

PLAINTIFF (Name): Roger Tonna, et al	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): Sharon Bridgewater, et al	

6. c. ☒ The defendants not named in item 5a are(1) ☐ subtenants.(2) ☐ assignees.(3) ☒ other (specify): unknown.d. ☐ The agreement was later changed as follows (specify):e. ☒ A copy of the written agreement, including any addenda or attachments that form the basis of this complaint, is attached and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless item 6f is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)f. ☐ (For residential property) A copy of the written agreement is not attached because (specify reason):(1) ☐ the written agreement is not in the possession of the landlord or the landlord's employees or agents.(2) ☐ this action is solely for nonpayment of rent (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161(2)).7. ☒ a. Defendant (name each): Sharon Bridgewater

was served the following notice on the same date and in the same manner:

(1) ☐ 3-day notice to pay rent or quit(4) ☐ 3-day notice to perform covenants or quit(2) ☐ 30-day notice to quit(5) ☐ 3-day notice to quit(3) ☐ 60-day notice to quit(6) ☒ Other (specify): defendant served 30-day

b. (1) On (date): July 16, 2010

the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the day.

(2) Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the notice by that date.

c. All facts stated in the notice are true.

d. ☐ The notice included an election of forfeiture.e. ☒ A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for residential property. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)f. ☐ One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a different manner, as stated in Attachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statement providing the information required by items 7a-e and 8 for each defendant.)8. a. ☒ The notice in item 7a was served on the defendant named in item 7a as follows:(1) ☒ by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): June 16, 2010(2) ☐ by leaving a copy with (name or description):

a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date):

at defendant's

☐ residence ☐ business AND mailing a copy to defendant at defendant's place of residence on

(date): because defendant cannot be found at defendant's residence or usual

place of business.

(3) ☐ by posting a copy on the premises on (date):☐ AND giving a copy to a

person found residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on


(date):

(a) ☐ because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR(b) ☐ because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there.(4) ☐ (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1846 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered mail addressed to defendant on (date):(5) ☐ (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written commercial lease between the parties.b. ☐ (Name):

was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.

c. ☐ Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in item 7f is stated in Attachment 8c.d. ☐ Proof of service of the notice in item 7a is attached and labeled Exhibit 3.

UD-100 (Rev. July 1, 2009)



COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 2 of 3


127

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

PLAINTIFF (Name): Roger Tonna, et al	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): Sharon Bridgewater, et al	

5. c. ☒ The defendants not named in Item 6a are
 (1) ☐ subtenants.
 (2) ☐ assignees.
 (3) ☒ other (specify): unknown.
 d. ☐ The agreement was later changed as follows (specify):
6. ☒ A copy of the written agreement, including any addenda or attachments that form the basis of this complaint, is attached and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless Item 6f is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)
 f. ☐ (For residential property) A copy of the written agreement is not attached because (specify reason):
 (1) ☐ the written agreement is not in the possession of the landlord or the landlord's employees or agents.
 (2) ☐ this action is solely for nonpayment of rent (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161(2)).
7. ☒ a. Defendant (name each): Sharon Bridgewater
- was served the following notice on the same date and in the same manner:
 (1) ☐ 3-day notice to pay rent or quit
 (2) ☐ 30-day notice to quit
 (3) ☐ 60-day notice to quit
 (4) ☐ 3-day notice to perform covenants or quit
 (5) ☐ 3-day notice to quit
 (6) ☒ Other (specify): defendant served 30-day the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the day.
- b. (1) On (date): July 16, 2010
 (2) Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the notice by that date.
 c. All facts stated in the notice are true.
 d. ☐ The notice included an election of forfeiture.
 e. ☒ A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for residential property. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)
 f. ☐ One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a different manner, as stated in Attachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statement providing the information required by items 7a-e and 8 for each defendant.)
8. a. ☒ The notice in item 7a was served on the defendant named in item 7a as follows:
 (1) ☒ by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): June 16, 2010
 (2) ☐ by leaving a copy with (name or description): _____ at defendant's
 _____ residence _____ business AND mailing a copy to defendant at defendant's place of residence on
 (date): _____ because defendant cannot be found at defendant's residence or usual
 place of business.
 (3) ☐ by posting a copy on the premises on (date): _____ AND giving a copy to a
 person found residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on
 (date): _____
 (a) ☐ because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR
 (b) ☐ because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there.
 (4) ☐ (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1846 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered
 mail addressed to defendant on (date): _____
 (5) ☐ (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written
 commercial lease between the parties.
 b. ☐ (Name): _____ was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.
 c. ☐ Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in item 7f is stated in Attachment 8c.
 d. ☐ Proof of service of the notice in item 7a is attached and labeled Exhibit 3.



COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 2 of 2

128

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

PLAINTIFF (Name): Roger Tonna, et al	CASE NUMBER:
DEPENDANT (Name): Sharon Bridgewater, et al	

9. ☐ Plaintiff demands possession from each defendant because of expiration of a fixed-term lease.
10. ☐ At the time the 3-day notice to pay rent or quit was served, the amount of rent due was \$ 0.00
11. ☒ The fair rental value of the premises is \$ 7.50 per day.
12. ☐ Defendant's continued possession is malicious, and plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages under Code of Civil Procedure section 1174(b). (State specific facts supporting a claim up to \$800 in Attachment 12.)
13. ☐ A written agreement between the parties provides for attorney fees.
14. ☐ Defendant's tenancy is subject to the local rent control or eviction control ordinance of (city or county, title of ordinance, and date of passage):

Plaintiff has met all applicable requirements of the ordinances.

15. ☐ Other allegations are stated in Attachment 15.
16. Plaintiff accepts the jurisdictional limit, if any, of the court.

17. PLAINTIFF REQUESTS

- a. possession of the premises.
- b. costs incurred in this proceeding:
- c. ☐ past-due rent of \$ 0.00
- d. ☐ reasonable attorney fees.
- e. ☒ forfeiture of the agreement.
- f. ☒ damages at the rate stated in item 11 from (date): July 17, 2010 for each day that defendants remain in possession through entry of judgment.
- g. ☐ statutory damages up to \$800 for the conduct alleged in item 12.
- h. ☒ other (specify): For such other and further relief.

18. ☒ Number of pages attached (specify): 4

UNLAWFUL DETAINER ASSISTANT (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 5400-5416)

19. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer assistant ☒ did not ☐ did for compensation give advice or assistance with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help or advice for pay from an unlawful detainer assistant, state:)

- a. Assistant's name:
- b. Street address, city, and zip code:
- c. Telephone No.:
- d. County of registration:
- e. Registration No.:
- f. Expires on (date):

Date: July 26, 2010

William E. Gilg

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

VERIFICATION

(Use a different verification form if the verification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.)

I am the plaintiff in this proceeding and have read this complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF)

**COMPLAINT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER**

Page 3 of 3

129

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

Housing Assistance Payments Contract
(HAP Contract)
Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance
Housing Choice Voucher Program

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval: 2577-0143
(exp. 07/31/2007)
HHS Reg. 2400.1000
Phone: (510)727-8594

HAP Contract

Part A of the HAP Contract: Contract Information
(To prepare the contract, fill out all contract information in Part A.)

1. Contents of Contract

This HAP contract has three parts:

- Part A: Contract Information
- Part B: Body of Contract
- Part C: Tenant Addendum

2. Tenant (Enter full name of tenant)

SHARON BRIDGEWATER

3. Contract Unit

111 ELMEN STREET #7
SPRING LAKEWOOD, CA 94677

4. Household

The following persons may reside in the unit. Other persons may not be added to the household without prior written approval of the owner and the PHA.

SHARON BRIDGEWATER

5. Initial Lease Term

The initial lease term begins on mm/dd/yyyy: 01/25/2002
The initial lease term ends on mm/dd/yyyy: 01/25/2002

6. Initial Rent to Owner

The initial rent to owner is: \$1250.00
During the initial lease term, the owner may not raise the rent to owner.

7. Initial Housing Assistance Payment

The HAP contract term commences on the first day of the initial lease term. At the beginning of the HAP contract term, the amount of the housing assistance payment by the PHA to the owner is \$877.00 per month.

The amount of the monthly housing assistance payment by the PHA to the owner is subject to change during the HAP contract term in accordance with HUD requirements.

Previous editions are obsolete

Page 1 of 30

Form HUD-5262 (2/2007)
ref HUDBook 7420.1

025256/159967-206/000000-000/000000000, SPRING/0225/0, 20017

1.0

6869-820-059

First Financial

as in 10/23/07

130

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
22941 ATHERTON ST, BAYARD, CA. 94541-6633
(510) 538-8876

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM

October 5, 2009

TO: TONNA, ROGER
PO BOX 266
BELMONT, CA 94002-

Tenant: SHARON BRIDGEWATER
111 PREDIA STREET #7
SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577

NOTICE OF CHANGE

EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGE: 06/05/09

The reason for this change is due to:

- ☐ REEXAMINATION
Annual Review of family income and / or composition.
- ☐ INTERIM ADJUSTMENT
Interim correction in family income and / or composition.
- ☐ RENT ADJUSTMENT
The owner / agent request for a rent adjustment that has been approved by the Housing Authority.

- ☐ CHANGE IN FAMILY COMPOSITION
Add: _____

Delete: _____

☒ OTHER

***** REPORT INCOME CHANGES IMMEDIATELY TO HOUSING *****
ADJUSTMENTS IN PAYMENTS

	FROM	TO
HAP Payment	\$0.00	\$977.00
Tenant Rent	\$0.00	\$225.00
Total Contract Rent	\$0.00	\$1,202.00

TO THE TENANT ONLY

If you disagree with this decision, you may request an informal hearing. If a hearing is desired, you must submit a written request to this office within 14 business days of the date of this notice or your right to a hearing will be waived.

By: LYNN SHANKS
(510) 727-8596

206/03V4809-002/BRIDGEWATER, SHARON/02825A/#: 28917

Owner Copy

First Financial

131
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

I have read the foregoing Complaint in Unlawful Detainer

and know its contents.

☐ CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPHS☐ I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.☐ I am ☐ an Officer ☐ a partner
☐ a of

a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.

☐ I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true. ☐ The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.☒ I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff

a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

Executed on July 26, 2010 at San Bruno, California.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

William E. Gilg

TYPE OR PRINT NAME

SIGNATURE

PROOF OF SERVICE

1013a (3) CCP Revised 2004

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF

I am employed in the county of

, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is:

On

, I served the foregoing document described as

on in this action.

☐ by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list;
☐ by placing ☐ the original ☐ a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:☐ BY MAIL☐ "I deposited such envelope in the mail at, California.
The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.☐ As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the

party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on at, California.

☐ "(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.

Executed on at, California.

☐ (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.☐ (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

TYPE OR PRINT NAME

SIGNATURE

*BY MAIL SIGNATURE MUST BE OF PERSON DEPOSITING ENVELOPE IN MAIL SLOT, BOX, OR BAG.

*FOR PERSONAL SERVICE SIGNATURE MUST BE THAT OF MESSENGER.

NONJUD-016 (Rev. 07/01/2010)

VERIFICATION/PROOF OF SERVICE



S301J40 506

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2 The Defendants were under a duty and/or had an obligation to disclose to the Plaintiffs , Sharon
3 Bridgewater, Specialty Investment Group LLC and Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or
Bridgewater and Company INC., that the following documents was filed.

6 Plaintiffs would have acted differently if were made aware of the following documents filed in
the Superior Court of Alameda.

8 Plaintiffs allege the purpose of the documents filed was to use, threat, coercion, retaliate against
the Plaintiffs Federal Witnesses and restrain commerce, oppress, victim of US Government RICO
9 activities.

11 At all times mentioned Plaintiffs repeated asked for help or intervention of JOHN DOE 10
12 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official
and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority and/or
13 Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority in
14 their individual

16 JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her
17 individual and official and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda County Housing
Authority and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda County
18 Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or "former "capacities at all times
19 mentioned had a legal duty and/or obligation to fulfill their duties as employees of the US
department of HUD.

21
22 Plaintiff allege that On or about July 15 thru Oct. 2010, the Plaintiff s, Sharon
Bridgewater, Specialty Investment Group LLC and Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or
23 Bridgewater and Company INC. visited the ent the Alameda county Housng Authority
JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her
24 individual and official and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda County Housing
Authority and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda County
25 Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or "former "capacities, demanded help,
26 to stop the illegal eviction and the defendants refused to aid help the Plaintiffs.

28 - 133

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Plaintiffs allege On or about August 2, 2010, the Plaintiff , Sharon Bridgewater, Specialty
2 Investment Group LLC and Specialty Global Investment INC. and/or Bridgewater and Company
3 INC. caused to be filed and recorded an answer to the Unlawful Detainer complaint as follows:

4
5
6
7 :
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

134

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address)		TELEPHONE NO.	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SHARON BRIDGEMAN 111 PIEDA STREET #7 SAN LEANDRO, CA 94577			UD-105
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): IN PRO AR			FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY AUG 02 2010
NAME OF COURT: Superior Court of California, Limited Jurisdiction STREET ADDRESS: County of Alameda MAILING ADDRESS: 24405 Alameda Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME:			CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By: [Signature] DATE: 8/2/10
PLAINTIFF: ROGER & MARLY PONNA			CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: SHARON BRIDGEMAN			HG10527647
ANSWER—Unlawful Detainer			

1. Defendant (names): SHARON BRIDGEMAN

answers the complaint as follows:

2. Check ONLY ONE of the next two boxes:

- a. ☒ Defendant generally denies each statement of the complaint. (Do not check this box if the complaint demands more than \$1,000.)
- b. ☒ Defendant admits that all of the statements of the complaint are true EXCEPT (1) Defendant claims the following statements of the complaint are false (use paragraph numbers from the complaint or explain): The defendant agreed with Plaintiff, the Plaintiff breached an ORAL agreement, waived the notice to vacate.
- ☐ Continued on Attachment 2b (1).
- (2) Defendant has no information or belief that the following statements of the complaint are true, so defendant denies them (use paragraph numbers from the complaint or explain):

☐ Continued on Attachment 2b (2).

3. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (NOTE: For each box checked, you must state brief facts to support it in the space provided at the top of page two (item 3)).

- a. ☐ (nonpayment of rent only) Plaintiff has breached the warranty to provide habitable premises.
- b. ☐ (nonpayment of rent only) Defendant made needed repairs and properly deducted the cost from the rent, and plaintiff did not give proper credit.
- c. ☐ (nonpayment of rent only) On (date): before the notice to pay or quit expired, defendant offered the rent due but plaintiff would not accept it.
- d. ☒ Plaintiff waived, changed, or canceled the notice to quit.
- e. ☒ Plaintiff served defendant with the notice to quit or filed the complaint to retaliate against defendant.
- f. ☒ By serving defendant with the notice to quit or filing the complaint, plaintiff is arbitrarily discriminating against the defendant in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or California.
- g. ☐ Plaintiff's demand for possession violates the local rent control or eviction control ordinance of (city or county, title of ordinance, and date of passage):
- (Also, briefly state the facts showing violation of the ordinance in item 3.)
- h. ☒ Plaintiff accepted rent from defendant to cover a period of time after the date the notice to quit expired.
- i. ☐ Other affirmative defenses are stated in item 3;

Form Approved by the Judicial
Council of California
UD-105 (Rev. January 1, 2007)

ANSWER—Unlawful Detainer

Page 1 of 1
Civil Code, §1594 et seq.;
Code of Civil Procedure, §425.12
www.courtinfo.ca.gov
American LegalNet, Inc.
www.PennsylvaniaLaw.com-135
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

UD-105

PLAINTIFF (Name): <u>ROGER + MARY TOWNA</u>	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): <u>SHARON BRIDGEWATER</u>	<u>#G10527647</u>

3. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (cont'd)

j. Facts supporting affirmative defenses checked above (identify each item separately by its letter from page one):

- (A) 3-d - Plaintiff notice is defective, invalid, doesn't state and/or no box is checked on the notice to person to be served. (This court doesn't have jurisdiction)

4. OTHER STATEMENTS

a. ☐ Defendant vacated the premises on (date):b. ☒ The fair rental value of the premises alleged in the complaint is excessive (explain):

0 zero
The defendants fail to provide the Plaintiff with snake doctors and/or fail to maintain a "resident manager" in violation of Cal. Law.

c. ☐ Other (specify):

The defendants are breaking Fed. + State law.

5. DEFENDANT REQUESTS

a. that plaintiff take nothing requested in the complaint.

b. costs incurred in this proceeding.

c. ☒ reasonable attorney fees.d. ☒ that plaintiff be ordered to (1) make repairs and correct the conditions that constitute a breach of the warranty to provide habitable premises and (2) reduce the monthly rent to a reasonable rental value until the conditions are corrected.

e. ☒ Other (specify): further + other relief as deemed just by this court.

6. ☐ Number of pages attached (specify):

UNLAWFUL DETAINER ASSISTANT (Business and Professions Code sections 6400- 6415)

7. (Must be completed in all cases.) An unlawful detainer assistant ☒ did not ☐ did for compensation give advice or assistance with this form. (If defendant has received any help or advice for pay from an unlawful detainer assistant, state:

a. Assistant's name:

b. Telephone No.:

c. Street address, city, and ZIP:

d. County of registration:

e. Registration No.:

f. Expires on (date):

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)

[Signature]
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)

(Each defendant for whom this answer is filed must be named in item 1 and must sign this answer unless his or her attorney signs.)

VERIFICATION

(Use a different verification form if the verification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.)

I am the defendant in this proceeding and have read this answer. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Date:

SHARON BRIDGEWATER
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT)

UD-105 (Rev. January 1, 2007)

ANSWER—Unlawful Detainer

Page 2 of 2

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

Continued Attachment 3j (Page 1 of 2)

- (B) The defendant does not state / and/or did not check box 2B and has not complied with the fictitious business name laws and does not have the legal authority to bring a Cause of action in this Superior Court.
- (C) The defendant "can not" forfeit a section 8 lease agreement. The defendant must provide a 90 notice of termination of Tenancy to the Plaintiff. "as provide by section 8" law.
- (d) The defendant is retaliating against the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff has exercised her legal right a filed a small claims court Action against the TONNA's for negligence / Breach of Contract / Breach of Implied Warranty of Habitability, hiring a convicted felon who stole Plaintiff's possession from her apartment when the Plaintiff went to her father's funeral in Michigan. and the Plaintiff have "eye-witnesses, that saw." The Plaintiff have a court case / date next week 8/13/2010, and for failure to provide "Smoke detectors" and ^{habitat issues} other damages. (see case # HS10520747) in this court. the defendants are retaliating. (see ex. COURT FILES)

137

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL


Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

Affirmative defenses continued page 3; (Page 2 of 2)

(E) Plaintiff ^{SO}
 The defendant's have excepted Section 8
 rent (July) on behalf of the Plaintiff defendant ^{SO}
 and waives the right to terminate and/or
 evict.

(F) Pursuant to "section 8 law" the defendant
 can not evict a tenant a must have
 good cause to evict ^{SUCH AS} "NON-PAYMENT"
 of rent

Plaintiff ^{SO}
 (G) The defendants have failed to maintain
 the premises, and the Plaintiff ^{Plaintiff} at violated
 Federal & Statelaw by failing to provide
 Smoke detectors in Plaints defendants apartment.


 Sharon Bridgewater
 8/2/2010

.135

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her
2 individual and official and/or "former "capacity As the Director of the Alameda County Housing
3 Authority and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown employees of the Alameda County
Housing Authority in their individual and official and/or "former "capacities at all times
mentioned knew or should have known to aid, assist the Plaintiff and/or stop the eviction. All
Defendants fail to prevent and aid the Plaintiff in the eviction. breached their duties and/or
obligations and are liable to the Plaintiffs for damages.

6
7 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the above Obama, Holder et al,
8 Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the
9 Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official and/or "former "capacity
As the Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority Defendants JOHN DOE 11 unknown
10 employees of the Alameda County Housing Authority abused the Alameda County Superior
Court, concealed a material known known facts from the Plaintiff, acted in joint Participation

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 with Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, caused to be filed and recording the following judgment:
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

-140-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): WILLIAM E. GILG SBN 151991 Attorney at Law 305 San Bruno Avenue West San Bruno, CA 94066 TELEPHONE NO: 650-871-8647 FAX NO (Optional): 650-873-3168 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):		FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY SEP 21 2010 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By <u>[Signature]</u> Deputy
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544 BRANCH NAME: Hayward Hall of Justice		
PLAINTIFF: ROGER TONNA, MARY TONNA		
DEFENDANT: SHARON BRIDGEWATER		
JUDGMENT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER <input type="checkbox"/> By Clerk <input type="checkbox"/> By Default <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Court Trial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Court <input type="checkbox"/> Possession Only <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant Did Not Appear at Trial		
CASE NUMBER: HG10-527647		

JUDGMENT

1. ☐ BY DEFAULT

- Defendant was properly served with a copy of the summons and complaint.
- Defendant failed to answer the complaint or appear and defend the action within the time allowed by law.
- Defendant's default was entered by the clerk upon plaintiff's application.
- ☐ Clerk's Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 1169). For possession only of the premises described on page 2 (Item 4).
- ☐ Court Judgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(b)). The court considered
 - plaintiff's testimony and other evidence.
 - plaintiff's or others' written declaration and evidence (Code Civ. Proc., § 585(d)).

2. ☒ AFTER COURT TRIAL. The jury was waived. The court considered the evidence.

- The case was tried on (date and time): **September 21, 2010 at 9:00 AM**
 before (name of judicial officer): Hon. So-Lynne Lee

b. Appearances by:

☒ Plaintiff (name each):

Roger Tonna
 Mary Tonna

☒ Plaintiff's attorney (name each):

(1) William E. Gilg
 (2)

☐ Continued on Attachment 2b (form MC-025).☒ Defendant (name each):

Sharon Bridgewater

☐ Defendant's attorney (name each):

(1)
 (2)

☐ Continued on Attachment 2b (form MC-025).

- Defendant did not appear at trial. Defendant was properly served with notice of trial.
- ☐ A statement of decision (Code Civ. Proc., § 632) ☐ was not ☐ was requested.

Form Approved for Optional Use
 Judicial Council of California
 UC-110 (New January 1, 2003)



JUDGMENT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 1 of 2
 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 418.45,
 425.45, 425.46, 11100

441
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Case3:10-cv-04966-MMC

PLAINTIFF: Roger Tonna, et al	CASE NUMBER: HG10-527647
DEFENDANT: Sharon Bridgewater	

JUDGMENT IS ENTERED AS FOLLOWS BY: ☒ THE COURT ☐ THE CLERK

3. Parties. Judgment is

a. ☒ for plaintiff (name each): Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna

and against defendant (name each): Sharon Bridgewater

☐ Continued on Attachment 3a (form MC-025).b. ☐ for defendant (name each):4. ☒ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant is entitled to possession of the premises located at (street address, apartment, city, and county):

111 Preda St., #7

San Leandro CA 94577

5. ☐ Judgment applies to all occupants of the premises including tenants, subtenants if any, and named claimants if any (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 715.010, 1169 and 1174.3).6. ☒ Amount and terms of judgmenta. ☒ Defendant named in item 3a above must pay plaintiff on the complaint:

(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Past-due rent	\$	
(2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holdover damages	\$	300.50
(3) <input type="checkbox"/> Attorney fees	\$	
(4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costs	\$	220.00
(5) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	\$	
(6) TOTAL JUDGMENT	\$	220.00

b. ☐ Plaintiff is to receive nothing from defendant named in item 3b.☐ Defendant named in item 3b is to recover costs: \$
☐ and attorney fees: \$c. ☒ The rental agreement is canceled. ☒ The lease is forfeited.7. ☐ Conditional judgment. Plaintiff has breached the agreement to provide habitable premises to defendant as stated in Judgment-Unlawful Detainer Attachment (form UD-110S), which is attached.8. ☐ Other (specify):☐ Continued on Attachment 8 (form MC-025).

Date:

Date: 9/21/10

☒

JUDICIAL OFFICER

☐

Clerk by _____, Deputy

(SEAL)


CLERK'S CERTIFICATE (Optional)

I certify that this is a true copy of the original judgment on file in the court.

Date:

Clerk, by _____, Deputy

UD-110 (Rev. January 1, 2008)



JUDGMENT - UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Page 2 of 2

-142
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1
2
3 Plaintiffs allege the Defendant maliciously breached the Plaintiff HUD contract and/or tortuously
interfered with the Plaintiff HUD contract, defrauded the Plaintiff out of money and/or property
restrained commerce, forced Plaintiff out of business. The defendants caused the Plaintiffs to
become homeless for one year and did overt acts or omissions in furtherance of the conspiracy.

4
5 Plaintiffs allege in furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Defendants the Defendant knew that
6 this illegal court proceeding would be taken as evidence in connection with a proceeding and/or
7 that an official proceeding was pending before a Federal Judge or about to be instituted, and have
8 altered, destroyed, mutilated, or concealed all court records, with the intent to impair the
integrity or availability of the object for use in an official proceeding and/or Defendants'
9 intimidation, threats, corrupt persuasion, or attempts to do so, or misleading conduct toward
Plaintiffs, was with the intent to influence, delay, or prevent testimony of any person in an
10 official proceeding, or to coerce or induce any person to withhold testimony, from an official
proceeding, or to hinder, delay or prevent Plaintiffs from communication with a law enforcement
11 officer or judge of the United States relating to Defendants' commission of possible federal or
state criminal offenses, and such acts violated 18 U.S.C. 1512 and the defendants did acts or
12 omissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

13
14
15 Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3)
16 and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or (10) and/or (11) and/or (12)
17 and/or (13) and/or (14) and/or (15) and/or (16) and/or (17) and/or (18)
and/or (19) and/or (20) and/or (21) and/or (22) and/or (23) and/or (24) and/or (25) and/or (26)
18 and/or (27) and/or (28) and/or (29) and/or (30) and/or (31) and/or (32) as mentioned in the above
pages 42 thru 46 of the above criminal charges (The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater vs.
19 the Defendants)

20
21 The Defendants actions constitute retaliation against a federal witness and victim of US
22 Government Rico activities. And the defendants severally and/or jointly, knowingly and willfully
23 threaten and used physical force against the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater with intent, and
influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of Complainant Sharon Bridgewater in an
24 official proceeding; and/or caused the complainant Sharon Bridgewater to withhold testimony, or
record, or documents, from an official proceeding with the intent and impaired the availability
25 of the object for use in an official proceeding or influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony
of the Complainant in an official proceeding; or caused or induced the Complainant to withhold
26 testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or
27 altered, destroyed, mutilated, or concealed an object with intent to impair the integrity or
availability of the object for use in an official proceeding; or evaded legal process summoning to
28

- 143

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 the Complainant to appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an
 2 official proceeding; or caused the Complainant to be absent from an official proceeding to which
 3 that person has been summoned by legal process; or hindered, delayed, or prevented the
 communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating
 to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense in violation of 18 USC section
 1512

4 and

5
 6 knowingly, and willfully, and by threats or force, or by threatening letter or communication,
 7 influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence, obstructed, or impeded, the due
 8 administration of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1503;

9
 10 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, Obama, and Holder, Defendants
 11 JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S.
 12 government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities and et al
 13 fraudulently conceal known facts, they are under a duty to disclose, attack the Plaintiff Sharon
 14 Bridgewater again, use threat, coercion and force inflict the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater with
 cruel and unusual punishment, and did overt acts and/or omission to further the objective of the
 conspiracy.

15 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned left the State of California and came to Ann Arbor. Plaintiffs
 16 at all times mentioned were a resident of a homeless tent community in Ann Arbor.(Camp Take
 Notice)on public land.

17
 18
 19 Plaintiff had a right to occupy public land.

20
 21 Two days after Plaintiff resident a the homeless tent community located on public land,
 22 Plaintiff's received threat, coercion or force, I became a resident at the camp, and on the second
 23 day of my residency at the camp(public land) I/we received notice from the Michigan
 24 Department of Transportation and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the
 Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S. government to immediately vacate the
 premise(public Land)

25
 26
 27 Plaintiffs asked other campers had they they lived at the Camp and/or have they received threats
 28 of immediate eviction before. Campers told Plaintiffs they lived their for two year, and never

-144

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 receive threats to immediately evict, and also stated that the local Police Officer, welcome the
 2 camper, and brought down donuts to the homeless campers from time to time and the camp was
 supported by the University of Michigan, 40 local Washtenaw County Churches.

3 Plaintiff allege, on or about June 22, 2012, used threat, coercion or forcibly evicted Plaintiff from
 Public land subjected Plaintiffs to cruel and usual punishment, due to the involuntary status of
 Plaintiffs being homeless AND DUE TO THE CRIMINAL ACTS OF THE DEFENDANTS,
 5 SUBJECTED THE PLAINTIFF and in violation of the violation of the eighth amendment US
 6 Constitutional right.

7 CAMP TAKE NOTICE / AN ANN ARBOR HOMELESS TENT COMMUNITY



17 Plaintiff allege the reason for this eviction was to keep the plaintiffs emotionally and mentally
 18 depressed.

19
20 THE REASON WHY I BELIEVE THIS IS;

21 Upon information and belief the Obama and Holder, Shawn Dovanan knowing that they have
 intercepted this complaint violated the Plaintiff civil rights again, quickly

22 Upon information and belief Obama generate a computer generated income matching data
 23 system of **"PAST" TENANTS LIVING IN FEDERAL PUBLIC HOUSING FACILITIES**
 24 **OR PAST PARTICIPANT IN HUD SECTION 8 and dating back to 2007/ 2008 or 2009**
 and begin to prosecute several tenants, and the Plaintiffs

25 Plaintiffs allege this program was purposefully created the impression of those who observe as if
 26 they are real, and to create the impression of those who observe that they are not
 27 "SELECTIVING PROSECUTING THE PLAINTIFF" ,caused a , third or fourth or fifth
 indictment, falsely imprision the Plaintiff and/or "kidnap" an FALSELY IMPRISON THE
 28

- 145 -
SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 COMPLAINTANT SHARON BRIDGEWATER AND FOR THE REST OF THE
 2 COMPLAINTANT LIFE, AND/OR IN RETAILATION A FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS
 3 AGAINST A THE COMPLAINTANT A HOMELESS PERSON the Plaintiff Sharon
 Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) a Federal Witness and Victim of Crime of the
 US Government and/or have defrauded the Plaintiff out of money or property out of property
 and/or damages sustain by the US Government or Federal False Claims. and just for the specific
 intent to Falsely imprision the Plaintiff⁶ and has knowingly, intentionally violated the Plaintiff
 Sharon Bridgewater and/or Specialty Investment Group LLC due process rights again.

6
 7
 8 The Defendants actions constitute grave, felonious, prosecutorial misconduct.

9
 10 Obama actions constitute the commission of a predicate act and constitute retaliation against a
 11 Federal Witness and/or victim of US Government activity, goad, abuse of US Government
 power, flagert, prosecutorial misconduct, abuse of US Government power

12
 13
 14 Plaintiff allege and/or upon information and belief Obama has transported US Government
 weapons and property valued at \$5000.00 across state lines, without Congress Approval, engaged
 15 in other RICO activities, and have treated others victim of US Government RICO Activities the
 16 same way as he treated the Plaintiffs, REATALIED AGAINST WHISTLEBLOWERS AND
 VICTIMS OF CRIME OF US GOVERNMENT RICO ACTIVITIES. The reason why the
 17 Plaintiffs believe this is Congress has filed a civil lawsuit against Holder for documents
 pertaining to illegal transportation of US Weapons to the Mexican Mafia, and/or Retailation
 18 against Federal Witness who "whistleblowers."

19 **FAST AND FURIOUS**

20
 21 Fast and Furious was intended to build cases against Mexican drug cartels and the smuggling
 networks supplying them by allowing illegally purchased firearms to go from the United States
 22 into Mexico. In the operation, "straw buyers" - people who buy the weapons for others who
 might not legally be allowed to buy them - were allowed to purchase in Arizona illegally large
 23 numbers of weapons, some of which ended up in the hands of cartels in Mexico.

24
 25 The idea was that once the weapons in Mexico were traced to the straw purchasers, the
 smuggling network could be brought down. But the ATF lost track of more than 1,000 firearms,

26
 27 ⁶ Outragous behavior

28 - 146

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 and some guns weren't recovered until they turned up at crime scenes, both in Mexico and, as the
2 Terry case illustrated, the United States.

3 Once the operation was in the public spotlight, Mexican officials and critics in the United States
4 called the operation a failure, saying it exacerbated the longstanding problem of U.S. weapons
5 getting into the hands of Mexican cartels.

6 Criticism was heaped on the ATF and its parent agency, the Department of Justice.
7 Congressional committees began investigating last year, and Democrats and Republicans have
8 been at odds over who knew what about the operation, and when.

9 The controversial Operation Fast and Furious, which the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms
10 and Explosives began in 2009, came to the public's attention after guns linked to the program
11 were found at the site where a U.S. Border Patrol agent was killed.

12 Brian A. Terry was fatally shot in the Arizona desert, just north of the Mexico border, on
13 December 14, 2010, after he confronted a group of bandits believed to be preying on illegal
14 immigrants. Nearly three months later, Sen. Charles Grassley, R-Iowa, announced that two
15 weapons found near the scene were traced to an ATF gun-running operation, later identified as
16 Fast and Furious.

17 **Fast and Furious Events**

18 **December 14, 2010:** Border Patrol Agent Brian A. Terry is killed in the Arizona desert. Two
19 guns found at the site are later linked to the ATF Fast and Furious program.

20 **January 2011:** Congress begins asking questions about the ATF program.

21 **February 4, 2011:** Responding to an inquiry from Sen. Charles Grassley, Assistant Attorney
22 General Ronald Weich writes that top officials had only recently learned about the ATF gun-
23 running program, but that nothing improper was done in the operation. Weich also asserts that
24 any allegation that the ATF knowingly allowed the sale of assault weapons to a straw purchaser
25 who then transported them into Mexico is false. "ATF makes every effort to interdict weapons
26 that have been purchased illegally and prevent their transportation to Mexico," Weich wrote.

27 **March 3, 2011:** An ATF whistleblower tells "CBS Evening News" that the ATF intentionally
28 allowed guns to go into Mexico. Just minutes before the broadcast, ATF Acting Director
Kenneth Melson issues a statement saying the agency is forming a panel to "review the bureau's
current firearms trafficking strategies employed by field division managers and special agents."

147

1 **March 4, 2011:** CNN reports that Grassley wrote a letter to Attorney General Eric Holder
2 complaining that the ATF was "stonewalling" his investigation into the matter. CNN also reports
3 that, according to Grassley, ATF agents told his staff "the agency allowed the sale of assault
rifles to known and suspected straw purchasers for an illegal trafficking ring near the southwest
border."

4 **May 2011:** Holder tells the House Judiciary Committee that he "probably heard about Fast and
5 Furious for the first time over the last few weeks."

6 **June 15, 2011:** Rep. Darrell Issa alleges Weich's claim that the ATF never knowingly allowed
7 the sale of assault weapons to straw purchasers, who then transported them into Mexico, is
8 deceiving. Issa, chairman of the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, and other
9 congressmen allege that although it is technically true that straw purchasers didn't cross any
weapons into Mexico, they did transfer them to third parties who did.

10 Also, ATF whistleblowers testify before Issa's committee. Peter Forcelli, a supervisor at the ATF
11 Phoenix field office, says Fast and Furious was "a colossal failure of leadership." An agent, Lee
12 Casa, tells the committee that ATF supervisors brushed off several agents' concerns over letting
13 guns go. Another agent, John Dodson, tells lawmakers: "I cannot begin to think of how the risk
of letting guns fall into the hands of known criminals could possibly advance any legitimate law
enforcement interest."

14 **August 30, 2011:** Melson, the ATF's acting director, is reassigned to a position in the Justice
15 Department. Also, the U.S. attorney for Arizona, Dennis Burke, resigns. Burke's office had given
16 legal guidance to the ATF relating to Fast and Furious.

17 **September 7, 2011:** Holder says in a news conference that Fast and Furious "was clearly a
18 flawed enforcement effort," and adds that investigations will find involvement did not reach "the
upper levels" of the Justice Department.

19 **October 12, 2011:** Congressional investigators issue a subpoena for communications from
20 several top Justice Department officials, including Holder, relating to Fast and Furious.
21 Meanwhile, Republicans say that previously released documents show that Holder knew about
22 Fast and Furious much earlier than he indicated to the House Judiciary Committee in May.
Holder and his aides deny the allegation.

23 **November 1, 2011:** Lanny Breuer, an assistant attorney general in charge of the criminal
24 division, tells a Senate judiciary subcommittee that he first learned of the tactic of allowing
25 illegally purchased guns to leave shops in April 2010. That tactic, he said, was executed during a
26 2006-2007 ATF program, called Operation Wide Receiver, which happened during the George
27 W. Bush administration.
28

- 148

1 Breuer says he should have warned Holder and other Justice officials about the 2006-2007
2 tactics, but failed to do so. He said he also failed to recognize that the same tactics used in 2006-
3 2007 were being used again in Fast and Furious.

4 **November 8, 2011:** Holder tells the Senate Judiciary Committee that the tactic allowing illegal
5 guns to be smuggled into Mexico "should never have happened, and it must never happen
6 again."

7 **December 2, 2011:** The Justice Department withdraws its February 4 letter to Grassley, saying
8 the letter contains inaccuracies.

9 Also, ahead of a December 8 House Judiciary Committee hearing at which Holder is to testify,
10 1,400 pages demanded by investigators are released. The documents show, among other things,
11 that Justice officials struggled for days over how to write the February letter to Grassley.

12 **December 7, 2011:** Grassley calls on Breuer to resign, saying he misled Congress by saying he
13 didn't know in February that the assertions in the February 4 letter were wrong. Grassley says
14 documents show that Breuer should have been aware that the letter contained false assertions,
15 due to his knowledge of the 2006-2007 Operation Wide Receiver.

16 **December 8, 2011:** Holder tells the House Judiciary Committee that he won't resign over the
17 Fast and Furious controversy, and that he doesn't think any of his top aides should step down. He
18 says the operation relied on "unacceptable tactics" and was "inexcusable," but he says that
19 Justice Department officials have cooperated with investigators, and that any previous
20 misleading information was not part of an intentional deception.

21 **January 31, 2012:** Democrats on the House Government Oversight and Reform Committee
22 issue a report saying the panel has found no evidence showing that top Justice officials
23 "conceived or directed" Fast and Furious. The report from the Democrats, who are a minority on
24 the Republican-led panel, places blame for the program on federal agents and prosecutors in
25 Arizona.

26 **February 1, 2012:** Terry's parents, Josephine and Kent Terry Sr., file a \$25 million wrongful
27 death claim in an Arizona court against the federal government.

28 **February 2, 2012:** Holder tells the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee that
firings of Justice officials who oversaw Fast and Furious are likely to come in the next six
months.

Meanwhile, Issa threatens to begin a contempt proceeding against Holder unless he releases
more documents.

May 18, 2012: Issa and other House GOP lawmakers send Holder demanding that he release the
full amount of materials that Issa's committee asked for previously. Although the letter

-149

acknowledges that there's been some cooperation on the investigation, it emphasizes that House Republicans still want answers in two key areas - who in top positions knew about the operation before the murder of a federal border agent exposed its existence, and did anyone on Holder's team misinform Congress when they responded in part to the Oversight committee's subpoena.

June 19, 2012: A showdown meeting between Holder and Issa fails to resolve their dispute over documents. Issa says that unless at least some of the documents are handed over before the committee meets June 20, it would vote on a measure that would send a contempt vote to the full House.

June 20, 2012: President Barack Obama asserts executive privilege over some of the documents sought by Issa's panel. The White House move means the Department of Justice can withhold some of the documents.

The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee votes 23-17 (with all of the panel's Republicans voting yes, and all of the panel's Democrats voting no) to recommend that the full House vote on whether to cite Holder for contempt of Congress. The vote by the full House could happen on the week of June 25.

June 28, 2012: The House votes to hold Holder in contempt of Congress, but he does not face criminal prosecution. House Republicans are joined by 17 Democrats in citing Holder, while dozens of Democrats walk out in protest.

The House also cites Holder for civil contempt to give it the option of filing a lawsuit compelling Holder to turn over documents sought by Oversight Committee investigators. Issa concedes that investigators lack any evidence that Holder knew of the failed weapons-tracking tactics of Fast and Furious

The United States of America ex Rel Sharon Bridgewater upon information and belief and allege In furtherance of the unlawful agree of Obama and/or Holder, Upon information and belief and/or Plaintiffs allege Obama concealed known facts they were under a duty to disclose and failed to inform the secretary of State just for the purpose to abuse US Government Power engage in RICO activities and transport weapons to the mexican mafia, and did overt acts and/or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

The Reason why the Plaintiffs believe this is:

INTERNET ARTICLE

- 150

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

Clinton: DOJ didn't give State a 'heads up' about Fast and Furious

By Joshua Altman - 10/27/11 12:37 PM ET

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton told lawmakers Thursday that she had not been notified by the Justice Department about a botched, international gun-tracking program has been linked to the death of a Border Patrol agent.

Appearing before the House Foreign Affairs committee, Clinton was questioned by Rep. Connie Mack (R-Fla.) about the State Department's involvement in the controversial Fast and Furious operation that has triggered hearings on Capitol Hill.

Mack asked Clinton if State had issued "the Justice Department a license or a written waiver in order to allow for the transfer of thousands of weapons across the U.S.-Mexico border?"

The secretary testified that State had "no record of any request for coordination. We have no record of any kind of notice or heads up."

"My recollection is that I heard about it from the press," Clinton added.

Claiming that it was the first time she had been asked that question, Clinton said that she had seen "no evidence" that such a waiver was granted and promised to investigate the matter further.

The Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives operation oversaw the sale of thousands of guns to known and suspected straw buyers for Mexican drug cartels. Two of the firearms were later found at the murder scene of Border Patrol agent Brian Terry.

Lawmakers also questioned whether the shooting death of Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agent Jaime Zapata in Texas is linked to the program.

House Republicans have intensified their inquiry into the operation.

On Wednesday, Republicans blasted Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano during a hearing before the Judiciary Committee when she testified that she had not met with Attorney General Eric Holder about the Fast and Furious operation.

151

1 "For you to have two dead agents and to have never had a conversation with Eric
2 Holder about Fast and Furious and about this is totally unacceptable," said Rep.
3 Jason Chaffetz (R-Utah).

6
7 The reason why the Plaintiff believe this is: Congress has repeatedly asked for documents of the
8 "fast and furious investigations" from Holder and/or Obama, and Holder has repeated made
9 intentional false representations to Congress. Congress voted Holder has been held in contempt
10 for failing to provide documents. The reason why the Plaintiffs believe this is:

11 DEMOCRATS VOTE TO PUT A HALT TO HOLDER FALSE REPRESENTATIONS/STOP
12 LYING TO CONGRESS WITH TAXPAYERS FUNDS, ON Jun 20, 2012 SEE EXH._____.

13
14 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, Upon information and belief
15 Obama and Holder made these material false representations because they are covering
16 up their illegal rico acts. The reason why Plaintiff believe this is: Obama claims executive
17 privilage as follows:
18
19
20

21 **Obama claims executive**
22 **privilege; Holder held in**
23 **contempt**
24

25
26 •
27 David Jackson .152
28

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL



President Obama and Attorney General Eric Holder

CAPTION

By BRENDAN SMIALOWSKI, AFP/Getty Images

A Republican-run House committee voted today to cite Attorney General Eric Holder for contempt after President Obama asserted executive privilege over documents in the "Fast and Furious" operation.

Holder's Justice Department requested that Obama claim the privilege and withhold documents concerning the botched gun-smuggling operation and the death of a U.S. border agent.

The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee voted along party lines to recommend that the full House cite Holder for contempt, after a full day of sniping between folks on Capitol Hill and in the White House.

The "decision to invoke executive privilege implies that White House officials were either involved in the Fast and Furious operation or the cover-up that followed," said Michael Steel, a spokesman for House Speaker John Boehner, R-Ohio. "The administration has always insisted that wasn't the case. Were they lying, or are they now bending the law to hide the truth?"

- 153

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 White House communications director Dan Pfeiffer
2 shot back that House Republicans are on a "politically
3 motivated, taxpayer-funded, election-year fishing
expedition."

4
5 Pfeiffer said Republicans should concentrate instead
6 on extending federal funding for transportation
7 projects and student loans.

8
9 On the gun-smuggling operation, he said "the Justice
10 Department has spent the past 14 months
11 accommodating congressional investigators,
12 producing 7,600 pages of documents, and testifying at
13 11 congressional hearings. Yet, Republicans insist on
14 moving forward with an effort that Republicans and
objective legal experts have noted is purely political."

15 Agents involved in Operation Fast and Furious lost
16 track of some of weapons. Two guns were later found
17 at the scene of the killing of a U.S. border patrol agent,
18 Brian Terry.

19 In a statement issue by their attorney, Terry's parents
20 condemned the Obama administration for invoking
21 executive privilege.

22
23 "Our son, Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry, was killed
24 by members of a Mexican drug cartel armed with
25 weapons from this failed Justice Department gun
26 trafficking investigation," said Josephine Terry and
27 Kent Terry Sr. "For more than 18 months we have
28

-134

1 been asking our federal government for justice and
2 accountability."

3 The Terrys also said that "our son lost his life
4 protecting this nation, and it is very disappointing
5 that we are now faced with an administration that
6 seems more concerned with protecting themselves
7 rather than revealing the truth behind Operation Fast
8 and Furious."

9 The Republican National Committee slammed Obama
10 for "hiding" behind executive privilege, noting that
11 Obama had criticized President George W. Bush when
12 he invoked the same in 2007 during a controversy
13 over the firing of U.S. attorneys.



20 Eric Holder, with President Obama

21 CAPTION

By SAUL LOEB, AFP/Getty Images

22 The assertion of executive privilege inspired a back-and-forth between Obama's
23 re-election team and that of Republican opponent Mitt Romney.

24 "President Obama's pledge to run the most open and
25 transparent administration in history has turned out
26 to be just another broken promise," said Romney
27 spokesperson Andrea Saul.

28 . (S)

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 The Obama campaign responded with a statement
2 saying "we look forward to a debate with Mitt Romney
3 about transparency and how he erased his hard drives
4 as governor of Massachusetts and refuses to release
5 his tax returns, reveal his campaign bundlers, say how
6 he'd pay for his tax plan, or make public his
7 fundraisers."

8 The Justice Department explained its executive
9 privilege request in a letter to Rep. Darrell Issa, R-
10 Calif., chairman of the House Oversight and
11 Government Reform Committee. It said that executive
12 privilege applies to documents that explain how the
13 department learned of problems with the
14 investigation.

15 "I write now to inform you that the President has
16 asserted executive privilege over the relevant post-
17 February 4, 2011, documents," writes Deputy Attorney
18 General James Cole. "We regret that we have arrived
19 at this point, after the many steps we have taken to
20 address the Committee's concerns and to
21 accommodate the Committee's legitimate oversight
22 interests regarding Operation Fast and Furious."

23 Republicans said they are stunned by the president's
24 move, while Democrats on the Oversight committee
25 accused GOP lawmakers of carrying out a political
26 witch hunt.

27 "The president's assertion of executive privilege
28 creates more questions," said Rep. Dan Burton, R-

1 Ind. "That brings into question whether Eric Holder
2 knew about it and how much the president knew
3 about it."

4 In a letter written to Obama on Tuesday, Holder said
5 he was "very concerned that the compelled production
6 to Congress of internal Executive Branch documents
7 generated in the course of the deliberative process
8 concerning its response to congressional oversight
9 and related media inquiries would have significant,
10 damaging consequences."

11 The White House made the move after Issa and
12 Holder met late Tuesday evening for about 20
13 minutes in an unsuccessful, last-minute effort to head
14 off today's hearing to consider whether to hold Holder
15 in contempt. Holder told reporters following the
16 meeting that he offered to provide the documents on
17 the condition that Issa gave his assurance that doing
18 so would satisfy two committee subpoenas and
19 resolve the dispute.

20 Issa is particularly interested in seeing documents
21 that shed light on why the Department of Justice
22 decided to withdraw a February 2011 letter sent to
23 Congress denying allegations of gun-walking.

24 Elijah Cummings, D-Md., the ranking member of the
25 committee, noted that the Justice Department had
26 already turned over more than 1,000 pages of
27 documents and questioned Issa's motives.

28 -157

1 "It seems clear that you had no interest in resolving
2 this issue, and that the committee planned to go
3 forward with contempt before we walked into the
meeting with the Attorney General," Cummings said.

4
5 The investigation into the operation was spurred after
6 Sen. Charles Grassley, R-Iowa, inquired into whistle-
7 blower allegations that the government had allowed
8 the transfer of illegally purchased weapons that were
9 found at the scene of the murder of U.S. Border Patrol
10 Agent Brian Terry.

11 Grassley slammed the White House on Wednesday for
12 the move.

13 "How can the president assert executive privilege if
14 there was no White House involvement?" Grassley
15 said in a statement. "How can the president exert
16 executive privilege over documents he's supposedly
17 never seen? Is something very big being hidden to go
18 to this extreme?"

19
20
21 **THIS ARTICLE WAS POSTED ON THE INTERNET**

22
23
24 **INTERNET ARTICLE – RETAILATION AGAINST**
25 **WHISTLEBLOWERS**
26

27
28 -158-

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

ATF's Warning to Whistleblowers



ATF Acting Director Todd Jones / AP



BY: CJ Ciaramella

July 23, 2012 2:00 pm

-159

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL

1 Lawmakers and government accountability advocates have expressed concern over a July 9
2 video message directed at Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives agents by ATF
3 Acting Director Todd Jones, which they say is a veiled threat to government whistleblowers.

4 "Choices and consequences means simply that if you make poor choices, that if you don't abide
5 by the rules, that if you don't respect the chain of command, if you don't find the appropriate
6 way to raise your concerns to your leadership, there will be consequences, because we cannot
7 tolerate—we cannot tolerate—an undisciplined organization," Jones said in the video.

8 Some lawmakers and government accountability groups interpreted the words as a warning to
9 employees considering blowing the whistle on corruption.

10 In a July 18 letter to Jones, Rep. Darrell Issa (R., Calif.) and Sen. Chuck Grassley (R., Iowa)
11 wrote ATF employees must be "free and clear of agency interference or retaliation" if they
12 choose to talk to Congress.

13 "Your ominous message—which could be interpreted as a threat—is likely to have a major
14 chilling effect on ATF employees exercising their rights to contact Congress," the two
15 lawmakers wrote. "Therefore, it needs to be clarified."

16 "On numerous occasions, we have stressed to ATF and the Department of Justice the importance
17 of protecting whistleblower disclosures and preventing retaliation against whistleblowers," they
18 continued.

19 "The bureau needs to make up its mind whether it's going to be supportive of employees trying
20 to get its mission back on track, or if it's going to snuff out dissent," said Tom Devine, the legal
21 director of the Government Accountability Project. "There doesn't seem to be any consensus."

22 Grassley and Issa spearheaded the investigation into "Operation Fast and Furious," a flawed gun-
23 walking scheme in which federal agents allowed thousands of firearms to be smuggled across the
24 U.S. border and into the hands of Mexican drug cartels.

25 "What it appears to be is a not-so-veiled threat telling (ATF employees) not to do what they did
26 to expose Fast and Furious," Issa told Fox News. "He's basically saying, 'No, keep it in the
27 chain.'"

28 Former ATF agent Jay Dobyns, who has been an outspoken critic of the agency's leadership,
said Jones' video misrepresented the atmosphere at the agency toward whistleblowers.

"The problem is the whistleblowers I know have all played by the rules and presented complaints
to first, second and third level supervisors, the Ombudsman's office, Internal Affairs, the EEOC,

-16-

1 the OIG and OSC, Congress, and finally the media,” Dobyns wrote on CleanUpATF.org, a
2 forum created by fellow ATF whistleblower Vincent Cefalu.

3 “None that I am personally aware of immediately jumped [up] and put themselves in front of a
4 reporter or camera. What Acting Director Jones does not discuss is the utter lack of interest when
5 whistleblowers follow the rules. He talks as if the process is balanced but the truth is it is a one-
6 way street. You get NO attention or concern until an executive is embarrassed in the media. Not
7 even an acknowledgement of a complaint beyond a boilerplate email—thank you for your
8 interest; we are very concerned; blah, etc.”

9 Grassley and Issa have previously voiced concern over retaliatory statements made against
10 whistleblowers at the ATF. In a June 29 letter to the Inspector General, Grassley and Issa wrote
11 that, according to eyewitness accounts, ATF Chief of Public Affairs Scot Thomasson vowed
12 retaliation against agents who first disclosed the details of the scandalous Fast and Furious
13 operation.

14 “All of these whistleblowers have axes to grind,” Thomasson allegedly said. “ATF needs to f—k
15 these guys. We need to get whatever dirt we can on these guys and take them down.”

16 The Government Accountability Project’s Devine said his organization is working to promote
17 legislation called the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act, which would strengthen
18 protections for government employees who report misconduct.

19 The bill is currently in pre-conference negotiations between the House and the Senate, and he
20 expects a final vote sometime in September, Devine said.

21 Devine said his organization has recently had positive experiences with ATF leadership
22 resolving whistleblower complaints, but the July 9 video sent a contradictory message.

23 The Obama administration has been among the harshest in American history when it comes to
24 targeting and prosecuting the whistleblowers that leak information, as well as the journalists who
25 obtain that information.

26 Under President Obama, more Americans have been charged under the Espionage Act for
27 leaking classified information than all previous administrations combined.


28 President Obama pledged to run the “most transparent administration in history” upon entering
office.

In a statement to the *Free Beacon*, ATF spokesman Marc Willis said the video was addressed to
all employees, senior and junior level, and was not meant as a threat.

- 6 -

1 "The excerpt in question was not intended to discourage those with protected disclosure from
2 pursuing the full range of legal protections available to them in raising work-related concerns,"
3 Willis said. "Acting Director Jones and the leadership of ATF respect the rights of all ATF
employees. The ATF leadership has specifically reminded employees and supervisors of
employees with protected disclosure rights, about their protections under federal law."

4 The spokesman also said Jones has expanded opportunities for employees to report work-related
5 concerns since his appointment and added more staff in its ombudsman office to handle
6 complaints, other proof.



7 8 9 10 **Whistleblowers now supervised by ATF** 11 **threatened retaliation** 12 13

14 House Oversight Committee Chair Darrell Issa, R-Calif.

15 (CBS News) Two Fast and Furious whistleblowers have reportedly been placed under the
16 supervision of an ATF official who allegedly threatened to "take them down."

17 That's according to Sen. Charles Grassley (R-Iowa) and Rep. Darrell Issa (R-CA) who have
18 asked the Inspector General to immediately investigate.

19 When the ATF whistleblowers, Special Agents John Dodson and Pete Forcelli, went public last
20 year, Scot Thomasson headed up ATF Public Affairs. According to an eyewitness, Thomasson
21 stated "We need to get whatever dirt we can on these guys (whistleblowers) and take them
22 down." Now, Grassley and Issa say the agents have been put under the charge of ATF's Scot
Thomasson who is Division Chief of the Firearms Operations Unit.

23 Thomasson was also allegedly heard to have said "ATF needs to f__k these guys." And when
24 asked if the whistleblower allegations were true, Thomasson purportedly said he didn't know and
25 didn't care. The accounts are contained in a May 3, 2012 House Oversight memo attached to
Congress' draft contempt report against Attorney General Eric Holder.

26 Fast and Furious: GOP says wiretaps revealed 'Gunwalking' early on
27
28

-162

1 Dodson went public about the agency's controversial gunwalking tactics in an interview with
2 CBS News in February 2011. He later testified before Congress along with Forcelli.

3 "It is difficult to understand why ATF leadership would put two of these courageous
4 whistleblowers at the mercy of an individual who made such reckless, irresponsible and
5 inaccurate comments about them 18 months ago," say the members of Congress in today's letter
6 to the Inspector General. The letter also asks "what steps, if any, are being taken to ensure that
7 Thomasson does not use his new position to engage in a campaign of retaliation along the lines
8 he expressed a desire to conduct last year."

9 ATF told CBS News: "As a general policy, atf does not comment on personnel matters. we
10 respect the rights of all our employees and will proceed an appropriate manner." ATF did not
11 respond to our request to speak to Thomasson, nor did he respond to an email request for
12 comment.

13 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement Obama and/or Holder illegal hack e-mails obtain this
14 complaint, attacks the Mitt Rodney campain and/or Bain campaign with a FELONY, AND/OR
15 DID OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY.

16 Plaintiff allege and/or upon information and belief Obama attack Rodnmey to create the
17 impression in US Citizen, that Rodney is attacking him with a felony. Romeny has nothing to
18 do with this complaint.

19
20
21
22
23
24 Plaintiffs allege the defendants at all times exhibited conduct constitutes a form of active and/or
25 passive form of concealment of material known facts. Plaintiff allege that the defendants actions
26 constitute a conspiracy under the color of state law, and violation of the Plaintiffs 1st and/or 6th
27 8th, 13th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in the traffic stop and/or
28 an intentional. Plaintiff allege Plaintiff allege the Defendants actions also constitute, a violation
of (1) and/or (2) and/or (3) and/or (4) and/or (5) and/or (6) and/or (7) and/or (8) and/or (9) and/or

-163

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VS. OBAMA, HOLDER, ET AL