

To: Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
Attorney General of the United States
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington DC 20530-0001

Register Mail #
Return Receipt Request

DOCUMENTS INCLUDED AS FOLLOWS:

INCLUDE IN ALL DOCUMENTS

REGISTER—RETURN RECEIPT REQUEST

THE HONORABLE – MERRICK GARLAND

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

DR. Sir

**IN RE: THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA – COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO CASE # CGC-08-478207**

To complete serve of process in this action we transmit herewith two copies of “bill in particular filed in _____ at _____ this day/ One copy each of the summons to appear and/or arrest warrants and complaint has been served this same day upon, United States President

Yours very truly

I certify and/or declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-248-688-6175

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)certify that I sent the following below parties:

A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE:

IN RE: THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA – COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO CASE # CGC-08-478207 – LETTER OF ALL DOCUMENTS,
ARREST WARRANTS, BILL OF PARTICULAR

were sent by first class mail(in a properly-addressed envelope with postage duly paid) served
before 5:00 p.m. on February ____2022 from Detroit, Michigan to the parties and/or attorneys
of record for all parties in this action sent the true and correct copy to the addresses listed below:

To: Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
Attorney General of the United States
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington DC 20530-0001

Register Mail #
Return Receipt Request

INCLUDE IN ALL DOCUMENTS

REGISTER—RETURN RECEIPT REQUEST

THE HONORABLE – MERRICK GARLAND

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

**[https://www.weforum.org/
great-reset/](https://www.weforum.org/great-reset/)**

**Inovio Pharmaceuticals,
Inc.**

**Gavi, the Vaccine
Alliance (Gavi) is**

**an independent
public-private
partnership and
multilateral
funding**

Jerome Powell

Chair of the Federal Reserve of the United States

DICK CHENEY

Klaus Schwab – WORLD ECONOMIC
FORUM

Sweden

switzerland

**Malta's Roberta Metsola elected EU
Parliament's third woman president**

AND

**Ebrahim Raisi,
restraining order,**

**the law enforcement
officers = look up,
police officers**

DEFENDANTS

T

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SHARON BRIDGEWATER

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

) AFFIDIVANT AND NOTICE OF
) PERMANENT APPEARANCE AS
) COUNSEL AND/OR PROSECUTOR
) PURSUANT TO THE
) RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
) AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION
) ACT
)
)

PRAECIPE AND NOTICE OF
ADJUDICATION OF COMMON
LAW REMEDIES PURSUANT TO
THE ADMIRALITY AND/OR
MARITIME DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF

(CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT

TREASON, INTENTIONAL
WILLFUL HUMAN RIGHTS
VIOLATIONS, (CONSPIRACY TO
COMMIT CONSPIRACY TO
COMMIT TREASON,
INTENTIONAL WILLFUL HUMAN
RIGHTS VIOLATIONS,
DEPRIVATION OF U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY
WILLFUL BREACHES OF
INTERNATIONAL PEACE,
BREACH OF CONTRACT,
VIOLATIONS OF THE
NUREMBERG CODE VIOLATION
OF THE NEWB ETC.

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH NOTICE
OF FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY,
ARRESST WARRANTS, INDICTMENTS,
BILL OF PARTICULAR - LIST

DATE: TBA
TIME: TBA
DEPART: TBA
TRIAL DATE: NONE SET

**AFFIDIVANT AND NOTICE OF PERMANENT APPEARANCE AS
COUNSEL AND/OR PROSECUTOR (CRIMINAL CLASS-ACTION)
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT(CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT CONSPIRACY TO
COMMIT TREASON, INTENTIONAL WILLFUL HUMAN RIGHTS
VIOLATIONS, DEPRIVATION OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY(INTENTIONAL FALSE MATERIAL
REPRESENTATIVE TO U.S. CITIZENS, FRAUD, CONCEALMENT, ETC.
ETC.**

I Sharon Bridgewater a qualified witness and victim via **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] **EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY**[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- **(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY**(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)being duly sworn depose and state that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my belief:

I appear and practice in this court on behalf of myself, my son and humanity to prosecute only if (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class, (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class, and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

(b) Class Actions Maintainable. An action may be maintained as a class action if the prerequisites of subdivision (a) are satisfied and in addition:

(1) the prosecution of separate actions by or against individual members of the class would create a risk of

(A) inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the class, or

(B) adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests or

(2) the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole; ...

PLAINTIFF AND/OR CLAIMANT INCORPORATES EXH. A

THAT ALL ARE EMPLOYED BY

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S. Code § 1651 – ALL WRITS

The Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.

(b)

An alternative writ or rule nisi may be issued by a justice or judge of a court which has jurisdiction.

because I have no other adequate remedies at law,

PREVENT, RESTRAIN TO FORFEIT
PROPERTY

THE AUTHORITY TO PREVENT AND RESTRAIN VIOLATION OF 1962 WHICH CAN BE FOUND AT:

prevent and restrain violations of [section 1962 of this chapter](#) by issuing appropriate orders, including, but not limited to: ordering any [person](#) to divest himself of any interest, direct or indirect, in any [enterprise](#); imposing reasonable restrictions on the future activities or investments of any [person](#), including, but not limited to, prohibiting any [person](#) from engaging in the same type of endeavor as the [enterprise](#) engaged in, the activities of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or ordering dissolution or reorganization of any [enterprise](#), making due provision for the rights of innocent [persons](#).

The court may make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any person, corporation, firm, partnership, joint stock company, or any other association or organization of any practices which violate this chapter, or which may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of any practice in this chapter declared to be unlawful.

Actions for injunction under this section may be prosecuted by the Attorney General or any district attorney, county counsel, city attorney, or city prosecutor in this state in the name of the people of the State of California upon their own complaint or upon the complaint of any board, officer, person, corporation or association or by any person acting for the interests of itself, its members or the general public.

**THE PETITIONER HAS EXHAUSTED ALL AVAILABLE REMEDIES
AND HAVE NO OTHER ADEQUATE REMEDIES AT LAW**

Petitioner has exhausted all available remedies and have no other adequate remedies at law, and/or there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law; The legal claim for relief and the facts speaks for themselves.

NO OTHER ADEQUATE REMEDIES AT LAW

The parties declare that it is impossible to measure in money the damages which will accrue to either party by reason of a failure to perform any of the obligations under this Agreement and therefore injunctive relief is appropriate. Therefore, if either party shall institute any action or proceeding to enforce the provisions hereof, such party against whom such action or proceeding is brought hereby waives the claim or defense that such party has an adequate remedy at law, and such party shall not urge in any such action or proceeding the claim or defense that such party has an adequate remedy at law. Appears in 33 contracts

**ALL U.S. LEGISLATORS, CONGRESSMEN AND/OR WOMEN
ARE GUILTY OF RACKETEERING CRIMES, ASSOCIATED
AND/OR ARE EMPLOYED – MAKE THIS APPEARANCE ON
BEHALF OF “THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE 50
STATES”**

The U.S. Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act (RICO), also known as the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 (OCCA), is the precursor and/or the model for national and/or transnational law against organized crime. It is a major

model for later.

The entire act can be found at:

<https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/content/rico-act.html>

The Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act permit private individual law permits common law remedies for admiralty and/or maritime claims, no right to a jury trial. The private attorney General act permit a private person injured and damaged in business, person or peropy to act as an prosecutors, "private attorneys general," 1) to eliminate racketeering activity, 2) *to enforce a legal right that benefits the community as a whole*, to enforce policy inherent in public interest legislation on behalf of a significant class of persons.

Private Attorney General

A private attorney general is a private citizen who brings a lawsuit considered to be in the public interest, *i.e.*, benefiting the general public and community as a whole. The “private attorney general” concept holds that a successful private party plaintiff is entitled to recovery of his legal expenses, including attorney fees, if he has advanced the policy inherent in public interest legislation on behalf of a significant class of persons. -- USLegal.com

<https://definitions.uslegal.com/p/private-attorney-general/>

While Congress can constitutionally authorize no one, in the absence of an actual **justiciable** controversy, to bring a suit for the judicial determination either of the constitutionality of a statute or the scope of powers conferred by a statute upon government officers, it can constitutionally authorize one of its own officials, such as the Attorney General, to bring a proceeding to prevent another official from acting in violation of his statutory powers; for then an actual controversy exists, and the Attorney General can properly be vested with authority, in such a controversy, to vindicate the interest of the public or the government. Instead of designating the Attorney General, or some other public officer, to bring such proceedings, Congress can constitutionally enact a statute^[25] conferring on any non-official person, or on a designated group of non-official

persons, authority to bring a suit to prevent action by an officer in violation of his statutory powers; for then, in like manner, there is an actual controversy, and there is nothing constitutionally prohibiting Congress from empowering any person, official or not, to institute a proceeding involving such a controversy, even if the sole purpose is to vindicate the public interest. Such persons, so authorized, are, so to speak, private Attorney Generals.

Associated Industries v. Ickes, 134 F.2d 694 (2d Cir. 1943)
<http://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/F2/134/694/1479302/>

The "private attorney general" phrase was coined by Judge Jerome Frank in a decision which did not involve an attorney fee at all, but rather a private citizen's standing to sue for vindication of a public objective. (Associate Industries v. Ickes (2d Cir. 1943) 134 F.2d 694, 704; *Comment* (1974) 122 U.Pa.L.Rev. 636, 658.)

County of Inyo v. City of Los Angeles, **78 Cal.App.3d 82 (1978)**
<http://law.justia.com/cases/california/court-of-appeal/3d/78/82.html>

The term private attorney general was coined by Judge Jerome Frank in the context of a challenge to a private persons standing to bring a lawsuit to vindicate the public interest. (County of Inyo v. City of Los Angeles (1978) 78 Cal.App.3d 82, 88, fn. 1, citing Associated Industries v. Ickes (2d Cir. 1943) 134 F.2d 694, 704.) It is meant to convey the concept that a private citizen may stand in the shoes of the Attorney General, not in the sense of an attorney representing a party in court, but in the sense of a government official advancing the public interest in a lawsuit. Indeed, in most instances, a private attorney general is a private citizen represented by counsel in court.

http://www.fearnotlaw.com/wsnkb/articles/altmann_v_cityofagourahillscity_council-24093.html

Altmann v. City of Agoura Hills City Council
Court of Appeal of the State of California
Second Appellate District, Division Four

PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, THE U.S. PRESIDENT ARE DEFENDANTS ET.
ALL ARE DEFENDANTS

FACTS

An actual controversy between JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT, Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually(Merrick Garland in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Courts of Appeals District of Columbia Circu Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Supreme Court Justices, foreign Officials Xi Jinping, Vladimir Putin, Boris Johnson, Angela Merkel(and her successor Olaf Scholz), Emmanuel Macron, Ursula Von Der Leyen(European Union President), Jorge Mario Bergoglio(AKA the Pope), Elizabeth Alexandra Mary(AKA Queen Elizabeth),”and all royal family members,” et al in that they contend they can illegally, unlawfully, false statement, use my name without my authoritization, commit mail fraud, wire fraud and defraud.....Declare the Defendants h

The Defendants violated the Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization act and are guilty of Racketeering and/or Racketeering Conspiracy. See:

<https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/content/rico-act.html>

t as more fully appear later; and the action arises under the Constituiton of the United States , and/or admiralty and martime, and may be finally adjudication as between the parties.

Comes now the Plaintiff and/or Claimant to resrain and enjoin Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and other co-conspirators conspiracy from violating my US constitutional rights, and from continuing to cause injury and damage to and to restrain and enjoin, arrest, Hillary R. Clinton from becoming the President of the United States due to “disqualification to hold office,”

and for any and all other relief which this court deems just and under all circumstances which have occasioned this bill of particulars .

There is a justiciable controversy between Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater between Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually (Merrick Garland in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Courts of Appeals District of Columbia Circuit, Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Supreme Court Justices, in that they Merrick Garland, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, Xi Jinping, Vladimir Putin, etc. all contend they can act in joint participation, commit human rights violations, U.S. Constitution Violation, and multiple racketeering predicate acts, illegally commit mail fraud, treason, use my name without my authorization to obtain 125 Trillion Dollars to make intentional misrepresentations to billions of people "push" the "Lucifer" "race" (Luciferase – Coronavirus vaccine). An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between plaintiff and claimant "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" and Defendant Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, "ALL U.S. SENATORS AND/OR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY," ALL CORPORATIONS (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO "HIGH TECH TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS," AND FO concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiff and/or Claimant "THE 50 STATES EX REL Sharon Bridgewater PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" CONTENDS THAT "SHE IS THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (AND FOR "THE 50 STATES AND THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES) AND APPEAR AND PRACTICE IN THIS COURT TO "VIGOROUSLY" PROSECUTE CRIMINALS

WHO whereas Defendant Merrick Garland contends he can conspire with two or more foreign officials, commit fraud, wire fraud, make false statements, conspire with Donald Trump commit contends ___, whereas defendant disputes these contentions and contends that HE CAN ____.

Plaintiff AND/OR claimant HAVE EXHAUSTED ALL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES AND/OR OTHER REMEDIES AND have no other adequate remedies at law. Pursuant to admiralty and maritime law "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" IS ENTITLED TO COMMON LAW REMEDIES AND ADJUDICATE THE MATTER, AND DECLARE THAT MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY adjudicate the matter desires a judicial determination of ____ rights and duties, and declare that Merrick Garland

declaration as to ____.

<>. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that plaintiff may ascertain ____ rights and duties _____. (____Allege and financial or other burden now being suffered by unsettled state of affairs)

<>. (____ Allege detriment and damages incurred if damages sought)

<>. (____ Allege exhaustion of administrative remedies)

HIGH COURT VIA SECTION 75(V)

Prosecution on behalf of all members lied to about the COV-19 VACCINE

enacted by congress which allows

- ON OR ABOUT JULY 9, 2013

COV-19 VACCINE MANDATES ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL WE ARE NOT THE GLOBAL ELITE PROPERTY AND VIOLATES US Supreme Court Strikes Down Gene Patents but Allows Patenting of Synthetic DNA THE COV-19 VACCINE IS PATENT, THEREFORE EVERY PERSON WHO RECEIVED THE COV-19 VACCINE NOW IS SOMEONE'S PROPERTY, IN ADDITION ALL U.S. SUPREME COURT JUDGES, MERRICK GARLAND THE CURRENT U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL(FORMER U.S.

COURT OF APPEALS COURT JUDGE COMMITTED FRAUD) COMMITTED FRAUD,
ISSUED VOID ORDERS WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO HEAR THE CASE IN AN
ATTEMPT TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES OUT OF 125 TRILLION TO AID, HELP,
ABET DONALD TRUMP, JOE BIDEN AND VAL

In a unanimous decision last month, the Supreme Court ruled that naturally occurring genes are not patentable. But, said the Court, cDNA, a man-made copy of the genetic messenger in cells, is patentable.

the Court also ruled that cDNA, an edited man-made copy of the gene, can be patented. Ruling that cDNA can be patented will have important consequences for research, including research to discover new disease treatments and create new genetic tests.

COV-19 VACCINES

complementary DNA (cDNA) is the enzyme reverse transcriptase. ... Reverse transcriptase synthesizes a **DNA strand complementary to an RNA** are patentable making all who receive the **COV-19 SOMEONE(THE GLOBAL ELITE-BILL GATES ET AL PROPERTY)**

A **reverse transcriptase** (RT) is an enzyme used to generate complementary **DNA** (cDNA) from an RNA template, a process termed **reverse transcription**.

THOUSANDS, EVEN MILLIONS OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATES ALLOWED IN THE U.S.A.(FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE TO INTERGRATE ALL IMMIGRATES & U.S. CITIZENS(TOGETHER)AND FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE(TO TELL THE PUBLIC IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO KNOW "WHO'S WHO, & FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE TO FORCE/MANDATE VIA EXECUTIVE ORDER ALL TO GET THE COV-19 JAB, AND COMING INVISIBLE TATOO-TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE VIA "AN UNDERTHESKIN MARK

TO USE TRICK, SCHEME TO CAUSE,

<https://www.coespu.org/articles/core-international-crimes>

<http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Private+Attorney+General>

U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE TREASON, CONSPRI TO COMMIT TREASON, ENSLAVE, ETC.

COMMON LAW REMEDIES

EXTRADICTION OF FOREIGN CRIMINALS FOR RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY AND CONSPIRING WITH PUBLIC OFFICIALS FOR ACTS COMMITTED AGAINST MY PERSON, BUSINESS OR PROPERTY!!

1. I Sharon Bridgewater on behalf of my son and/or the people of the “50 StatesThe duty to prosecute and/or Extradict: Aut Dedere Aut Judicare. Accountability requires that persons who committed crimes, particiularlay internation crimes either be prosecuted by a state having jurisdiction or be extradicted to a state having jurisdiction and the willingness to carry ofut effective and fair prosecution. The United States of America is a role model for all S. States also have the expectation that persons who have been convicted of the commission of a crime and who escpae tat stat’s jurisdiction be surrendered to it for execution of their sentence. VIA ONE OR MORE CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population”; and “with knowledge of the attack”

1. WHICH INCLUDE “any of the following acts”:

- a. Murder;
- b. Extermination;
- c. Enslavement;
- d. Deportation or forcible transfer of population;
- e. Imprisonment;
- f. Torture;
- g. Grave forms of sexual violence;
- h. Persecution;
- i. Enforced disappearance of persons;
- j. The crime of apartheid;
- k. rape,**
- l. forced abortions**
- m. sexual violence,**
- n. persecution on political, religious, racial and gender grounds**
- o. biological warfare(coronavirus “unleased” on humanity)**
[Offensive biological warfare is prohibited under customary international humanitarian law and several international treaties]to create terror(intimidate or coerce humanity and/or the civilian population to influence the policy of the government by intimation or coercion**to terror,**
- Intimidate or coerce a civilian population;
- Influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or.
- Affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping. **threats of terrorism; assassinations; kidnappings; hijackings; bomb scares and bombings;** cyber-attacks (computer-based attacks); and the use of chemical, biological, nuclear, and radiological weapons(via one or more 5G network, cell phones, et)
- p. _____ in violations**
- q. Other inhumane acts

ALL U.S. SENATORS AND CONGRESSMANT COMMITTED OVERT ACTS, DID ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF AN OBJECTIVE OF CONSPIRACY, WERE EMPLOYED AND/OR ASSOCIATED WITH A CRIMINAL ENTERPRIZE AND ARE DISQUALIFIED, LIALBE

(b) The [Attorney General](#) may institute proceedings under this section. Pending final determination thereof, the court may at any time enter such restraining orders or prohibitions, or take such other actions, including the acceptance of satisfactory performance bonds, as it shall deem proper.

(c)

Any [person](#) injured in his business or property by reason of a violation of [section 1962 of this chapter](#) may sue therefor in any appropriate United [States](#) district court and shall recover threefold the damages he sustains and the cost of the suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee, except that no [person](#) may rely upon any conduct that would have been actionable as fraud in the purchase or sale of securities to establish a violation of section 1962. The exception contained in the preceding sentence does not apply to an action against any [person](#) that is criminally convicted in connection with the fraud, in which case the statute of limitations shall start to run on the date on which the conviction becomes final. prevent and restrain violations of [section 1962 of this chapter](#) by issuing appropriate orders, including, but not limited to: ordering any [person](#) to divest himself of any interest, direct or indirect, in any [enterprise](#); imposing reasonable restrictions on the future activities or investments of any [person](#), including, but not limited to, prohibiting any [person](#) from engaging in the same type of endeavor as the [enterprise](#) engaged in, the activities of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or ordering dissolution or reorganization of any [enterprise](#), making due provision for the rights of innocent [persons](#).

(b)

The [Attorney General](#) may institute proceedings under this section. Pending final determination thereof, the court may at any time enter such restraining orders or prohibitions, or take such other actions, including the acceptance of satisfactory performance bonds, as it shall deem proper.

(c)

Any [person](#) injured in his business or property by reason of a violation of [section 1962 of this chapter](#) may sue therefor in any appropriate United [States](#) district court and shall recover threefold the damages he sustains and the cost of the suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee, except that no [person](#) may rely upon any conduct that would have been actionable as fraud in the purchase or sale of securities to establish a violation of section 1962. The exception contained in the preceding sentence does not apply to an action against any [person](#) that is criminally convicted in

connection with the fraud, in which case the statute of limitations shall start to run on the date on which the conviction becomes final.

(d)

A final judgment or decree rendered in favor of the United States in any criminal proceeding brought by the United States under this chapter shall estop the defendant from denying the essential allegations of the criminal offense in any subsequent civil proceeding brought by the United States.

PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, THE U.S. PRESIDENT ARE DEFENDANTS ET.
ALL ARE DEFENDANTS

- Terrorism

- Drug trafficking**

- Bank fraud

- Extortion

- Money laundering

- Gambling

- Violent crime.

The RICO statute is powerful, as it carries significant penalties for anyone involved in a racket. This includes those that were not directly involved in committing a crime.

FACTS

An actual controversy between JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT, Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually(Merrick Garland in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Courts of Appeals District of Columbia Circu Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Supreme Court Justices, foreign Officials Xi Jinping, Vladimir Putin, Boris Johnson, Angela Merkel(and her successor Olaf Scholz), Emmanuel Macron, Ursula Von Der Leyen(European Union President), Jorge Mario Bergoglio(AKA the Pope), Elizabeth Alexandra Mary(AKA Queen Elizabeth),”and all royal

family members,” et al in that they contend they can illegally, unlawfully, false statement, use my name without my authorization, commit mail fraud, wire fraud and defraud.....Declare the Defendants h

The Defendants violated the Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization act and are guilty of Racketeering and/or Racketeering Conspiracy. See:

<https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/content/rico-act.html>

t as more fully appear later; and the action arises under the Constitution of the United States , and/or admiralty and maritime, and may be finally adjudication as between the parties.

Comes now the Plaintiff and/or Claimant to restrain and enjoin Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and other co-conspirators conspiracy from violating my US constitutional rights, and from continuing to cause injury and damage to and to restrain and enjoin, arrest, Hillary R. Clinton from becoming the President of the United States due to “disqualification to hold office,” and for any and all other relief which this court deems just and under all circumstances which have occasioned this bill of particulars .

There is a justiciable controversy between Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater between Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually(Merrick Garland in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Courts of Appeals District of Columbia Circu Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Supreme Court Justices, in that they Merrick Garland, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, Xi Jinping, Vladimir Putin, etc. all contend they

can act in joint participation, commit human rights violations, U.S. Constitution Violation, and multiple racketeering predicate acts, illegally commit mail fraud, treason, use my name without my authorization to obtain 125 Trillion Dollars to make intentional misrepresentations to billions of people “push” the “Lucifer” “race”(Luciferase – Coronavirus vaccine

Standing

“THE FIFTY STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL” have a person stake (representative of the sovereign, the people...ie and/or via the ninth amendment and “private prosecution of public rights)and have Standinghave been injured, and damaged; and have an“injury in fact”—an invasion of a legally protected interest which is concrete and particularized, and “actual or imminent,” not “conjectural” or “hypothetical.” Further there is a causal connection between the injury and the conduct complained of—the injury is “fairly ... trace[able] to the challenged action and the the injury will be “redressed by a favorable decision; and meet the “case and controversy requirement Article III” Sharon Bridgewater¹ and/or James S. Bridgewater have been injured, and damaged; and have an “injury in fact”—an invasion of a legally protected interest which is concrete and particularized, and “actual or imminent,” not “conjectural” or “hypothetical;” and there is casual connection between the injury and the conduct complained of—the injury is “fairly ... trace[able] to the

¹

has an interest in the office of the United States Attorney General

challenged action and the injury will be “redressed by a favorable decision and have Article III standing.” via one or more of the following :

1. Associational Standing,
2. Representative Capacity standing;or
3. Class Representative Standing;
4. Advantages and Disadvantages of Associational Standing;
5. Organizational Standing;
6. Third Party Standing
7. Private Attorney General per The Racketeered Influence and Corrupt Organization Act. (Officer of the United States)
8. The ninth and/or 16th amendment via the “soverign 50 States”
9. The United States Governament standing(“the KING”)per the Qui Tam Relator Statue(Agent of the United States)

Please enter my appearance **AS THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE “50 STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group**

L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

NUNC PRO TUNC

due to U.S. Government[Transnational and/or National Corporations and Public Officials their individuals capacities acting in joint participation discriminating against one and/or two witnesses based on race, class, disability, religion, socioeconomic status under the color of law, committing criminal acts against their business, person or property and/or defrauding, & willful, U.S. Constitutional rights and violation of deprivation of human rights in violation of the declaration of human rightsand U.S. Constitutional rights),

nunc pro tunc

(nuhnhk proh tuhnhk) adj. Latin for "now for then," this refers to changing back to an earlier date of an order, judgment or filing of a document. Such a retroactive re-dating requires a court order which can be obtained by a showing that the earlier date would have been legal, and there was error, accidental omission or neglect which has caused a problem or inconvenience which can be cured. Often the judge will grant the nunc pro tunc order ex parte (with only the applicant appearing and without notice).

I BEING DULY SWORN DISPOSES AND STATE I hereby notify the clerk that I Sharon Bridgewater am appearing pro se. The **prosecutor** performs a valuable function in reinforcing the notion that a crime is an offense against the state.

I certify and/or declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

I Being duly sworn disposes and state: I am authorized to prosecute the offenses on behalf of the **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) **PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)** "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following

companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

INTERNATIONAL MARTIME BREACH OF CONTRACT

I/we approve this complaint.

Executed this _____ day of _____, 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

Respectfully submitted

By: Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Its Managing Member and/or Founder

By: Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation,
Its President and/or Chief Executive Office(C.E.O.) and/or Founder

By: Bridgewater & Company Inc. a California Corporation
Its President and/or Chief Executive Office(C.E.O.) and/or Founder and/or Officer

By: B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation

By: The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands) a 501-C-3 Non-profit Organization Its Founder and Executive Director

By:

Name: Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S.
Bridgewater

Title: President and/or Chief Executive
Officer(C.E.O.) and/or Founder
and/or Managing Member and/or
Officer and/or Secretary and/or
Executive Director
Counsel for the above companies
P.O. Box Box 19631

Detroit, MI 48219
1-248-688-6175
Sbridge11@yahoo.com

Sharon Bridgewater individually
Counsel for the Plaintiffs – Pro Se
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-248-688-6175

Sbridge11@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
AND/OR on behalf of those similarly situated, et al
PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS
Counsel for the “THE 50 States and/or The people of the 50 States” USA and/or Class Plaintiffs
12070 W. Outer Drive
Detroit, MI 48223
1-248-688-6175

Sbridge11@yahoo.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____ day of _____, 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC or other person
authorized to administer an oath

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

Subscribed and sworn before the Undersigned this _____ day of _____, 2013

Notary Public/ AND OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Signature

Being Authorized to prosecute the offenses on behalf of the United States of America “ex Rel” I Sharon Bridgewater, , “ex rel.” on behalf of the “50 United States” approve this complaint. RESERVE THE RIGHT TO AMEND UPON DISCOVERY.

Date: _____

Prosecuting Private Attorney .
Federal Witness and/or Victim of Crime
and Potential U.S. House of

Sharon Bridgewater, B.A., Complainant,

Representative or Senator

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West

Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

certify that I sent the following below parties:

A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE:
AFFIDAVANT AND NOTICE OF PERMANENT APPEARANCE AS COUNSEL
AND/OR PROSECUTOR PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT
were sent by first class mail(in a properly-addressed envelope with postage duly paid) served before 5:00 p.m. on February ____2022 from Detroit, Michigan to the parties and/or attorneys of record for all parties in this action sent the true and correct copy to the addresses listed below:

To: Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
Attorney General of the United States
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington DC 20530-0001

Register Mail #
Return Receipt Request

INCLUDE IN ALL DOCUMENTS

REGISTER—RETURN RECEIPT REQUEST

THE HONORABLE – MERRICK GARLAND

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

DR. Sir

**IN RE: THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA – COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO CASE # CGC-08-478207**

To complete serve of process in this action we transmit herewith two copies of “bill in particular filed in _____ at _____ this day/ One copy each of the summons to appear and/or arrest warrants and complaint has been served this same day upon, United States President

Yours very truly

To: MG Duane R. Miller in his official capacity as United States Army Provost Marshal General
and/or individually
2800 Army Pentagon, Room 1E596,
Washington, DC 203103–2800
Certified Mail# # 7021-2720-0000-9578-1412

To: Lloyd J. Austin III in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense and/or individually
The United States Department of Defense
1000 Defense Pentagon,
Washington, DC 20301-1000
Certified Mail # 7021-2720-0000-9578-1405

To: Kathleen H. Hicks in her official capacity as Deputy Secretary of Defense
The United States Department of Defense
1000 Defense Pentagon,
Washington, DC 20301-1000
Certified Mail # 7021-2720-0000-9578-1399

To: Douglas N. Letter, General Counsel at U.S. House of Representatives
219 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1597

To: Elizabeth Prelogar in her official capacity as Solicitor General and/or individually
The Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5614 – Department
The United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington DC 20530-0001,
Certified Mail #7021-2720-0000-9578-1603

To: Matthew M. Graves in his official capacity as U.S. Attorney for D.C. and/or individually
The U.S. Attorney General for the District of Columbia
555 Fourth Street, NW
Washington DC 20530
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1627

To: Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and/or individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500-0001
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1634

To: Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&/OR

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20008

Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1610

To: John G. Roberts, Jr., Chief Justice in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Supreme Court and individually

The Supreme Court

1 First Street NE

Washington DC 20543

Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1641

To: Anthony J. Blinken in his official capacity as Secretary of State and/or individually

The United States Department of State

2201 C Street

Washington, DC 20520

Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1665

To: Alejandro Mayorkas in his official capacity as Director of Homeland Security and/or individually

The United States Department of Homeland Security

245 Lane SW

Washington, DC 20528,

Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1399

To: Marvin G. Richardson in his official capacity as Acting Director of the United States Bureau of the Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives(ATF),

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosive(AFT)Agency,

99 New York Avenue, NE

Washington, DC 20226

Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1399

To: John G. Roberts, Jr., Chief Justice in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1641

To: Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1689

To: Amy Coney Barrett in her official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1696

To: Stephen G. Breyer, in his official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1702

To: Samuel A. Alito, Jr., in his official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1719

To: Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1429

To: Elena Kagan, in her official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1436

To: Neil M. Gorsuch, in his official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1443

To: Brett M. Kavanaugh, Associate Justice, in his official capacity as Associate Justice for the United States Supreme Court and individually
The Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington DC 20543
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1450

FOREIGN OFFICIAL HAGE?

PAGE 2 OF 3

To: Jake Sullivan in his official capacity as National Security Advisor
V CVCCCNational Security Counsel
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, DC 20500
Certified mail#7021-2720-0000-9578-1399

I certify and/or declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

CERTIFICATION

**Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

**HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
AKA HAYES VALLEY
APARTMENTS II L.P.) McCormack Baron
Ragon Management Service Inc.
(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar) MBA
Urban Development, The Related Companies
of California, INC. Sunamerica Affordable
Housing Partnership, American
International Group INC. (PARENT
COMPANY OF SUNAMERICA
AFFORDABLE HOUSING
PARTNERSHIP) Shawn Bankson, Jane
Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500**

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

CLASS DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE
JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND/OR
INDIVIDUALLY, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS VICE
PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY,

I. Principles applicable to class certification.

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

(1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
(2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department and agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes

joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).

Rule 23(a)(2) “requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed, overt or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)² - Predominates

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. “The writers of Rule 23 intended that subsection (b)(2) foster institutional reform by facilitating suits that challenge widespread rights violations or conspiracies to defraud the USA. Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for the class as a whole. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction “state its

²because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail ... the act or acts restrained or re.red.”

Second, cohesiveness also re.res that class

members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 re.rements, as well as the re.rements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These re.rements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money re.ring injunctive relief.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)3 Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

Bifurcation

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which re.res declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, “now due” is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and

3because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for e.table relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is available where there it is either (1) e. table in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURATION

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Rackeeteered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phase would determine Rackeeteered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members), Obama damages and/or punitive damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

Class members and subclasses

AFFIDIVANT & NOTICE OF PERMANENT APPEARANCE AS COUNSEL AND/OR
PROSECUTOR FOR “THE 50 STATES,” “THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES” AND/OR
“HUMANITY”

PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT ADJUDICATION OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS U.S. COURT OF APPEALS CHIEF JUDGE FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT COMMITTED TWO OR MORE PREDICATE ACTS AS DEFINED IN 18 U.S.C. § 1961, ENGAGED IN A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVIT AND VIOLATED THE RICO ACT, CONSPIRED WITH ONE OR MORE THAT DONALD TRUMP IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES BREYER IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, BARRETT IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, KAVANAUGH IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, ROBERTS IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPREME COURT JUSTICE AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, THOMAS IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, KAGAN IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, SOTOMAYOR, THOMAS, GORSUCH, ALITO JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, BARAK H. OBAMA IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, WILLIAM BARR IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ONE OR MORE VICE PRESIDENT, SENATOR, AND/OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR SAN FRANCISCO,

KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONAL (1)FALSIFIES, CONCEALS, OR COVERS UP BY TRICK, SCHEME OR DEVICE A MATERIAL FACT AND/OR CONTINUES FALSIFIES, CONCEALS, OR COVERS UP BY TRICK, SCHEME OR DEVICE A MATERIAL FACT (2) MAKES ONE OR MORE MATERIAL FALSE, FICITITOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENT OR REPRESENTATIONS AND/OR CONTINUES TO MAKE ONE OR MORE MATERIAL FALSE, FICITITOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENT OR REPRESENTATIONS (3)make and/or continues to make, use and/or continues to use false writing or document knowing the same to contain one or more amaterial false fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in case #19-1141 entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Donald Trump(unauthorized use of my name Sharon Bridgewater without my authorization and/or consent) in violation of 18 U.S.C. Code § 1001 in a scheme to defraud the “two witnesses,” & obtain 125 TRILLION DOLLARS TO FUND pharmaceutical COMPANIES TO MAKE AND DISTRIBUTE WORLD-WIDE THE ILLEGAL, UNLAWFULWILLFUL CONCEALMENT THAT “NANO-TECH” COV-19 VACCINE(SENSORS, RFID TECH, LINKED TO YOUR CELL PHONE(WHICH 5G NETWORK), “ONE WORLD ORDER” INVISIBLE TATTOO, BUY OR SELL, CONCEALMENT OF THE COV-19 NANO-TECH BILL GATES MASTERCARD TRUST STAMP PREDICTIVE POLICING, ONE SOCIAL CREDIT SYSTEM, AI, TRANSHUMANISM,

USING TRICK, SCHEME TO COMING, CHANGE YOUR DNA, OUT WITH DIFFERENT VARIANTS(A NEW TECHNOLOGY TO “LUCIFER” “RACE”(LUCIFERASE) FLUORESCENT MONITOR COV-19 LEVELS, FLU, HEPATIST, DIBEDTES, HAVE YOUR HEALTH HISTORY AND RECORDS) AND

**ALSO LINKED TO THE PAYMENT SYSTEM THAT YOU MAY NOT BUY OR SELL
UNLESS YOU HAVE THE**

**LEADING TO INVISIBLE VACCINE ADMINSTRING “MARK” OTHERWISE
KNOWN AS “THE MARK OF THE BEAST” AND FUTURE TO HUMANITY IN
VIOLATION OF THE NUREMBERG CODE, ADDITION CONSPIRED TO DEFRAUD
THE U.S.A., CONSPIRACY WITH DONALD TRUMP, ON OR MORE CHINA, IRAN
AND/OR RUSSIA, TO COMMIT THE JAN. 6, 2020 ATTACKS AND IS RESPONSIBLE
FOR TREASON**

IS GUILTY OF RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C.

**UNLAWFULLY EMPLOYED AND/OR ASSOCIATING WITH AN ENTERPRIZE
ENGAGED IN OR ACTIVITIES THAT AFFECTED INTERSTATE AND/OR
FOREIGN COMMERCE, TO CONDUCT AND/OR PARTICIPATE DIRECTLY OR
INDIRECTLY IN THE CONDUCT OF AN ENTERPRISE AFFAIRS THRU THE
PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY OR COLLECTION OF AN UNLAWFUL
DEBT IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1962(C) AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)(b)
and/or(d)**

**CRIMINAL/PERSON AS DEFINED IN 18 U.S.C. §1961(3),CONSPIRED TO COMMIT
AND/OR COMMITTED TWO OR MORE RACKETEERING PREDICATE ACTS IN
VIOLATION OF THE RICO STATUE(AND COMMITTED ONE OR MORE EXTORTION,
MAIL FRAUD, WIRE FRAUD) PRAECIPE & NOTICE OF ADMIRALITY AND/OR
MARITIME CLAIM, PRACIPE AND NOTICE COMMON LAW REMEDIES PURSUANT
TO ADMRIALITY AND MARTIME LAW,**

**(CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TREASON, INTENTIONAL
WILLFUL HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, (CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TREASON, INTENTIONAL WILLFUL HUMAN
RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, DEPRIVATION OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY WILLFUL BREACHES OF
INTERNATIONAL PEACE, BREACH OF CONTRACT, VIOLATION OF THE
NEWB ETC.**

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

- AFFIDIVANT AND NOTICE OF PERMANENT APPEARANCE AS
COUNSEL AND/OR PROSECUTOR PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION**

ACT(CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE U.S.A. IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 371, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TREASON IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 2381, MISPRISION OF TREASON IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. 2382, AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MISPRISION OF TREASON IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 371 & 18 U.S.C. § 2382, FOR REBELLION OR INSURRECTION IN VIOLATION OF IN VIOLATION OF 18 § 2383, AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT REBELLION AND INSURRECTION IN VIOLAITON OF 18 U.S.C. § 371 & 18 U.S.C. § 2383, Seditious Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2384, Advocating to overthrow the Government in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2385

INTENTIONAL WILLFUL HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, VIOLATIONS OF THE NUMBERDEPRIVATION OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY WILLFUL BREACHES OF INTERNATIONAL PEACE, BREACH OF CONTRACT, ETC.

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH PRAECIPE, NOTICE OF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF(TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION) NOTICE OF ADMIRALITY AND MARITIME CLAIM AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT, NOTICE OF FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY, ARREST WARRANTS, INDICTMENTS, BILL OF PARTICULAR - LIST

,

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE “50
STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

CERTIFICATION

TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually
1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATION

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)certify that this filing in which relief is sought is from discrimination, based on on account of race, color, religion, sex or national origin and/or the denial of equal protection of the laws under the fourteenth amendment to the Constitution within the meaning of one or more 42 USC section 2000d and/or 42 USC section 2000e and certifies that the case is of general public importance.;⁴ the denial of human rights as defined in the Declaration of Human rights, (international laws and treaties); public importance. ⁵

I certify and/or declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

⁴ and/or one or more of the following 42 USC section s, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1985, 1986,[18 usc 241/242]
⁵ AND IS ENTITLED TO SAME RELIEF AS IF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT/ATTORNEY
GENERAL INSTITUTED THE ACTION).

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

AFFIDIVANT, NOTICE OF DISQUALIFICATION OF ALL OF JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT(AND PREDECESSORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM, ALL EXECUTIVE EMPLOYEES, ALL LEGISLATIVE EMPLOYEES, AND JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT "ALL INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT JUDGES," ALL INTERNATIONAL COMMON LAW CRIMINAL COURT JUDGES, "ALL GLOBAL JUDGES" FOR BEING UNLAWFULLY EMPLOYED AND/OR ASSOCIATING WITH AN ENTERPRISE ENGAGED IN OR ACTIVITIES THAT AFFECTED INTERSTATE AND/OR FOREIGN COMMERCE, TO CONDUCT AND/OR PARTICIPATE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY IN THE CONDUCT OF AN ENTERPRISE AFFAIRS THRU THE PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY OR COLLECTION OF AN UNLAWFUL DEBT IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1962(C) AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)(b) and/or(d)

**Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
et al HAYES VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP,

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C.20530

et al

DEFENDANTS

I Sharon Bridgewater a qualified witness and victim via **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] **EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY**[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- **(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY**(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) being duly sworn depose and state that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my belief:

THAT HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNER(PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERHIP), Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and/or individually(AND PREDESSCORS FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO HIS TERM,), Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually, Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or Individually, U.S. Supreme Court Justices, Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, John G. Roberts, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Brett Kavanaugh, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Amy Coney Barrett her official capacity and United States Supreme Justice and/or individually, Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Stephen G. Breyer, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Justice Elena Kagan in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court and/or individually, ALL EXECUTIVE BRANCH EMPLOYEES, ALL LEGISLATIVE EMPLOYEES, AND JUDICIAL EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, ALL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES(EXCEPT DETROIT, MICHIGAN FIRE FIGHTERS FLEET MANAGEMENT AND PERSONNEL) “ALL INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT JUDGES,” ALL INTERNATIONAL COMMON LAW CRIMINAL COURT JUDGES, “ALL GLOBAL JUDGES” et al are individuals, partnerships, corporations, associations, or other legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact although not a legal entity, an illegal “criminal racketeering enterprise” that all acted under the color of State law, discriminated against the two witnesses, based on race, class, socio-economic background, disability, religion, denied and/or deprived one or both equal protection under the law and/or equal priviledges and immunities, and violated the two witnesses 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 13th, 14th, U.S. Constitutional rights, and/or human rights as defined in the declaration of human rights, damaged and continue to damage, defraud the witnesses out of money and/or property, effect and continue to effect both Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater(and/or others) interstate commerce and/or foreign commerce and all are/were employed or associated with the enterprize, which engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity and/or conducted or participated((and/or invested in, maintained and/or conspired) in the conduct of the enterprize through a pattern of racketeering activity through and/or by the commission of at least two acts of racketeering activity as set forth in the indictment filed concurrently with this affidavit in violation of one or more 18 U.S.C. § 1962(C) AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a)(b) and/or(d) AND ALL ARE DISQUALIFIED FROM HOLDING PUBLIC OFFICE and must be removed from public office, enjoined from continuing to commit criminal acts against “our” business, person or property in a scheme to defraud us as well as “humanity”and must be prosecuted for their “numerous criminal violations” and racketeering conspiracy(treason, human rights violations, malicious crimes against humanity etc.) to defraud the two witnesses, the American people and humanity.

DATE: _____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**AFFIDIVANT, NOTICE, PRAECIPE
NOTICE OF VIDEO TELECONFERENCING
FOR CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS**

**INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
et al HAYES VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually

United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

VIDEO TELECONFERENCING FOR CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Pub. L. 116–136, div. B, title V, §15002, Mar. 27, 2020, 134 Stat. 527, provided that:

"(a) Definition.—In this section, the term 'covered emergency period' means the period beginning on the date on which the President declared a national emergency under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) [declaration issued Mar. 13, 2020, beginning Mar. 1, 2020, see 85 F.R. 15337] and ending on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the national emergency declaration terminates.

"(b) Video Teleconferencing for Criminal Proceedings.—

"(1) In general.—Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), if the Judicial Conference of the United States finds that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) will materially affect the functioning of either the Federal courts generally or a particular district court of the United States, the chief judge of a district court covered by the finding (or, if the chief judge is unavailable, the most senior available active judge of the court or the chief judge or circuit justice of the circuit that includes the district court), upon application of the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, or on motion of the judge or justice, may authorize the use of video

teleconferencing, or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available, for the following events:

- "(A) Detention hearings under section 3142 of title 18, United States Code.
 - "(B) Initial appearances under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure [18 U.S.C. App.].
 - "(C) Preliminary hearings under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(D) Waivers of indictment under Rule 7(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(E) Arraignments under Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(F) Probation and supervised release revocation proceedings under Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(G) Pretrial release revocation proceedings under section 3148 of title 18, United States Code.
 - "(H) Appearances under Rule 40 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(I) Misdemeanor pleas and sentencings as described in Rule 43(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
 - "(J) Proceedings under chapter 403 of title 18, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act'), except for contested transfer hearings and juvenile delinquency adjudication or trial proceedings.
- "(2) Felony pleas and sentencing.—

"(A) In general.—Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), if the Judicial Conference of the United States finds that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) will materially affect the functioning of either the Federal courts generally or a particular district court of the United States, the chief judge of a district court covered by the finding (or, if the chief judge is unavailable, the most senior available active judge of the court or the chief judge or circuit justice of the circuit that includes the district court) specifically finds, upon application of the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, or on motion of the judge or justice, that felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety, and the district judge in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, the plea or sentencing in that case may be conducted by video teleconference, or by telephone conference if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available.

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA “THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
et al HAYES VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP,

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually

The United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C.20530

et al

DEFENDANTS

I being duly sworn dispose and state that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my belief:

PRAECIPE, NOTICE & AFFIDIVANT, COMMON LAW REMEDIES OF COMMON LAW COURT ROOM, COMMON LAW MAGISTRATE JUDGE COMMON LAW JURY FOR CRIMINAL TRIAL OTHERWISE KNOWN AS “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” JUDGE, JURY AND EXECUTOR

ACT AKA HAYES VALLEY
APARTMENTS II L.P.) McCormack Baron Ragon Management Service Inc.(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar)MBA Urban Development, The Related Companies of California, INC. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership, American International Group INC. Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,
THE FEDERAL RESERVE, JACOB ROTHCHILD,
MICROSOFT, TELSA,
META(aka FACEBOOK) TWITTER,
FREDDIE MAC FANNIE MAE
ET AL

I [certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury](#) and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 [that the foregoing is true and correct](#). Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

.

DATE: _____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA “THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE “50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF RELATED
CIVIL AND/OR CRIMINAL CASES**

NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually
1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER

EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S), ssubmits this NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF RELATED CIVIL AND/OR CRIMINAL CASES in that they one or more relates to common property, involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in one or more court, involve one or more habeas corpus, social security appeal, were filed by pro se litigant, and/or involve civil rights cases filed by the same individual(s)ALL DISMISSED AND/OR CRIMINAL CHARGES BROUGHT AGAINST BOTH SHARON BRIDGEWATER AND/OR JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER ON THE ACCOUNT THAT ONE OR BOTH EXERCISED THEIR U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT AND FILED ONE OR MORE COMPLAINTS AND CONSTITUTE JUDICIAL RACKETEERING AND/OR 18 U.S.C. CODE § 1513 - Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant IN VIOLATION OF THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT.

:

ENTITLED: SHARON BRIDGWATER(AND/OR JAMES S.BRIDGEWATER) VS.
HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ET AL

AND

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA(AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. SHARON BRIDGEWATER(AND/OR
JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER) – CRIMINAL CASES – DISMISSED

LIST_____

1. Filed: June 10, 2011 as 3:2011cv02828 - JUDGE_____Defendant: Social Security Administration Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Petition for RemovalCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Torts - Injury › Other Personal InjuryDisposition –DISMISSED
2. Filed: December 1, 2010 as 3:2010cv05436 - JUDGE_____Defendant: Shawn Bankon, Jane Creason Kimball, Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and others Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Fed. QuestionCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition –DISMISSED
3. Filed: December 1, 2010 as _____ - JUDGE_____Defendant: Housing Authority of Alameda County, United States Housing and Urban

Development Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Fed.
QuestionCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District
CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition -DISMISSED

4. Sharon Bridgewater v. DeKalb County, et al Filed: November 17, 2010 as 10-15276 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff - Appellant: SHARON BRIDGEWATER Defendant - Appellee: DEKALB COUNTY, by and through Vernon Jones, Chief, N. T. MARTINELLI, Executive Officer; Chief of Police for the Dekalb County Police Department, C. SCHREINER, Police Officer; #2491; Individually and in her official capacity as the arresting Officer and others Court:Eleventh CircuitU.S. Court of Appeals, Eleventh CircuitType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition – DISMISSED – APPEALED – AND DISMISSED AGAIN
5. **Bridgewater v. Tonna et al**
Filed: November 3, 2010 as 3:2010cv04966 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater
Defendant: Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg
Cause Of Action: Fair Debt Collection Act
Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil Rights Disposition –DISMISSED
6. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: July 9, 2010 as 4:2010cv03022 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights ActCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition –DISMISSED
7. Bridgewater v. DeKalb County et al Filed: April 12, 2010 as 1:2010cv01082 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: DeKalb County, N. T. Martinelli, C. Schreiner and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights ActCourt:Eleventh Circuit › Georgia › Georgia Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Civil Rights: OtherDisposition -DISMISSEDAPPEALED TO THE US COURT OF APPEALS (GEORGIA)
8. Bridgewater v. Bankson et al Filed: February 18, 2010 as 3:2010cv00704 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball Tirey & St. John, LLP Cause Of Action: Civil Rights ActCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Torts - Property › Fraud or Truth-In-LendingDisposition –DISMISSED

9. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: February 18, 2010 as 3:2010cv00703 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Civil Rights › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED
10. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: December 1, 2009 as 4:2009cv05663- JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Civil Rights › NoneDisposition –DISMISSED
11. Bridgewater v. Bankson et al Filed: August 7, 2009 as 3:2009cv03639 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP Cause Of Action: Fed. Question Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Torts - Property › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED
12. Bridgewater v. Gwinnett County State of Georgia et al Filed: August 4, 2009 as 1:2009cv02131 - JUDGE_____Petitioner: Sharon Bridgewater Respondent: Gwinnett County State of Georgia, People of the State of Georgia Cause Of Action: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (State) Court:Eleventh Circuit › Georgia › Georgia Northern District Court Type:Other StatutesDisposition –DISMISSED
13. JUDGE_____ Filed: August 3, 2009 as 4:2009cv03551 Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Torts - Property › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED
14. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Filed: December 17, 2008 as 3:2008cv05622 - JUDGE_____Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Cause Of Action: Diversity Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Contract › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED

15. Bridgewater v. State of Georgia, County of Gwinnett Filed: September 22, 2008 as 1:2008cv02971 Respondent: State of Georgia, County of Gwinnett - JUDGE _____ Petitioner: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (State) Court: Eleventh Circuit › Georgia › Georgia Northern District Court Type: Prisoner Petitions › Habeas Corpus (General) Disposition – DISMISSED
16. State of Michigan vs. Sharon Bridgewater case # 122-1929 10/05/2012 (Washtenaw County 14A2 Judicial District Court (resisting, obstructing officer) removed from State Court to #44 Federal Court OUTSTANDING WARRANT
- 17.. State of Michigan vs. James S. Bridgewater case # 15117148 SM – UNDER STATE CUSTODY- DISMISSED
- 27.. State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater – Judge Randy Rich (Criminal) 11/20/2005 (Gwinnett County Superior Court/Lawrenceville, GA) case # 06-d-03943-S2 – UNDER STATE OF GEORGIA CUSTODY
18. Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch case # 1:12 CV-1332 (ABJ)
19. Klayman v. Obama 16-CV-80087 (Lynch and/or Obama Gun Control).
20. Filed: 10/5/2012 by State of Michigan vs. Sharon Bridgewater case # 122-1929 (Washtenaw County 14A2 Judicial District Court (criminal charge against Sharon Bridgewater resisting, obstructing officer) pending- outstanding warrant for arrest of Sharon Bridgewater.
- 21.. Filed: On or about Oct. 15, 2015 by State of Michigan vs. James S. Bridgewater case # 15117148 X-REF 1500220 (Redford County 17A Judicial District Court (criminal Charge against James S. Bridgewater Lying to a police officer).
- 22.. Filed Dec. 9, 2011 by Sharon Bridgewater case # 1:11 CV-3828-) DE-ABJ – Sharon Bridgewater Vs. Randy Rich (Northern District Court for the District of Georgia)
23. . Filed On or about Jan. 1, 2011 by Sharon Bridgewater case # 1:11 CV-4088-) DE-ABJ – Sharon Bridgewater Vs. Lawrenceville, Police Department, Randy Rich (Northern District Court for the District of Georgia)
24. **list all traffic tickets james received**
25. Filed on August 4, 2008, entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership case # CGC-08-478207.

Get cases off pacer already have

1. Filed: June 10, 2011 as 3:2011cv02828 -_Defendant: Social Security Administration Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Petition for RemovalCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Torts - Injury › Other Personal InjuryDisposition – DISMISSED

ix

2. Filed: December 1, 2010 as 3:2010cv05436 - Defendant: Shawn Bankon, Jane Creason Kimball, Hayes Valley Limited Partnership and others Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Fed. QuestionCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition –DISMISSED

3. Filed: December 1, 2010 as Defendant: Housing Authority of Alameda County, United States Housing and Urban Development Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Fed. QuestionCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition -DISMISSED

4. Sharon Bridgewater v. DeKalb County, et alFiled: November 17, 2010 as 10-15276 - Plaintiff - Appellant: SHARON BRIDGEWATER Defendant - Appellee: DEKALB COUNTY, by and through Vernon Jones, Chief, N. T. MARTINELLI, Executive Officer; Chief of Police for the Dekalb County Police Department, C. SCHREINER, Police Officer; #2491; Individually and in her official capacity as the arresting Officer and others Court:Eleventh CircuitU.S. Court of Appeals, Eleventh CircuitType:Civil Rights › Other Civil RightsDisposition – DISMISSED – APPEALED – AND DISMISSED AGAIN

5. Bridgewater v. Tonna et al
Filed: November 3, 2010 as 3:2010cv04966 Plaintiff: Sharon
Bridgewater
Defendant: Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg
Cause Of Action: Fair Debt Collection Act
Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District
CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil Rights Disposition –
DISMISSED
6. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: July 9,
2010 as 4:2010cv03022 - Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant:
Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan
Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others
Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California ›
California Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Other Civil
Rights Disposition –DISMISSED
7. Bridgewater v. DeKalb County et al Filed: April 12, 2010 as
1:2010cv01082 - JUDGE Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant:
DeKalb County, N. T. Martinelli, C. Schreiner and others Cause Of
Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Eleventh Circuit › Georgia › Georgia
Northern District CourtType:Civil Rights › Civil Rights:
Other Disposition -DISMISSED APPEALED TO THE US COURT OF
APPEALS (GEORGIA)

x

8. Bridgewater v. Bankson et al Filed: February 18, 2010 as
3:2010cv00704 - Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Shawn
Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball Tirey & St. John, LLP Cause Of
Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California
Northern District CourtType:Torts - Property › Fraud or Truth-In-
Lending Disposition –DISMISSED

9. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: February 18, 2010 as 3:2010cv00703 -Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Civil Rights › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED
10. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al Filed: December 1, 2009 as 4:2009cv05663- Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Civil Rights › NoneDisposition –DISMISSED
11. Bridgewater v. Bankson et al Filed: August 7, 2009 as 3:2009cv03639 - Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP Cause Of Action: Fed. Question Court:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District Court Type:Torts - Property › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED
12. Bridgewater v. Gwinnett County State of Georgia et al Filed: August 4, 2009 as 1:2009cv02131 - Petitioner: Sharon Bridgewater Respondent: Gwinnett County State of Georgia, People of the State of Georgia Cause Of Action: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (State) Court:Eleventh Circuit › Georgia › Georgia Northern District Court Type:Other StatutesDisposition –DISMISSED
13. Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership et al - Filed: August 3, 2009 as 4:2009cv03551 Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant: Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc., MBA Urban Development Co. and others Cause Of Action: Civil Rights Act Court:Ninth Circuit › California ›

California Northern District CourtType:Torts - Property ›
PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED

14.Bridgewater v. Hayes Valley Limited PartnershipFiled: December 17,
2008 as 3:2008cv05622 - Plaintiff: Sharon Bridgewater Defendant:
Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Cause Of Action:
DiversityCourt:Ninth Circuit › California › California Northern District
CourtType:Contract › PlaintiffDisposition –DISMISSED

XI

15.Bridgewater v. State of Georgia, County of GwinnettFiled: September
22, 2008 as 1:2008cv02971 Respondent: State of Georgia, County of
Gwinnett - Petitioner: Sharon Bridgewater Cause Of Action: Petition
for Writ of Habeas Corpus (State)Court:Eleventh Circuit › Georgia ›
Georgia Northern District CourtType:Prisoner Petitions › Habeas
Corpus (General)Disposition –DISMISSED

16.State of Michigan vs. Sharon Bridgewater case # 122-
1929
10/05/2012 (Washtenaw County 14A2 Judicial District Court(resisting,
obstructing
officer)removed from State Court to #44 Federal Court OUTSTANDING
WARRANT

17.. State of Michigan vs. James S. Bridgewater case # 15117148 SM –

18.State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater – Judge Randy Rich(Criminal)
11/20/2005(Gwinnett County Superior Court/Lawrenceville, GA) case #
06-d-03943-
S2 – UNDER STATE OF GEORGIA CUSTODY

19. Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch case # 1:12 CV-1332(ABJ)

20.Klayman v. Obama 16-CV-80087(Lynch and/or Obama Gun Control).

21. Filed Dec. 9, 2011 by Sharon Bridgewater case # 1:11 CV-3828-)DE-ABJ –Sharon

Bridgewater Vs. Randy Rich(Northern District Court for the District of Georgia)

22. .Filed On or about Jan. 1, 2011 by Sharon Bridgewater case # 1:11 CV-4088-)DE-ABJ –

Sharon Bridgewater Vs. Lawrenceville, Police Department, Randy Rich(Northern District Court for the District of Georgia)

23. State of Texas et al. vs United States of America civil case No. B-14-254

24. Filed on August 4, 2008, entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited

Partnership case # CGC-08-478207

25. 0:16-cvus-05078 US Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch in her official

Capacity as United States Attorney General

26. Case # 1:12-CV-01332(ABJ) Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch in his official capacity As United States Attorney General and/or Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General

XII

27. State of Texas, et al vs. United States of America, Department of Education; John B.

King, JR. in his official capacity as States Secreatry of Education; United States

Department of Justice; Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney

General et al Case # 7:16-CV-00054-O

28. Judicial Watch, Inc. vs. Department of State 1:13-cv-01363-EGS

29. Judicial watch vs. Department of Justice 12-1510(JDB)

30. Case # 07-000915177 –State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater

31. Case # SX26752372 SI AND SX26752371 ST State of Michigan vs. James Shannon

Bridgewater

32. Case # d-01—91-311 State of Georgia – Dekalb County vs. Sharon Bridgewater(Theft by

Taking)

33. 0:16-cv-05078 US Committee and Oversight vs. Loretta Lynch in her official

Capacity as United States Attorney General

34. Tarequ Aquel Mohammed Aziz, et al vs. Donald Trump in his official capacity as United

States President et al Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-116

35. Civil action No. 1:17-cv-480

36. Judicial Watch vs. Eric Holder

38. Judicial Watch vs. Covington, Burlington, Eric Holder

39. Case # 1:17-CV-00421 Judicial Watch vs. Department of Justice

40. Case # 1:17-CV-00414 Judicial Watch vs. Central Intelligence Agency

41. Klayman v. Obama 16-CV-80087(Lynch and/or Obama Gun Control).

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE: _____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the)
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**) DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE
) AFFILIATION AND FINANCIAL
) INTEREST PURSUANT TO LCvR 26.1
) AND/OR THE "THE 50 STATES RULE OF
) PROCEDURE" VIA COMMON LAW**

TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S), counsel of record certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the following are parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, or

companies which own at least 10% of the stock of _____-which have any outstanding securities in the hands of the public.(LIST HERE ALL SUCH PARENT COMPANIE WHICH OWN AT ALEAST 10% OF THE STOCK OF THE CORPORATION.)THESE REPRESENTATIONS ARE MADE IN ORDER THAT JUDGES OF THIS COURT MANY DETERMINE THE RESCUSAL

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE
AFFILATION AND FINANCIAL
INTEREST PURSUANT TO LCR 44.6
AND/OR “THE 50 STATES RULE OF
PROCEDURE VIA COMMON LAW**

Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually

United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary,

housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)counsel of record certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the following are parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, or companies which have any outstanding securities in the hands of the public.(LIST HERE ALL SUCH PARENT COMPANIE WHICH OWN AT ALEAST 10% OF THE STOCK OF THE CORPORATION.)
THESE REPRESENTATIONS ARE MADE IN ORDER THAT JUDGES OF THIS COURT MANY DETERMINE THE RESCUSAL

I [certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury](#) and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 [that the foregoing is true and correct](#). Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

ATTORNEY CERTIFICATION AND
CERTIFICATE OF GOOD STANDING
PURSUANT TO THE “RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION STATUE VIA THE 50
STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT –
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
GENERAL IN FACT

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or Individually

United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

et al

DEFENDANTS

I Sharon Bridgewater a victim and/or witness of racketeering activity, injured and harmed and continued to be harmed in business, person or property (on behalf of my son and a class of person(s) are authorized via to appear and practice in this court via THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B

Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) certify that I that the above is duly admitted and/or “licensed” to appear and practice in this court of record in this state as well as the other “50 States” and is a “member in good standing.”

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

2. Constitutional Amendment

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

**NOTICE OF FORFEITURE OF
PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 18 USC
SECTION 1963D**

**INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50
STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
)3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as
United States Attorney General and/or
Individually

United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
et al

DEFENDANTS

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 18 USC SECTION 1963D

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for

Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) give this notice of forfeiture of property pursuant to 18 USC section 1963(d) seek the forfeiture of property as part of any sentence in accordance with the applicate statute. The property subjects to forfeiture is more fully dscribed as “all” Real Property, including things growing on, affixed to, and found in land, and tangible and intangible property inkling right, privileges, interests, claims and securities. Sharon Bridgewater will suffer immediate and irreparable injury, and damage if the Defendant is permitted to dispose of or otherwise render unavailable the proepty subject to forfeiture.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona,
Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut,
Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho,
Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,)
Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New)
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New)
York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,)
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode)
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,)
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,)
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,)
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the)
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US
Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna
Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES
AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE “50
STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

PRACIPE/NOTICE AND WRIT OF
PROHIBITION

TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
et al HAYES VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP,

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as

United States Attorney General and/or

Individually

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530

et al

DEFENDANTS

WRIT OF PROHIBITION

IN RE:

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis)
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY

EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

WRIT OF PROHIBITION

The President of the United States to the “Dishonorable” United States Supreme Court Justices Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, John G. Roberts, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, AMY C. BARRETT in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Stephen G. Breyer, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Elena Kagan in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY, Samuel Alito in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY,

It is shown to the by Sharon Bridgewater that the Prosecution of Sharon Bridgewater violates one or more of Sharon Bridgewater _____ respectfully requesting the aid of the Supreme Court, has prayed remedy by a writ of prohibition, to be issued out of the Western District Court directed to you, to prohibit you from “ISSUING ANY RULING AND/OR ORDERS” prosecuting” Sharon Bridgewater.

You, therefore, are prohibited, from making intentional false material representations to the General Public REGARDING THE CORONAVIRUS VACCINE, nor attempt anything in the district, nor procure to be done, which may be in anyway to the prejudice of Sharon Bridgewater

[Teste]

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

BILL OF PARTICULARS

RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY
18 USC § 1962(d)

MONEY LAUNDERING

RACKETEERING CONSPRACY
FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS
18 U.S.C § 981(18 U.S.C. § 1963
AND

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,**

Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF
THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE
“50 STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1,
1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and
those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE
INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting
the federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals through
illegal activities,), one or more of the following
companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a
Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc.,
a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater -
Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR
PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C.

PRAECIPE, NOTICE & AFFIDIVANT OF COMMON LAW REMEDIES OF COMMON LAW COURT ROOM, COMMON LAW MAGISTRATE JUDGE COMMON LAW JURY FOR CRIMINAL TRIAL OTHERWISE KNOWN AS “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” JUDGE, JURY AND EXECUTOR TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT & Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) and/or “common law procedure,” the Plaintiff(s) and/or Claimant(s) requires a complaint to contain a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief so as to give the defendant fair notice of the claim and the ground upon which it rests.

Comes now the “The 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Relator” to provide a short plain statement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) showing that “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR is entitled to relief and to give all the Defendants fair notice “once again” of the claim and the ground upon which it rests, and to vigorously prosecute all offenders for Racketeering Conspiracy with the duties as the “United States Attorney General and furthermore provide this BILL OF PARTICULARS, FURNISHED BY THE THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, **Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) **PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)** “REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE “50 STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global

Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) IS as follows:

- 1. MIRANDA WARNING**
- 2. INDICTMENT**
- 3. DEFAULT JUDGMENT**
- 4.**

INDICTMENT

AT ALL TIME RELELEVANT TO THIS INDICTMENT

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The Grand Jury Charges:

1.. Defendant Joe Biden is a resident or citizen of Washington D.C. and/or Delaware employed with the United States Government

with conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and 21 counts of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, 2(a), and 2(b). Treason

THE ENTERPRIZE

PURPOSE OF ENTERPRIZE

“These defendants engaged in a massive, “international/Global” 27 year continuing scheme to defraud & exploit two witnesses and to fund a massive

18 U.S.C. § 1001 acts of making false statements, falsifying, concealing or covering up when they had a duty to speak the truth about the coronavirus vaccine in that

It is all part of Bill Gates predicitieve policing, master stamp system, to

Vaccine

Treason

A concealment may involve a failure to disclose or partial disclosures of information required on an application form; however, when using such a theory, the government must prove that the defendant had a duty to disclose the facts in question at the time of the alleged concealment of them. *United States v. Irwin*, 654 F.2d 671, 678-79 (10th Cir. 1981), *cert. denied*, 455 U.S. 1016 (1982).

purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful function of any department of government . . . (A)ny conspiracy which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially acquired in the way and at the time required by law or departmental regulation. *Hass*, 216 U.S. at 479-480. In *Hammerschmidt*, Chief Justice Taft, defined "defraud" as follows:

To conspire to defraud the United States means primarily to cheat the Government out of property or money, but it also means to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest. It is not necessary that the Government shall be subjected to property or pecuniary loss by the fraud, but only that its legitimate official action and purpose shall be defeated by misrepresentation, chicane or the overreaching of those charged with carrying out the governmental intention. *Hammerschmidt*, 265 U.S. at 188.

The general purpose of this part of the statute is to protect governmental functions from frustration and distortion through deceptive practices. Section 371 reaches "any conspiracy for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful function of any department of Government." *Tanner v. United States*, 483 U.S. 107, 128 (1987); see *Dennis v. United States*, 384 U.S. 855 (1966). The "defraud part of section 371 criminalizes any willful impairment of a legitimate function of government, whether or not the improper acts or objective are criminal under another statute." *United States v. Tuohey*, 867 F.2d 534, 537 (9th Cir. 1989).

The word "defraud" in Section 371 not only reaches financial or property loss through use of a scheme or artifice to defraud but also is designed and intended to protect the integrity of the United States and its agencies, programs and policies. *United States v. Burgin*, 621 F.2d 1352, 1356 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 449 U.S. 1015 (1980); see *United States v. Herron*, 825 F.2d 50, 57-58 (5th Cir.); *United States v. Winkle*, 587 F.2d 705, 708 (5th Cir. 1979), *cert. denied*, 444 U.S. 827 (1979). Thus, proof that the United States has been defrauded under this statute does not require any showing of monetary or proprietary loss. *United States v. Conover*, 772 F.2d 765 (11th Cir. 1985), *aff'd, sub. nom. Tanner v. United States*, 483 U.S. 107 (1987); *United States v. Del Toro*, 513 F.2d 656 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 423 U.S. 826 (1975); *United States v. Jacobs*, 475 F.2d 270 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 414 U.S. 821 (1973).

Thus, if the defendant and others have engaged in dishonest practices in connection with a program administered by an agency of the Government,

COMMON LAW JURISDICTION

THE SUPREMECY OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, ADMIRALTY AND/OR MARITIME LAW/

CRIMINAL AND/OR EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION

TORTIOUS BREACH OF "INTERNATIONAL MARITIME CONTRACT," HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, TERRORISM, THE TWO WITNESSES, HUMANITY AND/OR OTHER CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY FOREIGN OFFICIALS(FOREIGN NATIONALS, ONE OR MORE XI JINPING, V. PUTIN, A.MERKEL, EMMANUAL MACRON, BORIS JOHNSON, CONSPIRING WITH U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES, JOE BIDEN, K. HARRIS TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES TO OBTAIN 125 TRILLION TO "PUSH" THE COV-19 BIO-, IN ADDITION TO THE MONEY LAUNDERING SCHEME VIA THE PUBLIC/HOUSING PARTNERHSHIP AGREEMENT – BREACH OF

INTERNATIONAL CONTRACT – APPLIES CRIMINALLY TO THESE PEOPLE VIA
THE RACKETEERING INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT FOR
FOREIGN OFFICIALS ACTS OCCURRING OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

ARE SUBJECT TO PROSECUTION IN THE UNITED STATES

UNIVERSAL JURISDICTION!!

RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, INTERNATIONAL CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY(GENOCIDE, TERRORISM, SLAVERY)

That which derives its force and authority from the universal consent and immemorial practice of the peopleThe basic jurisdiction of the sovereign The 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater private Attorney General and/or Relator include the right to define and punish crimes. Art. I, section 8, col. 10 of the U.S. Constitution provides that Congress shall have power to define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and offenses against the Laws of Nations. Congress may declare criminal under U. S. law, acts that are criminal under international law via legislation(The Racketeered influenced and Corrupt Organization Act and/or Authority:

HIGH COURT JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 75(V)

Section 75(v) and the constitutional limitation

Section 75(v) of the *Constitution* provides that '[i]n all matters ... in which a writ of Mandamus or prohibition or an injunction is sought against an officer of the Commonwealth ... the High Court shall have original jurisdiction'. It is now established that the existence of s 75(v) gives rise to a constitutionally entrenched minimum provision of judicial review.

**PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CLAIMANT INCORPORATE AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE,
CRIMINAL CHARGES AND INFORMATION AS FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN**

The basic jurisdiction of any sovereign state includes the right to define and punish crimes. The U.S. Constitution provides (Art. I, sec. 8, col. 10) that Congress shall have power "to define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offences against the Laws of Nations." Under this provision, Congress may identify and declare criminal under U.S. law, acts that are criminal under international law. Normally this is done by legislation. The domestic law of the United States is part of the fabric of international criminal law insofar as that national law provides for the recognition and punishment of international offenses. It has generally been the practice of the United States to recognize and punish international crimes only when they are embodied in U.S. treaties and implemented by federal legislation. <https://law.jrank.org/pages/1392/International-Criminal-Law-Defining-international-crimes.html> Read more: [International Criminal Law - Defining International Crimes - War, Convention, United, and Torture - JRank Articles](https://law.jrank.org/pages/1392/International-Criminal-Law-Defining-International-Crimes-War-Convention-United-and-Torture-JRank-Articles) <https://law.jrank.org/pages/1392/International-Criminal-Law-Defining-international-crimes.html#ixzz7DdgoVYQX> Read more: [International Criminal Law - Defining International Crimes - War, Convention, United, and Torture - JRank Articles](https://law.jrank.org/pages/1392/International-Criminal-Law-Defining-International-Crimes-War-Convention-United-and-Torture-JRank-Articles) <https://law.jrank.org/pages/1392/International-Criminal-Law-Defining-international-crimes.html#ixzz7DdgODyxr>

List all u.s. constitutional right and/or human rights claims for relief

Illegal monopoly, violation of Sherman acts etc.

corporation. [name of indi
13. Defendant parent corporation and een

the stockholders of defendant subsidiary B in ento

Jacob Rothchild, David rockerferllor, bill gates,

**PLAINTIFF INCORPORATE RELATED CASES – ALL
DISMISSED –**

**THEFT, MULTIPLE ACTS OF WIRE FRAUD, MAIL FRAUD
PERJURY, FALSE DECLARATIONS, FALSE ARREST,
ASSAULT AND BATTERY, TWO ACTS OF EXTORTIONS**

**PLAINTIFF INCORPORATE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND
VERIFICATION AS FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN, WHICH
OPERATES AS ONE OR MORE CLAIMS OF RELIEF, FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT ETC.**

PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CLAIMANT(S)
INCORPORATE

The “Core” International Crimes

International criminal law (ICL) is a quite new and constantly developing branch of public international law, which deals with the criminal responsibility of individuals for the most serious violations of international human rights and humanitarian laws. ICL, identifying a certain number of “international crimes”, wants to expose perpetrators of such serious violations to personal criminal liability and provides for criminal sanctions that apply to all offenders.

The concept of “international crimes ” is not defined by a universally accepted formulation, however they are usually referred to as “breaches of international rules entailing the personal criminal liability of the individuals concerned (as opposed to the responsibility of the State of which the individuals may act as organs)”[1], “crimes that involve direct individual criminal responsibility under international law”[2] or even “punishable acts or conduct proscribed by international law”[3].

ICL outlines four main categories of international crimes: genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and the crime of aggression

IN ADDITION TO crimes such as terrorism and piracy (to just name a few) which are still object of controversy among the members of the international community.

Criminal accountability for those “core crimes” is considered by the international community of fundamental importance with regard to respect for the rule of law, deterrence of future violations, and the provision of redress and justice for victims.

These criminal conducts are considered to affect the international community as a whole and, consequently, all states have an interest to prevent the occurrence of these particularly heinous crimes and in holding the perpetrators accountable. Indeed, perpetrators of international crimes may be convicted on the basis of their own direct acts or omissions, or when ordering and facilitating a crime. This includes those who directly commit the crimes as well as those who, at the highest political and military levels, are involved in the planning and authorization of such acts.

Thus, the individual criminal responsibility for international crimes can be held in parallel with the responsibility of the state.

The international crimes have been defined over time in a range of international conventions and agreements, beginning with the first Hague Conventions, at the end of the 19th century, which established rules for military conduct during wartime, up to the Rome Statute^[4] that, in 1998, established the International Criminal Court (ICC) with jurisdiction over the four “core crimes” (genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and the crime of aggression).[ACTS OF TERRORISM]

It is on the base of the Rome Statute provisions, which represent the most comprehensive modern codification of international crimes, that the following paragraphs will synthetically explore the features the four “core crimes”.

Genocide

Genocide is defined by the Rome Statute as one of the following acts : (a) Killing members of the group; (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group, committed with “the intention to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group”^[5].

Genocide is essentially considered an attack upon human diversity^[6]. The term was crafted with the Holocaust in mind, it is composed of the Greek word ‘geno’ (tribe or race) and the Latin verb ‘caedere’ (to kill)^[7]. The essence of genocide is the destruction of the cohesion and moral dignity of a group as collective entity, as an element of international society.^[8]

In the Nuremberg judgment the Holocaust was punished under the notion of ‘crimes against humanity’, which includes persecution and extermination. Only one year after the Nuremberg judgment, in 1946, the UN General Assembly adopted a resolution in which it ‘affirmed’ that genocide is a crime under international law^[9]. The UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide eventually defined the crime as an independent conduct in 1948^[10]. The definition of the Convention was then reproduced in the Statutes of international criminal courts and tribunals, such as the ad hoc tribunals for the former Yugoslavia^[11] , Rwanda^[12] and, lastly, the ICC.

The spirit of the crime of genocide lies in the attack on specific protected groups of victims. It can only be committed against national, ethnic, racial or religious groups^[13]. Other groups, such as political or cultural groups, are not recognized as protected groups per se^[14]. Genocide does not require the actual destruction of a protected group. However, according to the Convention, the enumerated acts must be committed with the ‘intent’ of the perpetrator to destroy the group in whole or in part’ (‘specific intent’). Moreover, Genocide is the only crime in which incitement is expressly prohibited^[15]. The prohibition takes into account that genocide is often spread through mass mobilization. While drafting the Genocide Convention the delegates of the State parties decided to criminalize public and direct incitement to genocide in order to counter emerging patterns of genocide and take

into account the specific risks of incitement of an indeterminate group of persons (e.g. through speeches, radio, press or other media)[16].

As cultural factors, such as social, historical and linguistic features, are often necessary to explain whether a group qualifies as a racial, ethnic, religious or national group, the definition of the extent to which cultural destruction may amount to genocide has generated a long debate[17]. As a matter of facts, the cultural dimension play an important role in the determination of genocide and, technically, the actus reus of genocide is not confined to killing. Physical and biological destruction are then often complemented by the elimination of cultural features, property or symbols.

Crimes against humanity

Crimes against humanity are identified by the art.7 of the Rome Statute, which contains their most comprehensive modern treaty codification[18], as the following acts: (a) Murder; (b) Extermination; (c) Enslavement; (d) Deportation or forcible transfer of population; (e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law; (f) Torture; (g) Rape, sexual slavery, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, enforced sterilization, or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity; (h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court; (i) Enforced disappearance of persons; (j) The crime of apartheid; (k) Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health. Paragraph 1 of art.7 requires the mentioned acts, part of which are also recognized as common crimes by many national legal frameworks, must be committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population.

It appears clear that the concept of crimes against humanity retains some features of genocide, such as the idea of extermination. However, crimes against humanity differ from genocide, as while the latter focuses on the collective nature of the victims as a group, identified by nationality, ethnicity, race or religion, they are inclined to penalize the collective nature of the perpetration of crimes[19]. Crimes against humanity are attacks on civilian populations that are at risk because of their presence in the targeted population.

The basic idea is that, where exists a collective action of an organization that causes harm to the civilian population throughout a widespread or systematic violence, a crime is no longer simply an ordinary crime under domestic law but an international crime. Therefore, the peculiar element that distinguishes crimes against humanity from domestic crimes is the context in which they are committed, as part of a widespread or systematic attack against the civilian population.

Marking a significant development in international law, crimes against humanity were codified, for the first time, in the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal. Indeed, until then, the conduct of a state towards its own citizens was strictly considered a matter of internal affairs.

Nevertheless, in the first codification, the crimes against humanity could not be charged independently of a nexus to other crimes, they had to be linked to war crimes or crimes against peace (aggression). As seen in Statutes of major international criminal courts and, lastly, in the Rome Statute, the concept of crimes against humanity was then unbound from its war-related nexus and was developed more in line with the human rights tradition. Indeed, Crimes against humanity can now be committed in both the context of armed conflict and in peacetime. The reference to the concept of 'civilians' in the definition of the crime is the only reminiscence of the historical linkage to war crimes.

Crimes against humanity could be differentiated in two categories of offences. The first one encompasses the so-called murder-type offences. They include murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation or forcible transfer of population, torture, acts of sexual violence or enforced disappearance of persons. Some but not all of them are criminal offences in national legal systems. They were banned internationally because of their cruelty and barbarity. The second type of offences is 'persecution'-related. They are typically geared at persecution of a specific group of people on racial, religious or political grounds[20] and often may not be considered criminal or even prohibited in national legal systems.

The notion of attack against civilian population of the crimes against humanity is understood in an extensive way, including the use of armed force and any form of mistreatment or discriminatory practices. An attack is considered 'widespread' if it is conducted on a large scale and, even if there is no specific numerical threshold, it results in a large number of victims[21]. The term 'systematic' used to define the attacks refers to their organized nature, such as the repetition of similar criminal conduct on a regular basis.[22] In absence of the repetitive element, the systematic nature of the attacks could be also substantiated by the existence of a plan concerning attacks or other factors such as, for instance, the existence of a particular policy or ideology to destroy or weaken a community, discriminatory measures, measures changing the demography of the population, or the involvement of high-level political and/or military leaders in the establishment of the plan[23].

War crimes

War crimes are the oldest category of international crimes. They are grounded in international humanitarian law (IHL), traditionally known as *jus in bello* (the law of war), an independent branch of public international law. IHL regulates the conduct of parties engaged in an armed conflict and seeks to minimize suffering and harm. It is based on a balance between military necessities and humanitarian considerations. Indeed, in armed conflict, certain acts of violence, such as attacking enemy's military objectives, are allowed (lawful) and others prohibited (unlawful). International humanitarian law regulates both lawful and unlawful acts of violence reconciling the two different perspectives, on one side, the humanitarian commitment 'to prevent or mitigate suffering', on the other, the pragmatic warfare necessity of overcoming the enemy.

Historically, a turning point in the codification of international humanitarian law has been identified in the battle of Solferino[24] and the subsequent issue of the first Geneva Convention[25] for the amelioration of the condition of wounded combatants. The body of IHL rules was then complemented by the 'Hague law'[26], on the rights and obligations of

belligerents in the conduct of military, and the 'Geneva law'[\[27\]](#) designed to protect victims of armed conflict and specific categories of persons, such as prisoners of war, detainees, civilians and humanitarian aid workers. Nowadays, nearly every state in the world has agreed to be bound by IHL provisions and the core of them is considered as customary international law.

However, only specific and serious violations of international humanitarian law are criminalized as "war crimes". The initial IHL provisions failed to specify whether a violation entailed criminal responsibility and the "War crimes law" was developed incrementally and through practice in the twentieth century. Even the Geneva Conventions and its Protocols, by qualifying the so-called grave breaches as 'war crimes', created a certain initial confusion from a conceptual point of view, since the idea of "grave breaches" implies a hierarchy, whereby certain violations are considered grave enough to qualify as crimes, whilst others do not. One of the key prerequisites of a war crime is that the crime is connected to the armed conflict. This is a necessary requirement to distinguish war crimes from ordinary offences[\[28\]](#). Upon war crimes is established a universal jurisdiction[\[29\]](#), which entitles a State to prosecute offenders even in the absence of any link between the crime committed and the prosecuting state. In order to make this principle effective, States are required to establish universal jurisdiction for war crimes in their national legislation. The basis for the assertion of universal jurisdiction over war crimes is found in both treaty law and in customary international law.[\[30\]](#) The ICC Statute consider the war crimes in art. 8 providing a comprehensive list of them and containing an additional element that specifies the ICC has jurisdiction 'in particular' when war crimes are 'committed as part of a plan or policy or as part of a large-scale commission of crimes'.

The large majority of war crimes can be traced back to the violation of certain fundamental principles of international humanitarian law grounded in the protection of persons and property[\[31\]](#). A first fundamental principle is the principle of protection of non-combatants that requires parties to an armed conflict to treat civilians, prisoners of war and wounded or sick former combatants humanely. A second key principle is the principle of distinction. It requires parties to a conflict at all times to distinguish between civilians and combatants and direct the attacks only against combatants and military objects. They must not be directed against civilians or civilian objects, such as churches, hospitals or private residences that are not used for military purposes. The ICC Statute also expressly prohibits attacks on humanitarian assistance and peacekeeping missions, as long as they are entitled to civilian protection[\[32\]](#). A third fundamental principle under international humanitarian law is the principle of proportionality. It prohibits an attack on a military objective if such an attack may be expected to cause excessive collateral damage (such as loss of civilian life, injury to civilians and damage to civilian objects) in relation to the concrete and direct military advantage anticipated. Lastly, the fourth fundamental principle is the prohibition on employing weapons, ammunition, materials and methods of warfare of a nature to cause superfluous injury and unnecessary suffering to members of the armed forces and civilians who directly participate in hostilities.

To be held accountable of war crimes the required mental elements may differ in terms of their thresholds. On this matter, the ICC sets a relatively high mens rea standard stating that, unless otherwise provided, intent in relation to consequence exists only if the person 'means to cause that consequence or is aware that it will occur in the ordinary course of events' [33]. Crime of aggression.

Aggression is one of the most controversial crimes in international criminal law[34]. Likewise war crimes, it is essentially connected to armed violence. Still, aggression does not involve a breach of the jus in bello, but a criminalization of certain forms of recourse to force (jus ad bellum). Aggression is usually defined as the most serious and dangerous form of illegal use of force by a state against the sovereignty, territorial integrity or political independence of another state[35].

The crime of aggression highlights the trend towards a jus contra bellum in the international legal order, characterized by the restriction of the use of armed force in international relations. Despite that, the crime has a troubled past and in most historical cases, it has been prosecuted after the fact.

In the first version of ICC Statute the crime of aggression was just symbolically included in Article 5 but its exercise of jurisdiction remained pending on the formulation of a proper definition. Only after years of debates and negotiations, at the Kampala Review Conference (2010), states reached agreement on a definition of the crime and the conditions under which the Court can exercise jurisdiction. The new definition identifies as "crime of aggression" the planning, preparation, initiation or execution, by a person in a position effectively to exercise control over or to direct the political or military action of a State, of an act of aggression which, by its character, gravity and scale, constitutes a manifest violation of the Charter of the United Nations[36]. The Kampala definition describes aggression as a leadership crime and extends individual criminal responsibility from the traditional concept of 'war of aggression' to 'acts of aggression' according with General Assembly Resolution 3314 definitions[37]. The idea of individual criminal responsibility is closely linked to unlawful state action in international relations. Therefore, an individual cannot incur responsibility in the absence of an act of aggression under international law.[38]

In practice, types of aggression may vary from mere violations of sovereignty (e.g. targeted air strikes) to interventions with on-site presence or other unlawful uses of force. These acts may involve high civilian casualties or loss of life and disturb peace and security. In other cases, they may cause limited human damage, or even be exercised with the intent to protect peace and security or human rights.[39]

However, several are the factors that limit the definition of a conduct as "act of aggression". As stated in art.8 bis of the ICC Statute, the crime of aggression requires an act which 'by its character, gravity and scale' constitutes 'a manifest violation of the Charter'. These factors distinguish the crime of aggression from general violations of the prohibition of the use of force, resulting in the fact that not every illegal use of force entails individual criminal responsibility for aggression.

crimes of aggression committed by non-state parties against them (i.e. on their territory), although they enjoy such protection for other categories of crimes[43].

importance in the advancement of human rights protection. both the 'public' and 'private' sides of violence thus protecting different interests: state interests, the autonomy and dignity of individuals and group rights.

[15] Art.3 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, 9 December 1948 : The following acts shall be punishable:

- (a) Genocide; (b) Conspiracy to commit genocide; (c) Direct and public incitement to commit genocide; (d) Attempt to commit genocide; (e) Complicity in genocide.

Russia made a proposal to cover it in the Genocide Convention as follows: 'In this Convention genocide also means any deliberate act committed with the intent to destroy the language, religion or culture of a national, racial or religious group on grounds of national or religious origin, or religious beliefs such as: (a) Prohibiting the use of the language of the group in daily intercourse or in schools or the printing and circulation of publications in the language of the group; (b) destroying or preventing the use of libraries, museums, schools, historical monuments, places of worship or other cultural institutions and objects of the group.'

crimes committed by certain civilians not taking part in hostilities against other civilians should automatically qualify as war crimes.

Universal jurisdiction over war crimes –(03/2014): "The treaty basis for the assertion of universal jurisdiction was first introduced by the four Geneva Conventions of 1949 for the protection of war victims in relation to those violations of the Conventions defined as grave breaches. Under the relevant article of each Convention (Arts 49, 50, 129 and 146, respectively), States are required to search for alleged offenders "regardless of their nationality," and either bring them before their own courts or hand them over for trial by another State Party which has made out a prima facie case. While the relevant treaty law provisions are restricted to grave breaches, universal jurisdiction in customary international law may be regarded as extending to all violations of the laws and customs of war which constitute war crimes."

BREACH OF "INTERNATIONAL MARITIME CONTRACT"

VIOLATIONS OF THE NUREMBERG CODE

Introduction The judgment by the war crimes tribunal at Nuremberg laid down 10 standards to which physicians must conform when carrying out experiments on human subjects in a new code that is now accepted worldwide. This judgment established a new standard of ethical medical behaviour for the post World War II human rights era. Amongst other requirements, this

document enunciates the requirement of voluntary informed consent of the human subject. The principle of voluntary informed consent protects the right of the individual to control his own body. This code also recognizes that the risk must be weighed against the expected benefit, and that unnecessary pain and suffering must be avoided. This code recognizes that doctors should avoid actions that injure human patients. The principles established by this code for medical practice now have been extended into general codes of medical ethics. The Nuremberg

The judgment by the war crimes tribunal at Nuremberg laid down 10 standards to which physicians must conform when carrying out experiments on human subjects in a new code that is now accepted worldwide. This judgment established a new standard of ethical medical behaviour for the post World War II human rights era. Amongst other requirements, this document enunciates the requirement of voluntary informed consent of the human subject. The principle of voluntary informed consent protects the right of the individual to control his own body. This code also recognizes that the risk must be weighed against the expected benefit, and that unnecessary pain and suffering must be avoided. This code recognizes that doctors should avoid actions that injure human patients. The principles established by this code for medical practice now have been extended into general codes of medical ethics. Code (1947)

- To immediately stop the medical experimentation and administration of vaccines to the Israeli public.
- To ask the government to approve all legislative procedures that do not violate the principle of a person's informed consent to receive the medical treatment described above, which denies legal status in Israel and in Israeli democracy, including avoiding the creation of a vaccination passport , leaving the names of those who have not been vaccinated with the local authorities or any other relevant legislator.
- Taking the strictest measures against any public, business or employment entity that violates state labor laws or other matters required to prevent vaccination coercion or offer, as well as discrimination, against those who choose not to receive the above innovative medical care.
- Please note that a copy of this document will also be **forwarded to the media around the world for violation of the Nuremberg Code**. Relevant in all countries of the free world.
- And as a final note, it should be noted that only recently a decision was made in the Council of Europe on 27/1/21, ordering all authorities not to pressure or request people to take the Corona vaccine in any way. Therefore, what is good for advanced European countries is certainly also good for Israel – and the balance speaks for itself “.

Pursuant to one or more of the:

RICO, Clayton, Sherman Act] bring to bear the pressure of “private attorneys general” on a serious national problem for which public prosecutorial resources are deemed inadequate; *the* mechanism chosen to reach the objective in one or more of the Clayton, Sherman, Act and **RICO** is the carrot of treble damages.[Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Associates][107 S.Ct. 2759, 483 U.S. 143, 151 (**1987**)]

In rejecting a significantly different focus under RICO, therefore, we are honoring an analogy that Congress itself accepted and relied upon, and one that promotes the objectives of civil RICO as readily as it furthers the objects of the Clayton Act. Both statutes share a common congressional objective of encouraging civil litigation to supplement Government efforts to deter and penalize the respectively prohibited practices. The object of civil RICO is thus not merely to compensate victims but to turn them into prosecutors, “private attorneys general,” dedicated to eliminating racketeering activity.³ *Id.*, at 187 (citing Malley-Duff, **483 U.S.**, at **151**) (civil RICO specifically has a “further purpose [of] encouraging potential private plaintiffs diligently to investigate”). The provision for treble damages is accordingly justified by the expected benefit of suppressing racketeering activity, an object pursued the sooner the better.[Rotella v. Wood et al., 528 U.S. 549 (**2000**)]

Private Attorney General

ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS, Member of Congress, Delegates, or Resident Commissioners, either before or after he/she has qualified, or an officer or employee or person acting for or on behalf of the United States, or any department, agency, or branch of Government thereof, including the District of Columbia, in any official function, under or by authority of any such department, agency, or branch of Government, are acting as agents of foreign principals in violation of 18 § 219

Coronavirus is a Global funded by Bill and Melinda Gates conspiracy between China and unlawfully using violence and intimidation, especially against civilians, in the pursuit of political aims, to get to get the vaccine,

<https://english.elpais.com/science-tech/2021-11-19/why-the-covid-19-vaccines-do-not-stop-the-virus-from-circulating.html>

but is in my opinion biological warfare, which spreads the disease

FACTS

U.S. GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL ARE GUILTY OF TREASON, AIDING, ABETTING RUSSIA AND CHINA, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY(COMMIT TWO PREDICATE ACTS AND ARE GUILTY OF VIOLATING THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT(SEE BELOW)ALL PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE AND ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS REMOVED FROM OFFICE

- 1) U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE HAVE COMMITTED “acts” of TREASON; AS FOLLOWS:

CLEARLY ACTED WITH “THEN” MERRICK GARLAND CHIEF JUDGE OF U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, DONALD TRUMP, WILLIAM BARR AND OTHER “CO-CONSPIRATORS, CRIMINALS, FRAUDSTERS,” falsies, conceals or covers up by trick, scheme or devise a material fact and/or made and continue to make false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations and/or makes and continue to make false writing or documents knowing the same to contain false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entries in case # _____ entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Donald Trump in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1001

ILLEGALLY USED MY NAME WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION OR CONSENT, CONSPIRED WITH MERRICK GARLAND(SEE BELOW) COMMITTED AT LEAST TWO PREDICATE ACTS OF MAIL FRAUD AND WIRE FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §1341 AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1343, CONSPIRED WITH MERRICK GARLAND, MANUFACTURED A “FAKE COURT CASE,” IN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS D.C. CIRCUIT DENIED AND/OR DEPRIVED BRIDGEWATER THE RIGHT TO FILE A WRIT OF CERT. OR A FIRST AMENDMENT COMPLAINT IN A SCHEME TO DEFRAUD BOTH SHARON AND/OR JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER, issued an order without jurisdiction and/or ACTED WITHOUT . ISSUED ONE OR MORE VOID ORDER TO OBTAIN \$125 TRILLION DOLLARS.

The United States Supreme Court has clearly, and repeatedly, held that any judge who acts without jurisdiction is engaged in an act of treason. U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101, S. Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed. 2d 392, 406 (1980); Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed 257 (1821). Title 5, US Code Sec. 556(d), Sec. 557, Sec.706: Courts lose jurisdiction if they do not follow Due Process. An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of

the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue. (See *Rose v. Himely* (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed 608; *Pennoyer v. Neff* (1877) 95 US 714, 24 L ed 565

China, Russia,

Brain Chip on Soldiers

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/checkpoint/wp/2014/08/27/new-obama-plan-calls-for-implanted-computer-chips-to-help-u-s-troops-heal/>

That on September 18, 2014, Obama signed Executive Order 13676: Combating Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria Terrifying New Executive Order give US Gov Power To Force Vaccines and RFID Chips, and his February 15, 2015 Task Force “5-Year National Action Plan(see <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2014/09/18/executive-order-combating-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria>

is now being implemented on the general public(humanity)

FIVE YEARS LATER

That on the Chinese New Year(the year of the rat) **February 27, 2020 the Coronavirus was announced by co-conspirators China**

- 2) Have knowingly, intentionally, concealed known facts they are under a duty to disclosure to the American people and/or humanity, secretly, malicious conspired with high tech companies(Bill Gates, Microsoft, et al) and parachuetical companies, to enslave humanity Bill Gates allowed forms of DNA that have been manipulated in the lab in a way that alters their natural state can be patented. (reword)

of voluntary informed consent of the human subject. The principle of voluntary informed consent protects the right of the individual to control his own body. This code also recognizes that the risk

meanwhile China is collecting DNA

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-court-genes/u-s-top-court-bars-patents-on-human-genes-unless-synthetic-idUSBRE95C0PW20130613>

- 3) Called cDNA - the “c” stands for “complementary” - it is essentially an edited form of a to patent Coronavirus vaccine, which means everyone if you received the Cov-19 vaccine, your DNA, mixed with the cDNA(patented)your body now is “someone” else
- 4) **IS SECRETLY WORKING IN JOINT PARTICIPATION WITH THE ENEMY JOE BIDEN, DONALD TRUMP, KAMALA HARRIS, RUSSIA AND/OR CHINA**
- 5) **OUR GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS**
- 6) **ARE SECRETLY CONDITIONING SOCIETY TO REMAIN SIX FEET APART, NORM IN TOTAL CONTROL – PREDICTIVE POLICI**
- 7) **LOCK-DOWN IS A WAY OF LIFE NOW, TRANSITIONING SOCIETY FOR PERMANENT LOCK-DOWNS**

THEY WILL CONTINUE TO PRODUCE DIFFERENT VARIANTS(“MARKET THE FLU, HEPATIC, DIABETES, I.E)AND MORE BOOSTER SHOT, AND SUBSEQUENTLY INTRODUCE THE “INVISIBLE TATTOO VACCINE DELIVERY” WHICH TAKES THE PLACE OF SHOTS – THIS IS “THE OFFICIAL SNAKE BITE” MARK OF THE BEAST SO YOU MAY NOT BUY OR SELL UNLESS YOU HAVE THIS INVISBILE TATOO, ONCE A PERSON RECEIVES IT, THEY WILL BE PROGRAM(5G NETWORK)

Surveillance and Predictive Policing Through AI

the unlawful use of violence and intimidation, especially against civilians, in the pursuit of political aims.

THE DEFENDANTS JOE BIDEN, DONALD TRUMP, BARAK OBAMA, KAMALA HARRIS, ET AL CRIMES CONSTITUTE racketeering conspiracy, treason, extermination, murder, enslavement, torture, imprisonment, rape, forced abortions and other sexual violence, persecution on political, religious, racial and gender grounds, the forcible transfer of populations, the enforced” illegal, unlawful crimes against the two witnesses and humanity, terrorism **ARE**

PURSUANT TO DIPLOCK COURT INTRODUCED UNDER NORTHERN IRELAND(EMERGENCY PROVISIONS)ACT OF 1972, WAS INTRODUCE FOR NON-JURY TRIALS TO DEAL WITH INTIMIDATION OF WITNESSES, AND WAS INTRODUCE

1)A CASE WHERE THE TRIAL IS A RETRIAL AND THE JURY IN THE PREVIOUS WAS DISCHARGED BECAUSE JURY TAMPERING WOULD TAKE PLACE

2)A CASE WHERE JURY TAMPERING HAS TAKEN PLACE IN PREVIOUS CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING THE DEFENDANTS.

3)A CASE WHERE THERE HAS BEEN INTIMIDATION OR ATTEMPTED INTIMIDATION OF ANY PERSON WHO IS LIKELY TO BE WITNESSES IN

THE TRIAL.

§ 160 —Against parent corporation and officers and directors of subsidiary corporations—For rescission of patent license agreement and accounting of converted corporate profits and waste of corporate assets—By shareholder of parent corporation—For injury to both parent and subsidiary corporations

[Caption, Introduction, see CAPTIONS, PRAYERS, ETC.]

1. 1 [Name of plaintiff], Plaintiff, is a resident of 2
[address], City of 3 , County of 4 , State of 5 .

2. 6 [Name of defendant], Defendant parent corporation, is a
corporation duly organized and existing under the laws under the State of
7 engaged in the 8 [manufacture of industrial safety devices

There are two criteria which must be satisfied in order to utilise this arrangement under the 2003 Act. The first is that a judge must be satisfied that there is evidence of a 'real and present danger' that jury tampering would take place.¹⁷ Examples of evidence of 'real and present danger' include:

- (a) a case where the trial is a retrial and the jury in the previous trial was discharged because jury tampering had taken place,
- (b) a case where jury tampering has taken place in previous criminal proceedings involving the defendant or any of the defendants,
- (c) a case where there has been intimidation, or attempted intimidation, of any person who is likely to be a witness in the trial.¹⁹

where he/she is satisfied that there is a risk that the administration of justice will be impaired.¹ Non-jury trials in the context of terrorism came to be known as 'Diplock trials' in this jurisdiction (and are still widely referred to as such) because it was Lord Diplock's report into emergency procedures in Northern Ireland in 1972 that recommended this arrangement.² The single-judge 'Diplock courts' were subsequently introduced under the Northern Ireland (Emergency Provisions) Act 1973 in an attempt by the UK government to deal with the intimidation of witnesses by paramilitary groups and the perceived subversion of jury decisions along sectarian lines. Significant improvements in the security situation in the mid-2000s led the then Secretary of State for Northern Ireland Peter Hain to propose a programme of security normalisation within which the abolition of the Diplock trials was an important part.³ However, the continuing risk of obstruction

JOE BIDEN, DONALD TRUMP, BARAK OBAMA, GEORGE BUSH, WILLIAM CLINTON EXECUTIVE ORDERS AND ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL SCHEME WITH THE POPE E.U. TO EXPLOIT TWO WITNESSES

On May 15, 2019, President Donald Trump, invoking his constitutional executive and statutory emergency powers, signed Executive Order 13,873, which prohibits U.S. persons from conducting information and communications technology and services (ICTS) transactions with foreign adversaries. Though the executive branch has refrained from publicly identifying countries or entities as foreign adversaries under the Executive Order, observers agree that the Executive Order's main targets are China and telecommunications companies, namely Huawei, that threaten American national security and competitiveness in the race to provide the lion's share of critical infrastructure to support the world's growing 5G network. Executive Order 13,873 raises several concerns—both broad and specifically related to the Trump Administration. In general, courts have struggled to clearly define the legal status of executive orders or the courts' ability to review executive orders. The quasi-legislative nature of executive orders creates tension with the separation of powers principle and contributes to courts' challenges in addressing

Plaintiff, , says:

I

Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of ⁸ [X.], defendant is a citizen of the State of ⁹ [Y.] and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$10,000, exclusive of interests and costs.

II

On ¹⁰, 19 ¹¹, at ¹², County of ¹³, State of ¹⁴, defendant and plaintiff entered into an ¹⁵ [oral or written] agreement ¹⁶ [if written, add: of which a copy is hereto attached marked Exhibit " ¹⁷" and made part of this complaint].

III

Defendant agreed ¹⁸ [specify in legal effect what defendant agreed to do]. In consideration of that promise plaintiff on his part agreed to ¹⁹.

IV

Plaintiff has ²⁰ [performed all the terms and conditions required of him under the agreement or, if the conditions precedent have not been accomplished, has been and still is ready and willing to perform the agreement].

V

§ 160 —Against parent corporation and officers and directors of subsidiary corporations—For rescission of patent license agreement and accounting of converted corporate profits and waste of corporate assets—By shareholder of parent corporation—For injury to both parent and subsidiary corporations

[Caption, Introduction, see CAPTIONS, PRAYERS, ETC.]

1. ¹ [Name of plaintiff], Plaintiff, is a resident of ² [address], City of ³, County of ⁴, State of ⁵.

2. ⁶ [Name of defendant], Defendant parent corporation, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws under the State of ⁷ engaged in the ⁸ [manufacture of industrial safety devices

vidual defendants who are officers or directors of defendant parent corporation], who were and are officers or directors of defendant parent corporation, pursuant to a plan and conspiracy whose purpose is to benefit defendant parent corporation at the expense of defendant subsidiary A and defendant subsidiary B, acquired control of defendant subsidiary A and defendant subsidiary B by electing officers and directors of those corporations almost exclusively from officers and directors of defendant parent corporation.

14. The articles of incorporation of defendant subsidiary A prescribe the number of directors of the corporation as ⁴⁸ *[number]*.

15. Pursuant to the above-mentioned plan of individual defendants, at the annual meeting of defendant subsidiary A on ⁴⁹ *[date]*, at ⁵⁰

CORPORATIONS

8 100

or as the case may be], with its principal place of business located at
9 [address], City of 10, County of 11, State of
12.

3. 13 [Name of defendant], Defendant subsidiary A, is a
corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of
14, engaged in 15 [research for industrial safety devices or
as the case may be], with its principal place of business located at
16 [address], City of 17, County of 18, State of
19.

4. 20 [Name of defendant], Defendant subsidiary B, is a
corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of
21, engaged in 22 [research for industrial safety devices or
as the case may be], with its principal place of business located at
23 [address], City of 24, County of 25, State of
26.

5. 27 [Names of defendants], Defendant officers, are all residents
of the State of 28, and reside in the City of 29, County of
30, in that state.

6. Defendant 31 [specify individual defendant] is 32
[specify position, such as: Chairman of the Board of Directors] of defendant
parent corporation and owns, and has owned since 33 [date],
34 [number] shares of stock of parent corporation. Defendant
35 also owns, and has owned since 36 [date], 37
[number] shares of stock in subsidiary A.

7. 38 [Repeat allegations of position and share ownership for all
other individual defendants].

8. Defendant parent corporation now owns, and has owned since
39 [date], 40 [number] shares of stock in defendant subsidiary A.

9. Defendant parent corporation and defendants 41 [specify indi-
vidual defendants who own stock in defendant subsidiary A] together own
42 percent of the capital stock of defendant subsidiary A.

10. Defendant subsidiary A owns 43 [number] shares of stock of defen-
dant subsidiary B, which amounts to 44 percent of the capital stock of
defendant subsidiary B. Defendant subsidiary A has owned stock in defen-
dant subsidiary B at all times alleged in this 45 [complaint].

11. At all times alleged, plaintiff was, and still is, a stockholder in defendant
subsidiary A.

12. This is a stockholder's derivative action brought pursuant to 46
[cite state statute or court rule]. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent
the interests of other stockholders of defendant subsidiary A who are
similarly situated in enforcing the right of the corporation and the interest of
the stockholders of defendant subsidiary B in enforcing the right of that
corporation.

47 defendants

[name of indi-

NO

STATUTE OF LIMITATION – FOR THESE CRIMES (CAPITAL OFFENSES)

8 U.S.C. 1324 (1) (bringing in or harboring aliens where death results)
15 U.S.C. 1825(a)(2)(C) (killing those enforcing the Horse Protection Act)
18 U.S.C. 32, 33, 34 (destruction of aircraft, commercial motor vehicles or their facilities where death results)
18 U.S.C. 36 (drive-by shooting resulting in 12th degree murder)
18 U.S.C. 37 (violence at international airports where death results)
18 U.S.C. 43, 3559(f) (animal enterprise terrorism constituting murder of a child)
18 U.S.C. 115 (kidnaping with death resulting of the member of the family of a federal official or employee to obstruct or retaliate)
18 U.S.C. 115 (12th degree murder of the member of the family of a federal official or employee to obstruct or retaliate)
18 U.S.C. 175 (development or possession of biological weapons)
18 U.S.C. 175c, 3559(f) (variola virus offense constituting murder of a child)
18 U.S.C. 229, 229A (use of chemical weapons where death results)
18 U.S.C. 241 (conspiracy against civil rights where death results)
18 U.S.C. 242 (deprivation civil rights under color of law where death results)
18 U.S.C. 245 (discriminatory obstruction of enjoyment of federal protected activities where death results)
18 U.S.C. 247 (obstruction of the exercise of religious beliefs where death results)
18 U.S.C. 249 (hate crime resulting in death)
18 U.S.C. 351 (12th degree murder of a Member of Congress)
18 U.S.C. 351 (conspiracy to kill or kidnap a Member of Congress if death results)
18 U.S.C. 351 (kidnaping a Member of Congress if death results) Terrorists, drug traffickers, mafia members, and corrupt corporate executives most are conspirators subject to federal prosecution
18 U.S.C. 794 (espionage)
18 U.S.C. 831, 3559(f) (nuclear material offense constituting murder of a child)
18 U.S.C. 844(d) (use of fire or explosives unlawfully where death results)
18 U.S.C. 844(f) (burning or bombing federal property where death results)
18 U.S.C. 844(i) (burning or bombing property affecting interstate commerce where death results)
18 U.S.C. 924(j)(1) (murder while in possession of a firearm during the commission of a crime of violence or drug trafficking)
18 U.S.C. 930(c) (12th degree murder while in possession of a firearm in a federal building)
18 U.S.C. 1091 (genocide where death results)
18 U.S.C. 1111 (12th degree murder within the special maritime or territorial jurisdiction of the U.S.)
18 U.S.C. 1121(b) (killing a state law enforcement officer by a federal prisoner or while transferring a

18 U.S.C. 1201 (kidnaping where death results)
18 U.S.C. 1203 (hostage taking where death results)
18 U.S.C. 1365, 3559(f) (tampering with consumer products constituting murder of a child)
18 U.S.C. 1503 (12th degree murder committed to obstruction of federal judicial proceedings)
18 U.S.C. 1512 (tampering with a federal witness or informant involving murder)
18 U.S.C. 1513 (retaliating against a federal witness or informant involving murder)
18 U.S.C. 1591, 2245 (murder committed during the course of sex trafficking by force, fraud or of a
child)S.C. 2280 (violence against maritime navigation)
18 U.S.C. 2281 (violence against maritime fixed platforms)
18 U.S.C. 2332 (certain homicides and other violence against United States nationals occurring outside
of the United States)
18 U.S.C. 2332a (use of weapons of mass destruction)
18 U.S.C. 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries)
18 U.S.C. 2332f (bombing public places)
18 U.S.C. 2332g (anti-aircraft missiles)
18 U.S.C. 2332h (radiological dispersal devices)
18 U.S.C. 2339 (harboring terrorists)
18 U.S.C. 2339A (providing material support to terrorists)
18 U.S.C. 2339B (providing material support to terrorist organizations)
18 U.S.C. 2339C (financing terrorism)
ETC.

<https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL31253.pdf>

STRUCTURE AND INCORPORATION

OF EXHIBITS

Plaintiff hereby also incorporates the following exhibits, as if the same were set forth fully herein, to wit:

Exhibit A: US/US Mutual Defense Agreement

Exhibit B: International Covenant on Civil

and Political Rights;

Exhibit C: Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Exhibit D: International and/or Foreign(Lybia and/or Eyppt) Formal Criminal Charges against Barak H. Obama and/or Hillary Clinton and/or AKA Queen Elizabeth and the Pope

Exhibit F: Extradiction Treatries

This Court is encouraged to use computers to access all pleadings and electronic evidence and formally incorporates Exhibits A-1 thru ____by reference, as if all were set forth fully here.

JURISDICTION

nationaThis is an admiralty and/or maritime claim “INTERNATIONAL – MARITIME BREACH OF CONTRACT VIA TRANSNATIONAL BUSINESSES” THIS IS A CLAIM/CASE OF CONTRACT, TORT AND DAMAGE TO BUSINESS, PERSON OR PROPERTY PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED CORRUPT ORGANZATION ACT(“INTERNATIONAL RACKETEERING GROUP”)AS HEREAFTER MORE FULLY APPEARS, AND IS AN ADMIRALITY AND/OR MARITIME CLAIM

WITHIN THE MEANING OF RULE 9(H).(WHICH TRIGGERS THE APPLICATION OF ONE OR MORE FED.R.CIV. PROCEDURE 14(C), 38(E), AND/OR 82 OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL RULES FOR CERTAIN ADMIRALITY AND MARITIME CLAIMS(DEFENDANTS HAVE NO RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL REGARDING CLAIMS) AND/OR IN REM-FORFEITURE ACTION. DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP IS INVOKED; AS THE DEFENDANTS ARE CITIZENS(AND/OR CORPORATIONS) OF DIFFERENT STATES AND/OR COUNTRIES as where opposing parties involved in a lawsuit are citizens of different states or countries(and against Individuals and/or Corporations owed by foreign States [see 28 U.S.C.A. 1330(a)]

“The court has jurisdiction of a suit against the foreign state, or any of its agencies or instrumentalities, is permissible where the foreign state has waived its immunity, and the purpose of the arrest is other than to obtain jurisdiction. The foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976 compels a nonjury trial and it is error for a cause under the Act to be tried to a jury. Such trial does not, however, cause a district court to lose its jurisdiction. Corporations owned by foreign states are considered foreign states for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction. According, 28 U.S. C. A. section 1330(a) is the exclusive source of federal jurisdiction in action against corporations owned by foreign states.

Donald Trump in his official capacity as President in his official capacity et al at all times herein mentioned, is an Corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Virginia and/or Delaware and/or Maryland with principle offices located at 1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC in the County of Fairfax. Trump is a citizen of Virginia, Maryland and/or Delaware and his directors, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees, successors, attorneys, and assigns, and any other persons or entities under his control, and each

of them, and all persons and entities in active concert of participation with Trump, including but not limited to Jeff Sessions in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, John Kelly in his official capacity as Director of Homeland Security, Thomas E. Brandon in his official capacity as Acting Director of the United States Bureau of the Alcohol, Tobacco and FireArms and Explosives(ATF), his directors, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees, successors, attorneys, and assigns, and any other persons or entities under his control, and each of them, and all persons and entities in active concert of participation with Trump are citizens of the state of Virginia and/or Maryland and/or Delaware.

Sharon Bridgewater and James Shannon Bridgewater are citizens of the State of Michigan. There is an complete diverse in federal citizenship. Sharon Bridgewater further allege that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs, expenses, interests, and fees, for purposes of invoking and establishing federal diversity of citizenship subject matter jurisdiction under Title 28 United States Code §§ 1332(a)(1),(2), and 1332(b).

This court further have jurisdiction pursuant to one or more [42 U.S.C. Sections 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988](#), the 5th, 9th, 10th, and/or 14th, 42 USC Section 2000 a-1,2 and/or 3, 42 USC Section 2000e and/or 42 USC section 2000e, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and/or 2202, AND WILLFUL, INTENTIONAL DEPRIVATION OF HUMAN AND/OR U.S.

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS DEFINED IN 18 U.S.C. 242 AND/OR 18 U.S.C. 241

This court has jurisdiction via diversity jurisdiction. Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen of the State of Michigan, whose address is 18592 Dale Street, Detroit, Michigan. And/or is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Michigan with its principal place of businesss in Michigan. Jeff Sessions is a citizen of the State of Virginia and/or Maryland and/or Delaware and/or a corporation incorporated under the laws of State of Virginia and/or Maryland and/or Delaware

with its principal place of business in the state of State of Virginia and/or Maryland and/or Delaware . CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT, The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs, expenses, interests, and fees, for purposes of invoking and establishing federal diversity of citizenship under one or more 28 USC section 1332 and/or 28 USC 1332(a)(1),(2), and 1332(b). This is a case of admiralty or maritime claims within the meaning of of Rule 9(h), and this court has further jurisdiction pursuant to one or more Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 civil rice and/or Title 18 United States Code § §§ 1961, 1965(a), (b),(c) and/or (d); personam jurisdiction over Jeff Sessions in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, [AND RICO CONSPIRACY]

This court also has jurisdiction to determine the rights and/or liabilities, obligation of the disputing parties between Jeff Sessions and the Plaintiff Bridgewater and/or the Committee and Oversight Government and reform. Further jurisdiction is invoked, via all writs necessary to the complete exercise of its jurisdiction” via 28 USC section 1651 “All Writs;” in rem jurisdiction via an libel action, quasa in rem jurisdiction via a pre-existing interest in property, and per claims arising from ownership of property(and to declare the rights and duties growing out of ownership); jurisdiction over the Foreign officials because they have minimal contacts with Donald Trump and/or Jeff Sessions each of whom are engaged in Washington, engaged in continuous, concerted, and systematic activities with plaintiffs within this district, resulting in injury to their respective interests in their business or property. This court has further jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988; one or more: 42 USC Section 2000a-1, 42 USC Section 2000 a-2 , 42 USC Section 2000 a-3, 42 USC section 2000d; one or more 2241, 2254 or 2255, Habeas Corpus Jurisdiction, and further jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to one or more 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 28 USC sections 1333 to 1364; the second

ninth, tenth, and fourteenth amendments thereto. This Court has authority to issue a declaratory judgment and order other relief that is just and proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction within the meaning of 9(H); 28 USC 2254; 42 USC section 1985(2) and 1985(3)(Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights); 42 USC section 1986(action for neglect to prevent conspiracy); 28 U.S.C. §1331, 1333 (ii) 28 U.S.C. §1343(a), and (iii) D.C. Code, Special maritime and territorial jurisdiction, extra-territorial jurisdiction, foreign corrupt practice act jurisdiction, Anti-Trust jurisdiction(Sherman Act, Clayton Act, illegal monopoly), of the United States for acts committed against the Plaintiff class outside the jurisdiction of “states” with respect to offense(s) by and/or against the the Plaintiffs a citizen of the United States;” Alien Tort Act, one or more 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j (b) & 78ff; 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 1343, 1344, 1346 & 2, extra-territorial jurisdiction, Special maritimes jurisdiction via crimes committed across international water and further has jurisdiction via contempts in violation of national security. Concurrent jurisdiction, Rome Statue International criminal court for Human rights violations. “all international crimes, and federal crimes,” Torture, Genocide, war crimes, Slavery and Peonage, crimes of aggression, “and other international crime,” human trafficking, sexual exploitation, in violation of international treaties, and in violation of the Declaration for human rights as signed via the United Nations.

Criminal provisions affecting, involving, or relating to the national security via 2 U.S.C. § 192 (Contempts of Congress Related to National Security and/or 8 U.S.C. § 1185(b) (Travel Controls of Citizens) and/or 18 U.S.C. § 219 et seq and further this court has matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ claims under the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (Fair Housing Act of 1968 and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C. Sections 3601, et seq.; (3) 42 U.S.C. Sections 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1985; and (4) 42 U.S.C. Section

1983, for violation of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. (5) 15 U.S.C. Sections 1, 2, 13 and 18 for treble damages and injunctive relief for violations of the federal antitrust laws and/or 18 U.S.C. Section 1964 (c), 42 U.S.C. Section Sections 1331, and 15 U.S.C. Sections 15 and 26. U.S.C. §§ 1 and 2 *et seq* (Sherman Anti-Trust Act); and 15 USC §§ 15, 16, 22, 26 (Clayton Anti-Trust Act), and 1330, 1331, 1332, 1335, 1343,1345,1349,1346, FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICE ACT, GENOVA CONVENTIONS, HAGUE CONVENTION, INTERNATIONAL.

INSTILL FEAR IN U.S. CITIZEN CONTROL AND ENSLAVE, DEFRAUD TWO WITNESSES

RICO PERSON

[RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]

ANGELA MERKEL

IMMANUEL MACRON PRESIDENT OF FRANCE

URSULA VON DER LEYEN

XI JINPING

VLADIMIR PUTIN

LIST ALL DEFENDANT

THE FEDERAL RESERVE

JACOB ROTHCHILD

**DONALD TRUMP IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES
PRESIDENT**

Beginning on or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint within the District of Columbia and else in the US and/or intentionally, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly combined, conspire together and with each other, came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement and/or came to a mutual understanding to accomplish a common and unlawful plan, namely to engage in a "pattern of racketeering activity" to defraud the commit_list predicate acts(violate a, b,c) and knowingly and willfully became a member of such conspiracy and futher, at the time, joined such conspiracy, he or she or they did so with the specific intent either to personally engage in at least two incidents of racketeering, as alleged in the Information,_____ or he or she or they specifically intended to otherwise participate in the affairs of the "enterprise" with the knowledge and intent that other members of the conspiracy would engage in at least two incidents of racketeering, _____as alleged in the Information, as part of a "pattern of racketeering act or became a member without full knowledge of of all of the details of the unlawful scheme but has an understanding of the unlawful nature of a plan and knowingly and willfully joins in that plan on one occasion, and played a minor part. In the unlawful scheme, violation of international law, war crimes, money laundering, human trafficking, etc. .

On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT

REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

filed this federal lawsuit in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia in Washington D.C. in which the Plaintiffs commenced a criminal investigation; known as “OPERATION FAST AND FURIOUS.” It concerned a breach of trust that left countless of innocent Mexican citizens and at least one Federal Border Patrol agent dead. In 2009, the ATF began allowing straw purchasers to walk guns into Mexico, believing that this initiative would help them track the use of firearms by higher-ups within the Mexican drug cartels. Guns instead were being seized and allowed to cross the Mexican border

On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, filed this federal lawsuit in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia in Washington D.C. in which the Plaintiffs commenced a criminal investigation; known as “OPERATION FAST AND FURIOUS.” It concerned a breach of trust that left countless of innocent Mexican citizens and at least one Federal Border Patrol agent dead. In 2009, the ATF began allowing straw purchasers to walk guns into Mexico, believing that this initiative would help them track the use of firearms by higher-ups within the Mexican drug cartels. Guns instead were being seized and allowed to cross the Mexican border without the knowledge of the Mexican Government. This effort failed. Over strong objections of the ATF field agents, the program continued. And approximately 2,000 AK-47s and derivatives, and some .50-caliber sniper rifles and others, and 10,000 or more rounds of live ammunition went into the arsenals of the Mexican drug lords. Despite these strong objections by field agents, Operation Fast and Furious continued. And not only did it continue, but those at the highest level of ATF showed great interest in the program. A document showed that two of the most senior leaders in ATF, Acting Director Kenneth Milson and Acting Deputy

Director Billy were briefed weekly on Fast and Furious. The documents showed that both Milson and Hoover were keenly interested in the case and updates. A second document showed Deputy Assistant Director for Field Operations William McMahon was so excited about Fast and Furious that he received a special briefing on the program in Phoenix scheduled a mere 45 minutes after his plane landed. A third and perhaps the most disturbing document indicated that Acting Director Milson was very much in the weeds with Operation Fast and Furious. After a detailed briefing on the program at the ATF field division, Acting Director Melson had a plethora of follow-up questions that required additional research to answer. Further documents indicated, Mr. Melson was interested even in receiving the IP address for hidden cameras located inside cooperating gun shops. With this information, Acting Director Melson was able to sit at his desk in Washington and, himself, watch a live feed of straw buyers entering the gun stores and purchasing dozens of AK-47 variants. The Mexican Government reported that more than 34,000 lives have been lost (members of racial, religious and/or ethnic group or class of persons and members "class based or racial discriminatory animus") in the 4 1/2 years, and scores of others remain missing. Last year and/or the previous year, 1, 2 or 3 years 111 U.S. citizens and thousands of Mexicans citizens was killed, which has been the most violent year in the drug war's history, according to the U.S. State Department Senator Chuck Grassley learned about Operation Fast and Furious and they were both shocked that such a brutal and reckless, and blatantly reckless, program had ever been conceived, authorized, or executed by Federal law enforcement. The Committee and Oversight ISSUED claims an interest in property Lynch have in his possession - documents, printed, recorded, reproduced by process or written or produced by hand including, but not limited to books, records, reports, agreements, communications, including inter-department and intra department communications, correspondence, letters,

telegrams, memoranda, financial statements, summaries, or records of personal conversations, tapes recordings, statistical statements, notebooks, charts, graphs, indexes, drawings, blue prints, minutes or records, or meetings, including directors meetings, minutes of conferences, drafts of any documents, and original or preliminary notes etc. in order to complete the civil and/or criminal investigations. Lynch refused to comply with one or more duly authorized subpoenas(which was properly served on his person)in order for the committee to complete its criminal or civil investigation, and since then have been held in civil or criminal contempt of congress. This court issued an order on Lynch. Lynch defied this courts order. (LYNCH ACTED OR REFUSED TO ACT). This court ordered mediation; the parties are unable to resolve their dispute. Obama took an oath of office, Before he enter on the Execution of his Office, he shall take the following Oath or Affirmation: — "I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States." "Fast and furious" stems from "gun-running weapons" across the Mexican Boarder; the idea was that once the weapons in Mexico were traced to the straw purchasers, the smuggling network could be brought down. But the ATF lost track of more than 1,000 firearms, and some guns weren't recovered until they turned up at crime scenes, both in Mexico and, as the Terry case illustrated, the United States. Once the operation was in the public spotlight, Mexican officials and critics in the United States called the operation a failure, saying it exacerbated the longstanding problem of U.S. weapons getting into the hands of Mexican cartels. Criticism was heaped on the ATF and its parent agency, the Department of Justice. Congressional committees began investigating last year, and Democrats and Republicans have been at odds over who knew what about the operation, and when.

In Operation Fast and Furious, the Department knowingly allowed firearms to be purchased illegally in the United States and then transported across the U.S.- Mexico border into Mexico for the purpose of trying to establish a nexus between leaders Mexican crime syndicates and the individual(s) who purchased the firearms. According to US and Mexican Officials the operation was a complete failure. On or about Aug. 13, 2012, the US Government Oversight committee has repeatedly requested documents to aid in the Investigation.

An estimated 1,400 weapons were lost by the ATF in Mexico. Two of the missing weapons linked to the operation turned up at the Arizona murder scene of United States Border Patrol agent Brian Terry. Whistle-blowing leads to a Congressional investigation by the Senate Judiciary Committee and the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, and Attorney General Loretta Lynch is cited for contempt. Operation Fast and Furious was one of the operations under Project Gunrunner, part of the Department of Justice's broader Southwest Border Initiative, an "inter-agency effort to combat Mexico-based trafficking groups." (DOJ) "Straw purchasers (also called straw buyers) buy firearms on behalf of others without disclosing that fact on the forms required by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms." (DOJ) The operation lasted approximately 15 months, resulting in grand jury indictments of 34 suspects in drug and firearms trafficking organizations. Operation Fast and Furious was not the first "gun walking" investigation by ATF; it was preceded by Operation Wide Receiver, which began in 2006. On or about April 2006 - Official launch of Project Gunrunner. In September 2009 - Operation Fast and Furious begins, based on a review of Project Gunrunner by the ATF Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF). February 2010 - Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms agents tell the staff of Senator Charles Grassley (R-Iowa), member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, that the ATF allowed straw

buyer Jaime Avila to make repeated purchases of guns after his name had been entered into a "suspect person database" on February 13, 2009. December 14, 2011 - Border patrol agent Brian Terry is killed in the Arizona desert, and two weapons the ATF allowed to be purchased earlier in 2010 by purported "straw buyer" Jaime Avila are found near the shooting scene. It is unknown whether any of the guns were used as the murder weapon. February 25, 2011 - The Department of Justice announces the end of Operation Fast and Furious, with the indictments of 34 drug and firearm trafficking suspects. March 3, 2011 - ATF Acting Director Kenneth Melson announces the formation of a panel to "review the bureau's current firearms trafficking strategies employed by field division managers and special agents." April 1, 2011 - Acting Director Melson is issued a subpoena from the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee. May 3, 2011 - Attorney General Loretta Lynch testifies for the first time before the House Judiciary Committee that he had first heard of Operation Fast and Furious only over the past few weeks. June 2011 - Whistleblowers testify before the House Oversight committee. ATF agent John Dodson tells lawmakers, "I cannot begin to think how the risk of letting guns fall into the hands of known criminals could possibly advance any legitimate law enforcement interest." July 26, 2011 - The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee holds a second hearing. August 30, 2011 - Melson is reassigned to the Justice Department, and is replaced by B. Todd Jones. September 12, 2011 - Congressional investigators issue a subpoena for communications from Attorney General Lynch relating to the federal gunrunning operation. September 2011 - Investigators uncover memos indicating Attorney General Lynch had known about Operation Fast and Furious for close to a year, not a few weeks as he had stated in May 2011. November 7, 2011 - A federal grand jury in the District of Arizona hands up an 11-count indictment. It alleges that on December 14, 2010, five of the defendants (Manuel Osorio-Arellanes, Jesus Rosario Favela-

Astorga, Ivan Soto-Barraza, Heraclio Osorio-Arellanes and Lionel Portillo-Meza) were involved in a firefight with Border Patrol agents during which Terry was fatally shot. The men are charged with first-degree murder, second-degree murder, conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery, attempted interference with commerce by robbery, carrying and using a firearm during a crime of violence, assault on a federal officer and possession of a firearm by a prohibited person. The indictment is unsealed on July 9th, 2012. November 8, 2011 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the Senate Judiciary Committee that, "this operation was flawed in concept, as well as in execution." On or about [December 2, 2011](#): The Justice Department withdraws its February 4 letter to Grassley, saying the letter contains inaccuracies. On February 1, 2012 - The family of ATF agent Brian Terry files a \$25 million wrongful death claim against the United States. February 2, 2012 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee that firings and charges against Justice Department officials who oversaw Fast and Furious are likely to come in the next six months. He also denies any cover-up. June 12, 2012 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary, and rejects calls for his resignation. June 20, 2012 - The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee recommends that Attorney General Lynch be cited for contempt of Congress for failing to turn over documents relating to the Fast and Furious operation. June 20, 2012 - President Barack Obama asserts executive privilege over the documents sought by the investigating committee. This prevents future prosecution of Lynch. June 28, 2012 - The House of Representatives votes 255-67 to hold Lynch in criminal contempt. This is the first time in American history that the head of the Justice Department has been held in contempt by Congress. July 31, 2012 - The first of a three-part joint staff Congressional report is released ,

which lays blame for the failed gun-running probe on Acting ATF Director Kenneth Melson and Deputy Director William Hoover. July 31, 2012 - ATF Deputy Director William Hoover resigns. August 13, 2012 - The House Oversight Committee files a civil lawsuit against Lynch over Operation Fast and Furious documents. September 6, 2012 - Mexican authorities arrest Leonel Sanchez Jesus Meza, wanted in the killing of Border Patrol agent Brian Terry. September 19, 2012 - Department of Justice Inspector General Michael Horowitz releases a report on the operation. The report finds 14 employees of the ATF and the Justice Department responsible for management failures. After the release, former acting ATF head Kenneth Melson retires and former Deputy Assistant Attorney General Jason Weinstein resigns. December 13, 2012 - Jaime Avila is sentenced to 57 months in prison for his role in buying weapons that were found at the site of the killing of patrol agent Brian A. Terry. June 17, 2014 - Lionel Portillo Meza, a suspect in the death of Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry, is extradited from Mexico to the U.S. November 3, 2014 - Under court order, the Justice Department turns over nearly 65,000 pages of documents pertaining to the botched Fast and Furious gun operation. For years, these documents have been at the center of a dispute between the Obama administration and congressional Republicans, who demanded the documents back in 2011 as part of the investigation into the case. The documents were previously withheld under the administration's claim of executive privilege. The rest of events can be found at website:

<http://rinosandrats.com/2011/09/the-gunwalker-scandal-overview-timeline/>

For years, these documents have been at the center of a dispute between the Obama administration and congressional Republicans, who demanded the documents back in 2011 as

part of the investigation into the case. The documents were previously withheld under the administration's claim of executive privilege.

In addition to the above facts on or about November 2009 while Lynch and/or those operating under his direction knowingly permitted firearms to be illegally purchased in the United States and unlawfully transferred to third-party possessors, Lynch and his accomplices unlawfully removed Specialty Investment Group LLC, Specialty Global Investments INC. and/or the Plaintiffs business and/or personal property from the Plaintiffs possession; accessed, delayed, trasferred, and exploited that stolen property and caused injury to the Plaintiffs. Since that time the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater, Specialty Investment Group LLC et al, learn what precisely Lynch et al has been done with property while it has been outside the Sharon Bridgewater's et al possession, custody and control.

On or about from Jan. 1, 2016 and continuing thru present Loretta Lynch in her official capacity with legal duties and obligation to comply with a subpeana in case # 1:12 CV -1332 knowingly, intentionally, adopted the acts of Loretta Lynch and refused to comply with the subpeana, her actions are illegal and unlawful.

Trump acted in joint participation with

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE CASE # CGC-08-478207

NOTICE OF GRAND JURY
SUBMISSION

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas,
Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,
Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New
Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota,
Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island,
South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia,
Wisconsin, Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island,
Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American
Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50
STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru
present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," Real**

parties in interest (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,))[AKA“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT] one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business), Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater
CLAIMANT AND PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually
1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008
&
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or Individually
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, 20530
District of Columbia, et al

Date: 1/ /2022 12:23:57 PM

Subject: NOTICE OF GRAND JURY SUBMISSION

Message: NOTICE OF FEDERAL GRAND JURY SUBMISSION: 18 U.S.C. 4, 1504

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

NOTICE OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AND MIRANDA WARNING, A copy of all “of these legal documents” including but not limited to, INFORMATION, NOTICE FOR FORFEITURE, ARREST WARRANTS, EXTRADITION PAPERS ETC. as mailed to one or more Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and individually, Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President and/or individually, Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually was served in the United States District of Columbia , was also served at 11:30 AM on 12/4/2014 upon the Foreperson of a lawfully convened Federal Grand Jury for “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR COURTROOM” at the Public Library in Ann Arbor, Michigan one that does NOT discriminate against State Citizens aka Citizens of ONE OF the United States of America. See 28 U.S.C. sections 1861, 1865.

“You have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law. You have the right to an attorney. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be provided for you. Do you understand the rights I have just read to you? With these rights in mind, do you wish to speak to me?”

I certify and/or declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**PRAECIPE AND/OR EX-PARTE
NOTICE/AFFIDIVANT &
ORDER
AUTHORIZING AND
DIRECTING ARREST**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. ET AL

PRAECIPE AND/OR EX-PARTE NOTICE/ ORDER
AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING ARREST

PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CLAIMANT(S) “THE 50 STATES EX REL PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR HEREBY, ISSUE AN ORDER AUTHORIZING IMMEDIATE ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT FOR ARREST OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS ACTING IN JOINT PARTICIPATION WITH ONE OR MORE XI JINPING, V. PUTIN,

1..If review by the Court can not be heard by plaintiff on the day of filing, undersigned counsel for plaintiff certifies that exigent circumstances exist which make review by “any” Court impracticable . The exigent circumstances arise from the fact that one or more Joe Biden, Donald Trump, Kamala Harris all act in

4. The within action is an for one or more attachment, in rem, forfeiture and for recovery of amounts due under the racketeered influenced and corrupt organization act.

5. The Affidavit, indictment, information,

And the defendants are in “default” all failed to plead and/or refuse to defend lawsuit and continuing to defraud the two witnesses, and committed criminal acts of conspiracy to commit genocide, human rights violations, racketeering, and continue to commit criminal acts

6. The supplemental rules for Admiralty and Maritime Claims, require judicial scrutiny of the one or more Complaint, information, claims, Bill of Particulars to authorize the issuance of arrest warrants via “Citizens” arrest of any person 18 years older ivbeing duly sworn dispose and state that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my belief:

BRING BEFORE VIRTUALLY

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**AFFIDIVANT AND AUTHORITY
TO ARREST AND/OR
AUTHORIZE TO ARREST**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.**

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. ET AL

AUTHORITY OF U.S. CITIZENS(AND/OR OTHERS) TO ARREST

I Sharon Bridgewater a qualified witness and victim of the Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organizaiton Act and/or a representative of the 50 States via the private attorney general statue via **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) **PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES"** [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - **Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**being duly sworn dispose and state that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my belief:

THAT Pursuant to Criminal Rule 4(c) Execution or Service and Return, Warrant must be executed by “a marshal or some other “authorized” officer. The warrant must be executed by “a

marshal or some other “authorized officer.” The “other authorized officer” The “other authorized officer” may be any person who is “authorized to serve a summons in a federal civil acts.” Which can be ANY U.S. CITIZEN NOT A PARTY(18 YEARS OR OLDER) TO THE ACTION, specially appoint by the court.(“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL COURT”) Thus, the “authorized officers” who are authorized to arrest defendants named “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR APPT. FOR THE PURPOSE, AND NAY PROCESS SERVER AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW(A PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SERVE PROCESS IN AN ACTION BROUGHT IN THIS ACTION OF THE STATE IN WHICH THE DISTRICT IS HELD OR IN SHICH SERVICE IS MADE.

LOCATION WHERE WARRANT MAY BE EXECUTED OR SUMMONS SERVED

The arrest warrant may be served anywhere with the jurisdiction of the United States, and an arrest warrant may be served anywhere outside of the United States where “a federal statute authorizes arrest”(THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT via HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, TERRORISM, MAIL FRAUD, WIRE FRAUD, VIOLATIONS AND A HOST OF OTHER DOMESTIC AND/OR INTERNATIONAL CRIMES). This means a arrest warrant may be executed in a foreign country under a statute, such as the ones giving the Coast Guard and the Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act of 2000, permitting arrest of certain military and Defense personnel oversea, and also foreign official via Racketeering Conspiracy(extraterritorial Jurisdiction) violations of International law(crimes against humanity etc.)violations of the Rome Statue, and for their illegal acts against the two witnesses(Sharon and James Bridgewater)a summons to an organization under rule 4(c)(3)(d) may also be served at a place not within a judicial district of the United States.

EXECUTION OF ARREST WARRANTS MEANS ARREST OF PERSON NAMED IN WARRANT

An arrest warrant is executed by the arrest of the Defendant named in warrant. An arrest warrant is executed by the arrest of the Defendant named or described in the warrant Once a warrant has been issued, the warrant compels arrest. The authorized person is not required to physically had the original or a duplicate original of the warrant. To the defendant at the time of arrest. Because an outstanding warrant provides authority to arrest the pweron named in the warrant, it is not relevant in his or her possession at the time of arrest, the authorized person must tell the defendant that the warrant exeis and explaint the nature of the offense described in the warrant. Then if the defendant requests, the auothrized person must “show the original or a duplicate original warrant to the defendant as soon as possible.”

When the arresting officier does not have the warrant in his or her possession at the time of the arrest, the authorized person must “informa the defendant of the warrant’s exeistence and of the offense charged. Hovver, all of the obligations that accompany the execution of an arrest warrant do not govern conct between the authorize person an the defenatn at tines other than the time of arrest. For example, in pre-arrest contacts, the authorized person has no obligatio to

show the defendant anything, or explain the potential charges on which the defendant may be arrested at some time in the future.

NO TIME LIMIT ON EXECUTION OF ARREST WARRANT

Delays in execution of an arrest warrant do not affect its validity. Once the Defendant is arrested he or she must be brought to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR COURT ROOM" VIA Virtual hearings are court hearings that take place through phone or video conferences, instead of in-person hearings in the courthouse because of COVID-19 has made in-person hearings unsafe or challenging in the 50 states, so courts are moving hearings online to continue to process cases.

WARRANTS MUST BE RETURNED

Once the authorized person executes the warrant by arresting the defendant, he or she must return the warrant to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR pursuant to one or more criminal rule 5. The authorized person is may make a return of arrest warrant by reliable electronic means.

WARRANTS MAY BE ISSUED BY TELEPHONE OR OTHER RELIABLE ELECTRONIC MEANS

Warrant may be issued by telephone or other reliable electronic means. In accordance with Criminal Rule 4.1

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AND/OR ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS CAN BE ISSUED VIA TELEPHONE OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS

ARREST WARRANT NEEDED ONLY TO ARREST DEFENDANTS IN HOME - ARREST WARRANTS ARE NOT NEEDED FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA!!
I HAVE PROVIDED ARREST WARRANTS FOR THESE PUBLIC OFFICIALS IF YOU CHOSE TO USE

Arrest warrant not needed to effect arrest in public place. That mean all public officials working in public places (U.S. GOVERNMENT OFFICES) Department of Justice, The White House, etc.

ALSO WARRANTS ARE NOT NEED VIA THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT, AND “ACTS” OF
TERRORISM, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY ETC. SERIOUS FELONIES

etTHE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF Shereby authorizes the

ANY U.S. CITIZEN OVER 18 YEARS OLD AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION TO
ARREST JOE BIDEN, KAMALA HARRIS, MERRICK GARLAND, ALL THE U.S.
SUPREME COURT JUSTICES, “APPOINTED

This form is valid from the date of signature until the Alsip Police Department receives written notice to the contrary. The Alsip Police Department must be notified of any change in ownership as soon as possible. Signed and sworn to or affirmed before me this _____ day of _____, 20_____ (Seal) Notary Public_____

Owner, Resident or Designated Agent

Signature Title

Name (Print)

Business Name _____

_____, _____ Mailing Address City
State Zip _____

Primary Phone 24 Hour Contact Phone Cell
Phone _____

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____ day of _____, 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC or other person
authorized to administer an oath

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

On or about _____(date), in the
_____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United
States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the
authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant
acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the
United States demaned and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum
of 125 Trillion Dollars

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

John G. Roberts, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

Anthony M. Kennedy, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Justice Stephen G. Breyer, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Justice Elena Kagan in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

ARREST WARRANT BY INDICTMENT

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**PRAECIPE AND NOTICE OF
APPLICATIONA AND/OR
APPROVAL OF VIDEO
CONFERENCING**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. ET AL

AUTHORITY OF U.S. CITIZENS(AND/OR OTHERS) TO ARREST

upon application of the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, or on motion of the judge or justice, may authorize the use of video teleconferencing, or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available, for the following events

VIDEO TELECONFERENCING FOR CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Pub. L. 116–136, div. B, title V, §15002, Mar. 27, 2020, 134 Stat. 527, provided that:

"(a) Definition.—In this section, the term 'covered emergency period' means the period beginning on the date on which the President declared a national emergency under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) [declaration issued Mar. 13, 2020, beginning Mar. 1, 2020, see 85 F.R. 15337] and ending on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the national emergency declaration terminates.

"(b) Video Teleconferencing for Criminal Proceedings.—

"(1) In general.—Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), if the Judicial Conference of the United States finds that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) will materially affect the functioning of either the Federal courts generally or a particular district court of the United States, the chief judge of a district court covered by the finding (or, if the chief judge is unavailable, the most senior available active judge of the court or the chief judge or circuit justice of the circuit that includes the district court), upon application of the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, or on motion of the judge or justice, may authorize the use of video teleconferencing, or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available, for the following events:

"(A) Detention hearings under section 3142 of title 18, United States Code.

"(B) Initial appearances under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure [18 U.S.C. App.].

"(C) Preliminary hearings under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(D) Waivers of indictment under Rule 7(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(E) Arraignments under Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(F) Probation and supervised release revocation proceedings under Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(G) Pretrial release revocation proceedings under section 3148 of title 18, United States Code.

"(H) Appearances under Rule 40 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(I) Misdemeanor pleas and sentencings as described in Rule 43(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

"(J) Proceedings under chapter 403 of title 18, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act'), except for contested transfer hearings and juvenile delinquency adjudication or trial proceedings.

"(2) Felony pleas and sentencing.—

"(A) In general.—Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), if the Judicial Conference of the United States finds that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID–19) will materially affect the functioning of either the Federal courts generally or a particular district court of the United States, the chief judge of a district court covered by the finding (or, if the chief judge is unavailable, the most senior available active judge of the court or the chief judge or circuit justice of the circuit that includes the district court) specifically finds, upon application of the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, or on motion of the judge or justice, that felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety, and the district judge in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, the plea or sentencing in that case may be conducted by video teleconference, or by telephone conference if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available.

ALL PARTIES REFUSED, FAILED TO PLEAD, OR OTHERWISE DEFEND HE When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.

Pursuant to section 18 U.S. Code § 1964(d) - Civil remedies

A final judgment or decree rendered in favor of the United States in any criminal proceeding brought by the United States under this chapter shall estop the defendant from denying the essential allegations of the criminal offense in any subsequent civil proceeding brought by the United States. A FINAL JUDGMENT OR DEGREE HAS BEEN ISSUE IN FAVOR OF “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR AND/OR SHARON BRIDGEWATER AND/OR JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER” ALL DEFENDANTS ARE ESTOPPED, BARRED, PREVENTED FROM DENYING THE ESSENTIAL ALLEGATION OS OF THE CRIMINAL

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

Nuremberg indictments

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF
THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE**

“50 STATES” AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C) (3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

<https://marcuse.faculty.history.ucsb.edu/classes/33d/projects/nurembg/NurembergCrimes.htm>

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Nuremberg indictments also mention genocide (count three, war crimes: "the extermination of racial and national groups, against the civilian populations of certain occupied territories to destroy particular races and classes of people and national, racial, or religious ...

▪ **Crimes against humanity**: "namely, murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, and other inhumane acts committed against any civilian population, before or during the war; or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds in the execution of or in connection with any crime within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, whether or not in violation of the domestic law of the country where perpetrated" (Calvocoressi 57).

"The prosecution contended that Crimes against Humanity fell within the province of International Law if they were committed in preparation for or in connection with international war such as aggressive war and War Crimes. This restriction was so as to not infringe in the domestic affairs of a sovereign state merely on the grounds that it was offending against humanitarian principles" (Calvocoressi 57-58).

The plan to exterminate the Jewish race falls under the category of Crimes against Humanity. Also, experiments conducted by the Nazis fall under both categories. The experimenters were given indictments by the Military Tribunal five days after the main trials were completed in Nuremberg, but were not those twenty two members who were convicted in the actual Nuremberg Trials. The experiments include the following: (Mitscherlich, contents page).

- Low Pressure and super cooling
- Tests of the possibility of drinking sea-water
- Typhus Vaccine Experiments
- Epidemic Hepatitis Virus Research
- Sulphonamide Tests
- Experiments on Bone Transplantation
- Phlegmon Experiments
- Mustard Gas and Phosgene Experiments
- Collection of the Skeletons of Jews
- Euthanasia Program, 'Direct Elimination' and Mass Sterilization

The last category of crimes is:

▪ **Crimes against peace**: "namely, planning, preparation, initiation, or waging a war of aggression, or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances, or participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the foregoing" (Calvocoressi 30).

"Crimes against Peace" was a piece of legislature that was to pin point those people who were responsible for the intentional planning of inhumane actions. It describes actions considered to be those of aggressive war.

The judge considered these three categories and made clear his decisions by citing the following:

The Judgments were based on four counts of indictment:

1. Conspiracy to commit crimes alleged in other counts;
2. Crimes against Peace;
3. War Crimes;
4. Crimes against Humanity.

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION(s) 1951, 1952)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New**

Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior Court of San Francisco and

2. On or about_____date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited Partnership attempt to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further unlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$_____from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group_____list_____an “invalid” ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Francisco, Superior Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$_____for attorney fee’s Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

From _____, thru _____, at San Francisco, California, the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant attempted to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affing commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, aas defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1951(b)91) and travelled interstate commerce, and used the mail to further unlawful activity as defined in title, United States Code, Section `1952 in that the defendant aided and abetted _____ in obtaining approxiamaelly \$_____ from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater African American Minority Business Owner and Renters with a legally binding contract. In doing so, the defendants charged Sharon and/or James S. Bridgeater approx.. \$_____ for actin

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN

**RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S.
BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real
parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR
PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

DONALD TRUMP

JOE BIDEN

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

**1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers
employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are
knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior
Court of San Franciso and**

**2. On or about_____date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal
Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited**

Partnership attempt to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further unlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$_____ from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group _____ list _____ an "invalid" ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Francisco, Superior Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$_____ for attorney fee's Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

From _____, thru _____, at San Francisco, California, the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant attempted to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and travelled interstate commerce, and used the mail to further unlawful activity as defined in title, United States Code, Section 1952 in that the defendant aided and abetted _____ in obtaining approximately \$_____ from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater African American Minority Business Owner and Renters with a legally binding contract. In doing so, the defendants charged Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater approx.. \$_____ for actin

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION 912)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan,
Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada,
New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North
Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon,
Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West
Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL
Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis)
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50
STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES"**

AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

On or about _____ (date), in the _____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant

acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the United States demanded and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum of 125 Trillion Dollars

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION 912)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,
Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan,**

Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) VS.

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually

The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

The Grand Jury Charges:

On or about _____ (date), in the
_____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United
States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the
authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant
acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the
United States demaned and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum
of 125 Trillion Dollars

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

(18 U.S.C. 1951, 1952)

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan

and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

On or about _____(date), in the _____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the United States demaned and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum of 125 Trillion Dollars

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA “THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,**

Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly

**situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ **DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500 ET AL

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C) (3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or
individually
TheUnited States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500 ET AL

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

INDICTMENT ARREST WARRANT

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,**

Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

JACOB ROTHCHILD
AND/OR INDIVIUDALLY

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____

BY _____

DEPUTY _____

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common

wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized**

assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

DEFENDANT

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized**

assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C) (3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually
TheUnited States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

DEFENDANT

TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____ **DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND**

**CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**

**EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal**

judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Donald Trump

BARAK OBAMA
MICHELLE OBAMA
GEORGE W. BUSH
WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON
HILLARY CLINTON
ERIC HOLDER
LORETTA LYNCH
JEFF SESSIONS
WILLIAM BARR

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

DEFENDANT

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE**

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

DEFENDANT

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON

**BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE**

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C) (3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON

**BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND**

**CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**

**EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal**

judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

JOE BIDEN, in his official capacity as President of the United States
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY

DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,**

Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

Defendant

TO _____ **SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18**
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____

(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-

**501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

John G. Roberts, in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Supreme Court
and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____ DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-

**501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or
individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ **DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses

**International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Neil Gorsuch, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or
individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at_____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and

**Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Amy Coney Barrett in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or
individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and

**Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or
individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses

**International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Stephen G. Breyer, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or
individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at_____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-

**501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Elena Kagan in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

Defendant

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ **DEPUTY**

INDICTMENT ARREST WARRANT

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON**

**BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]**

**EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF**

NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

JACOB ROTHCHILD
AND/OR INDIVIUDALLY

Defendant

TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR

INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____

BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping**

Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

**Anthony Fauci in his official capacity
As Chief Medical Advisor to the President of the United States of America
and/or individually**

Defendant

**TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**
AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**UNKNOWN OR
UNCERTAIN ARREST
WARRANT**

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DOCKET #: _____
WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE

**PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

JACOB ROTHCHILD
AND/OR INDIVIUDALLY

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUAL:

KNOWN NAME AND/OR

ALIASES: _____

GENDER: _____

DATE OF BIRTH _____

APPROX AGE: _____

HEIGHT: _____

WEIGHT: _____

EYE COLOR: _____

SKIN COLOR: _____

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTIST: _____

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: _____

LAST KNOWN ADDRESS: _____

LAST KNOWN EMPLOYMENT: _____

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to bring

To "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" AND/OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE WITHOUT
UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMPLAINT WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER ONE OR MORE COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, INFORMATION
AND/OR ORDER FROM THE COURT, CHARGING HIM AND/OR HER WITH
RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371
TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381, MONEY LAUNDERING IN VIOLATION OF ONE OR
MORE 18 USC. SECTION 1956(A), AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1957, CRIMES AGAINST
HUMANITY, CONSPIRACY TO LAUNDER MONEY

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____

BY _____**DEPUTY**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA "THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR" PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming [the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR (VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

RECORD NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS CONCURRING IN AN INDICTMENT

AS THE FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY OF THIS COURT AT A SESSION HELD
AT _____ ON
I CERTIFY THAT (S[ECOFU NUMBER) _____ GRAND JURORS
CONCEURRED IN THE INDICTMENT IN THIS CASE.
UNDER COMMON LAW AND/OR FED. RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 6© NTHIS
RECORD IS BEING FILED WITH THE COURT AND/OR MAGISTRATE AND/OR
AUTHORIZE CLERK AND WILL NOT BE MADE PUBLIC UNLESS THE COURT
ORDERS OTHERWISE.

DATE: _____
FOREPERSON SIGNATURE

SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,

North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

_____AD
DRESS OF ARREST ON _____[DATE OF ARREST].

DATED:_____

NAME OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

TITLE OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

DISTRICT OF_____

BY DEPUTY_____

UNKNOWN OR UNCERTAIN ARREST WARRANT

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DOCKET #:_____
WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.

**CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUAL:

**KNOWN NAME AND/OR
ALIASES:** _____

GENDER: _____

DATE OF BIRTH _____

APPROX AGE: _____

HEIGHT: _____

WEIGHT: _____

EYE COLOR: _____

SKIN COLOR: _____

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTIST: _____

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: _____

LAST KNOWN ADDRESS: _____

LAST KNOWN EMPLOYMENT: _____

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to bring

To "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" AND/OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE WITHOUT
UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMPLAINT WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER ONE OR MORE COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, INFORMATION
AND/OR ORDER FROM THE COURT, CHARGING HIM AND/OR HER WITH
RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371
TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381, MONEY LAUNDERING IN VIOLATION OF ONE OR
MORE 18 USC. SECTION 1956(A), AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1957, CRIMES AGAINST
HUMANITY, CONSPIRACY TO LAUNDER MONEY

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____

BY _____DEPUTY

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,**

Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly

**situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

RECORD NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS CONCURRING IN AN INDICTMENT

AS THE FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY OF THIS COURT AT A SESSION HELD

AT _____ ON

I CERTIFY THAT (S[ECOFU NUMBER) _____ GRAND JURORS
CONCEURED IN THE INDICTMENT IN THIS CASE.

UNDER COMMON LAW AND/OR FED. RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 6© NTHIS
RECORD IS BEING FILED WITH THE COURT AND/OR MAGISTRATE AND/OR
AUTHORIZE CLERK AND WILL NOT BE MADE PUBLIC UNLESS THE COURT
ORDERS OTHERWISE.

DATE: _____
_____ **FOREPERSON SIGNATURE**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER VIA“THE
50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR
RELATOR” PURUSANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global**

_____[DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE(S) [A
VIOLATION[S] OF_____THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT [VIOLATION OF

STATUTES(S) VIOLATED E.G. _____ U.S.C
SECTION _____.

_____[printed name], Clerk
“THE 50 UNITED STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR

BY: _____[SIGNATURE]
DEPUTY CLERK

RETURN ON WARRANT

I RECEIVED THIS WARRANT ON _____[DATE],
AND EXECUTED IT BY ARRESTING _____[NAME OF
DEFENDANT OR SUSPECT AT

_____AD
DRESS OF ARREST ON _____[DATE OF ARREST].

DATED: _____

NAME OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

TITLE OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

DISTRICT OF _____
BY DEPUTY _____

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

COUNT ONE 18 U.S.C. § 793(d) (Unauthorized Disclosure of National Defense Information) At all times relevant to this indictment.

Background 1.

The Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") was a United States government intelligence agency. The CIA's headquarters and the bases for their decisions and actions as well as conducting clandestine actions, at the direction of the CIA, were classified as "Top Secret," "Secret" or "Confidential." The designation "Top Secret" applied to information without proper authorization, reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to national security. The designation could be further restricted through compartmentation in Sensitive Compartmented Information ("SCI") categories. Only information that was classified. Classified information, of any designation, could only be shared with persons -2- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 4 of 31 who had a security clearance, an agreement, and who possessed a "need to know." If a person was not eligible to receive classified information, classified information could constitute a criminal offense. 9. Similarly, as part of his employment with the CIA, defendant STERLING ("defendant STERLING") worked as an employee for the CIA. After serving as a career trainee for the CIA, defendant STERLING worked at Langley, Virginia, in the Eastern District of Virginia, from on or about August 2000 through on or about February 2001. Defendant STERLING held a Top Secret security clearance with access to SCI. Defendant STERLING's employment with the CIA was terminated by the CIA, a CIA security officer read defendant STERLING the contents of another SCI Non-Disclosure Agreement. Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 5 of 31 The CIA security officer informed defendant STERLING that he explicitly agreed, that "all [classified] information or material that I may acquire in the course of my employment with the CIA, defendant STERLING also agreed that "I will surrender anything constituting, containing or reflecting such information or material, as well as any other classified information acquired by defendant STERLING during the course of his employment with the CIA, defendant STERLING also agreed, that "I hereby agree to submit for review by the [CIA] any writing or any preparation in any form for public disclosure, either during my employment or other service with the [CIA] or at any time thereafter, prior to do so from the [CIA]." At no time did the -5- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 6 of 31 CIA authorize defendant STERLING to engage in "improper" conduct that implicated classified information, these security and non-disclosure agreements clearly made while still protecting the classified information and not causing the disclosure of information that reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to national security. Human Asset No. 1 agreed to work for the CIA for monetary consideration. 15. Classified Program No.1 was a clandestine operational program of the CIA. The program included Country A. -6- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 7 of 31 16. Between on or about August 2000 and February 2001, defendant STERLING had no advanced scientific or engineering education or training nor did he ever seek or express any interest in such education or training.

was no longer authorized to receive classified information or possess classified documents concerning Human A employed by a national newspaper and wrote newspaper articles about the CIA and the intelligence community g clearance. The Scheme to Disclose Classified Information 18. Beginning in approximately August 2000, and last Publications Review Board regarding defendant STERLING's attempt to publish his memoirs. Defendant STERLING, as well as other decisions -7- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page characterized in a false and misleading manner. 19. Defendant STERLING employed a scheme to disclose classi documents without the authority of the CIA; (b) communicating by telephone, via email and in person with Auth containing classified information to Author A for review or use; (d) characterizing the classified information in a defendant STERLING was a former employee adhering to his secrecy and nondisclosure agreements; and, (f) de A. Defendant Sterling's Civil Litigation against the CIA and His Pre-Existing Relationship with Author A 20. Or defendant STERLING caused his then-civil -8- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 9 of or, alternatively, \$30,000 for tuition to pursue a master's degree in taxation law plus reassignment to the CIA's O STERLING had not established a prima facie case of discrimination and denied his complaint. The Equal Emplo send a second settlement offer via facsimile and the United States mail to the CIA prior to service of a civil comp degree in taxation law, \$1,500 for moving expenses, and \$4,000 in attorney fees. On October 30, 2001, the CIA r Defendant STERLING in turn caused Author A to transmit and disseminate this classified information through a Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 10 of 31 service of an unclassified civil complaint in the CIA, defendant STERLING submitted a book proposal for his memoirs and a sample chapter of the book to t STERLING's memoirs. 26. In or about February 2002, defendant STERLING met with Author A, including at le Author A documents relating to defendant STERLING's employment at the CIA, including at least one redacted, defendant STERLING via electronic mail and the United States mails into, among other places, the Eastern Distr did not appear to contain classified information. 28. On or about April 18, 2002, pursuant to his secrecy and non- 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 11 of 31 classified contents of defendant STERLING's me make certain modifications to other portions of his manuscript and to draft his memoirs in such a way as to avoid not disclosed any classified information publicly. In addition, defendant STERLING and his civil attorney re-aff to his secrecy and non-disclosure agreements with the CIA, defendant STERLING submitted additional chapters guidelines presented to him during the April 2002 meeting. Once again, the memoirs included a description of C his "extreme unhappiness" over various edits that the Board had made on several chapters of his proposed memo Board member that "he -11- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 12 of 31 would be comi settlement offer via facsimile and the United States mail to the CIA. Defendant STERLING demanded, among o United States mail that re-inquired about the status of his third settlement offer to the CIA. Defendant STERLIN 2003, the CIA authorized the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia to reject the thir the CIA had authorized the rejection of his third settlement offer, defendant STERLING placed an interstate telep CIA, defendant STERLING caused the filing of a civil complaint in the United States District Court for the Distr unclassified version of his memoirs. 36. On or about March 5, 2003, consistent with his secrecy and non-disclos However, in doing so, defendant STERLING falsely characterized certain facts and circumstances relating to Cla Program No.1, and claimed, based upon that false information, that Classified Program No. 1 may have enhance email account to the work email address of Author A. Defendant STERLING stated, "I'm sure you've already see STERLING and Author A already had been discussing Classified Program No.1. 38. Between on or about March On or about April 3, 2003, Author A had a telephone conversation with the -13- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Docu write a story about Classified Program No. I, provided the OP A Director with certain highly classified infonnati nature of the infonnation and the national security implications associated with disclosure of that infonnation. De Classified Program No.1 to Author A in order to induce Author A to publish a newspaper article about Classifie proposed story about Classified Program No.1. During this conversation, the OP A Director again put Author A think about the OPA Director's concerns, but that at that point he intended to continue to write the story. Defenda Classified Program No. 1 to Author A in order to induce Author A to publish a newspaper article about Classifie

[illegible]

NEIL H. MACBRIDE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY /Jd j{{ \$A:tP William M. Welch IfC
 ____ ----- Senior Litigation Counsel Criminal Division United States Department of Justice ~
 }~()/J~ 1imoti1YiK~ 1 7)- es L. Trump enior Litigation Counsel Eastern District of Virg

What is the Nuremberg Code?

The Nuremberg Code was created in 1947 in Nuremberg, Germany, following the trial of a group of Nazi doctors accused of conducting inhumane and often deadly experiments on prisoners of concentration camps without their consent. At the conclusion of what's also referred to as 'The Doctors Trial', 16 people were found guilty.

The Nuremberg Code was developed in response to the horrors of this experimentation, with the aim of protecting human subjects in medical research. The Code, and particularly its emphasis on informed consent, has had a profound impact on international human rights law and medical ethics.

The Nuremberg Code consists of 10 principles, the first of which being that the voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential in any experiment on humans.

Other principles include that the experiment should be for the good of society, that all unnecessary physical and mental suffering or injury should be avoided and no experiment should be conducted if there is good reason to believe it may result in death or a disabling injury.

They also say that the human subject should be free to exit the experiment if they are suffering, and that the scientist in charge must be prepared to end the experiment if they have good reason to believe it may cause injury, disability or death to the subject if it continues.

The Nuremberg Code and Covid-19 vaccines

We have seen several examples of posts on social media claiming that Covid-19 vaccines violate the Nuremberg Code because they are somehow "experimental" and, as people receiving the vaccines are not made aware of this, they are unable to give their informed consent.

This claim that the Covid-19 vaccines are experimental is simply not true, and something we have corrected multiple times. The three Covid vaccines currently given temporary authorisation for use in the UK have been shown to be safe and effective in large scale clinical trials.

TO _____ ALL PARTIES AND TO ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

TAKE NOTICE Sharon Bridgewater will move the Court for an order FOR ADJUDICATION TO TRANSFER AND CONSOLIDATE RELATED CASES which is made pursuant to the Class Action fairness and/or Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

and/or criminal rule of the court and is based on the attached memorandum of points and authorities, affidavit, and/or exhibits; and/or Criminal Rule of Procedure _____ the files and records; and any such further information as shall be made available to the Court and for hearing before this court on Date: TBA, at Time: TBA, or as soon after that times as the matter can be heard, in Courtroom #6600 of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia located at 333 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001.

I.

GROUND FOR REQUESTED RELIEF

Plaintiff and/or Petitioners relies on complaint filed concurrently. Pursuant to Criminal rule and/or the class action fairness it permits a court to transfer Federal and/or State court cases. All the cases which are pending in these court(s), all involve a common question of law and fact since all the actions depend upon and/or a common question, transfer a consolidation of these cases will avoid unnecessary cost and delay and prevent duplication of evidence; the cases arises from the substantially the same transaction or events and/or involve the same parties or action and/or same question of law and are grounds to relate and consolidate into one action; AND INCLUDING ANY AND ALL OF THEIR RESPECTIVE SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST, PREDECESSORS, REPRESENTATIVES, TRUSTEES, EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, HEIRS, ASSIN OR TRANSFEREES, IMMEDIATE AND REMOTE, ANY PERSON OR ENTITY ACTING ON BEHALF OF OR CLAIMING UNDER ANY OF THEM AND EACH OF THEM, INCLUDING THE DEFENDANTS, RESPONDANTS, APPEALLEES IMMEDIATE FAMILY OF ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS, RESPONDANTS AND/OR APPELLEES AND ANY ENTITY IN WHICH THE DEFENDANTS AND/OR RESPONDANTS AND/OR APPELLEES HAS OR HAD CONTROLLING INTEREST AND THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE HEIRS, SUCCESSORS OR ASSIGNS OF ANY EXCLUDED PERSON, FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT. IN ADDITION TO THE BELOW-ENTITLED ACTIONS PENDING IN THE US SUPREME COURT AND/OR US APPELLANT COURTS, STATE COURT AND/OR SMALL CLAIMS COURT ACTIONS INTO ONE ACTION, TO THIS DISTRICT COURT OF COLUMBIA ON THE GROUND THAT ALL WERE EMPLOYED AND/OR ASSOCIATED WITH AND/OR HAD AND EXPRESSED AND/OR IMPLIED AGREEMENT AS DEFINED IN THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT, WHOSE ACTIVITY AFFECTED INTERSTATE AND/OR FOREIGN COMMERCE . THE BELOW CASES ARE AS FOLLOWS: a

CRIMINAL CHARGES

COMPLAINT

Comes now, Prosecuting Attorney, Carl B. Cohen, being duly sworn according to Law, deposes and states upon information and belief, that the above-named defendant

committed the following crimes, to-wit:

CHARGES:

(CHARGE)

(DATE/PLACE)

The facts which form the basis for this belief are:

SUMMARY:(SUMMARY)

(PROSECUTOR)

(ASSISTANT) Prosecuting Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this (DATE).

Source: Used

FACTS

5. The facts and circumstances supporting the seizures and forfeiture of the defendants, set out in paragraph 4, above, are contained in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

EXTRADITION PAPERS

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE'S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. IMMANUEL MACRON [name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[FRANCE]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols,
the ["THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the competent authorities of State B[FRANCE]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s):

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence :

Page 1

Current location:

Languages(s) spoken:

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

2. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [Immanuel Macron] from State B[FRANCE] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

3. Basis for the Extradiction Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [IMMANUEL
MACRON] on _____(date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are
enclosed

4. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

Page 2

5. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

6. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are
not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting
State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

7. Other

8. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

PAGE 3

Seal

REQUESTING AUTHORITY

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE'S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation,

The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. Angela Merkel [name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[Germany]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols, the [“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the competent authorities of State B[FRANCE]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s): Angela

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence :

Page 1

Current location:

Languages(s) spoken:

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

1. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [Angela Merkel] from State B[Germany] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

2. Basis for the Extradiction Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [Angela Merkel] on _____(date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are enclosed

3. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

Page 2

4. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

5. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

6. Other

7. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

Seal

REQUESTING AUTHORITY

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE’S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies,

Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. Xi Jinping [name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[China]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols,
the [“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the competent authorities of State B[FRANCE]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s): Xi

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence :

Page 1

Current location: China

Languages(s) spoken: Chinese

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

1. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [Angela Merkel] from State B[Germany] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

2. Basis for the Extradiction Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [Xi Jinping] on
_____ (date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are enclosed

3. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

Page 2

4. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

5. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are
not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting
State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

6. Other

7. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

Seal

REQUESTING AUTHORITY

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE’S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. Vladimir Putin [name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[Russia]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols,
the ["THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN

FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the competent authorities of State B[Russia]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s): Vladimir Putin

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence : Soviet Union - Russia

Page 1

Current location: Soviet Union - Russia

Languages(s) spoken: Russian

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

2. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [Vladimir Putin] from State B[Russia] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

3. Basis for the Extradiction Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [Vladimir Putin] on _____(date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are enclosed

4. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

Page 2

5. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

6. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting

State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

7. Other

8. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

Seal

REQUESTING AUTHORITY

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE'S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. Karim A. A. Khan [name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[United Kingdom]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols,
the [“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN
FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the
competent authorities of State B[Russia]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s): Karim A. A. Khan

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence : United Kingdom

Current location: Soviet Union – United Kingdom

Languages(s) spoken: United Kingdom

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

1. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [Karim A. A. Khan] from State B[United Kingdom] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

2. Basis for the Extradition Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [Vladmir Putin] on _____(date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are enclosed

3. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

4. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

5. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

6. Other

7. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

REQUESTING AUTHORITY

LOGO

REQUESTING STATE'S AUTHORITY

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT

P.O. BOX 19631

Detroit, MI 48219

1-269-274-3174

Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

EXTRADITION REQUEST

Re. James Stewart

[name of person sought]

To Requested State B

[Canada]

Pursuant to the European Convention on Extradition (Council of Europe) of 13 December 1957 (CETS n° 024) and its applicable Additional Protocols,
the [“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN
FACT [name of competent authority] of State A has the honour to herewith request the
competent authorities of State B[Russia]to extradite the person mentioned hereinafter.

1. Identity and description of the Person Sought

Name :

First name(s): James Stewart

Aliases:

Gender:

Date of birth:

Place of birth:

Nationality/es:

Passport(s): Occupation:

Last known residence : Canada

Current location: Canada

Languages(s) spoken: English

Other

Any distinguishing features:

Photographs/fingerprints of the sought person are enclosed.

1. Statement of the offences(s) for which extradition is sought

The extradition of [James Stewart] from State B[Canada] is sought for the following offence(s):

**Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. section
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE LIST**

2. Basis for the Extradiction Request

For prosecution/enforcement of a sentence

A warrant of arrest/sentence for the [offense(s)] was issued by the Court for [James Stewart] on _____(date). 1/ /2022. An authentic copy of [document(s)]is/are enclosed

3. Penalty

The penalty foreseen/imposed for [the offense(s)]is:

Page 2

4. Statement of facts comprising the offences(s) for which the extradition is sought

5. Lapse of time

The offense(s)/sentence for which extradition is sought from State B[France] is/are not barred from prosecution/enforcement by virtue of Articles [law of requesting State “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR”]

6. Other

7. Enclosures

On behalf of the requesting Authority,

Signature

TITLE

**“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
ATTORNEY IN FACT**

Mr Karim A. A. Khan QC from The United Kingdom; his Deputy is Mr James Stewart from Canada.

•
(LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF AND FUNCTIONS AND RELATIONSHIP WITH THE
SHARON AND/OR JAMES S. BRIDGEWATER AND/OR Committee on Oversight and
Government Reform, United States House of Representatives is a standing committee of the
United States House of Representatives

Plaintiffs and Claimants

The President possess wide discretion in deciding how and even when to enforce laws. President Donald Trump was inaugurated on February 20, 2017, and before taking office he oath of office, palmed his hand on the Holy Bible and stated, “I, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will

support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which “he” and added, "So help me God!" Article. II. - The Executive Branch Section 1. Trump have legal duties and/or obligations to “take care that the laws be faithfully executed,” as defined in Article II, Section 1, Clause 8 Article II of the [Constitution](#). Donald Trump "is found", "in possession" or "in charge" of the entire United States and the “State of Affairs” of the United States of America with legal duties and obligations and responsibilities as the United States President. Donald Trump is the Commander in-Chief of the armed forces etc. and a State Actor acting under the color of State and/or Federal law with legal duties and obligation to uphold the United States Constitution with Trump owed of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater. On or about February 20, 2017, and continuing thru present Trump et al and Bridgewater at all times mentioned had a continues to have a “fiduciary relationship-public official/citizen relationship.” Trump at all times mentioned have legal duties and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws that will impact the Plaintiff Bridgewater and/or other lives. Trump position as United States President is Superior to citizens of the United States as well as the Plaintiff Bridgewater. He at all times mentioned owe a duty of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater a duty of loyalty, a duty of impartiality, accountability and a duty to preserve the public’s trust in the government. He is further subjected to regulation and/or laws under the United States Bill of Rights, Including the First, second, Fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth and Fourteenth Amendments and “all the amendments of the United States Constitution, and further is subjected to International treaties, the Declaration for human rights.” Trump(and/or his predecessor

Obama) is prohibited from enforcing issuing “unconstitutional executive orders,” illegally detaining US Citizens without due process of law, and/or issuing executive orders not in accordance with law and contrary to the United States. On or about Jan. 1. 1997 and continuing thru present the Petitioner/Plaintiff Bridgewater and/or Donald Trump at all times mentioned were competitors. At all times mentions on or about Jan. 1, 1997 thru to his term, Trump founded the “The Trump Organization - from 1971 to 2017;” which consist of and/or is a privately owned international conglomerate based in Trump tower in Midtown Manhattan, New York City, which comprises the business ventures and investments. The company owns, operates, invests, and develops residential real estate, hotels, resorts, residential towers, and golf course in America as well as different countries[globally], and with 515 subsidiaries and entities with 264 of them bearing Trumps name and another 54 including his initials. Donald Trump his was the chairman and President (until being elected in 2017) directly engaged in the production, distribution, or acquisition of services, money, goods, or other property in interstate and/or foreign *commerce*.

Donald Trump (The Trump Organization - from 1971 to 2017),) is a privately owned international conglomerate based in Trump tower in Midtown Manhattan, New York City, which comprises the business ventures and investments. The company owns, operates, invests, and develops residential real estate, hotels, resorts, residential towers, and golf course in America as well as different countries[globally], and with 515 subsidiaries and entities with 264 of them bearing Trumps name and another 54 including his initials. Donald Trump his was the chairman and President (until being elected in 2017) directly engaged in the production, distribution, or acquisition of services, money, goods, or other property in interstate and/or foreign *commerce*.

DONALD TRUMP is the Commander in-Chief of the armed forces etc. and is the current President of the United States, and is sovereign. He/she is an employee of the United States Government, a public official as defined in 18 USC section 201, and a State Actor acting under the color of State and/or Federal law, and is charged with the “State of Affairs,” of the United States. He is further charged with “to take care that the laws be faithfully executed,” as defined in Article II, Section 1, Clause 8 Article II of the [Constitution](#). Donald Trump owe a duty of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater . Obama had a “fiduciary relationship-public official/citizen relationship,” with Bridgewater. Donald Trump at all times mentioned had legal duties and obligation to exercise discretion in creating laws that will impact the Plaintiff Bridgewater and/or other lives. Donald Trump position as United States President is Superior to citizens of the United States as well as the Plaintiff Bridgewater.

Donld Trump(The Trump Organization from 1971-2017) is a privatey owned international congolomerate(owned by Trump our President) based in Trump tower in Manhattan, New York City and which comprise of business venture and investments. The company owns, operates, invest, and develop residential real estate, hotels, resourts, residential towers, and golf courses in America as well as different countries[globally], and with 515 subsidiaries and entities with 264 of them bearing Trumps name and antoher 54 including his intiials. Donald Trump was the chairman and President(until being elected in 2017). and corporation organizaed and existing under the laws of the United States Constitution. Engaged in the business of real estate in interstate commerce. and further he had a legal duty and obligation to protect trade and commerce against restrints and monopolies.

Trump at all times mentioned had legal duties to act in the public best interest of the public. He at all times mentioned owe a duty of care to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater a duty of loyalty, a duty of impartiality, accountability and a duty to preserve the public's trust in the government. He is further subjected to regulation and/or laws under the United States Bill of Rights, including the First, second, Fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth and Fourteenth Amendments and "all the amendments of the United States Constitution, and further is subjected to International treaties, the Declaration for human rights." Trump is prohibited from enforcing arbitrary and capricious, and/or issuing "unconstitutional executive orders," and/or orders not in accordance with law and contrary to the United States Constitution. He is prohibited from interfering with the 50 States right via the 9th and/or 10th amendment US Constitution and further is prohibits from violating and/or interfering or violating my US Constitutional rights, and/or "any citizen guarantee rights," under international laws and/or the United States Constitution. On or about Jan. 2, 2005 and continuing thru present Donald Trump had and continues to have a fiduciary relationship with the Plaintiff and at all times mentioned he were competitioners with the Plaintiff(Real Estate). At all times mentioned he owed duty of care to Sharon and/or James Bridgewater, that is a duty of loyalty and trust, and had legal duties and obligation to comply with all federal and/or state law when conducting business is prohibited from enforcing and/or issuing unconstitutional order. Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity as President of the United States is a citizen of the State of Virginia, , and resides in the District of Columbia, on or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present Donald Trump acquired and/or maintained through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt an interest in an enterprise affecting interstate commerce; (c) conducted or participated in the conduct of the affairs of an enterprise affecting interstate commerce through a

pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt; or (d) conspired to participate in any of these activities, issued “abiratory and carpious, and unconstitutional orders,” engaged in unfair, fraudulent, deceptive business practices, unfair competition, issued unconstitutional orders, deprived the Plaintiff the intangible right to honest services and continues to deprive and/or deny the and violated the Plaintiff US Constitutional Civil rights and continues to violate the Plaintiff US Constitutional rights, _____, upon information and belief conspired with foreign officials, violated National Security, which constitute a conspiracy to engage in a pattern of Rackeeteering Activity, requiring immediate declaratory and injunctive relief.

OTHER NAMED DEFENDANTS AND/OR /RESPONDENTS

All are President(s), Executive Director(s), Parent Corporations, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, syndicated or other legal, individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own, control, operate, manage firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, and/or the International Community which provides that one who 1)have some sort of fee-based or similar relationship; 2)have an established duty either fixed and/or not fixed arising from an express or implied, contract; 3)use, or cause to be used, by the Government or accept government property as security, from a government officer or employee; 4) is an or licenser-licensee; 5)or are current or former persons who have a duty or obligation under a statute or regulation; 6)Or have an obligations fixed and definite at the time and/or which included fixed and/or contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed liquidated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unliquidated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods and/or including contingent obligations such as, “yet fixed,” and/or fixed term ‘obligation’ and includes fixed and contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed liquidated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unliquidated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods.... defined to the instance where there is a relationship between the Government and a person that results in a duty to pay the Government money, whether or not the amount owed is yet fixed with presents, or causes to be presented, claim for payment or Approval to the US Government; 7)makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, record or statement material to claim;

delivers, or causes to be delivered, money or property; 8) or are authorized to make or deliver a document certifying receipt of property used, or to be used, by the Government makes or delivers the receipt buys, or receives as a pledge of an obligation or debt, public property from an officer or employee of the Government

Who are employed by the United States Government (operating under the Direction of George W. Bush, William Bill Clinton, George Bush, Barak H. Obama and/or Donald Trump from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present who who were employed by the United States Government (operating under the Direction of George W. Bush, William Bill Clinton, George Bush, Barak H. Obama and/or Donald Trump from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present who:

Activities restrained interstate and/or foreign commerce

Prerequisites to a Class Action. One or more members of a class may sue or be sued as representative parties on behalf of all only if (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class, (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class, and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

(b) Class Actions Maintainable. An action may be maintained as a class action if the prerequisites of subdivision (a) are satisfied and in addition:

(1) the prosecution of separate actions by or against individual members of the class would create a risk of

(A) inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the class, or

(B) adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests or

(2) the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole; ...

WAIVER OF SOVERIEGN IMMUNITY

The United States have consent to suit via the Admiralty and/or Maritime

Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity and/or Jeff Sessions has consented to be sued herein under the suits in admiralty act.. The federal government has waived sovereign immunity in this action under the Racketeered Influence and Corrupt Organization Act and/or the “Stripping doctrine” .⁶ Plaintiff and/or Claimant sue Obama and Lynch in their official capacities Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predecessor) (2008-), George W. Bush (2001- 2008), William Bill Clinton(1993-2001), George H. W. Bush (1989-1993) according to the context, the terms “Bush I Administration, Bush II Administration,” “Clinton Administration,” and “Obama Administration” denote, respectively, the presidential terms of office of and or, collectively, to the senior officials who comprised, from time to time, the policy-making governmental and political apparatus of each of those administrations. authority, plaintiff sues each of said defendants, in their official capacity as the acts or omissions complained of were not within the scope of such defendants’ official duties, but conspiracies under the color of federal law, and were crimes and unlawful acts outside the scope of such

⁶ Suits filed against state officials under the stripping doctrine permits a state official who used his or his or her position to act illegally to be sued in his or her individual capacity, and the government is immune from being sued through [respondeat superior](#).

duties and such acts and omissions were done under color of Federal and/or State law and/or official right. in bad faith and with knowledge that their conduct violated well established and settled law; plaintiff seeks recovery for the acts and omissions of each such defendant and from his or her personal assets, not against the government body that is (or was) such defendant's employer at the time of the acts complained. A suit in admiralty may be brought against the United States under the Suits in Admiralty. This complaint is further, actionable against "ALL JUDGES," "co-conspirators," Supreme Court Justices, US Federal District Court Judges, US Congressmen and US Representative, Prosecutors, under Title 42 U.S.C. 1985 (3), whose immunity does not extend to conspiracy under color of law. Section 1985 (3) reaches both conspiracies under color of law and conspiracies effectuated through purely private conduct. In *Ex Parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), the Supreme Court held that a state official who acted unconstitutionally could be sued in his official capacity for prospective relief. Such a suit "does not affect the State in its sovereign or governmental capacity" because the official who commits an unconstitutional act is deemed "stripped of his official or representative character. Plaintiff had no knowledge of this combination and conspiracy or of any fact that might have led to the discovery of it prior to the institution of this proceedings.

Statement of the Case

The Declaration for Human rights, the Marta Car_____, Genova Convention, United Nations United States Constitution is the Supreme law of the land, Trump Article II, Section 1, Clause 8 Article II of the [Constitution in pertinent part](#) “take care that the laws be faithfully executed,” Donald Trump in his official capacity as President is not is not above the law. No man is this county is so high that he is above the law. No Officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the Officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. It is the only supreme over in our system of government, and every man who by accepting Office participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to that supremeacy, and to observe the limitations which it imposes upon the exercise of the authority which it gives. *Unites States v. lee*, 106 U.S. 196, 220. Trump, et al cannot enforce “unconstitutional legislation.” This is a Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization [RICO] case. International law governs the relationship between states and international entities The term "international law" can refer to three distinct legal disciplines:

[Public international law](#), which governs the relationship between states and international entities. It includes these legal fields: [treaty law](#), [law of sea](#), [international criminal law](#), the [laws of war](#) or [international humanitarian law](#), [international human rights law](#), and [refugee law](#).^[5]

Private international law, or [conflict of laws](#), which addresses the questions of (1) which jurisdiction may hear a case, and (2) the law concerning which jurisdiction applies to the issues in the case.^[6]

[Supranational law](#) or the law of [supranational](#) organizations, which concerns regional agreements where the laws of nation states may be held inapplicable when conflicting with a supranational legal system when that nation has a [treaty](#) obligation to a supranational [collective](#).^[7]

The Rackeeteered Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act[RICO] was enacted by section 901(a) of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 (Pub.L. 91–452, 84 Stat. 922, enacted October 15, 1970), and is codified at 18 U.S.C. ch. 96 as 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968. It was enacted as Title IX of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, and signed into law by Richard M. Nixon. This federal law is designed to combat organized crime in the United States and allows prosecution and civil penalties for racketeering activity performed as part of an ongoing

criminal enterprise and further allows the leaders of a syndicate to be tried for the crimes which they [ordered](#) others to do [or assisted them](#)(In this case AKA Queen Elizabeth, and/or family members, Donald Trump, Ivanka Trump, the the Rockerfellers, the Rothchilds, the Bushes, Bill and Hillary Clinton, Barak and Michelle Obama, Eric and Sharon Holder, et al) for schemes to defraud the Plaintiffs the intangle right to honest services. Further the Statue allows “private citizens who were injured and damaged in business, and/or property to sue those organizations who commit mail fraud, wire fraud, etc. to act as prosecutors” Both statues allows for treble damages.

Under legal duties and obligations as:

The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) makes it unlawful to acquire, operate, or receive income from an enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. Geared toward ongoing organized criminal activities, the underlying tenet of RICO is to prove and prohibit a pattern of crimes conducted through an “enterprise,” which the statute defines as “any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact although not a legal entity.” Under RICO, it is a crime for an individual to belong to an “enterprise” that is involved in a pattern of racketeering, even if the racketeering was committed by other members. Specifically, Section 1962 of RICO prohibits “any person” from: (b) acquiring or maintaining through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt an interest in an enterprise affecting interstate commerce; (c) conducting or participating in the conduct of the affairs of an enterprise affecting interstate commerce through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt; or (d) conspiring to participate in any of these activities, "Racketeering activity" generally means any act as defined in _____. "Enterprise" is defined to include "any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, and union or group of individuals associated in fact although not a legal entity." A "Pattern of racketeering activity" "requires at least two acts of racketeering activity, one of which occurred after the effective date of this chapter and the last of which occurred within ten years (excluding any period of imprisonment) after the commission of a prior act of racketeering activity." "Unlawful debt" generally means a debt that is incurred or contracted in a gambling activity or business in violation of federal, state or local law or is unenforceable, in whole or part, due to usury laws. "pattern" requires a two-prong showing of a "relationship" between the predicate offenses and the threat of a "continuing activity." A relationship is established where the conduct amounts to a pattern that embraces offenses having the same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or were interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and not merely isolated events. Continuity will be found where the predicate offenses amount to or pose a threat of continued conduct. with long-term activity, continuity may be demonstrated by a series of predicate offenses over a substantial period of time, rather than a few weeks or months with no threat of

future conduct. Continuity may also be shown by a few predicate offenses within a short period of time with the threat of the acts extending indefinitely into the future.

The RICO permits a private individual "damaged in his business or property" by a "racketeer" to file a civil suit. Both the criminal and civil components allow the recovery of treble damages (damages in triple the amount of actual/compensatory damages).

In proving a nexus between the racketeering activity and interstate commerce, it is not necessary that the alleged acts directly involve interstate commerce. Minimal evidence is sufficient to demonstrate a nexus. Therefore, merely traveling between states in furtherance of an illegal gambling operation will establish a nexus to interstate commerce.

Admiralty and Maritime Claim permit

Further a default judgment could be entered against corporate defendants as a sanction for engaging in a deliberate pattern of discovery noncompliance, in case entitled Committee and Oversight vs. Jeff Sessions(.

B. Rico Conspiracy

19. Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act – Rico Conspiracy liability attaches to any person, corporation, joint venture, unconstitutional executive orders, A conspiracy is defined as an agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal act, with at least one conspirator attempting to commit an overt act that would further the object of conspiracy as defined in 18 U.S. Code, §371.

20. Under the Rico Conspiracy/INTERNATIONAL LAW ,

defendant(s) acquired or maintained an interest in, or control of, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity (subsection (b)); or the defendant(s) conducted or participated in

the affairs of the enterprise "through" the pattern of racketeering activity (subsection (c)); or the defendant(s) conspired to do one of the above (subsection (d)).

,

and no proof of specific intent to defraud is required.

RICO LIABILITY AND/OR CRIMINAL LIABILITY CRIMINAL LIABILITY

RICO CONSPIRACY LIABILITY STATE OF AFFAIRS" CASES "being found", "being in possession" or "being in charge" in the existence of the factual circumstances which constitute the crime - the existence of the state of affairs. See: R v Larssonneur (1933) 24 Cr App R 74. Winzar v Chief Constable of Kent (1983) The Times 28 March. C. OMISSION; the Defendants is under a legal duty to take positive action and failed to act. D. DUTY ARISING FROM STATUTE- Liability for failing to act where the defendant is under a Statutory Duty to act, or take positive action been under a statutory duty to take positive action. (b) DUTY ARISING FROM A CONTRACT- Where a person is under a positive duty to act because of his obligations under a contract, his failure to perform the contractual duty in question can form the basis of criminal liability. (c) PUBLIC DUTY A person in a public office may be under a public duty to care for others. (d) VOLUNTARY ASSUMPTION OF RESPONSIBILITY/RELIANCE, ONE WHO IS INELIGIBLE TO HOLD OFFICE AS UNITED STATES PRESIDENT

the Racketeered Influence Corrupt Organization is violated not (NO OVERT ACT REQUIRED) RICO CONSPIRACY only by a person who makes a false statement or a false record to get the government to pay a claim, but also by one who engages in a course of conduct that causes the government to pay a false or fraudulent claim for money or one who violates amendments as set forth in the 2009 amendment(FCA), commit predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961(1) offenses,

See U.S. v. Shabani, 513 U.S. 10, 13--4 (1994)(conspiracy to violate the Controlled Substances

Act [21 U.S. Code §846] does not have an overt act requirement); Whitfield v. U.S., 543 U.S.

209, 219 (2005)(conspiracy to commit money laundering [18 U.S. Code §1956] has no overt act requirement.) The Rico Liability is violated not only by a person who fails to do legal duties and responsibility Have a legal duty to act and fails to and continues to cause the Plaintiff injury and damage in business person or property. – or one who have and expressed or implied agreement conspire with foreign officials, launder money across international waters, commit war crimes, and/or one who have an expressed and/or implied agreement, drug trafficking , arms, trafficking, control substance, acts, human rights, selling body parts, slavery peonage, illegally spying, constitutional violations, discriminations, violations of oath of office, conflict of interest, failure to disclosu expressed or implied agreement with the Government to defraud the Plaintiff Bridgewater, scheme to defraud_____, illegally detain US Citizens without due process of law and obstruct a congressional investigation in violation of 18 USC section 1505 and/or 18 USC section 1505(371)interstate and foreign commerce. A default judgment could be entered against Donald Trump in his of defendants for his conspiracy to engage in a pattern of Rackeeteering activity as a sanction for engaging in a deliberate pattern of discovery noncompliance,

THE RACKEETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION[RICO]

IV. APPLICABLE LAW

A. INTERNATIONAL LAW/

It is unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterpized engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign

commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity. **International law** set rules regarded and accepted as binding in relations between [states](#) and between [nations](#) which serves as a framework for the practice of stable and organized international relations. International law differs from state-based [legal systems](#) in that it is primarily applicable to countries rather than to private citizens. National law becomes international law when [treaties](#) delegate national jurisdiction to [supranational](#) tribunals such as the [European Court of Human Rights](#) or the [International Criminal Court](#). Treaties such as the [Geneva Conventions](#) may require national law to conform to respective parts.

Much of international law is consent-based governance. State members are obliged to abide by international law, which they expressly consent to a particular course of conduct and agree and/or sign international treaties. ^[4] This is an issue of [state sovereignty](#). However, other aspects of international law are not consent-based but still are obligatory upon state and [non-state actors](#) such as [customary international law](#) and peremptory norms ([jus cogens](#)).

International law is sourced from decision makers and researchers looking to verify the substantive legal rule governing a legal dispute or academic discourse. The [sources of international law](#) applied by the community of nations to find the content of international law are listed under Article 38.1 of the [Statute of the International Court of Justice](#): [Treaties](#), customs, and general principles are stated as the three primary sources

PLAINTIFF INCORPORATE AFFIDIVANT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

On or about [date of agreement], Defendant entered into a International treaties[Hague, _____, as well as certain other agreements including but not limited to employment agreements, HUD lease agreement, to lease certain description]. Hereafter these areements are collectively referred to as the “Agreement.” Copies of the agreements are attached as Exh

“designation of exhibit” and are incorporated in this Paragraph __as though fully stated herein.

Pursuant to the Agreement Defendant was responsible for making _____. Section ____ of the Master agreement provides as follows:

**TREATY NOT CANCELLABLE;
DEFENDANTS OBLIGATIONS ABSOLUTE**

Include treaty agreement{Hud?}, Oath of Office agreement, discrimination

Treaties

Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties (MLATs) allow generally for the exchange of evidence and information in criminal and related matters. In money laundering cases, they can be extremely useful as a means of obtaining banking and other financial records from our treaty partners. MLATs, which are negotiated by the Department of State in cooperation with the Department of Justice to facilitate cooperation in criminal matters, are in force with the following countries: Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Austria, the Bahamas, Barbados, Belgium, Belize, Bermuda, Brazil, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Dominica, Egypt, Estonia, France, Germany, Greece, Grenada, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, the Kingdom of the Netherlands (including Aruba, Bonaire, Curacao, Saba, St. Eustatius, and St. Maarten), Nigeria, Panama, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, St. Lucia, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom (including Anguilla, British Virgin Islands, Cayman

Islands, the Isle of Man, Montserrat, and Turks and Caicos), Uruguay, and Venezuela. In addition, on February 1, 2010, 27 U.S.-EU Instruments/Agreements/Protocols entered into force that either supplemented existing MLATs or created new mutual legal assistance relationships between the United States and every member of the EU. In 2013, the United States entered into an MLAT with the Kingdom of Jordan, but it is not yet in force. A mutual legal assistance agreement has been signed by the United States but not yet brought into force with Colombia. The United States is engaged in negotiating additional MLATs with countries around the world. The United States also has signed and ratified the Inter-American Convention on Mutual Legal Assistance of the Organization of American States, the United Nations Convention against Corruption, the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, and the 1988 UN Drug Convention.

Agreements

In addition to MLATs, the United States has a Mutual Legal Assistance Agreement (MLAA) with China, as well as a MLAA between the American Institute in Taiwan and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the United States. The United States also has entered into bilateral executive agreements on forfeiture cooperation with 20 countries, including: Andorra, Anguilla, Austria, British Virgin Islands, Canada, the Cayman Islands, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Mexico, Monaco, Montserrat, the Netherlands, Singapore, Turks and Caicos Islands, the United Kingdom, and the Bailiwicks of Jersey and Guernsey (in drug cases only).

Treasury's Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or an exchange of letters in place with many other financial intelligence units (FIUs) to facilitate the exchange of information between FinCEN and the respective country's FIU. FinCEN has an MOU or an exchange of letters with the FIUs in Afghanistan, Albania, Argentina, Aruba, Australia, Belgium, Bermuda, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, Cayman Islands, Chile, Croatia, Cyprus, Egypt, France, Fiji, Guatemala, the Holy See, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Japan, Macedonia, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Montenegro, Netherlands, Nigeria, Panama, Paraguay, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia, Singapore, Slovenia, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, the Money Laundering Prevention Commission of Taiwan, Turkey, and the United Kingdom. FinCEN also exchanges information with other members of the Egmont Group of FIUs pursuant to the Egmont Principles for Information Sharing Between FIUs for Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing Cases. During 2013, FinCEN established an MOU to facilitate the exchange of supervisory information with Mexico's National Banking and Securities Commission, in support of both agencies' AML/CFT missions.

Asset Sharing

Pursuant to the provisions of U.S. law, including 18 U.S.C. § 981(i), 21 U.S.C. § 881(e)(1)(E), and 31 U.S.C. § 9703(h)(2), the Departments of Justice, State, and Treasury have aggressively sought to encourage foreign governments to cooperate in joint investigations of narcotics trafficking and money laundering, offering the possibility of sharing in forfeited assets. A parallel goal has been to encourage spending of these assets to improve narcotics-related law

enforcement. The long term goal has been to encourage governments to improve asset forfeiture laws and procedures so they will be able to conduct investigations and prosecutions of narcotics trafficking and money laundering that includes asset forfeiture. To date, Antigua and Barbuda, the Bahamas, Canada, Cayman Islands, Hong Kong, Jersey, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom have shared forfeited assets with the United States.

From Fiscal Year (FY) 1989 through FY 2013, the international asset sharing program administered by the Department of Justice shared \$248,869,984 with 43 countries. In FY 2013, DOJ shared a total of \$877,697 with eight countries and shared with Uruguay for the first time. Prior recipients of shared assets include: Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Barbados, Belgium, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Canada, Cayman Islands, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Germany, Greece, Guatemala, Guernsey, Honduras, Hong Kong, Hungary, Indonesia, Ireland, Isle of Man, Israel, Jersey, Jordan, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands Antilles, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Romania, South Africa, Switzerland, Thailand, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and Venezuela.

On or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuity thru present, US Senators, Congressman and/or the President{Trump, Obama et al} Foreign Officials, Corporations, Partnerships etc. have entered into legally binding treaties and laws and/or contracts. All States(International law and treaties) entered into legally binding agreements and/or contracts are obligated to comply with international laws, including the Declaration of Human rights All had legal duties and/or obligation to abide by this contracts and/or international treaties.

THE PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO ARREST **WARRANTS/US CONGRESSMAN AND** **SENATORS AND CO-CONSPIRATOR ARE NOT** **IMMUNE FROM ARREST**

The U.S. Constitution recognized the fundamental necessity of protecting members of Congress from arbitrary arrest. U.S. Constitution Article I, section 6 has some restrictions on the police or justice to arrest or detain legislators during a legislative session, however it does not apply to situations involving willful violations of oath of office, "treason, felony or breach of

the peace." The Plaintiff is entitled to arrest warrants against the "breakers of the law, and persons who engage in a pattern of Racketeering activity."

PDF

The Racketeered Influenced and Corrupt Organzaiton Act

National Security, James Comey and/or Jeff Sessions have taken "Oath of Office," to faithfully execute their legal duties and obligation as public officials. Further federal law regulation "Oath of Office" by government Officials is divided into four parts along with an "Executive Order" which further defines the law for purposes of enforcement. The law of 5 USC 3331/⁷ provides the text of the actual "Oath of Office" that members of Congress are required to take before assuming Office. The law of 5 USC 3333/⁸ requires members of Congress to sign an Affidavit that they have taken the "Oath of Office" required by 5 USC 3331 and have not, or will not

⁷ /Employees of the United States Government including all members of Congress are required to take the following "Oath" before assuming elected or appointed Office.

5 USC 3331: "An individual, shall take the following oath: "I, AB, do solemnly swear(or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God."

⁸

/5 USC 3333: "...an individual who accepts office or employment in the Government of the United States...shall execute an affidavit within 60 days after accepting the office or employment that his acceptance and holding of the office or employment does not or will not violate section 7311 of this title. The affidavit is prima facie evidence that the acceptance and holding of office or employment by the affiant does not or will not violate section 7311 of this title."

violate the “Oath of Office” during their Tenure of Office as defined by the third art of the law, 5 USC 7311/⁹ which explicitly makes it a federal criminal offense(and a violation of “Oath of Office”) for anyone employed in the United States Government(including members of Congress) to “advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”/¹⁰ The fourth Federal Law, 18 USC 1918/¹¹ provides penalties for violation of “Oath of Office” described in 5 USC 7311 which include: (1) removal from office and; (2) confinement or a fine. The definition of “advocate” is further specified in Executive Order 10450/¹² which purposes of enforcement,

9

/ Federal law specifically prohibits any individual from accepting or holding any position(including elected office) in the United States Government if they advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of Government.

5 USC 7311 (1): “An individual April not accept or hold a position in the Government of the United States of the Government of the District of Columbia if he(1) advocates the overthrow of our constitutional form of Government.....”

10

Advocate: To speak in favor of or defend by argument. To support, vindicate, or recommend publicly. Black’s Law Dictionary

11 Federal criminal law is explicit and direct regarding a violation of “Oath of Office” by Federal Officials which includes all members of Congress. The law requires the removal of the Office holder as well a prison term or fine from the Offender.

18 USC 1918: “Whoever violates the provisions of section 731 of title 5 that an individual April not accept or hold a position in the Government of the United States or the government of the District of Columbia if he/she (1) advocates the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”

Executive Order 10450 states (in part): “Whereas the interest of national security require that all persons privileged to be employed in.....the Government shall be reliable, trustworthy, of good conduct and character, and of complete and unswerving loyalty to the United States..it is hereby ordered as follows:

12 In order to instruct investigating “a Federal Grand Jury and/or Special Prosecutor” to what is a violation of “Oath of Office” under 5 USC 3331 and 5 USC 7311, Executive Orer 10450 was issued to serve as a guideline from determining what actions constituted a criminal violation of the “Oath of Office” by Federal Officials. The “Order” affirms the law of 5 USC 7311 that is a criminal violation under 18 USC 1918 for a member of the government, which includes members of Congress, to “advocate the overthrow of our constitutional form of government.”

supplements 5 USC 7311. One provision of Executive Order 10450 specifies that it is a violation of 5 USC 7311 for any person taking the “Oath of Office” to advocate “the alteration...of the form of government of the United States by unconstitutional means.” Our form of government is defined by the Constitution of the United States. It can only be “altered” by Constitutional Amendments. Thus, according to Executive Order 10450(and therefore 5 USC 7311) any acts taken by government Officials who have taken the “Oath of Office” prescribed by 5 USC 3331 which alters the form of government other than by Amendment, is a criminal violation of the 5 USC 7311.

Beginning on or about on or about 1993 and continuing thru present defendants, George H. Bush, William Bill Clinton, George H. Bush, Barak H. Obama, Donald Trump, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris. All of United States Supreme Court Justices Obama and/or Loretta Lynch, Jacob Rothchild, foreign official including Xi Jinping, Vladimir Putin and each of them, wrongfully and unlawfully collect humanity DNA, change every man women and child DNA, advocate to overthrow our constitutional form of Government, commit Treason, jeopardize our national securityobstruct a congressional investigation, disarm U.S. Citizens conceal known facts from the American People and/or Humanity, vaccine nano chip RFID technology, High Tech companies, surveillance enslave cashless society, cause every man women and child to receive racketeering violation, defraud two witnesses and victims of U.S. to fund the “vaccine globally”

On or about 1993 and continuing thru present and a number of times since then, Defendant Class representative Bridgewater has demanded that defendants stop their wrongful conduct described above. Plaintiff Barak H. Obama, Loretta Lynch(and her predecessor Eric Holder) and each of them, have refused and still refuse to refrain from their wrongful conduct.

Plaintiff wrongful conduct, unless and until enjoined and restrained by order of this court, will cause great and irreparable injury to plaintiff in that we “the people of the 50 States, will I “United States Government” in that the United States will continue to suffer loses and/or the States will continue to be deprived or denied equal protection of the laws.

subsidary A.

12. This is a stockholder's derivative action brought pursuant to ⁴⁶ [cite state statute or court rule]. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of other stockholders of defendant subsidiary A who are similarly situated in enforcing the right of the corporation and the interest of the stockholders of defendant subsidiary B in enforcing the right of that corporation.

13. Defendant parent corporation and defendants ⁴⁷ [name of indi-

Plaintiff "the United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator has no adequate remedy at law for the injuries currently being suffered in that "We the people," and/or the States, the class members and others will continue to be deprived equal protection under the laws(in violation of the United States Constitution), if Barak H. Obama and Loretta Lynch et al conduct is not restrained, the "people of the United States," the class Plaintiff will continue to be deprived out of money, property, and "we the people," will suffer from continual civil rights violation, and) (plaintiff will be forced to institute a multiplicity of suits to obtain adequate compensation for ___ injuries.

As a result of defendants' wrongful conduct, plaintiff the claimant has been damaged in the sum of \$150,000,000,000 Trillion Dollars. Plaintiff will be further damaged in like manner so long as defendants' conduct continues. The full amount of such damage is not now known to plaintiff

An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between "Class t," and the Class Defendant concerning their respective rights and duties in that plaintiff is the "Claimant" of books, document, etc,(of business and personal property and property of the United States), and further claims an interest in the and what rightfully belongs to the ___, whereas defendant disputes these contentions and contends that she is the United States Attorney General. Plaintiff desires a judicial determination of the Plaintiff Bridgewater right to prosecute via the Private Attorney General Relator Statue, rights and duties of the parties. This court is now vested with appropriate jurisdiction and power to declare the rights and legal relations of the parties "The United States...et al," are entitled to the declaration, the declaration to have the force and effect of a final judgment aor decree, and to be reviewable as a final judgment or decree. **LIBEL,**

SLANDER

1. On or about Nov. 2007 and continuing thru present Eric Holder(and those operating under his direction) published and/or posted defamatory information on the DOJ website and/or in the NCIC crime data base that the Class Claimant had been convicted and/or arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol; theft by taking; and further posted mug shots, warrants for arrest and an defamatory posting of the Claimant and/or Plaintiff(s) AND POSTED an "ARMED AND DANGEROUS," in the Department of Justice data base[nation wide], ¹³ without probable cause.
2. The entire statement and/or posting is false as it pertains to the Class Plaintiff Bridgewater.

¹³ AND CONTINUES TO POST THIS DEFAMATORY INFORMATION.

3. The described publication and/or posting on the Department of Justice website and data bases are libelous on its face. It clearly expose Plaintiff to hatred, contempt, ridicule and oblguy, because a “ARMED AND DANGEROUS” posting is clearly a posting for “mass murders or extremely dangerous persons such as terrorist or rapist; and charges the Class Plaintiff with having committed a serious crime of murder, rape, etc.”¹⁴
- 10.. As a proximate result of the above the Plaintiff has suffered lost of reputation, low self esteem, shame, mortification, injury to all to her damage in excess of \$10,000.00.
4. The above describe publication is not privileged because it was published or posted by Defendant Eric Holder in the Department of Justice and upon information and belief with the state of mind of malice, hatred and/or ill will toward the Plaintiff and without probable cause and upon information and belief in retaliation “only because the Plaintiff was the victim of Racketeering (Federal Witness),” and the will to punish the Plaintiff for asserting her legal rights.

18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

Alameda Superior Court Judge and Mary Tonna came to the meeting of the minds entered into an unlawful agreement, conspired under the color of State law, denied and/or deprived

IN FURTHERANCE OF THE UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT AND/OR CONSPIRACY

Derrick Hamilton(A WITNESS TO THE CRIME-SEE EXH.) was due to testify on my behalf in a court proceeding, one or more of the Defendants, upon information and belief the defendants knowingly, intentionally killed my friend Derrick Hamilton, to prevent the attendance or testimony in the official proceeding trial(and/or)prevented the attendance and/or testimony of Derrick Hamilton the a in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512(a)and/or (b)Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

In addition, Bridgewater petitioned the U.S. California Supreme Court for a stay on or about _____one or more California Supreme Court Judges, Roger adopted the acts of Mary Tonna and Roger Tonna, and the Alameda Superior Court Judge and Mary Tonna came to the meeting of the minds entered into an unlawful agreement, conspired under the color of State law, denied and/or deprived Sharon Bridgewater, denied Bridgewater motion and corruptly altered,

1. ¹⁴ There have been multiple, numerous other unlawful acts(complete torture and torment too many to mention during the course of 7-8 years).

destroyed, mutilated, or conceals the California United States a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; IN VIOLATION OF ONE OR MORE (B)(1) (b)(1)AND/OR

(2)(A)withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;(B)

alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding;

IMPRISONMENT UNDER THIS SECTION IN PERTINANT PART STATES:

(c)Whoever corruptly—**(1)**alters, destroys, mutilates, or conceals a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or **(2)**otherwise obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

**2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
BARAK H. OBAMA VS. MITT RODMNEY**

HOLDER, BIDEN AND

**BIDEN
KNOWING THAT FILED SUIT
AGAINST THE FEDERAL
GOVERNEMTN V**

**All have a fiduary obligation to U.S. citizens,
performance of their duties public officials
must set the interests of the public ahead of**

their own, as well as ahead of the interests of their families, friends, political compatriots, and business associates.¹⁰

OVERT ACT

12-27-2021

Article VI, Paragraph 2 of the U.S. Constitution referred to as the Supremacy Clause, establishes that the federal constitution, and federal law generally, **take precedence over state laws**, and even state constitutions.

IN FURTHERANCE OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CONSPIRACY BIDEN, GOVERNOR WITNMNET AND STATE GOVERNORS ENTER INTO AN UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT

THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
BIDEN AND STATE GOVERNORS,
KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY AND
EMPLOYEES KNOWINGLY,
INTENTIONALLY ENTER INTO AN
UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT CONSPIRE
UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW This is an

action alleging fraud, civil conspiracy to commit fraud, abuse of process, and racketeering, all arising from a scheme between Defendants that was designed and implemented to defraud the United States

defraud the United States under 18 U.S.C. § 371 are: (1) an agreement of two or more persons; (2) to defraud the United States; and (3) an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy The “fraud covered by the statute reaches any conspiracy for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful functions of any department of the Government” 66 by “deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest

**GOVERNOR WITMER AND OTHER
OTHER STATE GOVERNMENTS ENTER
INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH JOE
BIDEN TO DISTRIBUTE THE DEADLY**

**BIDEN KNOWING I AM REPRESENTING EACH STATE, AND SUING THE
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT KNOW DECLARES AND OR SEND ORDER TO ALL
GOVERNORS**

<https://lynnwoodtimes.com/2021/12/27/biden-omicron-211227/>

Biden affirms COVID-19 is a state issue

December 27, 2021 Mario Lotmore 0 Comments

Pandemic battle is now primarily a state-level fight, Biden tells governors

December 16, 2021

Senate passes Uyghur forced labor bill

<https://www.axios.com/uyghur-forced-labor-china-nicholas-burns-cf503f53-3031-4a84-9320-eaa7309cf535.html>

<https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/president-biden-signs-wide-reaching-4637775/>

<https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/president-biden-signs-wide-reaching-4637775/>

December 27, 2021

President Biden Signs Wide Reaching Legislation Targeting Goods Allegedly Involving Forced Labor from China

H.R.6256 – 117th Congress (2021-2022): To ensure that goods made with forced labor in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region of the People’s Republic of China do not enter the United States market, and for other purposes. | Congress.gov | Library of Congress.

<https://apnews.com/article/joe-biden-health-business-asia-china-c79853e10ddc9d6ed3d455bd5159a2c7>

<https://www.foxnews.com/politics/biden-bill-uyghurs-china-forced-labor>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/12/23/us/politics/china-uyghurs-forced-labor.html>

Judgment - Removal and Disqualification

SECTION 4. The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors.

ANNOTATIONS

Article II, section 4 provides that officers impeached and convicted “shall be removed from office”; Article I, section 3, clause 7 provides further that “judgment in cases of impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States.” These restrictions on judgment, both of which relate to capacity to hold public office, emphasize the non-penal nature of impeachment, and help to distinguish American impeachment from the open-ended English practice under which criminal penalties could be imposed.

SUPREME COURT CO-CONSPIRATORS WITH DONALD TRUMP PRESIDE OVER
IMPEACHMENT TRIAL

<https://apnews.com/article/business-trials-us-supreme-court-impeachments-trump-impeachment-38e230d0d42dd5b313b7077c38db6a63>

Article II, Section 4 of the Constitution, which stipulates that the president and other officers of government “shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.”

ADVERTISEMENT

The first step toward impeachment is taken by the House, which debates and votes on whether to bring charges. This can be done by a simple majority of the House’s 435 members.

If the House adopts an impeachment resolution, the Senate then holds a trial, with the chief justice of the U.S. Supreme Court presiding. A two-thirds majority vote is required in the Senate to convict and remove a president — an outcome that has yet to occur.

Only 20 government officers in all, including Johnson and Clinton, have been impeached, and only eight of them, all federal judges with lifetime tenure, have been convicted and removed from office.

SCHEME TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES AND VOID ORDERS

ALL U.S. SUPREME COURT RULINGS WHICH VIOLATES THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONS ARE NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT JUDGMENT, HEARINGS, RULINGS NULL AND VOID AND WITHOUT ANY LEGAL EFFECT!

ARTICLE III, SECTION III

Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort. No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court.

The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no Attainder of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted.

The 'Travis Translation' of Article 3, Section 3:

Treason, or betraying the United States, is making war against the United States, or being loyal to an enemy of the United States, or giving that enemy help or comfort. Nobody can be found guilty of treason unless two people describe the same obvious act of treason in open court, or unless the accused person says in open court that she/he did it.

Congress decides how to punish treason. If someone is guilty of treason, their family cannot be punished.

The disgrace of the traitor, and any fines they owe, will go with them to their death, but not past that.

This clause is unique in that, unlike many of the other general constitutional provisions relating to criminal law, this clause is quite specific. It defines the crime of treason, and enshrines it in the Constitution. This both serves to impress the gravity of the offense, as well as ensure that its parameters are anything but unclear.

The definition here works to set the American definition of treason apart from the earlier British version. According to British law, there were several different actions that could be defined as treason, many relating to Kings and Queens. Here, the Constitution narrowed the scope of treason to two offenses: waging war against the United States, or helping an enemy of the United States. Additionally, under British law, treason was such a terrible crime, that the convicted traitor's property could not even pass to his or her heirs upon death (and any money owed by the traitor would remain the obligation of heirs). The United States Constitution undid this as well. The punishment of the traitor ends at death, and goes no further.

Finally, the clause describes some of the parameters surrounding a conviction of treason, including the requirement that two individuals bear witness to the crime.

U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES ISSUED
**VOID ORDERS, BASED ON FRAUD, ABUSE OF OFFICIAL,
DENIAL AND/OR DEPRIVATION OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS,
CONCEALMENT TO OBTAIN 125 TRILLION**

The orders entered are null and void and of no force and effect as they are procured by fraud, without jurisdiction, result of unlawful rulings, are unconstitutional and violate due process and obstruct justice. A. Fraud on the Court: An order is void if it was procured by fraud upon the court,” In re Village of Willowbrook, 37 Ill. App. 3D 393(1962) A void judgment is one that has been procured by extrinsic or collateral fraud, or entered by court that did not have jurisdiction over subject matter or the parties, Rook v. Rook, 353 S.E. 2d 756 (Va. 1987). A void judgment which includes judgment entered by a court which lacks jurisdiction over the parties or the subject matter, or lacks inherent power to enter the particular judgment, or an order procured by fraud, can be attacked at any time, in any court, either directly or collaterally, provided that the party is properly before the court. See Long v. Shorebank Development Corp., 182 F.3d 548 (C.A. 7 Ill. 1999) B. Void Judgment is a nullity: A void judgment is one which, from its inception, was a complete nullity and without legal effect. See Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27, 453 F.2d 645, 14 A.L.R. Fed. 298 (C.A. 1 Mass. 1972) A void judgment is one which from the beginning was complete nullity and without any legal effect. See Hobbs v. U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 485 F.Supp. 456 (M.D. Fla. 1980). Void judgment is one that, from its inception, is complete nullity and without legal effect. Holstein v. City of Chicago, 803 F.Supp. 205, reconsideration denied 149 F.R.D. 147, affirmed 29 F.3d 1145 (N.D. Ill. 1992). A void judgment is one which, from its inception, was a complete nullity and without legal effect, Rubin v. Johns, 109 F.R.D. 174 (D. Virgin Islands 1985). A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree. Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So.2d 577 (Ala.Civ.App. 1985). A judgment shown by evidence to be

invalid for want of jurisdiction is a void judgment or at all events has all attributes of a void judgment, *City of Los Angeles v. Morgan*, 234 P.2d 319 (Cal.App. 2 Dist. 1951). Void judgment which is subject to collateral attack, is simulated judgment devoid of any potency because of jurisdictional defects, *Ward. v. Terriere*, 386 P.2d 352 (Colo. 1963). A void judgment is a simulated judgment devoid of any potency because of jurisdictional defects only, in the court rendering it and defect of jurisdiction may relate to a party or parties, the subject matter, the cause of action, the question to be determined, or relief to be granted, *Davidson Chevrolet, Inc. v. City and County of Denver*, 330 P.2d 1116, certiorari denied 79 S.Ct. 609, 359 U.S. 926, 3 L.Ed. 2d 629 (Colo. 1958). Void judgment is one which, from its inception is complete nullity and without legal effect *In re Marriage of Parks*, 630 N.E.2d 509 (Ill.App. 5 Dist. 1994). Void judgment is one entered by court that lacks the inherent power to make or enter the particular order involved, and it may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally; such a judgment would be a nullity. *People v. Rolland*, 581 N.E.2d 907 (Ill.App. 4 Dist. 1991). C. Entered without Jurisdiction and Orders Exceeding Jurisdiction: The United States Supreme Court has clearly, and repeatedly, held that any judge who acts without jurisdiction is engaged in an act of treason. *U.S. v. Will*, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101, S. Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed. 2d 392, 406 (1980): *Cohens v. Virginia*, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed 257 (1821). Title 5, US Code Sec. 556(d), Sec. 557, Sec.706: Courts lose jurisdiction if they do not follow Due Process. An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue. (See *Rose v. Himely* (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed 608; *Pennoyer v. Neff* (1877) 95 US 714, 24 L ed 565; *Thompson v. Whitman* (1873) 18 Wall 457, 21 L ED 897; *Windsor v. McVeigh* (1876) 93 US 274, 23 L ed 914; *McDonald v. Mabey* (1917) 243 US 90, 37 Sct 343, 61 L ed 608. "If a court grants relief, which under the circumstances it hasn't any authority to grant, its judgment is to that extent void." (1 Freeman on Judgments, 120-c.) "A void judgment is no judgment at all and is without legal effect." (*Jordon v. Gilligan*, 500 F.2d 701, 710 (6th Cir. 1974)) "a court must vacate any judgment entered in excess of its jurisdiction." (*Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27*, 453 F.2d 645 (1st Cir. 1972).). A void judgment does not create any binding obligation. Federal decisions addressing void state court judgments include *Kalb v. Feuerstein* (1940) 308 US 433, 60 S Ct 343, 84 L ed 370. Federal judges issued orders permanently barring Stich from filing any papers in federal courts. After Judges Robert Jones and Edward Jellen corruptly seized and started to liquidate Stich's assets, Judge Jones issued an unconstitutional order barring Stich from filing any objection to the seizure and liquidation. Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction of parties or subject matter or that lacks inherent power to make or enter particular order involved and such a judgment may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally, *People v. Wade*, 506 N.W.2d 954 (Ill. 1987). Void judgment may be defined as one in which rendering court lacked subject matter jurisdiction, lacked personal jurisdiction, or acted in manner inconsistent with due process of law *Eckel v. MacNeal*, 628 N.E.2d 741 (Ill. App. Dist. 1993). Void judgment is one where court lacked personal or subject matter jurisdiction or entry of order violated due process, *U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 5-Triad Energy Corp. v. McNell*, 110 F.R.D. 382 (S.D.N.Y. 1986). Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction of parties or subject matter or that lacks inherent power to make or enter particular order involved; such judgment may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally *People v. Sales*, 551 N.E.2d 1359 (Ill.App. 2 Dist. 1990). Subject matter jurisdictional failings: • Fraud committed in the procurement of jurisdiction, *Fredman Brothers Furniture v. Dept. of Revenue*, 109 Ill.2d 202, 486 N.E.2d 893 (1985). • Fraud upon the court, *In re Village of Willowbrook*, 37 Ill. App.3d 393

(1962) • A judge does not follow statutory procedure, *Armstrong v. Obucino*, 300 Ill. 140, 143 (1921). • Unlawful activity of a judge, Code of Judicial Conduct. • If the court exceeded its statutory authority, *Rosenstiel v. Rosenstiel*, 278 F.Supp. 794 (S.D.N.Y. 1967). • Any acts in violation of 11 U.S.C. §362(a), *In re Garcia*, 109 B.R. 335 (N.D. Illinois, 1989). • Where no justiciable issue is presented to the court through proper pleadings, *Ligon v. Williams*, 264 Ill. App.3d 701, 637 N.E.2d 633 (1st Dist. 1994). • Where a complaint states no cognizable cause of action against that party, *Charles v. Gore*, 248 Ill.App.3d 441, 618 N.E.2d 554 (1st Dist. 1993). • When the judge is involved in a scheme of bribery (the Alemann cases, *Bracey v. Warden*, U.S. Supreme Court No. 96-6133; June 9, 1997) D. Void judgments do not have to be declared void by a judge A void order is an order issued without jurisdiction by a judge and is void ab initio and does not have to be declared void by a judge to be void. Only an inspection of the record of the case showing that the judge was without jurisdiction or violated a person's due process rights, or where fraud was involved in the attempted procurement of jurisdiction, is sufficient for an order to be void. *Potenz Corp. v. Petrozzini*, 170 Ill. App. 3d 617, 525 N.E. 2d 173, 175 (1988). In instances herein, the law has stated that the orders are void ab initio and not voidable because they are already void. A void order is void ab initio and does not have to be declared void by a judge. The law is established by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, 41 S. Ct. 116 (1920) as well as other state courts, e.g. by the Illinois Supreme Court in *People v. Miller*. A party may have a court vacate a void order, but the void order is still void ab initio, whether vacated or not; a piece of paper does not determine whether an order is void, it just memorializes it, makes it legally binding and voids out all previous orders returning the case to the date prior to action leading to void ab initio. This principle of law was stated by the U.S. Supreme Court as "Courts are constituted by authority and they cannot go beyond that power delegated to them. If they act beyond that authority, and certainly in contravention of it, their judgments and orders are regarded as nullities. They are not voidable, but simply VOID, AND THIS IS EVEN PRIOR TO REVERSAL ." [Emphasis added]. *Valley v. Northern Fire and Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, 41 S. Ct. 116 (1920). See also *Old Wayne Mut. I. Assoc. v. McDonough*, 204 U.S. 8, 27 S.Ct. 236 (1907); *Williamson v. Berry*, 8 How. 495, 540, 12 L. Ed. 1170, 1189, (1850); *Rose v. Himely*, 4 Cranch 241, 269, 2 L.Ed. 608, 617 (1808). Pursuant to the Valley court decision, a void order does not have to be reversed by any court to be a void order. Courts have also held that, since a void order is not a final order, but is in effect no order at all, it cannot even be appealed. Courts have held that a void decision is not in essence a decision at all, and never becomes final. Consistent with this holding, in 1991, the U.S. Supreme Court stated that, "Since such jurisdictional defect deprives not only the initial court but also the appellate court of its power over the case or controversy, to permit the appellate court to ignore it. ...[Would be an] unlawful action by the appellate court itself." *Freytag v. Commissioner*, 501 U.S. 868 (1991); *Miller*, supra. Following the same principle, it would be an unlawful action for a court to rely on an order issued by a judge who did not have subject-matter jurisdiction and therefore the order he issued was Void ab initio. A void order has no legal force or effect. As one court stated, a void order is equivalent to a blank piece of paper. A void judgment is not entitled to the respect accorded a valid adjudication, but may be entirely disregarded, or declared inoperative by any tribunal in which effect is sought to be given to it. It is attended by none of the consequences of a valid adjudication. It has no legal or binding force or efficacy for any purpose or at any place. ... It is not entitled to enforcement ... All proceedings founded on the void judgment are themselves regarded as invalid. 30A Am Jur Judgments " 44, 45. D. Void In Violation of Due Process Due Process is a requirement of the U.S. Constitution.

Violation of the United States Constitution by a judge deprives that person from acting as a judge under the law. He/She is acting as a private person, and not in the capacity of being a judge (and, therefore, has no jurisdiction). The United States Supreme Court, in *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 78, 29 S.Ct. 14, 24, (1908), stated that "Due Process requires that the court which assumes to determine the rights of parties shall have jurisdiction."; citing *Old Wayne Mut. Life Assoc. V. McDonough*, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907); *Scott v McNeal*, 154 U.S. 34, 14, S. Ct. 1108 (1894); *Pennoyer v. Neff*, 95 U.S. 714, 733 (1877). Judgment is a void judgment if court that rendered judgment lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter, or of the parties, or acted in a manner inconsistent with due process, Fed Rules Civ. Proc., Rule 60(b)(4), 28 U.S.C.A.; U.S.C.A. Const Amend. 5. *Klugh v. U.S.*, 620 F.Supp. 892 (D.S.C. 1985). E. Void in Violation of Right to be Heard It is a fundamental doctrine of law that a party to be affected by a personal judgment must have his day in court, and an opportunity to be heard. *Renaud v. Abbott*, 116 US 277, 29 L Ed 629, 6 S Ct 1194. Every person is entitled to an opportunity to be heard in a court of law upon every question involving his rights or interests, before he is affected by any judicial decision on the question. *Earle v McVeigh*, 91 US 503, 23 L Ed 398. A judgment of a court without hearing the party or giving him an opportunity to be heard is not a judicial determination of his rights. *Sabariego v Maverick*, 124 US 261, 31 L Ed 430, 8 S Ct 461, and is not entitled to respect in any other tribunal. "A void judgment does not create any binding obligation. Federal decisions addressing void state court judgments include *Kalb v. Feuerstein* (1940) 308 US 433, 60 S Ct 343, 84 L ed 370; *Ex parte Rowland* (1882) 104 U.S. 604, 26 L.Ed. 861: "A judgment which is void upon its face, and which requires only an inspection of the judgment roll to demonstrate its want of vitality is a dead limb upon the judicial tree, which should be lopped off, if the power to do so exists." *People v. Greene*, 71 Cal. 100 [16 Pac. 197, 5 Am. St. Rep. 448]. "If a court grants relief, which under the circumstances it hasn't any authority to grant, its judgment is to that extent void." (1 Freeman on Judgments, 120-c.) An illegal order is forever void. F. IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION "Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a Constitutional right, from liability. For they are deemed to know the law." -- *Owen v. Independence*, 100 S.C.T. 1398, 445 US 622; *Scheuer v. Rhodes*, 416 U.S. 232. A Judge has no lawful authority to issue any order which violates the Supreme Law of the Land. The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution states that all entities have the mandatory right of an adequate, complete, effective, fair, full meaningful and timely access to the court. The First and the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution guarantees the right of association. The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment guarantees Due Process and Equal Protection to all. "No state shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." United States Constitutional Amendment XIV and adopted by State of Indiana Constitution. "Choices about marriage, family life, and upbringing of children are among associational rights ranked as of basic importance in our society, rights sheltered by the Fourteenth Amendment against State's unwarranted usurpation, disregard, or disrespect. U.S.C.A. Constitutional Amendment 14. A judgment may not be rendered in violation of constitutional protections. The validity of a judgment may be affected by a failure to give the constitutionally required due process notice and an opportunity to be heard. *Earle v. McVeigh*, 91 US 503, 23 L Ed 398. See also Restatements, Judgments ' 4(b). *Prather v Loyd*, 86 Idaho 45, 382 P2d 910. The limitations inherent in the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law extend to judicial as well as political branches of government, so that a judgment may not be rendered in violation of those constitutional limitations and guarantees. *Hanson v Denckla*, 357 US 235, 2 L Ed 2d 1283, 78 S Ct 1228. G.

Void Orders Can Be Attacked At Any Time An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court, is void, or voidable, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue. (See *Rose v. Himely* (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed 608; *Pennoyer v. Neff* (1877) 95 US 714, 24 L ed 565; *Thompson v. Whitman* (1873) 18 Wall 457, 21 L ed 897; *Windsor v. McVeigh* (1876) 93 US 274, 23 L ed 914; *McDonald v. Mabee* (1917) 243 US 90, 37 Sct 343, 61 L ed 608. *U.S. v. Holtzman*, 762 F.2d 720 (9th Cir. 1985) ("Portion of judgment directing defendant not to import vehicles without first obtaining approval ... was not appropriately limited in duration and, thus, district court abused its discretion by not vacating it as being prospectively inequitable." Id at 722.) H. Abetting a Void Order is a crime Against the State The state Supreme Courts have held that those who aid, abet, advise, act upon and execute the order of a judge who acts without jurisdiction are equally guilty. They are equally guilty of a crime against the U.S. Government.

es in a manner that is faithful to the public trust that has been reposed in them. The duties of public officials may extend beyond minimal compliance with codified ethics rules. Even if no ethics code has been adopted, or if no code provision is on point, public officials must act in a manner that comports with their common law fiduciary-duty obligations•

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/4715/text>

of [*specify crimes charged*] charged in Counts _____ of the indictment are racketeering acts. If you find the defendant guilty of [at least two of] the crimes charged in Counts _____ you must then decide whether those counts formed a pattern of racketeering activity.
All of you must agree on the same two crimes which form a pattern of racketeering activity.

12-10-2021

MAIL FRAUD A SCHEME TO DEFRAUD THE TWO WITNESSES
THE FEDERAL RESERVE AND GRETCHEN WHITMER ACTING IN HER
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY

I HAVE NOT RECEIVED 42.00 SUPPLIMENTAL PAYMENT FROM THE STATE IN APPROX. 2 YEARS SINCE 2019 AND THE CHECKS ALWAYS INCLUDED WHAT THE

CHECK WAS FOR FROM , STATE SSI PAYMENT AND NEVER INCLUDED ON THE BACK OF THE CHECK IS FROM THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD OF GOVERNORS ON THE BACK, AND PREVIOUS CHECKS I RECEIVED ALWAYS INCLUDED THAT THEY WERE FOR THE STATE OF MICHIGAN S.S.I. PAYMENTS

WHITMER AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY DEvised A SCHEME TO DEFRAUD BOTH SHARON AND/OR JAMES. BRIDGEWATER OUT OF 125 TRILLION DOLLARS BY AND USED THE MAIL FOR THE PURPOSE OF ATTEMPTING TO EXECUTE THE SCHEME BY CONCEALING (FRAUD AND DECEIT) THAT THE CHECK WAS SENT FOR TO SETTLE A 125 TRILLION DOLLAR CLAIM IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION (SEE EXH.) THE FEDERAL RESERVE WATER MARK SEAL AND GOV. WHITMER NAME ON THE CHECK, "THEY FAILED TO DISCLOSE WHAT THE CHECK IS FOR," WHEREAS OTHER CHECKS ALWAYS INCLUDED THE PURPOSE OF THE VIA CHECK OF STATE SSI PAYMENT, WITMER AND/OR THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACTS OR OMISSIONS CONSTITUTE A PREDICATE ACT AS DEFINED IN 18 U.S.C. 1961, AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1341 AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1343 MAIL/WIRE FRAUD

TWO ACTS OF WIRE FRAUD AND/OR MAIL FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1341 AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1343

The Defendants knowingly, intentionally one or more [participated, devised and/or intended to devise] a scheme or plan to defraud both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater out of money and/or property (125 Trillion Dollars), and the scheme/plan for , or a scheme or obtaining the money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises[, or omitted facts.] [Deceitful statements of half-truths may constitute false or fraudulent representations];

Second, the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property;

Third, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive and cheat; and

Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

In determining whether a scheme to defraud exists, you may consider not only the defendant's words and statements, but also the circumstances in which they are used as a whole.

[To convict defendant[s] of mail fraud based on omission[s] of material fact[s], you must find that defendant[s] had a duty to disclose the omitted fact[s] arising out of a relationship of trust. That duty can arise either out of a formal fiduciary relationship, or an informal, trusting relationship in which one party acts for the benefit of another and induces the trusting party to relax the care and vigilance that it would ordinarily exercise.]

A mailing is caused when one knows that the mails will be used in the ordinary course of business or when one can reasonably foresee such use. It does not matter whether the material mailed was itself false or deceptive so long as the mail was used as a part of the scheme, nor does it matter whether the scheme or plan was successful or that any money or property was obtained.

**MAIL FRAUD—SCHEME TO DEFRAUD—DEPRIVATION OF
INTANGIBLE RIGHT OF HONEST SERVICES
(18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1346)**

The defendant is charged in [Count _____ of] the indictment with mail fraud in violation of Section 1341 of Title 18 of the United States Code. For the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

All U.S. Supreme Court Justices knowingly, intentionally devised or knowingly participated in a scheme or plan to deprive both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater(his/her)and/or others right of honest services;

Second, and the scheme or plan consists of a bribes and/or kickbacks in exchange for the defendant's services(issuing null and void orders). The "exchange" may be express or may be implied from all the surrounding circumstances;

Third, the defendant owed a fiduciary duty to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, and/or others and knowingly, intentionally acted The defendants U.S. Su act was material; that is, it had a natural tendency to influence, or was capable of influencing, [a person's] [an entity's] acts; and

Sixth, the defendant used, or caused someone to use, the mails to carry out or to attempt to carry out the scheme or plan.

A "fiduciary" duty exists whenever one [person] [entity] places special trust and confidence in another person—the fiduciary—in reliance that the fiduciary will exercise [his] [her] discretion and expertise with the utmost honesty and forthrightness in the interests of the [person] [entity], such that the [person] [entity] relaxes the care and vigilance that [he] [she] [it] would ordinarily exercise, and the fiduciary knowingly accepts that special trust and confidence and thereafter undertakes to act on behalf of the other [person] [entity] based on such reliance.

The mere fact that a business relationship arises between two persons does not mean that either owes a fiduciary duty to the other. If one person engages or employs another and thereafter directs, supervises, or approves the other's actions, the person so employed is not necessarily a fiduciary. Rather, as previously stated, it is only when one party places, and the other accepts, a special trust and confidence—usually involving the exercise of professional expertise and discretion—that a fiduciary relationship exists.

A mailing is caused when one knows that the mails will be used in the ordinary course of business or when one can reasonably foresee such use. It does not matter whether the material mailed was itself false or deceptive so long as the mail was used as a part of the scheme, nor does it matter whether the scheme or plan was successful or that any money or property was obtained.

The 14th amendment of the United States Constitution gives everyone a right to due process of law, which includes judgments that comply with the rules and case law. Most due process exceptions deal with the issue of notification. If, for example, someone gets a judgement against you in another state without your

having been notified, you can attack the judgement for lack of due process of law. In *Griffen v. Griffen*, 327 U.S. 220, 66 S. Ct. 556, 90 L. Ed. 635 a pro se litigant won his case in the Supreme Court who stated.

The law is well-settled that a void order or **judgement is void even before reversal**", *VALLEY v. NORTHERN FIRE & MARINE INS. CO.*, 254 U.S. 348, 41 S. Ct. 116 (1920) "Courts are constituted by authority and they cannot go beyond that power delegated to them. **If they act beyond that authority, and certainly in contravention of it, their judgements and orders are regarded as nullities; they are not voidable**, but simply void, and this even prior to reversal." *WILLIAMSON v. BERRY*, 8 HOW. 945, 540 12 L. Ed. 1170, 1189 (1850). It has also been held that "It is not necessary to take any steps to have a void judgment reversed, vacated, or set aside, It may be impeached in any action direct or, collateral." *Holder v. Scott*, 396 S.W.2d 906, (Tex.Civ.App., Texarkana, 1965, writ ref., n.r.e.). A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid. It is clear and well established law that a void order can be challenged in any court", *OLD WAYNE MUT. L. ASSOC. v. McDONOUGH*, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907). Judgment is a void judgment if court that rendered judgment lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter, or **of the parties, or acted in a manner** inconsistent with due **process**,

https://fixthecourt.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Stein_Lecture__Redactions_3.10_Redacted_compressed.pdf

FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND ARREST

- There was a willful detention;
- The detention was without consent; and.

- The detention was unlawful.

U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES, KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY 18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy against rights

- [U.S. Code](#)
 - [Notes](#)
-

[prev](#) | [next](#)

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

WRIT OF CERTIORARI, ACTED IN JOINT PARTICIPATIO WITH MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS U.S. COURT OF APPEALS CHIEF JUDGE FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED

- 1) That this "COMMON LAW COURT" court adjudge and decree and declare that the rights and legal relations of the parties to the subject matter here in controversy, in order that the declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment and decree.
- 2) That the court enters a judgment declaring that Plaintiff is fully vested with the right to prosecute, via the Private Attorney General Statute (RICO) and/or collect and/or recover fines et al on behalf of the United States Government the Federal False Claims Act.
- 3) That the court enter judgment declaring the Barak H.Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) and/or "et als," can not exert executive privilege.
- 4) That the court enter judgment declaring the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator(Claimant et al)is fully vested with the right to have a writ of quo warranto issued upon Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General.
- 5) That this court adjudge and decree that Lynch violated the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator(Claimant et al)class Plaintiff second amendment rights are liable to the Plaintiff for damages in the amount of \$10,000.00.
- 6) That this court adjudge and decree that the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator(Claimant et al)has absolute right to claim her personal and business property that were illegally stolen and taken without due process of law.
- 7) That this court adjudge that Loretta Lynch is disqualified to prosecute Hillary Clinton e-mail as United States Attorney General or her appointment constitute conflict of interest
- 8) That this court adjudge and decree that the Plaintiff Bridgewater has absolute right to claim her personal and business that this court a
- 10) Prejudgment writs of attachment for the United States of America and the class Plaintiffs in the amount of \$150,000,000,000 Trillion Dollars.
- 11) THAT ALL U.S. GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS(IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, LEGISLATIVE BRANCH, AND/OR JUDICIAL BRANCH ARE ARE DISQUALIFIED FROM ANY PROCEEDING
- 12) Appoint Special Prosecutors

- 13) Declaratory Judgment for the United States and as alleged in cause number III.
- 14) Temporary restraining order enjoin
- 15) FILE THE ATTACHED EXH. A, B, C AND D
- 16) Permit Plaintiff to file a first amended consolidate complaint in intervention to more fully _____ for de-novo review and within 60 days as permitted by law.

- 1) IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED court adjudge and decree and declare that the rights and legal relations of the parties to the subject matter here in controversy, in order that the declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment and decree.
- 2) That the court enters a judgment declaring that Plaintiff is fully vested with the right to prosecute, via the Private Attorney General Statute (RICO) and/or collect and/or recover fines et al on behalf of the United States Government the Federal False Claims Act.
- 3) That the court enter judgment declaring the Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrones) and/or "et als," can not exert executive privilege.
- 4) That the court enter judgment declaring the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator (Claimant et al) is fully vested with the right to have a writ of quo warranto issued upon Loretta Lynch in her official capacity as United States Attorney General.
- 5) That this court adjudge and decree that Lynch violated the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator (Claimant et al) class Plaintiff second amendment rights are liable to the Plaintiff for damages in the amount of \$10,000.00.
- 6) That this court adjudge and decree that the "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator (Claimant et al) has absolute right to claim her personal and business property that were illegally stolen and taken without due process of law.
- 7) That this court adjudge that Loretta Lynch is disqualified to prosecute Hillary Clinton e-mail as United States Attorney General or her appointment constitute conflict of interest
- 8) That this court adjudge and decree that the Plaintiff Bridgewater has absolute right to claim her personal and business that this court a
- 9) Because the Class Plaintiff can not sue an public official in excess of \$10,000 pursuant to the enter partial judgment for "The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or Qui Tam Relator (Claimant et al)" Class Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater judgment against Loretta Lynch and to deliver this partial payment at the Plaintiffs post office box.
- 10) Prejudgment writs of attachment for the United States of America and the class Plaintiffs in the amount of \$150,000,000,000 Trillion Dollars.
- 11) Consolidate the hearing with the petition for Habeas Corpus
- 12) Appoint Special Prosecutors
- 13) Declaratory Judgment for the United States and as alleged in cause number III.
- 14) Temporary restraining order enjoin
- 15) FILE THE ATTACHED EXH. A, B, C AND D

16) Permit Plaintiff to file a first amended consolidate complaint in intervention to more fully _____ for de-novo review and within 60 days as permitted by law.

The Defendant demand Judgment and claim _____ against Loretta Lynch in personam
Plaintiff (DOJ, ATF, Barak H. Obama et al)for claim
Certify a class

EXH.

News//Politics

<https://www.sfgate.com/politics/article/Justice-Thomas-leads-court-in-getting-gifts-2660087.php>

Justice Thomas leads court in getting gifts, ethics disclosures show

Richard A. Serrano, David G. Savage, Los Angeles Times
Dec. 31, 2004



Taimy Alvarez, File / Sun-Sentinel via AP

After attending the annual Red Mass, U.S. Supreme Court Associate Justice Clarence Thomas speaks to Wayne Huizenga before the start of the dinner where Justice Thomas was the guest speaker Wednesday, May 5, 1999, in Fort Lauderdale, Fla. About 450 people attended the dinner at the Marriott Harbor Beach. (AP Photo/Sun-Sentinel, Taimy Alvarez) ALSO RAN 12/12/02, 6/24/03, 10/03/03 Ran on: 08-07-2004 Clarence Thomas could become the first black chief justice, the author of a biography says. CAT A13

2004-12-31 04:00:00 PDT Washington -- Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas has accepted tens of thousands of dollars worth of gifts since joining the Supreme Court, from \$1,200 worth of tires to valuable historical items and a \$5,000 personal check to help pay a relative's education expenses.

The gifts included a Bible once owned by the 19th century author and abolitionist leader Frederick Douglass, which Thomas valued at \$19,000, and a bust of President Abraham Lincoln valued at \$15,000.

He also took a free trip aboard a private jet to the exclusive Bohemian Grove club in Sonoma County, arranged by a wealthy Texas real estate investor who has helped run an advocacy group that filed briefs with the Supreme Court.

Those and other gifts were disclosed by Thomas under a 1978 federal ethics law that requires high-ranking government officials, including the nine Supreme Court justices, to file a report each year that lists gifts, money and other items they have received.

Thomas has reported accepting much more valuable gifts than his Supreme Court colleagues over the last six years, according to their disclosure forms on file at the court.

The Ethics in Government Act of 1989 prohibits all federal employees, including the justices, from accepting "anything of value" from a person with official business before them. However, under the rules that the federal judicial system adopted to implement that law, judges are free to accept gifts of unlimited value from people without official business before the court.

Representatives for the federal judiciary and the Supreme Court argue that requiring the disclosure of any gifts is sufficient to prevent corruption or the appearance of favoritism.

But in October, an American Bar Association panel called for tightening the rules to forbid judges from taking expensive gifts, free tickets and other valuable items, regardless of who is the donor.

"Why would someone do that -- give a gift to Clarence Thomas? Unless they are family members or really close friends, the only reason to give gifts is to influence the judge," said Mark Harrison, a Phoenix lawyer who heads the ABA's Commission on the Model Code of Judicial Conduct.

<https://fixthecourt.com/2020/03/documents-received-via-records-requests-show-public-schools-lavishing-supreme-court-justices-fancy-travel-hotels-gifts/>

Documents Received Via Records Requests Show Public Schools Lavishing Supreme Court Justices with Fancy Travel, Hotels, Gifts

MARCH 24, 2020

SHARE THIS

•
•
•

A private jet flight for Thomas, silver cups for Gorsuch, a seat in the Chancellor's Box for Kagan

Supreme Court justices are accepting expensive perks from public colleges and universities when they visit yet often shield these appearances from the larger public by limiting who can attend their events and even leaving the trips off their annual disclosures, according to a report released today by Fix the Court.

Though the justices and their staffs are not subject to federal freedom of information laws, they often interact with officials at public schools bound by state records laws. Since October, FTC has sent 21 records requests to 19 such institutions about justices' visits and has in return received **13 batches** of documents comprising 3,973 pages. The records underscore that the justices are eager to speak and teach beyond the elite private universities they attended but also reveal the exorbitant transportation, hotel and security costs paid by the schools and the strict policies sought by court staff to manage the content and audience for the events. You can read the report [here](#). "Given that basic transparency measures are anathema to the Supreme Court and its justices, we must use every available tool to ensure the nine are not flouting ethical standards," FTC executive director **Gabe Roth** said. "At minimum, we'd know much more about justices' transportation and lodging costs and the types of gifts they're receiving if they adhered to the reporting rules followed by members of Congress and top executive branch staff. Until they do, or until Congress makes them, we'll keep digging."



To start, we found two examples of justices failing to include reimbursements in their annual disclosures. It's fairly clear that Justice Sotomayor's \$1,045 **flight** to Rhode Island in 2016 – she was URI's commencement speaker – and the **block** of up to 11 rooms reserved for her, her friends and her security detail at one of the state's nicest hotels were paid by the university. And yet, Sotomayor did not list the trip on her 2016 **disclosure**. Justice Thomas, on the other hand, included the income he made teaching at the University of Kansas and the University of Georgia Schools of Law in his 2018 **disclosure** but did not mention in the report's "reimbursement" section if either school paid for his transportation, food and lodging while in Lawrence or Athens.

After FTC brought these presumed oversights to the attention of the Supreme Court press office last week, the PIO on March 23 **acknowledged** the errors, saying, "Justice Thomas and Justice Sotomayor will amend their Financial Disclosure Reports to include the reimbursements that had been omitted from the prior reports."

Beyond the issues raised by omissions, some of the exorbitant transportation, hotel and security fees we uncovered made us wonder just how valuable some schools view the justices' visits – especially considering that these costly events can't technically be fundraisers (though that's a proscription we're **not convinced** all the justices are following).

For example, flight costs, when provided, ranged from \$694 for Chief Justice **Roberts'** first-class roundtrip ticket from D.C. to Minneapolis in 2018 to an **estimated** \$3,800 for Thomas' seat on **private plane**, roundtrip, from **Dulles Airport to Gainesville, Fla.**, in 2016. Hotel costs ranged from \$141.99 for a **night in Arlington, Tex.**, in 2016 for Justice Breyer to as much as \$9,000-plus for Sotomayor's block (**this** times 11) of Atlantic-view rooms in Narragansett, R.I., for three nights over graduation weekend. Several schools hired local police officers by the dozen or

private security to help protect the justices – and that was on top of Marshals fees, paid by U.S. taxpayers, that, according to a separate FTC FOIA request, at times reached **five figures**.

Almost all the justices received gifts from the schools they visited, though none of the gifts appeared on the justices' disclosure reports. That may be because none cost more than the federally mandated reporting floor (\$390), but the value of most of the presents – save the **\$200** Betsy Ross flag blanket **Roberts got** from Minnesota Law and the thank-you notes Breyer received from CUNY – was impossible to track. Gifts like julep cups and challenge coins for Gorsuch, football gear and a seat in the Camp Randall Chancellor's Box for Kagan and something in need of "engraving" for Sotomayor could conceivably land on either side of the threshold.

"Much of what we uncovered would be divulged under the disclosure rules for most state and federal officials, which the federal judiciary has avoided to this point," **Roth** added. "Instead, we're left wondering how often the justices are behaving unethically."

Lastly, it was surprising to see how far some justices' staffs went to try to manage who saw their bosses' appearances, especially since they typically occurred in front of several hundred laptop and smartphone-owning law students. Justices Alito and **Gorsuch** made it clear that they would not allow broadcast media or anyone else to record their South Carolina and Kentucky speeches, respectively, and Alito's staff even demanded that the justice's remarks not be **live-tweeted** by the university. Kagan's staff **screened questions** from UCLA Law, striking inquiries on whether Gorsuch's "arrival change[d] anything about the Court's dynamics," whether high court nominations will always be partisan from now on and her views on the movie "Black Panther." The Supreme Court press office **changed** the theme of Roberts' Minnesota Law event from how he handled "the recent term with only eight Justices, the diversity (or lack thereof) in the Justices on the Court, and the collegiality of the Justices" (the suggestion of Minnesota staff) to "the role of the Chief Justice of the United States."

Additional background:

Last October, FTC was contacted by a political reporter who received documents from a Sotomayor visit to a public New York university that suggested Supreme Court staff seemed to be acting more as travel agent than as public servant. After learning soon after that Ginsburg accepted the 2019 Berggruen Prize and the \$1 million that comes with it, we became concerned that the nine might not be upholding basic gift and reimbursement guidelines. (Ginsburg donated the funds, but ethical questions

were raised by her mere acceptance of the large award.) So we began to ask public schools for documents.

Our findings do not suggest that the need for ethics reform and increased transparency at SCOTUS are in any way diminished. Even if nothing in our report expressly violates federal law, an ethics code or gift and travel guidelines akin to those set out in the [Judicial Travel Accountability Act](#) could set the record straight and alleviate the suspicion currently cast on the justices and their actions.

Finally, we're pleased that the justices are making an effort to visit public colleges and universities. Of the justices' 238 visits to secondary institutions from the start of OT15 through today now listed by SCOTUSMap, which tracks the justices' events outside the Supreme Court, 77 of those, or 32%, were to public schools. That may not seem like a lot, but only 21% of the top 14 law schools are public.

Throughout the report, we hyperlinked to screenshots. We did this for ease of reading but realize this may not be enough for some who want to see a section in its entirety. All the documents we received are [here](#); at any time, you may e-mail Info@FixTheCourt.com, and we will identify the exact page where the excerpt appears

TRUMP NOMINATION

<https://jacobinmag.com/2021/12/lobbying-supreme-court-corruption-gop-trump>

12.20.2021

How Dark Money Bought Amy Coney Barrett's Supreme Court Seat

BY

ANDREW PEREZ
JULIA ROCK

In her confirmation hearings, Amy Coney Barrett feigned ignorance of dark money groups. But she should be very familiar with such groups: new documents show that dark money bankrolled her Supreme Court nomination.



Associate Justice Amy Coney Barrett stands during a group photo of the justices at the Supreme Court in Washington, DC, on April 23, 2021. (Erin Schaff-Pool / Getty Images)
Our fall issue is out in print and online this month. Subscribe at a special rate and start reading today.

Yes, Jacobin Has a Board Game Now

Editors

Jeremy Corbyn: Climate Crisis Is a Class Issue

Jeremy Corbyn

Kareem Abdul-Jabbar: What the NBA Championship Means to Me

Kareem Abdul-Jabbar

[R.E.M.'s Michael Stipe Talks to *Jacobin*](#)

Michael Stipe

A conservative dark money group led by former president Donald Trump's judicial adviser Leonard Leo bankrolled Amy Coney Barrett's Supreme Court confirmation campaign with nearly \$22 million in anonymous cash, while another nonprofit Leo helps steer saw a fundraising bonanza and showered cash on other organizations boosting Barrett, according to tax returns obtained by us.

The new tax returns shed light on how Barrett's successful, last-minute confirmation campaign was aided by a flood of dark money. They also reveal the rapid growth of Leo's already highly successful dark money network and its tentacles in the broader conservative movement.

Corporate interests with access to nearly unlimited money have a huge stake in tilting the court to the right: in recent years, the court has played a pivotal role not only in swaying social policy, but also in shifting economic policy and corporate regulations. In Barrett's first year, she has already sided with corporate interests on a landmark climate case involving an oil giant that employed her father for decades, and she refused to recuse herself in a donor transparency case involving a foundation tied to a dark money group that backed her confirmation.

“I Am Unaware of Any Outside Groups”

Leo is a longtime executive at the Federalist Society, a group for conservative lawyers. He formed the Rule of Law Trust (RLT) in 2018, and the group quickly raised nearly \$80 million. RLT finally started spending that money in 2020, donating \$21.5 million to the Judicial Crisis Network (JCN), another group steered by Leo that played a key role in Republicans flipping the Supreme Court and building a conservative supermajority.

JCN spent millions pressing Republican senators to block Barack Obama's 2016 Supreme Court pick, Merrick Garland, and subsequently spent millions boosting each of Trump's high court nominees — Neil Gorsuch, Brett Kavanaugh, and Barrett — all while Leo was advising Trump's judicial strategy.

In 2017, when Barrett was nominated to serve on the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Senator Dick Durbin, Democrat from Illinois, asked her: “Do you want outside groups or special interests to make undisclosed donations to front organizations like the Judicial Crisis Network in support of your nomination?”

Barrett responded:

I am unaware of any outside groups or special interests having made donations on my behalf. I have not and will not solicit donations from anyone. Indeed, doing so would be a violation of my ethical responsibilities as a judicial nominee.

When Durbin asked whether she would “discourage donors from making such undisclosed donations” or “call for the donors to make their donations public,” Barrett referred him to her previous answer.

The 85 Fund

Leo also helps direct the 85 Fund, a charitable organization being used to fiscally sponsor a host of conservative nonprofits, including the Judicial Education Project, which has long been JCN’s sister arm.

The 85 Fund reported bringing in nearly \$66 million in 2020, according to its latest tax return. That’s a huge increase over the roughly \$13 million the organization raised in 2019, per OpenSecrets, which found the majority of the 85 Fund’s 2020 money came from DonorsTrust, a group known as a “dark money ATM,” for its use as a pass-through vehicle.

The 85 Fund donated big sums last year to groups that backed Barrett’s confirmation, including: Turning Point USA (\$2.7 million), Job Creators Network (\$500,000), Independent Women’s Forum (\$310,000), the Susan B. Anthony List (\$175,000), Concerned Women for America (\$100,000), Faith and Freedom Coalition (\$100,000), and Heritage Action for America (\$50,000).

The 85 Fund disclosed donating to nearly four dozen conservative groups in 2020. It made a substantial donation — \$5.6 million — to the Federalist Society, where Leo is a cochairman.

The organization gave \$1 million to the New Civil Liberties Alliance, a group that fought in court to end the Joe Biden administration’s federal COVID-19 pandemic eviction ban. It contributed another \$1 million to Passages Israel, a group known as “Christian birthright” for bringing American Christian students on trips to Israel.

The 85 Fund also donated \$750,000 to RealClearFoundation, a conservative nonprofit tied to the political news aggregator RealClearPolitics. And it contributed \$100,000 to the CO2 Coalition, a conservative climate denial group.

<https://apnews.com/article/abortion-donald-trump-us-supreme-court-health-amy-coney-barrett-a3b5cf9621315e6c623dc80a790842d8c>

Examples of defamatory statements are virtually limitless, including wrongful statements that:

- Suggest the plaintiff was involved in a serious crime involving moral turpitude or a felony
- Expose a plaintiff to ridicule
- Reflect negatively on the plaintiff’s character, morality, or integrity
- Impair the plaintiff’s financial well-being
- Suggest that the plaintiff suffers from a physical or mental defect that would cause others to refrain from associating with the plaintiff

Courts have long struggled with the task of determining a standard for deciding whether a statement is defamatory or has reckless disregard. Many statements may be viewed as defamatory by some individuals, but the same statement may not be viewed as defamatory by others. Generally, courts require a plaintiff to prove that they have been defamed in the eyes of the community or within a defined group in the community. Juries usually decide this question for libel lawsuits in

<https://www.vox.com/2022/1/12/22877010/supreme-court-ted-cruz-fec-campaign-finance-bribery-loan>

<https://www.vox.com/2022/1/12/22877010/supreme-court-ted-cruz-fec-campaign-finance-bribery-loan>

The Supreme Court takes up a case, brought by Ted Cruz, that could legalize bribery

Ted Cruz wants the Court to kill an important anti-corruption law.

By [Ian Millhiser](#) Jan 12, 2022, 8:00am EST

Share this story

- [Share this on Facebook \(opens in new window\)](#)



Sen. Ted Cruz shakes hands and poses for photographs with Supreme Court nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh in Cruz's office in the Russell Senate Office Building on Capitol Hill on July 17, 2018. *Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images*

The details of *Federal Election Commission v. Ted Cruz for Senate*, a case that the Supreme Court will hear next Wednesday, read more like a paranoid fantasy dreamed up by leftists than like an actual lawsuit.

The case concerns federal campaign finance laws, and, specifically, candidates' ability to loan money to their campaigns. Candidates can do so — but in 2001, Congress enacted a provision that helps prevent such loans from becoming a vehicle to bribe candidates who go on to be elected officials. Under this provision, a campaign that receives such a loan may not repay more than \$250,000 worth of the loan using funds raised after the election.

When a campaign receives a pre-election donation, that donation is typically subject to strict rules preventing it from being spent to enrich the candidate. After the election has occurred, however, donors who give money to help pay off a loan from the candidate effectively funnel that money straight to the candidate — who by that point could be a powerful elected official.

A lawmaker with sufficiently clever accountants, moreover, could effectively structure such a loan to allow lobbyists and other donors to help the lawmaker directly profit from it. According to the Los Angeles Times, for example, in 1998, Rep. Grace Napolitano (D-CA) made a \$150,000 loan to her campaign at 18 percent interest (though she later reduced that interest rate to 10 percent). As of 2009, Napolitano reportedly raised \$221,780 to repay that loan — \$158,000 of which was classified as “interest.”

So in 11 years, the loan reportedly earned Napolitano nearly \$72,000 in profits.

And that brings us back to the *Ted Cruz for Senate* lawsuit. Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX) wants the Supreme Court to strike down the limit on loan repayments to federal candidates. That decision could potentially enable any lawmaker to make a high-dollar, high-interest loan to their campaign, and then use that loan as a vehicle to funnel donations directly into their pocket. (Pre-2001 FEC rulings permitted candidates to make loans to their campaign at “a ‘commercially reasonable rate’ of interest,” but that apparently did not stop Napolitano from making a loan at a double-digit interest rate.)

And even if lawmakers do not enrich themselves by making high-interest loans to their campaign, the fact remains that every dollar a campaign donor gives to help a campaign pay back a loan from the candidate goes straight into that candidate's pocket. As the Justice Department argues in its brief defending

against Cruz's lawsuit, "a contribution that adds to a candidate's personal assets (and that can accordingly be used for personal purposes) **poses a far greater threat of corruption** than a payment that merely adds to a campaign's treasury (and that can accordingly be used only for campaign purposes)."

Cruz claims that permitting such contributions is necessary to protect "the rights of candidates and their campaign committees to make constitutionally protected decisions about when and how much to speak during an election."

While a decision in Cruz's favor could effectively make it legal for wealthy donors to bribe lawmakers, Cruz has a very good chance of prevailing in a Supreme Court where Republicans control six of the Court's nine seats.

Although current precedents nominally permit Congress to enact campaign finance laws to prevent "corruption and the appearance of corruption," the Court's decision in **Citizens United v. FEC** (2010) defined the word "corruption" so narrowly that it is basically meaningless. And the current Court is significantly more conservative than the one that handed down *Citizens United* a dozen years ago.

Justice Brett Kavanaugh, for example, suggested in a 2002 email that he wrote while he was a White House official that there are "**some constitutional problems**" with laws placing a cap on how much an individual donor can give to a candidate — something that even decisions like *Citizens United* permit.

Similarly, just last July, the Supreme Court **voted along party lines** to block a California rule requiring certain political donors to be disclosed, and it did so despite the fact that *Citizens United* explicitly held that disclosure laws stand on strong constitutional footing.

There is a very real chance, in other words, that a Supreme Court hostile to campaign finance regulation will join Cruz's crusade. And if the Court does so, that could effectively make it legal to bribe many members of Congress.

Ted Cruz manufactured a fake dispute in order to bring this lawsuit

Cruz admits that he engineered this lawsuit specifically so he can challenge the restriction on loan repayments.

According to the Justice Department, on the day before the 2018 election, Cruz **lent his campaign \$260,000**, or \$10,000 more than the amount that can legally be repaid from post-election funds. Moreover, while a federal regulation

permits Cruz's campaign to pay back all of that money using funds raised before the election, so long as it did so no later than 20 days after the election, the campaign waited until after this deadline had passed to pay back \$250,000 of the \$260,000 loan.

And, just in case there's any doubt why Cruz and his campaign entered into this unusual arrangement, Cruz and his campaign do not contest that "the sole and exclusive motivation behind Senator Cruz' actions in making the 2018 loan and the committee's actions in waiting to repay them was to establish the factual basis for this challenge." Cruz was essentially willing to risk \$10,000 of his own money for an opportunity to knock down a federal anti-corruption law.

The Justice Department, for what it's worth, argues that these machinations should doom his suit, citing Supreme Court cases establishing that plaintiffs may not use federal courts to remedy "self-inflicted injuries" — though, as Cruz's lawyers note in their brief, it is common for civil rights plaintiffs to use similar tactics to engineer lawsuits challenging race discrimination, and the Court has permitted such tactics in the past. So it is far from clear that Cruz is not allowed to bring this suit.

And even if the Court were to dismiss Cruz's suit, it is likely that some other candidate would make a legitimate loan to their campaign, and then bring a similar lawsuit.

So, in other words, even if the Court decided to avoid the issues presented by this case and to dismiss Cruz's suit, that decision would only likely delay the inevitable.

The Supreme Court enables corruption by defining the word "corruption" narrowly

The Supreme Court established in *Buckley v. Valeo* (1976) that lawmakers may enact campaign finance regulations that mitigate "the danger of corruption and the appearance of corruption." Yet, while *Citizens United* purported to leave this aspect of *Buckley* in place, it severely curtailed the government's ability to fight "corruption" by defining that word very narrowly.

Specifically, *Citizens United* held that federal and state campaign finance laws may only target "quid pro quo" arrangements, where money is offered in return for "political favors." After *Citizens United*, Congress may still ban donors from explicitly promising to write a lawmaker a check if that lawmaker changes their

vote on a pending bill. But other forms of corruption are protected by the Supreme Court's understanding of the Constitution.

Indeed, Justice Kennedy's opinion in *Citizens United* **framed influence-buying by donors as an affirmative good**:

Favoritism and influence are not . . . avoidable in representative politics. It is in the nature of an elected representative to favor certain policies, and, by necessary corollary, to favor the voters and contributors who support those policies. It is well understood that a substantial and legitimate reason, if not the only reason, to cast a vote for, or to make a contribution to, one candidate over another is that the candidate will respond by producing those political outcomes the supporter favors. Democracy is premised on responsiveness.

If you accept the legitimacy of this reasoning, then Cruz has a strong case. Sure, striking down the restrictions on repaying loans from candidates would allow lobbyists and wealthy donors to put money directly into the pockets of lawmakers. But, under the definition of "corruption" advanced by *Citizens United*, it's not entirely clear why lawmakers may not charge lobbyists \$1,000 an hour for their time — so long as the lawmakers and the lobbyist do not reach an explicit quid pro quo agreement regarding some policy matter before Congress.

If the Court does want to establish that elected officials may not rely on *Citizens United* to personally enrich themselves, *Ted Cruz for Senate* gives the Court a perfect opportunity to do so. The Justice Department argues that the Court should uphold the loan repayment provision challenged by Cruz because it enables personal donations to lawmakers that are different in kind from the ones imagined by the Court's earlier campaign finance cases.

"When a campaign uses a contribution to fund routine campaign activities, the contribution helps the candidate by marginally improving his chance of victory, but it does not add to the candidate's personal wealth," the Justice Department argues in its brief. "But when a campaign uses a contribution to repay the candidate's loan, **every dollar given by the contributor ultimately goes into the candidate's pocket**."

The Justice Department also cites a list of existing ethical rules, including a congressional rule forbidding members of the House and Senate from accepting gifts of more than \$50, which prevents federal officials from using their office to enrich themselves. And it notes that the Constitution itself recognizes the danger of federal officials accepting personal gifts, forbidding them from accepting "**any**

present, emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state.” (Though, in fairness, the courts didn’t exactly enforce this provision with any kind of rigor when Donald Trump was president.)

Thus far, however, the Roberts Court has shown little inclination to rein in the power of wealthy donors to shape elections — or to spend money in order to maximize their influence over lawmakers. Perhaps the Court will decide in *Ted Cruz for Senate* that putting money directly into a Congress member’s pocket goes too far.

But, given the Court’s record, I wouldn’t bet on it.

Will you support Vox’s explanatory journalism?

Millions turn to Vox to understand what’s happening in the news. Our mission has never been more vital than it is in this moment: to empower through understanding. Financial contributions from our readers are a critical part of supporting our resource-intensive work and help us keep our journalism free for all. Please consider making a contribution to Vox today to help us keep our work free for all.

HERE in the article below the Defendants use Sharon Bridgewater at all times mentioned relocated to Ann Arbor Michigan on or about November 24, 2021(Bridgewater a graduate of U.M.-sschool color the University of Michigan is blue and Gold- Notice sweat shirt the man is wearing on the bottom, to send a message of hate – Jesus in the background – exodus 20:13,

Justices signal they’ll OK new abortion limits, may toss Roe

By MARK SHERMAN and JESSICA GRESKO December 1, 2021



1 of 19

Anti-abortion protesters surround abortion rights advocates as both groups demonstrate in front of the U.S. Supreme Court, Wednesday, Dec. 1, 2021, in Washington, as the court hears arguments in a case from Mississippi, where a 2018 law would ban abortions after 15 weeks of pregnancy, well before viability. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik)

WASHINGTON (AP) — In the biggest challenge to abortion rights in decades, the Supreme Court's conservative majority on Wednesday signaled they would allow states to ban abortion much earlier in pregnancy and may even overturn the nationwide right that has existed for nearly 50 years.

With hundreds of [demonstrators outside](#) chanting for and against, the justices led arguments that could decide the fate of the court's historic 1973 Roe v. Wade decision legalizing abortion throughout the United States and its 1992 ruling in Planned Parenthood v. Casey, which reaffirmed Roe.

The outcome probably [won't be known until next June](#). But after nearly two hours of arguments, all six conservative justices, including three appointed by former President Donald Trump, indicated they would uphold [a Mississippi law](#) that bans abortion after 15 weeks of pregnancy.

At the very least, such a decision would undermine Roe and Casey, which allow states to regulate but not ban abortion up until the point of fetal viability, at roughly 24 weeks.

And there was also substantial support among the conservative justices for getting rid of Roe and Casey altogether. Justice Clarence Thomas is the [only member of the court who has openly called for overruling the two cases](#).

ADVERTISEMENT

Justice Brett Kavanaugh, a Trump appointee, asked whether the court would be better off withdrawing completely from the abortion issue and letting states decide.

“Why should this court be the arbiter rather than Congress, the state legislatures, state supreme courts, the people being able to resolve this?” Kavanaugh asked. “And there will be different answers in Mississippi and New York, different answers in Alabama than California.”

Abortion would soon become illegal or severely restricted in roughly half the states if Roe and Casey are overturned, according to the Guttmacher Institute, a research organization that supports abortion rights. Legislatures in many Republican-led states are poised for action depending on the Supreme Court’s ruling. On Wednesday the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit vacated previous rulings that had blocked a Tennessee law that included banning abortions once a fetal heartbeat is detected — about six weeks — and ordered a rehearing by the full court.

People of color and lesser means would be disproportionately affected, supporters of abortion rights say.

The court’s three liberal justices said that reversing Roe and Casey would significantly damage the court’s own legitimacy.

“Will this institution survive the stench that this creates in the public perception that the Constitution and its reading are just political acts?” Justice Sonia Sotomayor asked.

In unusually strong terms for a high-court argument, Justice Stephen Breyer warned his colleagues they “better be damn sure” before they throw away the established abortion decisions.

Public opinion polls show support for preserving Roe, though some surveys also find backing for greater restrictions on abortion.

Among the conservatives, Chief Justice John Roberts appeared most interested in a less sweeping ruling that would uphold the Mississippi law but not explicitly overrule Roe and Casey.

ADVERTISEMENT

“That may be what they’re asking for, but the thing at issue before us today is 15 weeks,” Roberts said, alluding to Mississippi’s call to overturn the broader cases in addition to upholding its own law.

More than 90% of abortions are performed in the first 13 weeks of pregnancy, well before viability, according to the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/12/02/opinion/coney-barrett-abortion-supreme-court.html>

With the fate of *Roe v. Wade* on the line at Wednesday's oral arguments in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, all ears were trained on the Supreme Court's newest justice, Amy Coney Barrett.

People on both sides of the abortion debate wanted to get a sense of how the conservative bloc's only woman would intervene in one of the most fraught issues facing the court — and American women. Whether fairly or not, Justice Barrett's gender has always loomed large in speculation about her impact on the court's abortion jurisprudence. When former President Donald Trump selected Brett Kavanaugh to replace Justice Anthony Kennedy, he [reportedly assured confidants](#) that he was holding then-Judge Barrett in reserve in the event he was able to name Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's successor, then the court's most stalwart defender of abortion rights.

Although conservatives usually denounce identity politics, many nonetheless saw Judge Barrett's gender as an asset as the court crept steadily to the right — and toward a confrontation with *Roe*. For instance, in a [2018 op-ed for Bloomberg](#), the conservative pundit Ramesh Ponnuru urged Judge Barrett's nomination in large part because if a more conservative Supreme Court overruled *Roe v. Wade*, “it would be better if it were not done by only male justices, with every female justice in dissent.”

But if conservatives viewed Justice Barrett's gender as political cover for their decades-long project to dismantle reproductive rights, on Wednesday the justice herself offered a more complicated tableau. Although she did not explicitly invoke her identity as a woman, she seemed to lean into her identity as an adoptive mother — and in fact, the only mother on the court — to question the underpinnings of *Roe*.

When the court reaffirmed *Roe* in *Planned Parenthood v. Casey* in 1992, the justices tethered the right to choose to the [recognition that](#) “motherhood has a dramatic impact on a woman's educational prospects, employment opportunities, and self-determination.” In a colloquy with Julie Rikelman, who argued for the Mississippi abortion clinic in Wednesday's arguments, Justice Barrett suggested that the advent of safe haven laws, which allow parents to relinquish newborns for adoption by leaving them at hospitals or police stations, relieved women of the burdens of “forced motherhood” that impeded their professional and educational ambitions. It was a startling exchange — one that suggested that anti-abortion laws raise few constitutional issues in a world where adoption is available to those who wish to avoid parenthood.

Justice Barrett, more than any other member of the court, is in an optimal position to assess the burdens of motherhood and their impact on career ambitions. She is, after all, the mother of seven children and has successfully combined work and family — a point that senators on both sides of the aisle reiterated during her confirmation hearings. In a Rose Garden ceremony for then-Judge Barrett, President Trump [introduced his nominee](#) as “a profoundly devoted mother” who would be the first mother of school-age children to serve on the court.

Justice Barrett’s performance at Wednesday’s oral arguments highlighted how her identity as a mother might inform her jurisprudence. In the event that Roe survives this clash with the court, there are plenty of other cases coming down the pike that will allow the court to reconsider this embattled precedent. Percolating in the lower federal courts are challenges to so-called reason bans that prohibit abortion if undertaken for purposes of sex selection or race selection, or because of the detection or diagnosis of a fetal anomaly.

If Justice Barrett is willing to cast the vote that dismantles Roe — the decision that is credited with widening educational and employment opportunities for women — a reason-ban challenge would allow her to do so in terms that align with her identity: a mother who has raised seven children while simultaneously ascending to the pinnacle of the legal profession.

Justices, as we know, are not insulated from identity politics. Justice Thurgood Marshall, the first African American to serve on the court, routinely surfaced issues of race that his white colleagues may have overlooked. Despite their ideological differences, Justice Marshall’s successor, Justice Clarence Thomas, does so as well. In a 2003 case that considered the constitutionality of a statute that made it illegal to burn a cross in public with the intent to intimidate others, Justice Thomas issued a separate opinion documenting the Black experience with the Ku Klux Klan. More recently, in a gun rights challenge, Justice Thomas invoked the death of Emmett Till and other historic episodes of racial violence as evidence of the need for more robust Second Amendment rights.

Should the court take up a reason-ban challenge, Justice Thomas’s example in these earlier cases may provide a template for Justice Barrett to explicitly invoke her own experiences — and her identity — to weigh in on the most fraught issue of our time. Her symbolic authority as the mother of two Black children and a child with Down syndrome might transform a decision overruling Roe from an expression of raw partisanship to a more publicly palatable meditation on the broader questions of maternity, personhood and dignity that the anti-choice movement has been emphasizing for years.

Melissa Murray is a professor of law at New York University and a co-host of the “Strict Scrutiny” podcast.

The Times is committed to publishing [a diversity of letters](#) to the editor. We’d like to hear what you think about this or any of our articles. Here are some [tips](#). And here’s our email: letters@nytimes.com.

Follow The New York Times Opinion section on [Facebook](#), [Twitter \(@NYTopinion\)](#) a

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior Court of San Francisco and

2. On or about _____ date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited Partnership attempt to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting comer and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further unlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$ _____ from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group _____ list _____

_____ an “invalid” ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Francisco, Superior Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$ _____ for attorney fee’s Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior Court of San Francisco and

2. On or about _____ date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited Partnership attempt to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further unlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$ _____ from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group _____ list _____ an "invalid" ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Francisco, Superior Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$ _____ for attorney fee's Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

From _____, thru _____, at San Francisco, California, the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant attempted to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and travelled interstate commerce, and used the mail to further unlawful activity as defined in title, United States Code, Section `1952 in that the defendant aided and abetted _____ in obtaining approximately \$ _____ from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater African American Minority Business Owner and Renters with a legally binding contract. In doing so, the defendants charged Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater approx.. \$ _____ for actin

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

INDICTMENT

101 Indicted in Transnational/INTERNATIONAL RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY

GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

From on or about Jan. 1, 1993 to continuing thru on or about Jan. ____2022 at_____in one or more the Distict of Columbia, the District of Northern Califonria(and/or San Fransico District) with the intent to conceal and disguise the nature, source, ownership and control of property believed to be the proceeds of specified unlawful actity, did knowingl and willfully conduct and/or attempt to conduct a financtional traction affecting interstate commerce involving property representded by a person acting under the direction of a one or more law enforcement officer, public official to be proceeds of specified unlawful activity, to wit, [example, the attempt sale of of the __and 4.73 real estate in _____, for what was represent to be the proceed of drug dealing by confidential sources working under the direction of a special agent of the Internal Revenue Service; in violation of 18 U.S.C. section

1956(a)(3)(b)._____ (including
bridgewater -extortion, etc.

FORFEITURE

B. Upon conviction for violation 18 U.S.C. section 1956(a)(3)(b) and 982, Defendant shall/forfeits to the ‘THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR ALL PROPERTY TRACEABLE TO SUCH PROPERTY INCLUDNG BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING:

1. One parcel of rel property in _____ County _____, described as follows:

[INSERT LEGAL DESCRIPTION]

8. All fixtures, furnishings, and equipment with the buildings located on the parcel of real property described in subparagraph 1

ALL GLOBAL CENTRAL BANKS, THE FEDERAL RESERVE

AND

Through the aforesaid pattern of racketeering activity, the defendants, Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and/or individually, Kamala Harris in her official capacity as vice President and individually, ___list coproation, have property affording a source f inclunce over the enterprise which they have established, operated, controlled, conducted and participated in the conduct of, inviolation of 18 U.S. S. section 1962. This property is subject to forfeiture to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1963(a)(2)(d). “THE 50 STATES EX REL

SHARON BRIDGWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” intent to
forefeiture includes interest in the following assets of the proceeds thereof:

1. The re-sidence of Defendants[name] at [address]. Destobed om the
recprds pf the _____County [state]Recprder pr f Deeds [common
lawgeneral description]. This property was conveyed by warrant deee to
Defendant[name], husband and wife, on[date] between [defendant and
wife] and [bank]in the amount of _____
2. Property known as [address], described in the recods of 9Couty, [State]
Recordinge as include[legal description of property.]. Deed trust for this
property was dated[date], from[vendors] to _____-and
Defendantsd. The building is appraised at\$_____.
3. A[automobilie make and model], ehicle identification
No._____-license No._____, purchased , vehicle
identification No ____state license No., purchased and driven by
Defendants and/or_____.
4. All property of [restaurant][address]/ This property indlcueds all kitchen
appliances, refigerators, cassj register, shelng and display materials, nd all
other proety and ifixtures used in conducit the ubisness of the [restaurant].
5. Property, including but not limited to, perfumes, medicines, electronic,
video games, fishing equipment, hardware, film and photpgrapci equic,
video casssets movies, items seized by the Federal Bureau of
Investigatgion thru the execution of federal search was(all items in the

United States Marshall Possession -seized from From Jan. 1, 1993
and continuing thru to present.

6. If any of the property described in paragraphs 1 thru 6 above of the
forfeiture allegation, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants
[names]

(a) Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

(b) Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

(c) Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

(d) Has been substantially diminished in value; or

(e) Has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided

without difficulty the United States shall see the forfeiture of any

property of the Defendants[names], up to the value of

\$125,000,000,000,000.00(One hundred and Twenty five Trillion)

and/or any property described in paragraphs 1 thru 6 above pursuant to

18 U.S.C. 1963(m)

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

6. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981 (A) any property, real or personal involved in a
transaction or attempted transaction in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 or 1957 is subject to
forfeiture to the
United States.

7. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is
derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of any offense constituting “specified unlawful
activity” is subject
to forfeiture to the United States.

8. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7) and 1961(l), mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341
constitutes “specified unlawful activity” for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

9. The defendant properties constitute or are derived from proceeds traceable to mail fraud,

and as such, are forfeitable to the United States in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

10. The defendant properties are property involved in transactions or attempted transactions in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 or 1957, and as such, are forfeitable to the United States in accordance with 18

A).

WHEREFORE the United States of America prays that a warrant and summons for arrest and seizure of the defendant property be issued; that notice of this action be given to all persons known or thought

to have an interest in or right against the property; that the defendant property be forfeited and condemned to the

United States of America; that the plaintiff be awarded its costs and disbursements in this action and for such

other and further relief as this court deems proper and just.

The United States hereby requests that trial of the above entitled matter be held in the City of Wichita, Kansas.

I c

18 U.S. Code § 2381 - Treason

Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States, levies war against them or adheres to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort within the United States or elsewhere, is guilty of treason and shall suffer death, or shall be imprisoned not less than five years and fined under this title but not less than \$10,000; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.

18 U.S. Code § 2382 - Misprision of treason

Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both

18 U.S. Code § 2383 - Rebellion or insurrection

Whoever incites, sets on foot, assists, or engages in any rebellion or insurrection against the authority of the United States or the laws thereof, or gives aid or comfort thereto, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and shall be incapable of holding any office under the United States.

18 U.S. Code § 2384 - Seditious conspiracy

If two or more persons in any State or Territory, or in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, conspire to overthrow, put down, or to destroy by force the Government of the United States, or to levy war against them, or to oppose by force the authority thereof, or by force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States, or by force to seize, take, or possess any property of the United States contrary to the authority thereof, they shall each be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both

8 U.S. Code § 2385 - Advocating overthrow of Government

Whoever knowingly or willfully advocates, abets, advises, or teaches the duty, necessity, desirability, or propriety of overthrowing or destroying the government of the United States or the government of any State, Territory, District or Possession thereof, or the government of any political subdivision therein, by force or violence, or by the assassination of any officer of any such government; or

Whoever, with intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of any such government, prints, publishes, edits, issues, circulates, sells, distributes, or publicly displays any written or printed matter advocating, advising, or teaching the duty, necessity, desirability, or propriety of overthrowing or destroying any government in the United States by force or violence, or attempts to do so; or

Whoever organizes or helps or attempts to organize any society, group, or assembly of persons who teach, advocate, or encourage the overthrow or destruction of any such government by force or violence; or becomes or is a member of, or affiliates with, any such society, group, or assembly of persons, knowing the purposes thereof—

Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both, and shall be ineligible for employment by the United States or any department or agency thereof, for the five years next following his conviction.

If two or more persons conspire to commit any offense named in this section, each shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both, and shall be ineligible for employment by the United States or any department or agency thereof, for the five years next following his conviction.

As used in this section, the terms “organizes” and “organize”, with respect to any society, group, or assembly of persons, include the recruiting of new members, the forming of new units, and the regrouping or expansion of existing clubs, classes, and other units of such society, group, or assembly of persons.

The speech and debate immunity grants immunity to members against civil or criminal action stemming from the performance of their legislative duties, and protects members of Congress from arbitrary arrest during a legislative sessions. It does not apply and/or protect , its protections did not apply to situations involving "treason, felony or breach of the peace."

CLAIM I

[RICO]

BREACH OF CONTRACT

By reason of the foregoing breach of contract, plaintiff is entitled tPlaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity breached his contract, the Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM I

[RICO] **BREACH OF FIDICARY DUTIES**

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity breached his contract, the Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM II

[RICO] **CONDUCT AND PARTICIPATION IN A RICO ENTERPRIZE THRU A PATTERN OF RACKEETEERING ACTIVITY**

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity conducted in a Rico Enterprize thru a pattern of Rackeeteering Activity and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged and Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

The Plaintiff have punitive damages in the amount of \$ _____. This amount is due and now owing.

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0 Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM III

[RICO]

CONSPIRACY TO ENGAGE IN A PATTERN OF RACKEETEERING ACTIVITY:

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity conspired to engage in a pattern of Rackeeterring Activity and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged and Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse,

to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0 Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM IV

[RICO]

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity instituted and/or continued a criminal proceeding against the claimant and the termination of the proceeding ended in the Claimant favor and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged and Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive

relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0 Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM V

[RICO]

VIOLATION OF THE CLAIMANT 5TH AND/OR 9TH AND/OR 10TH
AMENDMENT US CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT[42 USC SECTION
1983/1985(3)]

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity acted under the color of law denied and/or deprived the Claimant her 5th and/or 9th and/or 10th Amendment US Constitutional rights and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged anPlaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive

relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$_____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$_____.

CLAIM VI

[RICO]

LIBEL

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity acted under the color of law conspired with other and “libeled” the Claimant and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged anPlaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$_____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$_____.

CLAIM VII

[RICO]

NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity was negligent in enforcing unconstitutional, arbitrary law via the March 6, 2017 executive order and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged and Plaintiff has demanded property and Defendant has failed and refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and continues to be damaged and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment, judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$ _____.

CLAIM VIII

[RICO]

PUNITIVE DAMAGE

Plaintiff allege that the Defendant Donald Trump in his official capacity had conscious disregard for the Claimant civil rights and/or Declaration of human rights and the plaintiff has been injured and damaged and has demanded property and Defendant has failed and

refuse and continues to fail and to refuse, to remit the money and/or property although duly
demanded requiring immediate injunctive relief and the Plaintiff has been damaged and
continues to be damage and have damages as follows:

_____ \$ _____

This amount is due and now owing, and the Plaintiff is entitled to writ of attachment,
judgment in the amount of _____.

Amount paid \$0

Amount due: \$ _____.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit,
Michigan.

DATE: _____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT

Rule 55. Default; Default Judgment

ALL PARTIES REFUSED, FAILED TO
PLEAD, OR OTHERWISE DEFEND

HE When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION(s) 1951, 1952)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon
Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT**

ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior Court of San Franciso and

2. On or about _____ date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited Partnership attempt to commit exotion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting comer and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce,a s defined in Title 18, United States Doe, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further aunlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$ _____ from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group _____ list _____ an “invalid” ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Fransico, Superor Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$ _____ for attorney fee’s Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

From _____, thru _____, at San Francisco, California, the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant attempted to commit exotion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affing commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, aas defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1951(b)91) and travelled interstate commerce, and used the mail to further unlawful activity as defined in title, United States Code, Section `1952 in that the defendant aided and abetted _____ in obtaining approxiamaelly \$ _____ from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater African American Minority Business Owner and Renters with a legally binding contract. In doing so, the defendants charged Sharon and/or James S. Bridgeater approx.. \$ _____ for actin

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION(s) 1951, 1952)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas,
Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,
Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New
Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio,
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South**

Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, and on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

1.. Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason was lawyers employed by Hayes Valley Limited Partnership who are professional Lawyers and are knowledge about proper(due process/procedural) legal court proceeding in the Superior Court of San Francisco and

2. On or about_____date, at San Francisco, California, the defendant Kimbal Tirey & St. John and/or Shawn Bankson and/or Jane Creason & Hayes Valley Limited Partnership attempt to commit extortion under color of official right obstructing, delaying and affecting commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1) and (2), and travelled in interstate commerce to further unlawful activity as defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1952, in that the defendant aided and abetted in obtaining approximately \$_____from Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, one or more of their companies Specialty Investment Group_____list_____an “invalid” ? unlawful detainer lawsuit in the San Francisco, Superior Court. . In doing so the Defendants charged one or both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater approx..\$_____for attorney fee’s Hayes Valley Limited Partnership aid.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1951 AND 1952

From _____, thru _____, at San Francisco, California, the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant attempted to commit extortion under

color of official right obstructing, delaying and affing commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, aas defined in Title 18 United States Code, Section 1951(b)91) and travelled interstate commerce, and used the mail to further unlawful activity as defined in title, United States Code, Section `1952 in that the defendant aided and abetted _____in obtaining approxiamaelly \$ _____from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater African American Minority Business Owner and Renters with a legally binding contract. In doing so, the defendants charged Sharon and/or James S. Bridgeater approx.. \$ _____for actin

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNAL, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. SECTION 912)

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.

**CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater
and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)
VS.**

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

On or about _____(date), in the
_____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United
States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the
authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant
acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the
United States demaned and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum
of 125 Trillion Dollars

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER**

**PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

(18 U.S.C. 1951, 1952)

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**

EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF**

NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The Grand Jury Charges:

On or about_____ (date), in the _____ District of Columbia, with intent to defraud the United States, and/or the defendant falsely pretended to be an offer and employee actin under the authority of the United States, namely the President of the United States. The defendant

**acted with intent to defraud and in the pretended character of an officer or employee of the
United States demanded and/or obtained from Sharon and/or James S. Bridgewater the sum
of 125 Trillion Dollars**

A true bill

FOREPERSON

SIGNATURE

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building

Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18 YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,

Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President of the United States of America and individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC 20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500 ET AL

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____

BY _____

DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,

South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or
individually
The United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN (18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER A COMPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON (OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ **DEPUTY**

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,**

Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusaleem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court

(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,

Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predecessors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America

1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR

BY

DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,**

the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA

**THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____ DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM**

Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization- 501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____ (date) and executed it by arresting
_____ (name of Defendant or
suspect) _____
at _____
(address of arrest) on _____ (date of arrest)

DATED: _____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND/OR _____
BY _____ DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER

**PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS**

PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

**TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)**

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)**

P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized**

assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C) (3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR

INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

Justice Clarence Thomas, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court
(and predesscors from Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru to his/her term)
Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

John G. Roberts, in his official capacity as Chief Judge for the United States Supreme Court and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Neil Gorsuch, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Amy Coney Barrett in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE**

AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Sonia Sotomayor, in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER

**PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)
"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS**

PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Stephen G. Breyer, in his official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED: _____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**
AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)**

P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]**
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized**

assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Justice Elena Kagan in her official capacity and United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually

The Supreme Court of the United States of America
1 First Street,
NE Washington, DC 20543.

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY

DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

INDICTMENT ARREST WARRANT

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.**

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

**JACOB ROTHCHILD
AND/OR INDIVIUDALLY**

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or individually and bring him to "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on _____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____DEPUTY

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.**

**Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED**

STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

Anthony Fauci in his official capacity

**As Chief Medical Advisor to the President of the United States of America
and/or individually**

TO _____SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest JOE BIDEN in his official capacity as President and/or
individually and bring him to “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR” WITHOUT UNNECESSARY

DELAY TO ANSWER A COMOPLAINT AND/OR INDICTMENT AND/OR
INFORMATION CHARGING HIM WITH RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN
VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371 TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

_____**DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

AND/OR _____

BY _____**DEPUTY**

UNKNOWN OR UNCERTAIN ARREST WARRANT

Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DOCKET #: _____
WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common

wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA
THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

VS.

JACOB ROTHCHILD
AND/OR INDIVIUDALLY

TO _____ SPECIAL AGENT, U.S. CITIZEN(18
YEARS OR OLDER)

YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUAL:

KNOWN NAME AND/OR
ALIASES: _____

GENDER: _____

DATE OF BIRTH _____

APPROX AGE: _____

HEIGHT: _____

WEIGHT: _____

EYE COLOR: _____

SKIN COLOR: _____

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTIST: _____

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: _____

LAST KNOWN ADDRESS: _____

LAST KNOWN EMPLOYMENT: _____

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to bring

To "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR" AND/OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE WITHOUT
UNNECESSARY DELAY TO ANSWER A COMPLAINTWITHOUT UNNECESSARY
DELAY TO ANSWER ONE OR MORE COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, INFORMATION
AND/OR ORDER FROM THE COURT, CHARGING HIM AND/OR HER WITH
RACKETEERING CONSPIRACY, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CODE SECTION 371
TREASON 18 U.S. Code § 2381, MONEY LAUNDERING IN VIOLATION OF ONE OR
MORE 18 USC. SECTION 1956(A), AND/OR 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1957,CRIMES AGAINST
HUMANITY, CONSPIRACY TO LAUNDER MONEY

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RETURN ON WARRANT

I received this Warrant on _____(date)and executed it by arresting
_____(name of Defendant or
suspect)_____
at _____
(address of arrest)on_____(date of arrest)

DATED:_____

**NAME OF ARRESTING PERSON(OVER THE YEARS OF 18) OR AUTHORIZE
OFFICER**

TITLE OF ARRESTING OFFICER

DISTRICT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND/OR _____
BY _____**DEPUTY**

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi,
Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,
Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina,
South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont,
Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Common
wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam,
the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa]
EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.
Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA**

**THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND
CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)**

**"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM
Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE
PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE
AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS
PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED
STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal
judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized
assets acquired by criminals through illegal
activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment Group
L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global
Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and
Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California
Corporation, The Coalition for
Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping
Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan
and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out
of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and
Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses
International Ministries(a non-profit organization-
501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY
REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S.
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon
Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and
on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly
situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S)
AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

VS.

Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States
President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

RECORD NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS CONCURRING IN AN INDICTMENT

AS THE FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY OF THIS COURT AT A SESSION HELD
AT _____ ON _____
I CERTIFY THAT (S[ECOFU NUMBER) _____ GRAND JURORS
CONCEURRED IN THE INDICTMENT IN THIS CASE.
UNDER COMMON LAW AND/OR FED. RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 6© NTHIS
RECORD IS BEING FILED WITH THE COURT AND/OR MAGISTRATE AND/OR
AUTHORIZE CLERK AND WILL NOT BE MADE PUBLIC UNLESS THE COURT
ORDERS OTHERWISE.

DATE: _____

FOREPERSON SIGNATURE

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES EX
REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08478207

WARRANT OF ARREST

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,
Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland,**

Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A.

Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)

"REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)AND/OR HUMANITY, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater and on behalf of my self, my son and those similarly situated - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

DRESS OF ARREST ON _____AD
[DATE OF ARREST].

DATED: _____

NAME OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

TITLE OF AUTHORIZED PERSON

DISTRICT OF _____

BY DEPUTY _____

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

COUNT ONE 18 U.S.C. § 793(d) (Unauthorized Disclosure of National Defense Information) At all times relev

Background 1.

The Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") was a United States government intelligence agency. The CIA's headq
adversaries and the bases for their decisions and actions as well as conducting clandestine actions, at the direction
information was classified as "Top Secret," "Secret" or "Confidential." The designation "Top Secret" applied to i
without proper authorization, reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to national security. The des
be further restricted through compartmentation in Sensitive Compartmented Information ("SCI") categories. Onl
was classified. Classified information, of any designation, could only be shared with persons -2- Case 1:10-cr-00
agreement, and who possessed a "need to know." If a person was not eligible to receive classified infonnation, cl
STERLING ("defendant STERLING") worked as an employee for the CIA. After serving as a career trainee for
Langley, Virginia, in the Eastern District of Virginia, from on or about August 2000 through on or about Februar
STERLING held a Top Secret security clearance with access to SCI. Defendant STERLING's employment withi
STERLING, who was a lawyer, signed and had explained to him written agreements in which defendant STERL
LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 4 of 31 employment or other service with the [CIA] that is marked as cla
example, on or about May 14, 1993, on the first day of his employment at the CIA, defendant STERLING signed
unauthorized disclosure of classified information could constitute a criminal offense. 9. Similarly, as part of his e
certain information or material, which the Agreement expressly defined as classified information or information
understood that the unauthorized disclosure of classified information could constitute a criminal offense. On Feb
termination from the CIA, a CIA security officer read defendant STERLING the contents of another SCI Non-D

Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 5 of 31 The CIA security officer informed defendant explicitly agreed, that "all [classified] information or material that I may acquire in the course of my employment defendant STERLING also agreed that "I will surrender anything constituting, containing or reflecting such information documents, as well as any other classified information acquired by defendant STERLING during the course of his employment explicitly agreed, that "I hereby agree to submit for review by the [CIA] any writing or any preparation in any form for public disclosure, either during my employment or other service with the [CIA] or at any time thereafter, prior to do so from the [CIA]." At no time did the -5- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 6 of 31 documents and information referenced in this Indictment. At no time did the CIA authorize defendant STERLING to engage in "or improper" conduct that implicated classified information, these security and non-disclosure agreements clearly made while still protecting the classified information and not causing the disclosure of information that reasonably known to the Grand Jury, moved to the United States in the early 1990s. Human Asset No. 1 agreed to work for the CIA for monetary consideration. 15. Classified Program No.1 was a clandestine operational program of the CIA. The program included Country A. -6- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 7 of 31 16. Between on or about 1995, STERLING had no advanced scientific or engineering education or training nor did he ever seek or express any interest in such education. He was no longer authorized to receive classified information or possess classified documents concerning Human Asset No. 1. He was employed by a national newspaper and wrote newspaper articles about the CIA and the intelligence community generally without clearance. The Scheme to Disclose Classified Information 18. Beginning in approximately August 2000, and last continued by the Publications Review Board regarding defendant STERLING's attempt to publish his memoirs. Defendant STERLING, as well as other decisions -7- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 8 of 31 characterized in a false and misleading manner. 19. Defendant STERLING employed a scheme to disclose classified information documents without the authority of the CIA; (b) communicating by telephone, via email and in person with Author A containing classified information to Author A for review or use; (d) characterizing the classified information in a manner that defendant STERLING was a former employee adhering to his secrecy and nondisclosure agreements; and, (f) defaming Author A. Defendant Sterling's Civil Litigation against the CIA and His Pre-Existing Relationship with Author A 20. On or about 2001, defendant STERLING caused his then-civil -8- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 9 of 31 or, alternatively, \$30,000 for tuition to pursue a master's degree in taxation law plus reassignment to the CIA's Office of Management. STERLING had not established a prima facie case of discrimination and denied his complaint. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission send a second settlement offer via facsimile and the United States mail to the CIA prior to service of a civil complaint for a master's degree in taxation law, \$1,500 for moving expenses, and \$4,000 in attorney fees. On October 30, 2001, the CIA rejected the offer. Defendant STERLING in turn caused Author A to transmit and disseminate this classified information through a letter to the CIA. Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 10 of 31 service of an unclassified civil complaint in the Eastern District of Virginia, the CIA, defendant STERLING submitted a book proposal for his memoirs and a sample chapter of the book to the CIA. STERLING's memoirs. 26. In or about February 2002, defendant STERLING met with Author A, including at least one meeting. Author A documents relating to defendant STERLING's employment at the CIA, including at least one redacted document, defendant STERLING via electronic mail and the United States mails into, among other places, the Eastern District of Virginia did not appear to contain classified information. 28. On or about April 18, 2002, pursuant to his secrecy and non-disclosure agreements, Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 11 of 31 classified contents of defendant STERLING's memoirs. He made certain modifications to other portions of his manuscript and to draft his memoirs in such a way as to avoid disclosing information not disclosed any classified information publicly. In addition, defendant STERLING and his civil attorney re-affirmed his adherence to his secrecy and non-disclosure agreements with the CIA, defendant STERLING submitted additional chapters of his memoirs. Guidelines presented to him during the April 2002 meeting. Once again, the memoirs included a description of Country A and his "extreme unhappiness" over various edits that the Board had made on several chapters of his proposed memoirs. Defendant Board member that "he -11- Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 1 Filed 12/22/10 Page 12 of 31 would be coming to the CIA. Defendant settlement offer via facsimile and the United States mail to the CIA. Defendant STERLING demanded, among other things, a \$1,000,000 United States mail that re-inquired about the status of his third settlement offer to the CIA. Defendant STERLING on or about 2003, the CIA authorized the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia to reject the third

the CIA had authorized the rejection of his third settlement offer, defendant STERLING placed an interstate telephone call to Author A. 35. Defendant STERLING caused the filing of a civil complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, in which he sought to have Author A's memoirs reclassified as unclassified. 36. On or about March 5, 2003, consistent with his secrecy and non-disclosure policy, defendant STERLING refused to discuss the contents of his memoirs with Author A. However, in doing so, defendant STERLING falsely characterized certain facts and circumstances relating to Classified Program No.1, and claimed, based upon that false information, that Classified Program No. 1 may have enhanced Author A's email account to the work email address of Author A. Defendant STERLING stated, "I'm sure you've already seen that STERLING and Author A already had been discussing Classified Program No.1. 38. Between on or about March 10, 2003, and on or about April 3, 2003, Author A had a telephone conversation with the OP A Director, in which he provided the OP A Director with certain highly classified information about the nature of the information and the national security implications associated with disclosure of that information. Defendant STERLING caused the OP A Director to provide Classified Program No.1 to Author A in order to induce Author A to publish a newspaper article about Classified Program No.1. During this conversation, the OP A Director again put Author A on hold and asked Author A to think about the OPA Director's concerns, but that at that point he intended to continue to write the story. Defendant STERLING caused the OP A Director to provide Classified Program No. 1 to Author A in order to induce Author A to publish a newspaper article about Classified Program No.1. Defendant STERLING stated that the lead to Author A's story and affirmed that Author A's story had been sourced in part on government documents associated with disclosure of that information, and the OPA Director's plan to recommend that senior Administration officials to review the story. Defendant STERLING caused this telephone call to occur by having provided at least one classified document to Author A to induce Author A to write and attempt to publish a newspaper article about Classified Program No.1. 42. On or about April 10, 2003, Defendant STERLING caused the OP A Director to provide information about the national security implications associated with disclosure of that information and the imminent danger that Human Asset No. 1 posed to the United States to Author A. Defendant STERLING had disclosed and then falsely and misleadingly characterized this classified document to Author A. 43. In or about early May 2003, senior management from the CIA reviewed Author A's proposed story about Classified Program No.1. 44. On or about December 23, 2003, Author A sent an interstate email from Author A's personal email account to Author A." 45. Between on or about February 9, 2004, and on or about April 24, 2004, Author A placed fourteen interstate telephone calls from Author A's personal email account to the personal email account of defendant STERLING. 46. Between on or about April 24, 2004, and on or about May 10, 2004, Author A placed four interstate telephone calls from a residence located in the Eastern District of Virginia. Author A stated in the last email, "I want to call today I'm trying to write a story about Classified Program No.1. 47. On or about May 10, 2004, Author A placed four interstate telephone calls from a residence in the District of Columbia to the temporary residence of defendant STERLING. In the email, which was routed through a server located in the Eastern District of Virginia, Author A stated that he had more interstate telephone calls from Author A's personal residence to the temporary residence of defendant STERLING. 50. On or about June 10, 2004, Author A sent another interstate email from Author A's personal email account to Author A. 51. Between on or about June 11, 2004, and on or about July 8, 2004, Author A placed six more interstate telephone calls from a residence in the Eastern District of Virginia, from Author A's personal email account to the personal email account of defendant STERLING. 52. In or about July 8, 2004, Author A provided information relating to Classified Program No.1 to Author A and by providing false and misleading information to Author A. 53. Between on or about February 21, 2004, and on or about February 21, 2004, Author A traveled to Country B in order to obtain more information about Classified Program No.1, including a hotel at which Human Asset No. 1 stayed in connection with Classified Program No.1. 54. Between on or about February 21, 2004, and on or about February 21, 2004, Author A traveled to Country B in order to obtain more information about Classified Program No.1, including a hotel at which Human Asset No. 1 stayed in connection with Classified Program No.1. 55. Between on or about December 24, 2005, and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, defendant STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about February 5, 2006, in the Eastern District of Virginia, JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, the defendant herein, having unauthorized possession of Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, did willfully cause to be communicated, delivered and transmitted the same information to any person of the United States, all the while having reason to believe that said information could be used to the injury of the United States and to the advantage of any foreign nation, the information contained in Classified Program No.1 and Human Asset No. 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(e) (Unlawful Retention of Classified Information) The Grand Jury further charges that: Between on or about December 24, 2005 and on or about

NEIL H. MACBRIDE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY /Jjd j{{ \$A:tP William M. Welch IfC
____ ----- Senior Litigation Counsel Criminal Division United States Department of Justice ~
}~)/J~ 1imoti1YiK~ 1 7)- es L. Trump enior Litigation Counsel Eastern District of Virg

TO _____ ALL PARTIES AND TO ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

TAKE NOTICE Sharon Bridgewater will move the Court for an order FOR ADJUDICATION TO TRANSFER AND CONSOLIDATE RELATED CASES which is made pursuant to the Class Action fairness and/or Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or criminal rule of the court and is based on the attached memorandum of points and authorities, affidavit, and/or exhibits; and/or Criminal Rule of Procedure _____ the files and records; and any such further information as shall be made available to the Court and for hearing before this court on Date: TBA, at Time: TBA, or as soon after that times as the matter can be heard, in Courtroom #6600 of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia located at 333 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001.

I.

GROUND FOR REQUESTED RELIEF

Plaintiff and/or Petitioners relies on complaint filed concurrently. Pursuant to Criminal rule and/or the class action fairness it permits a court to transfer Federal and/or State court cases. All the cases which are pending in these court(s), all involve a common question of law and fact since all the actions depend upon and/or a common question, transfer a consolidation of these cases will avoid unnecessary cost and delay and prevent duplication of evidence; the cases arises from the substantially the same transaction or events and/or involve the same parties or action and/or same question of law and are grounds to relate and consolidate into one action; AND INCLUDING ANY AND ALL OF THEIR RESPECTIVE SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST, PREDECESSORS, REPRESENTATIVES, TRUSTEES, EXECUTORS, ADMINSTRATORS, HEIRS, ASSIN OR TRANFEREES, IMMEDIATE AND REMOTE, ANY PERSON OR ENTITY ACTING ON BEHALF OF OR CLAIMING UNDER ANY OF THEM AND EACH OF THEM, INCLUDING THE DEFEDANTS, RESPONDANTS, APPEALLEES IMMEDIATE

FAMILY OF ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS, RESPONDANTS AND/OR APPELLEES AND ANY ENTITY IN WHICH THE DEFENDANTS AND/OR RESPONDANTS AND/OR APPELLEES HAS OR HAD CONTROLLING INTEREST AND THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE HEIRS, SUCCESSORS OR ASSIGNS OF ANY EXCLUDED PERSON, FROM JAN. 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT. IN ADDITION TO THE BELOWE-ENTITLED ACTIONS PENDING IN THE US SUPREME COURT AND/OR US APPELLANT COURTS, STATE COURT AND/OR SMALL CLAIMS COURT ACTIONS INTO ONE ACTION, TO THIS DISTRICT COURT OF COLUMBIA ON THE GROUND THAT ALL WERE EMPLOYED AND/OR ASSOCIATED WITH AND/OR HAD AND EXPRESSED AND/OR IMPLIED AGREEMENT AS DEFINED IN THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORPUPT ORGANIZATION ACT, WHOSE ACTIVITY AFFECTED INTERSTATE AND/OR FOREIGN COMMERCE . THE BELOW CASES ARE AS FOLLOWS: a

CRIMINAL CHARGES

COMPLAINT

Comes now, Prosecuting Attorney, Carl B. Cohen, being duly sworn according to Law, deposes and states upon information and belief, that the above-named defendant committed the following crimes, to-wit:

CHARGES:

(CHARGE)

(DATE/PLACE)

The facts which form the basis for this belief are:

SUMMARY:(SUMMARY)

(PROSECUTOR)

(ASSISTANT) Prosecuting Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this (DATE).

Source: Used

—

FACTS

5. The facts and circumstances supporting the seizures and forfeiture of the defendants, set out in paragraph 4, above, are contained in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

6. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981 (A) any property, real or personal involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 or 1957 is subject to forfeiture to the United States.

7. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of any offense constituting “specified unlawful activity” is subject to forfeiture to the United States.

8. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7) and 1961(l), mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341 constitutes “specified unlawful activity” for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

9. The defendant properties constitute or are derived from proceeds traceable to mail fraud, and as such, are forfeitable to the United States in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

10. The defendant properties are property involved in transactions or attempted transactions in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 or 1957, and as such, are forfeitable to the United States in accordance with 18

A).

WHEREFORE the United States of America prays that a warrant and summons for arrest and seizure of the defendant property be issued; that notice of this action be given to all persons known or thought

to have an interest in or right against the property; that the defendant property be forfeited and condemned to the

United States of America; that the plaintiff be awarded its costs and disbursements in this action and for such

other and further relief as this court deems proper and just.

The United States hereby requests that trial of the above entitled matter be held in the City of Wichita, Kansas.

6-20-14 - Paul has now been moved over 42 times since February. [12-4-14 - 49 times and now possibly 50 times! 65-year old pacifist senior citizen political prisoner!]

Now come the Plaintiffs People of the United States of America ex relatione Paul Andrew Mitchell, Sui Juris, Citizen of Washington State, qualified Federal Witness, Private Attorney General and now Damaged Party (hereinafter “Relator”), formally charging the following named and unnamed individuals with the corresponding criminal violations enumerated infra (TWO OR MORE OF State Criminal Statutes of Limitations and “Tolling” Conspiracies and Continuing Offenses Statutes of limitation “normally begin to run when the crime is complete,” 89 which occurs when the last element of the crime has been satisfied.⁹⁰ The rule for conspiracy is a bit different.⁹¹ The general conspiracy statute consists of two elements: (1) an agreement to commit a federal crime or to defraud the United States and (2) an overt act committed in furtherance of the agreement.⁹² Conspirators left uninterrupted will frequently continue on through several overt acts to the ultimate commission of the underlying substantive offenses which are the objectives of their plots. Thus, the statute of limitations for such conspiracies begins to run not with the first overt act committed in furtherance of the conspiracy but with the last.⁹³ The statute of limitations under conspiracy statutes that have no overt act requirement begins to run with the accomplishment of the conspiracy’s objectives, with its abandonment,⁹⁴ or with the defendant’s effective withdrawal from the conspiracy. THE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES OVERT ACTS OF INTERVENTION IN A LOWER CASE WITHOUT

Generally speaking, the prosecutor's "clock" ticks only if the criminal suspect remains in the state. If the individual flees or goes into hiding, the clock will pause (in legal jargon "toll") and will resume running when and if the suspected person reenters the state. This is to prevent criminals from avoiding the consequences of their crimes by simply running, hiding and waiting out the authorities. Other circumstances may justify tolling, too, such as concealment of the wrongdoing.

MERRICK GARLAND, U.S. MARSHALL RONALD(ASSOCIATED WITH THE CRIMINAL ENTERPRIZE)

What is a Substitute Custodian?

A Substitute Custodian (SC) is appointed by the court to act on behalf of the U.S. Marshal's Service. The reason Marshal's use custodians is because the volume of arrests outpaces the number of Deputies available to oversee arrested vessels. Additionally, it is assumed that the cost of a U.S. Deputy is greater than that of a civilian employee designated to serve in this capacity. Therefore they use surrogates, companies or individuals that are qualified to act on their behalf. The SC must meet certain qualifications; specifically, they must be able to provide a secure facility to dock the vessel, appropriate staff to oversee its safekeeping, and insurance sufficient to indemnify the USMS against any possible claim for damage or liability.

COMES NOW the **United States** (hereinafter "Intervenor") *ex relatione* Paul Andrew Mitchell, Citizen of ONE OF the **United States of America**, qualified Federal Witness and Private Attorney General (hereinafter "Relator"), to petition this honorable Court for a prompt ORDER permanently dissolving the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") pursuant to the authority conferred upon this Court by 18 U.S.C. 1964(a), by the Lanham Act, and by the Sherman Act, and to provide formal written Notice to all interested party(s) of same.

Relator hereby formally charges:

Angela Dorothea Merkel in her official capacity as Chancellor of Germany and individually
Emmanuel Macron in his official capacity as President for France and individually
Boris Johnson in his official capacity as Prime Minister for the United Kingdom and individually

JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND INDIVIDUALLY, JOHN DURHAM, MERRICK GARLAND with:

CONCEALMENT OF CORONAVIRUS VACCINE PATENT AND EVERYONE IS NOW SOMEONE ELSE(?)

CONCEALMENT NANO PARTICLES, HYDROGEL

KNOWINGLY, INTENTIONALLY CREATING(AND/OR INSTIGATING AN AUTHOPHERE OF DIVISION, RACIAL TENSION TO PERMIT CHINA TO OVERTAKE AND UNDETECTED

CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT GENOCIDE

MURDER

VIOLATION OF 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHT MILLIONS

VIOLATION OF 2ND AMENDMENT RIGHT MILLION

SLAVERY

COV-19 C

TREASON

MISPRISOMENT OF FELONY

CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE UNITED STATES 371

WIRE FRAUD

MAIL FRAUD

EXTORTION UNDER THE COLOR OF OFFICIAL RIGHT

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON
BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AND/OR REL

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM

) PRACIPE AND NOTICE OF
) "INTERNATIONAL" TEMPORARY
) RESTRAINING ORDER DECLARTORY
) JUDGMENT AND BURIFICATION FOR
) BI-LATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION OF
) REPRESENTATIVES; CERTIFICATION
) OF CLASS; LIABILITY; DAMAGES;
) PUNATIVE DAMAGES; RESTITUTION;
) ALL COUNTS;

;ADJUDICATION OF CIVIL OR
CRIMINAL CONTEMPT OF COURT
AND/OR CONGRESS; PREEMATORY
WRIT OF MANDUMUS AND
PROHIBITION,; WRIT OF BODY (NATIONAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL
ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF
COMMITMENTS); GRAND JURY
PROCEEDINGS; WRIT OF PROPERTY
ATTACHMENTS; PREEMATORY WRIT
OF

DATE: TBA
TIME: TBA

**Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]-
(WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of
myself, my son and those similarly situated
VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF
NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the
federal judiciary, housing and managing and
selling seized assets acquired by criminals
through illegal activities), one or more of the
following companies, Specialty Investment
Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada
Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company
Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition
for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing
Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit
organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia
non-profit corporation, B & B Building
Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation,
forced out of business-dissolved businesses
Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top
Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International
Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C
(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS
MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN
RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT
THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED
HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS),
Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon
Bridgewater - Real parties in interest
CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)**

DEPART: TBA
TRIAL DATE: NONE SET

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
Joe Biden in his official capacity as United
States President and individually
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice
President of the United States of America and
individually

1 Observatory Circle NW, Washington, DC
20008

&

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20500

Merrick Garland in his official capacity as

United States Attorney General and/or

Individually

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C.20530

et al

**DEFENDANTS AKA HAYES VALLEY
APARTMENTS II L.P.)**

McCormack Baron Ragon Management

Service Inc.(AKA McCormack Baron

Salazar)MBA Urban Development,

The Related Companies of California, INC.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership,

American International Group INC.

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball,

Tirey & St. John, LLP,

THE FEDERAL RESERVE,

JACOB ROTHCHILD,

MICROSOFT,

TELSA,

META(aka FACEBOOK)

TWITTER,

FREDDIE MAC

FANNIE MAE

ET AL

**CLASS ACTION/
CAREER CRIMINALS-
TERRORIST GROUP**

**STATUTORY CLASS
ACTION
PROSECUTION!!
RACKETEERING
CONSPIRACY
BIOLOGICAL
WARFARE
CORONAVIRUS
TERRORIST
GROUP**

FEBRUARY 1, 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU TO PRESENT, NET WORTH AND
DAMAGES 125 TRIOLL

I filed multiple complaints entitled Sharon Bridgewater vs. Hayes Valley Limited Partnership. These complaints were filed from August 4, 2008 thru to approx. June 2017(42 or more complaints), all were dismissed by The Supreme Court Judges et al, in addition “the 50 States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater” demanded 125 Trillion Dollars from the Defendants(Supreme Court Justices, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, Donald Trump et al)and the San Francisco, California Superior Court of the State of California County of San Francisco Judicial District case number CGC-08-478207 operates as an 1st amended criminal complaint and/or admiralty and/or maritime claim(requesting immediate injunctive relief and/or arrest of person(s) and/or property against Joe Biden in his official and/or individually[those operating under his directions including but not limited to Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President and/or individually, Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General and/or individually et al)due to A complaint was filed herein o _____and service of process was had on Defendant and/or Respondant JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALL DEFENDANT AND/OR RESPONDANT REPRESENTATIVE ON BEHALF OF CLASS DEFENDANT AND/OR RESPONDANT MEMBERS et al and DOES 1 thru 1,000,000,000 inclusive DEFENDANT AND/OR RESPONDANT.

On or about Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present Plaintiffs and/or Claimants at all times mentioned were Students and/or was a duly organized and/or existing under the laws of one or more States Michigan, California, Nevada and/or Georgia and/or duly licensed one or Real Estate Broker African American Minority Real Estate Business Owners, located in carrying

Defendants, Joe Biden in his official capacity as President and/or individually(and Predesscors Donald Trump in his official capacity as President and/or individually, _____Merrick Garland in his official capacity as

Employed by the United States Federal Government, Hayes Vallley Limited Partnership is a partnership duly organized (Parent Corporation – American

The

Defendants and/or the Plaintiff(s)and/or Claimant(s) entered into a into a contract effective on date_____to rent _____advance, etc._____. A copy is attached, marked as exh. A and incorporated by reference

On or about Defendant(s) Hayes Valley Limited partnership **TWO ACTS OF WIRE FRAUD AND/OR MAIL FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 1341 AND/OR 18 U.S.C. § 1343**

The Defendants knowingly, intentionally one or more [participated, devised and/or intended to devise] a scheme or plan to defraud both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater out of money and/or property (125 Trillion Dollars), and the scheme/plan for , or a scheme or obtaining the money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises[, or omitted facts.] [Deceitful statements of half-truths may constitute false or fraudulent representations];

Second, the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property;

Third, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive and cheat; and

Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

In determining whether a scheme to defraud exists, you may consider not only the defendant's words and statements, but also the circumstances in which they are used as a whole.

[To convict defendant[s] of mail fraud based on omission[s] of material fact[s], you must find that defendant[s] had a duty to disclose the omitted fact[s] arising out of a relationship of trust. That duty can arise either out of a formal fiduciary relationship, or an informal, trusting relationship in which one party acts for the benefit of another and induces the trusting party to relax the care and vigilance that it would ordinarily exercise.]

A mailing is caused when one knows that the mails will be used in the ordinary course of business or when one can reasonably foresee such use. It does not matter whether the material mailed was itself false or deceptive so long as the mail was used as a part of the scheme, nor does it matter whether the scheme or plan was successful or that any money or property was obtained.

**MAIL FRAUD—SCHEME TO DEFRAUD—DEPRIVATION OF
INTANGIBLE RIGHT OF HONEST SERVICES
(18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1346)**

The defendant is charged in [Count _____ of] the indictment with mail fraud in violation of Section 1341 of Title 18 of the United States Code. For the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

All U.S. Supreme Court Justices knowingly, intentionally devised or knowingly participated in a scheme or plan to deprive both Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater(his/her)and/or others right of honest services;

Second, and the scheme or plan consists of a bribes and/or kickbacks in exchange for the defendant's services(issuing null and void orders). The "exchange" may be express or may be implied from all the surrounding circumstances;

Third, the defendant owed a fiduciary duty to Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S. Bridgewater, and/or others and knowingly, intentionally acted The defendants U.S. Su act was material; that is, it had a natural tendency to influence, or was capable of influencing, [a person's] [an entity's] acts; and

Sixth, the defendant used, or caused someone to use, the mails to carry out or to attempt to carry out the scheme or plan.

A "fiduciary" duty exists whenever one [person] [entity] places special trust and confidence in another person—the fiduciary—in reliance that the fiduciary will exercise [his] [her] discretion and expertise with the utmost honesty and forthrightness in the interests of the [person] [entity], such that the [person] [entity] relaxes the care and vigilance that [he] [she] [it] would ordinarily exercise, and the fiduciary knowingly accepts that special trust and confidence and thereafter undertakes to act on behalf of the other [person] [entity] based on such reliance.

The mere fact that a business relationship arises between two persons does not mean that either owes a fiduciary duty to the other. If one person engages or employs another and thereafter directs, supervises, or approves the other's actions, the person so employed is not necessarily a fiduciary. Rather, as previously stated, it is only when one party places, and the other accepts, a special trust and confidence—usually involving the exercise of professional expertise and discretion—that a fiduciary relationship exists.

A mailing is caused when one knows that the mails will be used in the ordinary course of business or when one can reasonably foresee such use. It does not matter whether the material mailed was itself false or deceptive so long as the mail was used as a part of the scheme, nor does it matter whether the scheme or plan was successful or that any money or property was obtained.

On behalf of my son who received one or more of the moderna the vaccine and/or was defrauded out of money and/or property the second witness (and millions)

1.4.1 Transnational organised crime and the financial markets

The US Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), also known as the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 (OCCA), is the precursor and the model for later national and transnational law against organised crime.⁴⁸ One of the major preoccupations of the American legislator was not so much a concern that criminals succeed in obtaining, concealing, and safeguarding their ill-gotten gains, but rather the use to which such funds are put. The act attempts to prevent organised crime from engaging in interstate or foreign commerce or from taking a controlling interest in a securities-issuing corporation.⁴⁹

MAIL FRAUD, WIRE FRAUD, MONEY LAUNDERING, EXTORTION UNDER THE
COLOR OF OFFICIAL RIGHT, RETALIATION AGAINST TWO FEDERAL
WITNESSES, WITNESS TAMPERING

NOTICE AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER DECLARTORY
JUDGMENT AND BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY; DAMAGES; PUNATIVE DAMAGES; RESTITUTION; ALL COUNTS; APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE; FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY; APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROSECUTORS; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL; ADJUDICATION OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL CONTEMPT OF COURT AND/OR CONGRESS; PETITION FOR PREMATORY WRIT OF MANDUMUS AND PROHIBITION, PETITION FOR PREMATORY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; WRIT OF BODY (NATIONAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF COMMITMENTS); GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS; WRIT OF PROPERTY ATTACHMENTS; PREMATORY WRIT OF EXPUNGMENT; EXECUTION IMMEDIATE STAY OF ENFORCEMENT WRIT OF EXECUTION, WRIT OF POSSESSION , SEQUESTER, WRIT OF REVELIN, PROTECTIVE , PETITION AND BILL FOR IMPEACHMENT; PETITION FOR QUO WARRANTO; OTHER RELIEF AS DEEMED APPROPRAITE BY THIS COURT AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PERMANENT RECEIVER AND/OR PERMANENT INJUNCTION SHOULD NO

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO ATTORNEYS OF RECORD MERRICK GARLAND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL:

TAKE NOTICE that **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) **PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT)** "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER

EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S) on Date: TBA, at Time: TBA, or as soon after that times as the matter can be heard, in Courtroom #2 of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia located at 333 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001 FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER DECLARTORY JUDGMENT AND BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY; DAMAGES; PUNATIVE DAMAGES; RESTITUTION; ALL COUNTS; APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE; FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY; APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROSECUTORS; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL; ADJUDICATION OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL CONTEMPT OF COURT AND/OR CONGRESS; PETITION FOR PREEMATORY WRIT OF MANDUMUS AND PROHIBITION, PETITION FOR PREMATORY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; WRIT OF BODY (NATIONAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF COMMITMENTS); GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS; WRIT OF PROPERTY ATTACHMENTS; PREEMATORY WRIT OF EXPUNGMENT; EXECUTION IMMEDIATE STAY OF ENFORCEMENT WRIT OF EXECUTION, WRIT OF POSSESSION , SEQUESTER, WRIT OF REVELIN, PROTECTIVE , PETITION AND BILL FOR IMPEACHMENT; PETITION FOR QUO WARRANTO; OTHER RELIEF AS DEEMED APPROPRAITE BY THIS COURT AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PERMANENT RECEIVER AND/OR PERMANENT INJUNCTION SHOULD NO I Sharon Bridgewater on behalf disposes and State: An actual constroversy exist between the “United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General” and/or Class Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners and Loretta Lynch Jr. and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) is ripe

STATEMENT OF FACTS

THE PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CLAIMANT(S) RELIES ON ONE OR MORE FIRST AMENDED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT, INFORMATION, GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS, ETC. FILED CONCURRENTLY AND/OR INCORPORATES COMPLAINT AS FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

TAKE NOTICE that **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the Distri ct of Columbia, the Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern

Marianna Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS), Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)

will bring the motion for hearing before this court on Date: TBA, at Time: TBA, or as soon after that times as the matter can be heard, in Courtroom #2 of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia located at 333 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER DECLARTORY JUDGMENT AND BURIFICATION FOR BI-LATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE; LIABILITY; DAMAGES; PUNATIVE DAMAGES; RESTITUTION; ALL COUNTS; APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY RECEIVER; ASSET FREEZE; FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY; APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROSECUTORS; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL; ADJUDICATION OF CIVIL OR CRIMINAL CONTEMPT OF COURT AND/OR CONGRESS; PETITION FOR PREEMATORY WRIT OF MANDUMUS AND PROHIBITION, PETITION FOR PREMATORY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; WRIT OF BODY (NATIONAL AND/OR INTERNATIONAL ARREST WARRANTS AND/OR OF COMMITMENTS); GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS; WRIT OF PROPERTY ATTACHMENTS; PREEMATORY WRIT OF EXPUNGMENT; EXECUTION IMMEDIATE STAY OF ENFORCEMENT WRIT OF EXECUTION, WRIT OF POSSESSION , SEQUESTER, WRIT OF REVELIN, PROTECTIVE , PETITION AND BILL FOR IMPEACHMENT; PETITION FOR QUO WARRANTO; OTHER RELIEF AS DEEMED APPROPRAITE BY THIS COURT AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PERMANENT RECEIVER AND/OR PERMANENT INJUNCTION SHOULD NO I Sharon Bridgewater on behalf disposes and State: An actual constriversity exist between the "United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General" and/or Class Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners and Loretta Lynch Jr. and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) is ripe

STATEMENT OF FACTS

INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS RELIES ON COMPLAINT
FILED CONCURRENTLY AND/OR INCORPORATES COMPLAINT AS FULLY SET
FORTH HEREIN

CLASS DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE
JOE BIDEN IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND/OR
INDIVIDUALLY, KAMALA HARRIS IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS VICE
PRESIDENT AND/OR INDIVIDUALLY,

I. Principles applicable to class certification.

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

(1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department and agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).

Rule 23(a)(2) “requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed to or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)¹⁵ - Predominates

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. “The writers of Rule 23 intended that subsection (b)(2) foster institutional reform by facilitating suits that challenge widespread rights violations of conspiracies to defraud the USA. Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for the class as a whole. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction “state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail ... the act or acts restrained or reined.”

Second, cohesiveness also requires that class members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

¹⁵because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money requiring injunctive relief.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)¹⁶ Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

Bifurcation

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Representative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which requires declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) e.table in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening

¹⁶because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for e.table relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURCATION

1.Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Rackeeteered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phase would determine Rackeeteered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

Class members and subclasses

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
1. Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group Prisoner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual capacities.
2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.
3. All US Citiznes who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Surviellence(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc.) NSA SURVELIENCE who 4th via 5th US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.
4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare(intentional mispresentation by Obama-"if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan" and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.
5. All small minority business owners , member of race, class based "discriminatory animus" engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce
6. All Person who were harmed by "TARGET STORES" SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breach by Holders acts or omission or failure to protect
- 7.. All Taxpayers who are victims of tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and

were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect taxpayers.

8.. Guantomo Bay Prisoners and/or other prisoner the class Plaintiff representative who are subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will.

And an amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), and award(certify)a judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants to refrain from continued damage to the class plaintiff members or class plaintiff representative. Obama, Eric Holder, et all and/or the Class Representative Defendant to pay the the Class Plaintiffs and/or the Class Plaintiff representative in the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase determine the measure to remove from employment arrest the Defendant, grant the Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Six to show cause ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF WARRANTO QUO, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF MANDUMUS(CONSOLIDATE CASES, REMOVE NEGATIVE INFORMATION EXPUNGE, DELETE ALL NEGATIVE) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF HABEAS AND/OR ORDER A HABEAS PETITION, OUST HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

II

1.Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in seven phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Eric Holder and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoes) (and predessor) and Shawn Donovan or Kathleen Sebius Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury AND HAVE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY for the years of 1993 thru to 2021(27 years) and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Dovanan, and Kathleen Sebius and SUBMITTED FALSE CLAIMS FOR 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 AND 2014 AND CAUSED DAMAGE TO

THE US TREASURY. The second phase would request this court to declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predecessor) and all class Defendants submission of federal false claims to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The third phase IS JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMAGES THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for federal false claims submitted federal false claims for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 52.5 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false claims to pay and freeze asset and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predecessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

II

The first phase Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Shawn Donovan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predecessor) acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt acts of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predecessors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and

continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. The second phase would request this court to declare that Shawn Dovan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for submission of federal false claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR federal false claims HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS RECOVERIES QUI TAM QUI TAM RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. The Fourth phase is for injunctive relief for the USA ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY and/or Order account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery award to Bridgewater IN THE FORM OF AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase ORDER AND/OR GRANT THE Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Sixth is to order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he could not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

Declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class DAMAGES CAUSED. Grant the Class Defendants for damages Rackeeteered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

1. Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group Prisoner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual

capacities.

2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.
3. All US Citizens who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Surveillance (by internet provider companies and organizations such as Google, Microsoft, etc.) NSA SURVEILLANCE who 4th via 5th US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.
4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare (intentional misrepresentation by Obama—"if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan" and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.
5. All small minority business owners, member of race, class based "discriminatory animus" engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Interstate Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce

6. All Person who were intentional false representatives attempts harmed by CORONAVIRUS VACCINE BY ONE OR MORE MODERNA, PFIZER,

acts or omission or failure to protect

HOMELESS AND/OR LOST THEIR HOMES DUE TO THE CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC

ALL UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN STUDENTS, FACULTY AND STAFF THAT WERE FORCED TO GET THE CORONAVIRUS VACCINE IN ORDER TO ATTEND SCHOOL

HOMELESS AND FORCE TO MOVE,

REFUGEES FORCE TO RELOCATE

7. ALL WOMEN violence against women, including coerced abortions and involuntary sterilizations, in the name of **population control** (WHO WERE FORCED TO GET AN ABORTION BY THEIR COUNTRY LEADER ESPIC, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO CHINA

were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect taxpayers.

- 8.. Guantamo Bay Prisoners and/or other prisoner the class Plaintiff representative who are subjected to cruel and unusual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will.

JUDGMENT FOR CLASS PLAINTIFFS IN THE amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars (treble damages), (certify) a judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants in the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court, remove THE DEFENDANTS from employment arrest the Defendant, Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the

complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) ORDER A WRIT OF MANDUMUS ISSUE A WRIT OF HABEAS PETITION, OUST OBAMA AND/OR HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, RACKETEERING 19

II

1. Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Eric Holder and/or Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrones) (and predecessor) and Shawn Donovan or Kathleen Sebelius Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt acts of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371 or adopted the acts of his predecessors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury AND HAVE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY for the years of 1993, thru to 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014. and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Donovan, and Kathleen Sebelius and SUBMITTED FALSE CLAIMS FOR 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 AND 2014 AND CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY AND declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder (Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrones) (and predecessor) and all class Defendants submission of federal false claims to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the DECLARE THE Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The third phase JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMAGES THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for federal false claims submitted federal false claims for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 (treble damages) in the amount of 200 52.5 Trillion Dollars (treble damages), (certify) a judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false claims, ISSUE A ASSET FREEZE AND ANY OTHER RELIEF as deem proper and just by this court. Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo

Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soerthos) (and predecessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

RICO-125 TRILLION DOLLARS WITHHELD FROM THE PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER THE ORIGINAL

II

Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Shawn Donovan Shawn Donovan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soerthos) (and predecessor) acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predecessors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. Declare that Shawn Donovan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for submission of federal false claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third IS FOR JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR federal false claims HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS RECOVERIES QUI TAM QUI TAM RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY THE UNITED STATES AND/OR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF account for all gains, profits, per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery TO CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE BRIDGEWATER THE ORIGINAL SOURCE IN

AND AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT THE COMMITTEE immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Order to show cause Writ of Quo Warrantor, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order and/or

OTHER RELIEF ORDER THE DEFENDANT T CONCLUSION

The Plaintiff are entitled to declartory Judgment, money damages, A preliminary injunction is warranted where the moving party has shown that: (1) it is likely to succeed on the merits of its claim; (2) it is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of a preliminary injunction; (3) the balance of the e.ties tips in favor of the moving party; and (4) an injunction is in the public interest. Here in this case all of the above apply the Plaintiff Bridgewater brings this complaint on behalf of the United States of America and the USA is likely to succeed on the merits and further when the USA request a injunction it is not an option, the court must issue an injunction.(2) the USA and/or the Intervening Plaintiff suffer irreparable harm, continued damage the absence of a injunction (3) the balance tip in the Favor of the United States of America et al(4) The injunction is in the interest of the public. No Bond is re.red of the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater and/or the US Committee Oversight House of Representatives.

For the reasons stated above, The United States of America et al motion for class certification, declartoray and injunctive relief should be granted and other relief as request in this motion should be granted. The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or . Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR are clearly entitled to declatory and/or injunctive relief. The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or . Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATORpossesses a clearly ascertainable right that is in need of protection, Defendants needs to be enjoin from further violating and conspiring to defraud the USA, its Deparments, and agencies, (b) the plaintiff has a likelihood of success on the merits, (c) the plaintiff will suffer irreparable harm if the Premilary Injunction is not granted, and (d) the plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming EX REL Sharon Bridgewater . Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and/or Private Attorney General and/or The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater, those similarly situated, and one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc. a California Corporation, INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONER Son behalf of myself, those similarly situated, to certify the USA as Plaintiff and/or to certify A CLASS WHICH includes: CLASS MEMBER DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC HOLDER Eric Holder Jr, and/or Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrones) (and predecessor), owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, casual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proprietorship, syndicated or other legal, individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, casual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own, control, operate, manage firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affiliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proprietorship, and/or the International Community which provides that one who 1) have some sort of fee-based or similar relationship; 2) have an established duty either fixed and/or not fixed arising from an express or implied, contract; 3) use, or cause to be used, by the Government or accept government property as security, from a government officer or employee; 4) is an or licensor-licensee; 5) or are current or former persons who have a duty or obligation under a statute or regulation; 6) Or have an obligations fixed and definite at the time and/or which included fixed and/or contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods and/or including contingent obligations such as, “yet fixed,” and/or fixed term ‘obligation’ now defined under new Section 3729(b)(3) and includes fixed and contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods.... defined to the instance where there is a relationship between the Government and a person that results in a duty to pay the Government money, whether or not the amount owed is yet fixed with presents, or causes to be presented, claim for payment or Approval to the US Government; 7) makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, record or statement material to claim; delivers, or causes to be delivered, money or property; 8) or are authorized to make or deliver a document certifying receipt of property used, or to be used, by the Government makes or delivers the receipt buys, or receives as a pledge of

an obligation or debt, public property from an officer or employee of the Government; 9) Are member of the Armed Forces who knowingly conceals or otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duties, directly or indirectly present claims to the federal government or department or agency and that as DEFINED IN 3729(a)(1)(G); 10) Submits budgets; 11) Submits for payment or reimbursement a claim known to be false or fraudulent; 13) Makes or uses a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim or to an 'obligation' to pay money to the government; 14) engage in a conspiracy to defraud by the improper submission of a false claim; 15) Conceals, improperly avoid or decreases an 'obligation' to pay money to the government; 16) are recipients under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") and the Recovery Act or Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act (FERA) which to include Toxic Asset Recovery Program ("SIGTARP"), who has auditing, investigative and criminal referral authority over every recipient and participant in TARP funding programs, including, not only the Department of Treasury's capital enhancement funding activities, but entities created under the Term Asset-Backed Loan Program ("TALF"): the Legacy Loan Program and the Legacy Security Program under the Public-Private Investment Program ("PPIP"); 17) Private Mortgage Lending (Under the Criminal Code "financial institution" in 18 U.S.C. §20) or a mortgage lending business, as defined (in the Federal False Claims Act new provision, 18 U.S.C. §27 or "an organization which finances or refinances debt secured by an interest in real estate, including private mortgage companies and any subsidiaries," whose "activities affect interstate commerce." private mortgage firms and their affiliates, or were persons who makes or made false statements in loan and credit applications as defined in 18 U.S.C. §1014, made false statements made to influence an action by a mortgage lending businesses which extend beyond its current reach of frauds affecting federal agencies, banks and credit unions to include those affecting private mortgage brokers and companies. 18) Persons under TARP, Stimulus Programs Under the Criminal Fraud Statute as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1031, which prohibited fraud against the United States, and include both funds received under the various TARP programs and funding under the Recovery Act. Commodities Fraud Added to the Criminal Securities Fraud Statute. 19) Individuals or person as defined in securities criminal fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1348) involving commodities options or futures, which the Committee report notes have included derivatives and other financial products that contributed to the current financial crisis or money laundering statute which suggested that "proceeds" of unlawful activity included only actual profit, and which definition of "proceeds" includes the entire gross receipts of illegal activity, not just the "profit" component. 20) persons where movement of money across international borders in furtherance of tax evasion and subject to money laundering statute; 21) to conceal, avoid, or decrease a payment obligation to the government, expanding the current provision that reaches only the making, use, or causing the use of a false record. "Obligations" of the government are now defined to include not only fixed but also contingent obligations, codifying existing Department of Justice policy 22) entered into a conspiracy or conspiracies to violate or to get a false claim paid or "knowing" submitted a false claim, or used of a false statement or record in making a claim or in reducing an amount otherwise owed, or retention of money due and owing to the government or "Knowing", with respect to information, with actual knowledge that the information is false, or with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information – and with No specific intent to defraud the USA government. 23) Request or demand, whether under a contract or otherwise for money or property and whether or not the United States has title to the money or property" presented directly to the United States, or (2) "to a contractor, grantee, or other

recipient, money or property is to be spent or used on the Government's behalf or to advance a Government program or interest" and the government provides or reimburses portion of the requested funds; and a false statement was "material to" a false claim without intent; 24) Submitted false claims under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (health reform bill or PPACA)¹⁷; had an "obligation" under the FCA to include "retention of any overpayments." 25) makes, or made improper solicits, or solicited receive or receives or received , offer, offered or offers or pay, paid or pays remuneration (monetary or otherwise) in exchange for referring patients to receive certain services that are paid for by the government; 26) submit claims or submitted claims in violation of the AKS automatically constitute false claims for purposes of the FCA; 27) person that did not not have actual knowledge ... or specific intent to commit a violation" The Statutory Anti-Kickback Liability - Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b) (AKS) " a criminal statute" of the AKS and can not successfully argue that they did not know they were violating the FCA because they were not aware the AKS existed. Part II and 1) dishonestly obtain a benefit 2) deceive or commit other means and behaviors that are deemed fraudulants 3) theft 4) obtain property or a financial advantage or any other Benefit by deception 5) Created a loss or avoid or create a liability by deception 5) provide false or misleading information to the make or use or possess forged or falsified documents; 6) one who commit bribery, abuse public office 6) Bill for goods and services that were never delivered or Rendered 7) Double bill or charging more than once for the same goods or Service 8) Bill for marketing, lobbying or other non-contract related corporate activities 9) Submitting false service records or samples in order to show better-than-actual performance 10) Present broken or untested e.pment as operational and Tested 11) Shift expenses from one fixed-price contract to another 12) Illegal marketing of prescription drugs and devices through Kickback 13) Billing for non-FDA approved drugs or devices 14) Illegal drug smuggling of cocaine, heroin and other illegal Drug 15) Perform inappropriate or unnecessary medical procedures in order to increase Medicare reimbursement 16) Billing for work or tests not perform 17) Bill for premium e.pment but actually providing inferior E.pment 18) Automatically running a lab test whenever the results of some other test fall within a certain range, even though the second 19) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit overt act 20) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private

¹⁷Changes to the Public Disclosure Bar in which previous versions of the FCA, cases filed by private individuals or "QUI TAM RELATORS" could be barred if it was determined that such cases were based on a public disclosure of information arising from certain proceedings, such as civil, criminal or administrative hearings, or news media reports. The amended PPACA amendment now provides that "the court shall not dismiss an action if opposed by the Government, if substantially the same allegations or transaction alleged in the action or claim were publicly disclosed and; 2) Provides A plaintiff may overcome the public disclosure bar outlined above if they qualify as an "original source," the definition of which has also been revised by PPACA(Previously, an original source must have had "direct and independent knowledge of the information on which the allegations are based)." Under PPACA, an original source is now someone who has "knowledge that is independent of and materially adds to the publicly disclosed allegations or transactions." See 31 U.S.C. 3730(e)(4)

persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit human rights violations, crimes against humanity, war crimes, acts of aggression against nationalities, origin and ethnic groups, 21) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental and commit genocide against national, origin and ethnic group 22) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire murder US Citizens without due process of law 23) person(s) who commit predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961 , ALLEGE ALL DEFENDANT NAMES

24)persons who limit competition and engage in fix pricing 25)Bid Rigging 26) illegal Agreements in restraint of trade 27)Market division or customer allocation 28)Group Boycotts(29)illegal agreements among competitors (30)illegal agreements with foreign Government, their agencies and Instrumentalities(31) illegal monopolies or conspiracy to monopolize(32) test was not specifically requested(33) Defective testing – and certifying that something has passed a test, when in fact it has not (34)Lick and stick" prescription rebate fraud and "marketing the spread" prescription fraud, both of which involve lying to the government about the true wholesale price of prescription drugs(35) Unbundling - Submitting multiple billing codes instead of one billing code for a drug panel test in order to increase remuneration(36) Bundling -- billing more for a panel of tests when a single test was asked for(37)Upcoding - Inflating bills by using diagnosis billing codes that suggest a more expensive illness or treatment(38)Billing for brand billing for brand-named drugs when generic drugs are actually provided(39)Phantom employees and doctored time slips: charging for employees that were not actually on the job, or billing for made-up hours in order to maximize reimbursement(40) Upcoding employee work: billing at doctor rates for work that was actually conducted by a nurse or resident intern(41)Falsifying natural resource production records -- pumping, mining or harvesting more natural resources from public lands that is actually reported to the government(42)Being over-paid by the government for sale of a good or service, and then not reporting that overpayment(43)Misrepresenting the value of imported goods or their country of origin for tariff purposes(44)False certification that a contract falls within certain guidelines (i.e. the contractor is a minority or veteran)(45) Billing in order to increase revenue instead of billing to reflect actual work performed(46) Failing to report known product defects in order to be able to continue to sell or bill the government for the product(47)Billing for research that was never conducted and/or falsifying research (48) data that was paid for by the U.S. government(49) Winning a contract through kickbacks or bribes(50) Prescribing a medicine or recommending a type of treatment or diagnosis regimen in order to win kickbacks from hospitals, labs, or pharmaceutical companies(51) Billing for unlicensed or unapproved drugs(52) Forging physician signatures when such signatures are re-used for reimbursement from Medicare or Medicaid (53)Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons discriminate against racial, ethnic or religious groups commit overt acts of aggression, crimes against humanity, civil rights violations, torture, terrorist attacks, (54) Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons receive illegal campaign contributions, gratuity, violate the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, etc.55) Interfere and/or restrain commerce; 56) Short-changes the government on the transfer of funds or other property or issues false government receipt; 57) Purchase government property or accept government property as security from a government

officer or employee or member of the armed forces who has no authority to sell or pledge the property or obligation; 58) two or more persons that conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for purposes which prohibits conspiracies to defraud the United States or creates a separate offense from the "offense clause" in Section 371.59) persons who committed acts or omissions as defined in 18 USC section 371 language is very broad, and any conspiracy for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful function of any department of government or any conspiracy which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially accorded in the way and at the time required by law or departmental regulation; 60) or person(s) involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of section 1956, 1957 or 1960 of this title, or any property traceable to such property within the jurisdiction of the United States, constituting, derived from or traceable to, any proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from a offense against a foreign nation, or and property used to facilitate such an offense, which (i) involves trafficking in nuclear, chemical, biological, or radiological weapons technology or material, or the manufacture, importation, sale, or distribution of a controlled substance (as that term is defined for purposes of the Controlled Substances Act), or any other conduct described in section 1956(c)(7)(B); or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of section 215, 471, 472, 473, 474, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 485, 486, 487, 488, 501, 502, 510, 542, 545, 656, 657, 670, 842, 844, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1014, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1032, or 1344 of this title or any offense constituting "specified unlawful activity" (as defined in section 1956(c)(7) of this title), or a conspiracy to commit such offense or which represents or is traceable to the gross receipts obtained, directly or indirectly, from a violation of— (i) section 666(a)(1) (relating to Federal program fraud); (ii) section 1001 (relating to fraud and false statements); (iii) section 1031 (relating to major fraud against the United States); (iv) section 1032 (relating to concealment of assets from conservator or receiver of insured financial institution); (v) section 1341 (relating to mail fraud); or (vi) section 1343 (relating to wire fraud), and violation relates to the sale of assets accorded or held by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as conservator or receiver for a financial institution, or any other conservator for a financial institution appointed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency or the National Credit Union Administration, as conservator or liquidating agent for a financial institution and committed for the purpose of executing or attempting to execute any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent statements, pretenses, representations or promises, or individual, entity, or organization or persons engaged in planning or perpetrating any Federal crime of terrorism (as defined in section 2332b(g)(5) against the United States, citizens or residents of the; 61) Insider trading; 62) individual any means to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest 63) Public Officials holding office failure to do legal duties or obligations as Government Official and/or how do illegal acts or omissions as public officials 64) Public Official who act in their private persons and/or participation and abuse of the United States Judicial System (legal system- US Supreme Courts, Appeals Courts, US Federal, State courts) as a weapon to retaliate against the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater Class representative and members and other Whistle blowers and/or victims to silence our speech in violation of our 12th US Constitutional Civil Rights (RICO Predicate Act); 65) Public Officials who act in their private

persons who commit wrongful discriminatory practices; 66)Public Officials who act in their private persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or who practice unlawful customs policies and procedures as police officers, Sgt., etc.; 67) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to prosecute “wall street” individuals, corporations, banks, etc. for the major fraud committed against the USA and failed to ; 68) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to protect consumers from fraud and identity theft and failed to protect US consumers from fraud and identity theft; 69) Public officials who have a legal duty to maintain DOJ records and the DOJ Data base and failed to ; 70) Public Official who have a legal duties to protect US citizens from, unlawful custom polices and procedures and failed to;71)Public official acting in their individual capacity and committed overt acts or omission and/or one of the following drug trafficking, extortion, a racketeering, and large-scale organized drug networks, including but not limited to murder, genocide, kidnapping, dealing in obscene matter, acts of bribery, theft, embezzlement, fraud, dealing in obscene matter, obstruction of justice, which constitute a Continuing Criminal Enterprise (trafficking of cocaine, heroin, methamphetamine, and marijuana); the illegal gun trafficking, and related offenses; 73)persons or individuals who hold office as a government official both foreign and domestic who fail to prevent violence among women and crimes among their citizens; 74) Public official who had a legal duty or obligation and failed to protect US citizens, and taxpayers from identity theft of tax filing at the Internal Revenue Service –accessing Consumers information and filing taxes to obtain money via theft; 75) Public Officials who failed to protect Consumers from Identity theft such as the Target Stores Security Breach; 76) Person, corporations and/or companies who use abuse, illegal spying and use surveillance in violation of the Intervening and/or Petitioners 4th via 5th US Constitutional rights by internet companies; 77)Person, etc who wrongful torture of prisoners and/or the unlawfully holding of prisoners in Guantanamo Bay against their will and/or the class Plaintiff Bridgewater ; 78)Persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or the continued conspiracies to defraud Class Plaintiff Representative and/or the USA ; 78) Person who perpetrate, plan or commit unlawful acts of war crimes, genocide, crimes against humanity, ie Class member Defendants 79)persons, foreign individual etc. who conspires to defraud the US Government, its Departments, and agencies as defined in 18 section 371 and violations of Federal and/or State or international laws80)Public Official who hold Office WHO USE OTHERS SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS and/or who are not US Citizens and/or are illegal immigrants; 81)Persons who have a US/UK military defense agreement and who prepartate, plan to commit overt acts against and/or overt acts and/or omissions against Women both domestic and foreign who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group Prisoner and victims of war crimes, terrorist attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman , treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current; 82)Persons who commit overt acts or omissions against all US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships; 83) Persons, who who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Surveillance(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc.) NSA SURVEILLANCE who 4th via 5th US Constitutional due process civil rights are violated; 84)Persons who have been harmed US Citizens by the Statement and misrepresentation “if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan”; 85) Persons who have committed overt acts or omissions against all small minority business owners, member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce; 86) Perosn who have committed overt acts or omissions against all person Whistleblowers now and

in future; 87) Persons who had a legal duty or obligation to protect consumers from security breaches and failed to protect Consumers against security breaches such as the "TARGET STORES" SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breaches; 88) Person who had a legal duty or obligation to protect all taxpayers against tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud and failed to 89) Person who torture, prisoner, subject prisoner to cruel and usual punishment such as in Guantamo Bay Prisoner who are subjected to cruel and unusual punishment, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will and/or the Class Plaintiffs; 90) Person or individuals who impair, obstruct or defeat the lawful function of the department of government or conspiracies which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially accorded in the way and at the time required by law or departmental regulation; and to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest who holds legal or beneficial interest in property and/or Defrauded the USA, its Departments or agencies from 1993 thru to present; 91) AND FOR OTHER DECLARATORY AND/OR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AS DEEM FIT AND JUST BY THIS COURT and DECLARE that Eric Holder and/or Obama did act in joint participation with agent, directors etc of Corporations, and/or AIG et al did receive any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, or did use or invest, directly or indirectly, part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce; did through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce; was employed by or associated with a enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, and/or did conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt or did conspire to violate the above 1, 2 or 3 and/or did commit overt and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies. Declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief. JUDGMENT TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT VIA DAMAGES OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES PER Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members), committed against class members all class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) in the amount of 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), and liability(certify)a judgment for the USA. ENJOIN THE Defendants from withholding monies now due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order Obama, Eric Holder, et al and/or the Class Representative Defendant AND require THE DEFENDANTS to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived from their several acts of racketeering activity in violation of the RICO and from all other violation(s) of applicable State and federal law(s) and/or federal false claims to pay the USA et al via, asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee

immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) ISSUE AND order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office (Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrioes) (and predecessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and ISSUE A Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantanamo Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

RICO CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD/ UNCOVERED US TREASURY FUNDS

II

1. Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Eric Holder and/or Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrioes) (and predecessor) and Shawn Donovan or Kathleen Sebius Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt acts of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371 or adopted the acts of his predecessors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 that is not support by one or more of Compendia ; and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Dovanan, and Kathleen Sebius. Declare that Eric Holder (Class Representative Defendant and/or members) and/or Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrioes) (and predecessor) and all class Defendants is liable for submission of federal false claims to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or for declaratory relief. JUDGMENT AND CERTIFY THE AWARD FOR federal false claims treasury damages via Barak H. Obama (AKA Barry Soertrioes) (and predecessor) , submitted federal false claims for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 (treble damages) in the amount of 52.5 Trillion Dollars (treble damages),. ENJOIN THE Defendants from withholding monies now due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order Obama, Eric Holder, et al and/or the Class Representative Defendant to be re.re by law to account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false claims to pay the USA et al via, asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department

of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Issue and order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he could not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoes) (and predecessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and GRANT A Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantanamo Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

AND

FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS – UNLAWFUL WITHHELD FROM THE PLAINTIFF
SHARON BRIDGEWATER THE ORIGINAL SOURCE 2.4 BILLION DOLLARS HUD
RECOVERIES

II

Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of Federal False Claims of Shawn Donovan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoes) (and predecessor) acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt acts of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predecessors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia . Declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants is liable for submission of federal false claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. CERTIFY A JUDGMENT FOR federal false claims HUD for 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and certify a recovery award of 10% to the Plaintiff Bridgewater as the original source to Class Plaintiff Bridgewater in the amount of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for the USA. Enjoin Defendants from withholding monies now due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false claims to pay the USA et al via, 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery award to Bridgewater and the United States of America via an asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory

and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Issue and order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) (and predecessor)), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, GRANT A Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam Qui Tam QUI TAM RELATOR and issue an order.

(“AN ANTI-TRUST INJURY – DAMAGES NEED NOT BE AN EXACT AMOUNT ONLY AN ESTIMATE)”

CLASS CERTIFICATION II

ARGUMENT

CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE
SHARON BRIDGEWATER – PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

I BEING DULY SWORN DISPOSES AND STATE I hereby notify the clerk that I Sharon Bridgewater am appearing pro se. The **prosecutor** performs a valuable function in reinforcing the notion that a crime is an offense against the state.

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

Dated this day of _____, 2014,

Clerk of the Court

I Being duly sworn disposes and state: I am authorized to prosecute the offenses on behalf of the **THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming**[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] **EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR(VIA THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT) "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES AND/OR THE PEOPLE OF THE "50 STATES" AND/OR HUMANITY**[FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- (WITH AUTHORITY EQUAL TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, on behalf of myself, my son and those similarly situated VICTIMS) IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities), one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business-dissolved businesses Health Necessities and Accessories

Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries(a non-profit organization-501(C)(3) TAX-EMEMPT STATUS MALICIOUSLY REVOKED IN RETALIATION ON THE ACCOUNT THAT S. BRIDGEWATER EXERCISED HER U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS) and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater - Real parties in interest CLAIMANT(S) AND/OR PLAINTIFF(S)I/we approve this complaint.

Executed this _____ day of _____, 2014 in Detroit, Michigan.

Respectfully submitted

By: Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company,
Its Managing Member and/or Founder

By: Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation,
Its President and/or Chief Executive Office(C.E.O.) and/or Founder

By: Bridgewater & Company Inc. a California Corporation
Its President and/or Chief Executive Office(C.E.O.) and/or Founder and/or Officer

By: B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation

By: The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands) a 501-C-3 Non-profit Organization

Its Founder and Executive Director

By:

Name: Sharon Bridgewater and/or James S.

Bridgewater

Title: President and/or Chief Executive
Officer(C.E.O.) and/or Founder
and/or Managing Member and/or
Officer and/or Executive Director
Counsel for the above companies
12070 W. Outer Drive

Detroit, MI48223

Sbridge11@yahoo.com

Sharon Bridgewater individually
Counsel for the Plaintiffs – Pro Se
Petitioner12070 W. Outer Drive
Detroit, MI48223

1-248-688-6175

Sbridge11@yahoo.com

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE:_____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR RELATOR
PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED
AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT – ACTING
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL IN FACT
P.O. BOX 19631
Detroit, MI 48219
1-269-274-3174
Sharonbridgewater777@aol.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me this ____ day of _____, 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC or other person
authorized to administer an oath

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

Subscribed and sworn before the Undersigned this ____ day of _____, 2013

Notary Public/ AND OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Signature

Being Authorized to prosecute the offenses on behalf of the United States of America “ex Rel” I Sharon Bridgewater, , “ex rel.” on behalf of the “United States” approve this complaint. RESERVE THE RIGHT TO AMEND THIS COMPLAINT UPON DISCOVERY.

Date: _____

Prosecuting Private Attorney .
Federal Witness and/or Victim of Crime
and Potential U.S. House of

Sharon Bridgewater, B.A., Complainant,

Representative or Senator

• .
Sharon Bridgewater
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219

**COMMON LAW COURT OF “THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT”
AND THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES”**

IN RE: CASE # CGC-08-478207

“INTERNATIONAL/GLOBAL”
**TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING
ORDER,
PRELIMINALR
Y INJUNCTION
WRIT**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas,
Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,

Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," "THE U.S. MARSHAL" Real parties in interest CLAIMANT AND PLAINTIFF (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)[AKA“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER”]

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

(AKA HAYES VALLEY APARTMENTS II L.P.)

McCormack Baron Ragon Management Service Inc.

(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar) MBA Urban Development,

The Related Companies of California, INC.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership, American International Group INC.

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

THE FEDERAL RESERVE, JACOB ROTHCHILD,

MICROSOFT, TELS, FACEBOOK(META), TWITTER

Jorge Mario Bergoglio(A.K.A. Pope Francis) U.S. Supreme Court Justices Stephen Breyer in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Sonia Sotomayor in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Elena Kagan in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Amy Coney Barrett her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Clarence Thomas in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually(and/or “all Justices in the United States employed by the United States Government”), Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and/or individually[All Presidents in their official capacities and/or individually from Jan. 1, 1993 thru to Biden’s term including, George H. Bush, William Jefferson Clinton, George W. Bush, Barak H. Obama, Donald Trump and his successor(s)) (and those operating under his direction), Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General(and those operating under his direction including but not limited to all law enforcement officers in the United States), “all Democrats in their official capacities and/or individually” and/or “all Republicans in their official capacities and individually,” Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President and/or individually,(INCORPORATION OF LIST OF CORPORATE DEFENDANTS ATTACHED AS EXH.

OIL AND GAS COMPANIES ATTACHED AS EXH.

COV-19 PARMACHETICAL COMPANIES ATTACHED ATTACH AS EXH.

INJUNCTION WRIT

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO: JOE BIDEN in his Official Capacity as United
States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.20500, et al

GREETINGS:

WHEREAS, in the Superior Court of the State of California County of San Francisco, San Francisco Judicial District on_____the Court entered this judgment in the above entitled cause directing among other things that you and each of you be enjoined and restrained as prayed for in the affidivant filed in said cause agains you.

NOW THIS IS TO COMMAND That you, your agents, employees, associates, seervant and those in active concert with you and each of you do absolutely desist and reframe from acting in joint participation with Vladmir Putin and other “enemies” of the United States(committing Treasonous acts) and racketeering activity until otherwise ordered by this Honorable Court. Hereof fail not, under the penalty of what the law directs.

TO THE “NEWLY APPOINTED MARSHAL” APPOINTED BY
“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER” OF THE STATE OF
MICHIGAN AND/OR WAYNE OR WASHTENAW COUNTY, TO EXECUTE,
AND RETURN IN DUE FORM OF LAW.

WITNESS, the Honorable _____, Judge of the Superior Court of
the State of California County of San Francisco, San Francisco Judicial District,
this _____day of _____in the year of our Lord_____.

Clerk

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

PUT NOTICE OF HEARING TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

**Sharon Bridgewater
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**COMMON LAW COURT OF "THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT"
AND THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES"**

INJUNCTION WRIT

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," "THE U.S. MARSHAL" Real parties in interest CLAIMANT AND PLAINTIFF (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR, ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)[AKA“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER”]

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

(AKA HAYES VALLEY APARTMENTS II L.P.)

McCormack Baron Ragon Management Service Inc.

(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar) MBA Urban Development,

The Related Companies of California, INC.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership, American International Group INC.

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

THE FEDERAL RESERVE, JACOB ROTHCHILD,

MICROSOFT, TELSA, FACEBOOK(META), TWITTER

Jorge Mario Bergoglio(A.K.A. Pope Francis) U.S. Supreme Court Justices Stephen Breyer in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Sonia Sotomayor in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Elena Kagan in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Amy Coney Barrett her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Clarence Thomas in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually(and/or “all Justices in the United States employed by the United States Government”), Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and/or individually[All Presidents in their official capacities and/or individually from Jan. 1, 1993 thru to Biden’s term including, George H. Bush, William Jefferson Clinton, George W. Bush, Barak H. Obama, Donald Trump and his successor(s)) (and those operating under his direction), Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General(and those operating under his direction including but not limited to all law enforcement officers in the United States), “all Democrats in their official capacities and/or individually” and/or “all Republicans in their official capacities and individually,” Kamala Harris in her

official capacity as Vice President and/or
individually,(INCORPORATION OF LIST OF CORPORATE
DEFENDANTS ATTACHED AS EXH.
OIL AND GAS COMPANIES ATTACHED AS EXH.
COV-19 PARMACHETICAL COMPANIES ATTACHED
ATTACH AS EXH.

INJUNCTION WRIT

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO: JOE BIDEN in his Official Capacity as United
States President and individually

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.20500, et al

GREETINGS:

WHEREAS, in the Superior Court of the State of California County of San Francisco, San Francisco Judicial District on_____the Court entered this judgment in the above entitled cause directing among other things that you and each of you be enjoined and restrained as prayed for in the affidivant filed in said cause agains you.

NOW THIS IS TO COMMAND That you, your agents, employees, associates, severvant and those in active concert with you and each of you do absolutely desist and reframe from acting in joint participation with Vladmir Putin and other “enemies” of the United States(committing Treasonous acts) and racketeering activity until otherwise ordered by this Honorable Court. Hereof fail not, under the penalty of what the law directs.

TO THE “NEWLY APPOINTED MARSHAL” APPOINTED BY
“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER” OF THE STATE OF

MICHIGAN AND/OR WAYNE OR WASHTENAW COUNTY, TO EXECUTE,
AND RETURN IN DUE FORM OF LAW.

WITNESS, the Honorable _____, Judge of the Superior Court of
the State of California County of San Francisco, San Francisco Judicial District,
this _____day of _____in the year of our Lord_____.

Clerk

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

C

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE OF REAL PROPERTY

**TO: JOE BIDEN, MERRICK GARLAND, U.S. SUPREME
COURT JUSTICE ROBERTS, KAMALA HARRIS,**

A Civil Complaint seekin forfeiture pursuant to 21 USC section 881(a)(6) and (7) and 18 981(a)(1)(A) was filed on Oct.1, 2015 in the United States District Court for the District Court of Columbia by _____ on behalf of the “United States of America, Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners against Barak H. Obama(AKA Barry Soertoos) against Real Property. The complaint alleges that said property may for the causes stated in the complaint, be condemned as forfeited to the Untied States.

In order to avoid forfeiture of Property any person claiming an interest in , or right against the property must file a verified Statement of interest or Right identifying the interest in or right against the property in the manner set forth in Rule 6(a) of the Supplemental Rule for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, except that in no event may such Statement of interest or right be filed later than 30 days after the service of the complaint, or as applicable, the date of final publication of the complaint. In addition, any person having filed such a Statement of interests or Right must also file an answer to the complaint not later than 20 days after the filing of the Statement.

Claims should be filed with the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Columbia_____, with a copy thereof set to class counsel appointed by this court.

In accordance with 18 USC 985, this Notice shall be posted on the Defendant property owner, along with a copy of the Complaint for FORFEITURE.

Respectfully submitted

I certify and/or Declare and/or state under penalty and perjury and to pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed ____ day of February 2022 in Detroit, Michigan.

DATE: _____

THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE
RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT

**Sharon Bridgewater(THE 50 STATES
EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**IN RE CASE # CGC-08-478207
ADMIRALITY AND
MARINE TIME
WARRANT AND
SUMMONS FOR
ARREST OF
ARTICLES IN REM**

**THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas,
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas,
Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts,
Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,
Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New
Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota,
Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island,
South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia,
Wisconsin, Wyoming[the Distri ct of Columbia, the
Common wealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island,
Guam, the Northern Marianna Islands, the American
Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon**

Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," "THE U.S. MARSHAL " Real parties in interest (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,)]AKA“THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT] one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business), Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater **CLAIMANT AND PLAINTIFF**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

(AKA HAYES VALLEY APARTMENTS II L.P.)

McCormack Baron Ragon Management Service Inc.

(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar) MBA Urban Development,

The Related Companies of California, INC.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership, American International Group INC.

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

THE FEDERAL RESERVE, JACOB ROTHCHILD,

MICROSOFT, TELSA, FACEBOOK(META), TWITTER,

FREDDIE MAC AND FANNIE MAE

Joe Biden in his official capacity as President of the United States

Jorge Mario Bergoglio(A.K.A. Pope Francis) U.S. Supreme Court Justices Stephen Breyer in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Sonia Sotomayor in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Elena Kagan in her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Brett Kavanaugh in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Amy Coney Barrett her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Clarence Thomas in his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually(and/or “all Justices in the United States employed by the United States Government”), Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and/or individually[All Presidents in their official capacities and/or individually from Jan. 1, 1993 thru to Biden’s term including, George H. Bush, William Jefferson Clinton, George W. Bush, Barak H. Obama, Donald Trump and his successor(s)) (and those operating under his direction), Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General(and those operating under his direction including but not limited to all law enforcement officers in the United States), “all Democrats in their official capacities and/or individually” and/or “all Republicans in their official capacities and individually,” Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President and/or individually,(INCORPORATION OF LIST OF CORPORATE DEFENDANTS ATTACHED AS EXH.

OIL AND GAS COMPANIES ATTACHED AS EXH.

**COV-19 PARMACHETICAL COMPANIES
ATTACHED ATTACH AS EXH**

ADMIRALITY AND MARINE TIME WARRANT AND SUMMONS FOR ARREST OF ARTICLES IN REM

**TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY/INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE: AND/OR ANY DULY AUTHORIZED LAW
ENFORCEMENT OFFICER:**

WHEREAS, a verified complaint and/or affidavit of forfeiture has been filed in this court on Oct. 1, 2015, in the United States District Court for the San Francisco California, , alleging that the Defendant property are subject to seizure and forfeiture to the United States pursuant to USC section 985 and/or 981 for violations of 18 USC section _____(list all forfeiture statutes)1341, 1956, and 1957.

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, HEREBY COMMANDED to arrest and seize theDefenant properties, and use discretion and whatever means appropriate to protect and amantian said defendant properties

YOU ARE, FURTHER COMMANDED to provide notice of this action to all persons thought to have an interest in or claim against the Defenant properties and that you promptly after execution of this process file the same in this court with your return thereon.

All persons claiming an interest in the Defendant properties must file a verified statement of interest with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the District of Columbia at

**333 Constitution Ave., N.W.. Washington,
DC20001, with a copy sent to the designated
class counsel for the Plaintiff and/or
Petitioner appointed by this court, pursuant
to the rule C(6) and/or G of the Supplemental**

Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims within 30 days after service of the complaint or the final date of publication, whichever is earlier, or within such additional time as may be allowed by the Court, and to serve their answer within 20 days after the filing of their claims.

**Dated At _____ this day
of _____ 2015**

CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy Clerk
By United States District Court for the
District of Columbia

NOTE: All persons asserting an interest in or claim against the Defendant properties are required to file a statement of interest in the Clerk's Office .

**EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PURUSANT TO THE RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION ACT)
P.O. Box Box 19631
Detroit, MI 48219**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

IN RE CASE # CGC-08-478207
CERTIFICATION OF ABSTRACT OF

JUDGMENT

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming[the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, The US Virgin Island, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, the American Samoa] EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL "REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 50 STATES" [FROM Jan. 1, 1993 and continuing thru present]- "THE PEOPLE OF THE 50 STATES," "THE U.S. MARSHAL" Real parties in interest (WITH THE AUTHORITY EQUAL TO "THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL" TO ACT AS PROSECUTOR AND/OR ACT AS THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY(protecting the federal judiciary, housing and managing and selling seized assets acquired by criminals through illegal activities,))[AKA"THE 50 STATES EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE RACKETEERED INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT] one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a

Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business), Health Necessities and Accessories Inc., Top Notch Motors, Two Witnesses International Ministries and/or Sharon Bridgewater and/or James Shannon Bridgewater **CLAIMANT AND PLAINTIFF**

VS.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

(AKA HAYES VALLEY APARTMENTS II L.P.)

McCormack Baron Ragon Management Service Inc.

(AKA McCormack Baron Salazar) MBA Urban Development,

The Related Companies of California, INC.

Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership, American International Group INC.

Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

THE FEDERAL RESERVE, JACOB ROTHCHILD,

MICROSOFT, TELSA, FACEBOOK(META), TWITTER,

FREDDIE MAC AND FANNIE MAE

Joe Biden in his official capacity as President of the United States

Jorge Mario Bergoglio(A.K.A. Pope Francis) **U.S. Supreme Court Justices**

Stephen Breyer in his official capacity as United States Supreme

Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in his official

capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or

individually, Sonia Sotomayor in her official capacity as United

States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Elena Kagan in

her official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or

individually, Neil Gorsuch in his official capacity as United States

Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Brett Kavanaugh in his

official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or

individually, Amy Coney Barrett her official capacity as United

States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually, Samuel Alito in

his official capacity as United States Supreme Court Justice and/or

individually, Clarence Thomas in his official capacity as United

States Supreme Court Justice and/or individually(and/or “all Justices in the United States employed by the United States Government”), Joe Biden in his official capacity as United States President and/or individually[All Presidents in their official capacities and/or individually from Jan. 1, 1993 thru to Biden’s term including, George H. Bush, William Jefferson Clinton, George W. Bush, Barak H. Obama, Donald Trump and his successor(s)) (and those operating under his direction), Merrick Garland in his official capacity as United States Attorney General(and those operating under his direction including but not limited to all law enforcement officers in the United States), “all Democrats in their official capacities and/or individually” and/or “all Republicans in their official capacities and individually,” Kamala Harris in her official capacity as Vice President and/or individually,(INCORPORATION OF LIST OF CORPORATE DEFENDANTS ATTACHED AS EXH.
OIL AND GAS COMPANIES ATTACHED AS EXH.

COV-19 PARMACHETICAL COMPANIES ATTACHED ATTACH AS EXH

CERTIFICATION OF ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT

I _____Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Columbia, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

THAT in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in civil cause of action number ____ (1), styled _____ the said _____ recovered judgment against the _____, and _____;

THAT the judgment was rendered by this Court on the _____ day of _____, a _____ the amount of _____ with interest accruing thereon at the rate of _____ percent(____%)PER ANNUM, commencing from the _____ day of _____, _____ until paid, plus cost of the suit;

THAT said judgment is entitled to the following credits:_____

WITNESS my official signature and seal of this Court, in the city of _____, State of _____ this day of _____, _____.

_____, Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
District Court of Columbia

INCORPORATE WITH THE ABOVE

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, filed this federal lawsuit in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia in Washington D.C. in which the Plaintiffs commenced a criminal investigation; known as “OPERATION FAST AND FURIOUS.” It concerned a breach of trust that left countless of innocent Mexican citizens and at least one Federal Border Patrol agent dead. In 2009, the ATF began allowing straw purchasers to walk guns into Mexico, believing that this initiative would help them track the use of firearms by higher-ups within the Mexican drug cartels. Guns instead were being seized and allowed to cross the Mexican border

On August 13, 2012, Plaintiff COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, filed this federal lawsuit in

the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia in Washington D.C. in which the Plaintiffs commenced a criminal investigation; known as “OPERATION FAST AND FURIOUS.” It concerned a breach of trust that left countless of innocent Mexican citizens and at least one Federal Border Patrol agent dead. In 2009, the ATF began allowing straw purchasers to walk guns into Mexico, believing that this initiative would help them track the use of firearms by higher-ups within the Mexican drug cartels. Guns instead were being seized and allowed to cross the Mexican border without the knowledge of the Mexican Government. This effort failed. Over strong objections of the ATF field agents, the program continued. And approximately 2,000 AK–47s and derivatives, and some .50-caliber sniper rifles and others, and 10,000 or more rounds of live ammunition went into the arsenals of the Mexican drug lords. Despite these strong objections by field agents, Operation Fast and Furious continued. And not only did it continue, but those at the highest level of ATF showed great interest in the program. A document showed that two of the most senior leaders in ATF, Acting Director Kenneth Milson and Acting Deputy Director Billy were briefed weekly on Fast and Furious. The documents showed that both Milson and Hoover were keenly interested in the case and updates. A second document showed Deputy Assistant Director for Field Operations William McMahon was so excited about Fast and Furious that he received a special briefing on the program in Phoenix scheduled a mere 45 minutes after his plane landed. A third and perhaps the most disturbing document indicated that Acting Director Milson was very much in the weeds with Operation Fast and Furious. After a detailed briefing on the program at the ATF field division, Acting Director Melson had a plethora of follow-up questions that required additional research to answer. Further documents indicated, Mr. Melson was interested even in receiving the IP address for hidden cameras located inside cooperating gun shops. With this information, Acting Director Melson was able to sit at

his desk in Washington and, himself, watch a live feed of straw buyers entering the gun stores and purchasing dozens of AK-47 variants. The Mexican Government reported that more than 34,000 lives have been lost (members of racial, religious and/or ethnic group or class of persons and members "class based or racial discriminatory animus") in the 4 1/2 years, and scores of others remain missing. Last year and/or the previous year, 1, 2 or 3 years 111 U.S. citizens and thousands of Mexicans citizens was killed, which has been the most violent year in the drug war's history, according to the U.S. State Department Senator Chuck Grassley learned about Operation Fast and Furious and they were both shocked that such a brutal and reckless, and blatantly reckless, program had ever been conceived, authorized, or executed by Federal law enforcement. The Committee and Oversight ISSUED claims an interest in property Lynch have in his possession - documents, printed, recorded, reproduced by process or written or produced by hand including, but not limited to books, records, reports, agreements, communications, including inter-department and intra department communications, correspondence, letters, telegrams, memoranda, financial statements, summaries, or records of personal conversations, tapes recordings, statistical statements, notebooks, charts, graphs, indexes, drawings, blue prints, minutes or records, or meetings, including directors meetings, minutes of conferences, drafts of any documents, and original or preliminary notes etc. in order to complete the civil and/or criminal investigations. Lynch refused to comply with one or more duly authorized subpoenas (which was properly served on his person) in order for the committee to complete its criminal or civil investigation, and since then have been held in civil or criminal contempt of congress. This court issued an order on Lynch. Lynch defied this court's order. (LYNCH ACTED OR REFUSED TO ACT). This court ordered mediation; the parties are unable to resolve their dispute. Obama took an oath of office, Before he enter on the Execution of his

Office, he shall take the following Oath or Affirmation: — "I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States." "Fast and furious" stems from "gun-running weapons" across the Mexican Boarder; the idea was that once the weapons in Mexico were traced to the straw purchasers, the smuggling network could be brought down. But the ATF lost track of more than 1,000 firearms, and some guns weren't recovered until they turned up at crime scenes, both in Mexico and, as the Terry case illustrated, the United States. Once the operation was in the public spotlight, Mexican officials and critics in the United States called the operation a failure, saying it exacerbated the [longstanding problem of U.S. weapons getting into the hands of Mexican cartels](#). Criticism was heaped on the ATF and its parent agency, the Department of Justice. Congressional committees began investigating last year, and Democrats and Republicans have been at odds over who knew what about the operation, and when.

In Operation Fast and Furious, the Department knowingly allowed firearms to be purchased illegally in the United States and then transported across the U.S.- Mexico border into Mexico for the purpose of trying to establish a nexus between leaders Mexican crime syndicates and the individual(s) who purchased the firearms. According to US and Mexican Officials the operation was a complete failure. On or about Aug. 13, 2012, the US Government Oversight committee has repeatedly requested documents to aid in the Investigation.

An estimated 1,400 weapons were lost by the ATF in Mexico. Two of the missing weapons linked to the operation turned up at the Arizona murder scene of United States Border Patrol agent Brian Terry. Whistle-blowing leads to a Congressional investigation by the Senate Judiciary Committee and the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, and

[Attorney General Loretta Lynch](#) is cited for contempt. Operation Fast and Furious was one of the operations under [Project Gunrunner](#), part of the Department of Justice's broader Southwest Border Initiative, an "inter-agency effort to combat Mexico-based trafficking groups." (DOJ) "Straw purchasers (also called straw buyers) buy firearms on behalf of others without disclosing that fact on the forms required by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms." (DOJ) The operation lasted approximately 15 months, resulting in grand jury indictments of 34 suspects in drug and firearms trafficking organizations. Operation Fast and Furious was not the first "gun walking" investigation by ATF; it was preceded by [Operation Wide Receiver](#), which began in 2006. On or about April 2006 - Official launch of Project Gunrunner. In September 2009 - Operation Fast and Furious begins, based on a review of Project Gunrunner by the ATF Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF). February 2010 - Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms agents tell the staff of Senator Charles Grassley (R-Iowa), member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, that the ATF allowed straw buyer Jaime Avila to make repeated purchases of guns after his name had been entered into a "suspect person database" on February 13, 2009. December 14, 2011 - Border patrol agent Brian Terry is killed in the Arizona desert, and two weapons the ATF allowed to be purchased earlier in 2010 by purported "straw buyer" Jaime Avila are found near the shooting scene. It is unknown whether any of the guns were used as the murder weapon. February 25, 2011 - The Department of Justice announces the end of Operation Fast and Furious, with the indictments of 34 drug and firearm trafficking suspects. March 3, 2011 - ATF Acting Director Kenneth Melson announces the formation of a panel to "review the bureau's current firearms trafficking strategies employed by field division managers and special agents." April 1, 2011 - Acting Director Melson is issued a subpoena from the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee. May 3, 2011 -

Attorney General Loretta Lynch testifies for the first time before the House Judiciary Committee that he had first heard of Operation Fast and Furious only over the past few weeks. June 2011 - Whistleblowers testify before the House Oversight committee. ATF agent John Dodson tells lawmakers, "I cannot begin to think how the risk of letting guns fall into the hands of known criminals could possibly advance any legitimate law enforcement interest." July 26, 2011 - The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee holds a second hearing. August 30, 2011 - Melson is reassigned to the Justice Department, and is replaced by B. Todd Jones. September 12, 2011 - Congressional investigators issue a subpoena for communications from Attorney General Lynch relating to the federal gunrunning operation. September 2011 - Investigators uncover memos indicating Attorney General Lynch had known about Operation Fast and Furious for close to a year, not a few weeks as he had stated in May 2011. February 7, 2012 - A federal grand jury in the District of Arizona hands up an 11-count indictment. It alleges that on December 14, 2010, five of the defendants (Manuel Osorio-Arellanes, Jesus Rosario Favela-Astorga, Ivan Soto-Barraza, Heraclio Osorio-Arellanes and Lionel Portillo-Meza) were involved in a firefight with Border Patrol agents during which Terry was fatally shot. The men are charged with first-degree murder, second-degree murder, conspiracy to interfere with commerce by robbery, attempted interference with commerce by robbery, carrying and using a firearm during a crime of violence, assault on a federal officer and possession of a firearm by a prohibited person. The indictment is unsealed on July 9th, 2012. February 8, 2012 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the Senate Judiciary Committee that, "this operation was flawed in concept, as well as in execution." On or about [December 2, 2011](#): The Justice Department withdraws its February 4 letter to Grassley, saying the letter contains inaccuracies. On February 1, 2012 - The family of ATF agent Brian Terry files a \$25 million wrongful death claim against

the United States. February 2, 2012 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee that firings and charges against Justice Department officials who oversaw Fast and Furious are likely to come in the next six months. He also denies any cover-up. June 12, 2012 - Attorney General Lynch testifies before the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary, and rejects calls for his resignation. June 20, 2012 - The House Oversight and Government Reform Committee recommends that Attorney General Lynch be cited for contempt of Congress for failing to turn over documents relating to the Fast and Furious operation. June 20, 2012 - [President Barack Obama](#) asserts executive privilege over the documents sought by the investigating committee. This prevents future prosecution of Lynch. June 28, 2012 - [The House of Representatives votes 255-67 to hold Lynch in criminal contempt.](#) This is the first time in American history that the head of the Justice Department has been held in contempt by Congress. July 31, 2012 - The first of a three-part joint staff Congressional report is released , which lays blame for the failed gun-running probe on Acting ATF Director Kenneth Melson and Deputy Director William Hoover. July 31, 2012 - ATF Deputy Director William Hoover resigns. August 13, 2012 - The House Oversight Committee files a civil lawsuit against Lynch over Operation Fast and Furious documents. September 6, 2012 - Mexican authorities arrest Leonel Sanchez Jesus Meza, wanted in the killing of Border Patrol agent Brian Terry. September 19, 2012 - Department of Justice Inspector General Michael Horowitz releases a report on the operation. The report finds 14 employees of the ATF and the Justice Department responsible for management failures. After the release, former acting ATF head Kenneth Melson retires and former Deputy Assistant Attorney General Jason Weinstein resigns. December 13, 2012 - Jaime Avila is sentenced to 57 months in prison for his role in buying weapons that were

found at the site of the killing of patrol agent Brian A. Terry. June 17, 2014 - [Lionel Portillo Meza, a suspect in the death of Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry, is extradited from Mexico to the U.S.](#) February 3, 2014 -

Under court order, the Justice Department turns over nearly 65,000 pages of documents pertaining to the botched Fast and Furious gun operation. For years, these documents have been at the center of a dispute between the Obama administration and congressional Republicans, who demanded the documents back in 2011 as part of the investigation into the case. The documents were previously withheld under the administration's claim of executive privilege. The rest of events can be found at website:

<http://rinosandrats.com/2011/09/the-gunwalker-scandal-overview-timeline/>

For years, these documents have been at the center of a dispute between the Obama administration and congressional Republicans, who demanded the documents back in 2011 as part of the investigation into the case. The documents were previously withheld under the administration's claim of executive privilege.

Ronald L. Davis(promoted to United States Marshal) was nominated by “racketeering criminal Joseph R. Biden(promoted to President) to lead the United States Marshals Service on April 12, 2022. Other racketeering criminals “United States Senators” confirmed his nomination on September 22, 2022, and Mr. Davis was sworn in as the 12th Director of the Marshals Service on September 27, 2022. From 2013 to 2017, Mr. Davis served in the Obama Administration as the Director of the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS). In this capacity, Mr. Davis was responsible for working with the over 16,000 local, state, and tribal law enforcement agencies in the United States to advance community policing. In December 2014, President Barack Obama appointed

Mr. Davis to serve as the Executive Director of the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing charged with developing concrete recommendations to improve community trust in the police while enhancing public safety. Mr. Davis has served more than 28 years in law enforcement starting in 1985 with the Oakland, California, Police Department. In Oakland, Mr. Davis worked in various assignments including specialized enforcement units, SWAT team leader, police academy director, criminal investigations commander, Area commander, and Inspector General of the Department. Mr. Davis became Police Chief for the City of East Palo Alto, California, in 2005. Mr. Davis had a legal duty to arrest Obama and/or Holder and other ATF persons and knowingly, intentionally, failed to. His acts and/or omissions are the same as Eric Holder's and/or other criminals "allowing guns" to walk. Mr. Davis is employed by a "criminal racket" and is ineligible to be the United States Marshall.

18 U.S. Code § 1962 - Prohibited activities states,

"It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt."

In addition to the above facts on or about February 2009 while Lynch and/or those operating under his direction knowingly permitted firearms to be illegally purchased in the United States and unlawfully transferred to third-party possessors, Lynch and his accomplices unlawfully removed Specialty Investment Group LLC, Specialty Global Investments INC. and/or the Plaintiffs business and/or personal property from the Plaintiffs possession; accessed, delayed, transferred, and exploited that stolen property and caused injury to the Plaintiffs. Since that time the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater, Specialty Investment Group LLC et al, learn what precisely

Lynch et al has been done with property while it has been outside the Sharon Bridgewater's et al possession, custody and control.

On or about from Jan. 1, 2016 and continuing thru present Loretta Lynch in her official capacity with legal duties and obligation to comply with a subpeana in case # 1:12 CV -1332 knowingly, intentionally, adopted the acts of Loretta Lynch and refused to comply with the subpeana, her actions are illegal and unlawful.

(ATTACH A COPY OF OVERSIGHT SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT).

CONTINUING CONSPIRACY DATING BACK FROM 1993 AND CONTINUING THRU PRESENT

Petitioner is not a publicly-own corporation.

FOREIGN TERRORIST, MARCH 6TH ORDER IS ARBIRARY,

Can the Defendants obstruct a congressional investigation, engage in a pattern of racketeering activity

DID DONALD TRUMP ADOPT THE ACTS OF BUSH, OBAMA, CLINTON, ETC.

<https://www.heritage.org/crime-and-justice/commentary/federal-law-can-be-used-charge-violent-rioters>

The Federal Anti-Riot Act (18 U.S.C § 2101) allows the federal government to go after anyone who “travels in interstate or foreign commerce” or who uses “any facility of interstate or foreign commerce, including, but not limited to, the mail, telegraph, telephone, radio or television” to “incite a riot; or to organize, promote, encourage, participate in or carry on a riot; or to commit any act of violence in furtherance of a riot.”

So anyone who travels from out of state or uses his phone or his computer with the specific intent to instigate and participate in riots is violating federal law. It also applies to those who “aid or abet” anyone else to carry out such acts. Someone found guilty can be fined, imprisoned for up to five years, or both for each violation.

The law contains an unusual provision that shows Congress was quite serious about using it to stop the type of anarchy we are seeing in some of our nation’s cities today. It directs the attorney general, when he believes that any person has violated the law, to “proceed as speedily as possible with a prosecution ... and with any appeal” that may result “from any decision adverse to the government resulting from such prosecution.”

Congressional intent is clear here: when [riots](#) break out, the executive branch must act as quickly as possible to go after those who are fomenting mayhem.

This provision was used successfully to prosecute three white supremacists who traveled from California to Virginia in August 2017 to incite and organize violence in protests being held at the University of Virginia.

In May of last year, a federal district court in *U.S. v. Daley* ruled that this statute was constitutional, rejecting the defendants’ arguments that it was not within the power of Congress to pass such a criminal law.

The Justice Department also has the ability to use a criminal civil rights statute against those members of Antifa and other domestic terrorist groups who use face masks and disguises intended to hide their identity.

The statute, 18 U.S.C. §241, prohibits conspiracies to “injure, oppress, threaten or intimidate any person ... in the exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States.”

It also prohibits individuals from going “in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured.”

These rioters are arguably violating the rights of [peaceful protesters](#), business owners, and law enforcement officers to exercise their free speech rights, to own private property and protect their lives, and to carry out their duties to protect the public and enforce law and order.

Violating this statute is punishable by up to 10 years in federal prison. Ironically, the disguise language was inserted into the statute to go after the Ku Klux Klan. It may be applicable here, as it seems obvious that Antifa and other radical groups have adopted the KKK’s tactics of violence and masking.

State and federal law enforcement have to act to stop violent criminals from hijacking the “peaceful and legitimate protests”—as Barr termed it—over [Floyd’s death](#). This type of criminal behavior has no place in a civilized, orderly society where we work out our problems peacefully through debate, political action, and the democratic process.

This piece originally appeared in Fox News

PENALTIES

District of Columbia. Willfully inciting or urging others to engage in a riot results in up to a [six-month jail sentence](#). The penalty bumps up to a [10-year prison](#) sentence if the riot results in serious bodily harm to a victim or more than \$5,000 in property damage. (D.C. Code § 22-1322 (2020).)

Rule 5. Serving and Filing Pleadings and Other Papers

(a) SERVICE: WHEN REQUIRED.

(1) *In General.* Unless these rules provide otherwise, each of the following papers must be served on every party:

- (A) an order stating that service is required;
- (B) a pleading filed after the original complaint, unless the court orders otherwise under [Rule 5\(c\)](#) because there are numerous defendants;
- (C) a discovery paper required to be served on a party, unless the court orders otherwise;
- (D) a written motion, except one that may be heard ex parte; and
- (E) a written notice, appearance, demand, or offer of judgment, or any similar paper.

(2) *If a Party Fails to Appear.* No service is required on a party who is in default for failing to appear. But a pleading that asserts a new claim for relief against such a party must be served on that party under [Rule 4](#).

(3) *Seizing Property.* If an action is begun by seizing property and no person is or need be named as a defendant, any service required before the filing of an appearance, answer, or claim must be made on the person who had custody or possession of the property when it was seized.

(b) SERVICE: HOW MADE.

(1) *Serving an Attorney.* If a party is represented by an attorney, service under this rule must be made on the attorney unless the court orders service on the party.

(2) *Service in General.* A paper is served under this rule by:

- (A) handing it to the person;
- (B) leaving it:
 - (i) at the person's office with a clerk or other person in charge or, if no one is in charge, in a conspicuous place in the office; or
 - (ii) if the person has no office or the office is closed, at the person's dwelling or usual place of abode with someone of suitable age and discretion who resides there;
- (C) mailing it to the person's last known address—in which event service is complete upon mailing;
- (D) leaving it with the court clerk if the person has no known address;
- (E) sending it to a registered user by filing it with the court's electronic-filing system or sending it by other electronic means that the person consented to in writing—in either of which events service is complete upon filing or sending, but is not effective if the filer or sender learns that it did not reach the person to be served; or

(F) delivering it by any other means that the person consented to in writing—in which event service is complete when the person making service delivers it to the agency designated to make delivery.

(3) *Using Court Facilities*. [Abrogated (Apr. __, 2018, eff. Dec. 1, 2018)]

(c) SERVING NUMEROUS DEFENDANTS.

(1) *In General*. If an action involves an unusually large number of defendants, the court may, on motion or on its own, order that:

(A) defendants' pleadings and replies to them need not be served on other defendants;

(B) any crossclaim, counterclaim, avoidance, or affirmative defense in those pleadings and replies to them will be treated as denied or avoided by all other parties; and

(C) filing any such pleading and serving it on the plaintiff constitutes notice of the pleading to all parties.

(2) *Notifying Parties*. A copy of every such order must be served on the parties as the court directs.

(d) FILING.

(1) *Required Filings; Certificate of Service*.

(A) *Papers after the Complaint*. Any paper after the complaint that is required to be served—must be filed no later than a reasonable time after service. But disclosures under [Rule 26\(a\)\(1\)](#) or [\(2\)](#) and the following discovery requests and responses must not be filed until they are used in the proceeding or the court orders filing: depositions, interrogatories, requests for documents or tangible things or to permit entry onto land, and requests for admission.

(B) *Certificate of Service*. No certificate of service is required when a paper is served by filing it with the court's electronic-filing system. When a paper that is required to be served is served by other means:

(i) if the paper is filed, a certificate of service must be filed with it or within a reasonable time after service; and

(ii) if the paper is not filed, a certificate of service need not be filed unless filing is required by court order or by local rule.

(2) *Nonelectronic Filing*. A paper not filed electronically is filed by delivering it:

(A) to the clerk; or

(B) to a judge who agrees to accept it for filing, and who must then note the filing date on the paper and promptly send it to the clerk.

(3) *Electronic Filing and Signing.*

(A) *By a Represented Person*—Generally Required; Exceptions. A person represented by an attorney must file electronically, unless nonelectronic filing is allowed by the court for good cause or is allowed or required by local rule.

(B) *By an Unrepresented Person*—When Allowed or Required. A person not represented by an attorney:

(i) may file electronically only if allowed by court order or by local rule; and

(ii) may be required to file electronically only by court order, or by a local rule that includes reasonable exceptions.

(C) *Signing.* A filing made through a person's electronic-filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.

(D) *Same as a Written Paper.* A paper filed electronically is a written paper for purposes of these rules.

(4) *Acceptance by the Clerk.* The clerk must not refuse to file a paper solely because it is not in the form prescribed by these rules or by a local rule or practice.

(As amended Jan. 21, 1963, eff. July 1, 1963; Mar. 30, 1970, eff. July 1, 1970; Apr. 29, 1980, eff. Aug. 1, 1980; Mar. 2, 1987, eff. Aug. 1, 1987; Apr. 30, 1991, eff. Dec. 1, 1991; Apr. 22, 1993, eff. Dec. 1, 1993; Apr. 23, 1996, eff. Dec. 1, 1996; Apr. 17, 2000, eff. Dec. 1, 2000; Apr. 23, 2001, eff. Dec. 1, 2001; Apr. 12, 2006, eff. Dec. 1, 2006; Apr. 30, 2007, eff. Dec. 1, 2007.)

Notes of Advisory Committee on Rules—1937

Note to Subdivisions (a) and (b). Compare 2 M

https://www.irli.org/bidens-border-crisis-is-about-to-hit-a-new-low/?gclid=EAiaIQobChMIjvia0M239QIVnmxvBB1fvgsGAAAYAAEgIEXPD_BwE

Biden's Border Crisis Is About to Hit a New Low

Commentary

November 2, 2021

<https://www.irli.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/crowd-on-bridge-1536x1152.jpg>

<https://www.irli.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/crowd-on-bridge-1536x1152.jpg>

<https://www.irli.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/crowd-on-bridge-1536x1152.jpg>



The border crisis Joe Biden and his administration created is about to hit a new low, as the “mother of all caravans” moves towards the U.S.

Throughout their nearly ten months in office, Biden administration officials have repeatedly denied that the situation at the border is a crisis. Some optimists may even take heart in the fact that [border crossings were actually down](#) in September for only the second time in 17 months but that is a misleading statistic. Immigration experts have [exposed this](#) by showing that encounters with border crossers are down because more border agents are being pulled off the line to deal with the crush of migrants in other areas, which results in a spike of “gotaways,” or those who enter the U.S. and are not apprehended.

Right now, a massive migrant caravan is moving through Central America and preparing to come to the U.S. One of the leaders of the caravan is [openly threatening](#) the U.S., declaring that the migrants are “ready for war” if their demands are not met. The Biden administration [has responded](#) to this threat by ignoring it, and [their allies](#) in the corporate media are doing the same.

Just because the Biden White House and the media are ignoring the caravan, however, does not mean that all is well. The caravan, which was organized by allowing participants to register via QR code, is reportedly bringing over 3,000 migrants to the border. There have also been reports of tens of thousands of migrants gathering near the border, [preparing to enter](#) the U.S. If so, this looming invasion has the potential to make the Haitian migrant surge of September look minor by comparison. Joe Biden, meanwhile, is doubling down on his anti-borders agenda.

The Biden administration has done almost everything possible to encourage large-scale, illegal migration into the U.S.

During a recent CNN town hall, Biden [admitted that he probably](#) should visit the southern border, but suggested that he was too busy to do so. Meanwhile, the Biden administration continues to pursue its “root causes” strategy, which focuses on rooting out crime and poverty in Central America in order to encourage potential migrants to stay in their home countries.

[This plan](#) is neither serious nor realistic, as it provides zero relief to Americans suffering from the border crisis right now. No one should be surprised, as the impact of these policies on the American people does not seem to be a concern to this White House.

“The root cause is in the Oval Office,” former acting ICE Director and Immigration Reform Law Institute Senior Fellow Tom Homan [said](#) in a recent interview. “The promises he made, the executive orders he’s signed. Getting rid of all the programs that worked in the Trump administration, that’s the cause.”

The Biden administration has abandoned nearly every Trump administration program that had successfully stemmed the flow of migration to the U.S. From attempting to terminate the remain-in-Mexico policy, to bringing back catch-and-release, to halting construction of the border wall, the Biden administration has done almost everything possible to encourage large-scale, illegal migration into the U.S. The American people have suffered immensely as a result of these policies, and not just Americans who live near the border.

The U.S. is in the midst of a massive opioid crisis that has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, and Biden's disastrous immigration policies. More than 90,000 Americans [died](#) of drug overdoses last year, a roughly 30 percent increase from the previous year. The states [bearing the brunt of this crisis include](#) West Virginia, Maryland, and Ohio, far from the southern border. Given that the vast majority of [fentanyl](#), a particularly deadly opioid, comes to the U.S. through the southern border, it is reasonable to assume that many of these deaths would be preventable if our politicians would simply take border security seriously.

Unfortunately, the looming invasion threatens to make this crisis even worse, and lead to more preventable deaths among young people. Earlier this month, the Texas Department of Public Safety [seized](#) \$23 million of fentanyl, enough to kill roughly 90 million Americans. In addition to the drugs pouring in, [the terrorism threat](#) at the border also remains high.

Joe Biden could stop the carnage at the border any time he wants. All he has to do is reinstate and enforce the policies that worked so well during the last administration. Unfortunately, it appears Biden is content to sit on his hands and do nothing, and America and its citizens will suffer as a result.

Dale L. Wilcox is executive director and general counsel at the Immigration Reform Law Institute , a public interest law firm working to defend the rights and interests of the American people from the negative effects of mass migration.

Also published at: Dale L. Wilcox, [Biden's Border Crisis Is About to Hit a New Low](#), Townhall, November 2, 2021.

https://ballotpedia.org/Laws_permitting_noncitizens_to_vote_in_the_United_States

Laws permitting noncitizens to vote in the United States



State poll opening and closing times

Early voting

Absentee/mail-in voting

All-mail voting

Voter ID laws

Recount laws

Ballot access for major and minor party candidates

Select a state from the menu below to learn more.

In 1996, the [U.S. Congress passed a law](#) prohibiting noncitizens from voting in federal elections, such as U.S. House, U.S. Senate, and presidential elections. Federal law did not address state or local elections.^[1]

As of March 2020, two [state constitutions](#) specified that noncitizens may not vote in state and local elections: Arizona's and North Dakota's. No state constitutions explicitly allowed noncitizens to vote in state or local elections.^[2]

Fifteen municipalities across the country allowed noncitizens to vote in [local elections](#) as of February 2022. Eleven were located in Maryland, two were located in Vermont, one was New York City, and the other was San Francisco, California.

According to the [Pew Research Center](#), there were approximately 25 million noncitizens living in the U.S. as of 2017. That included 12.3 million permanent residents and 2.2 million

temporary residents in the country with legal permission and 10.5 million living in the country without legal permission.^[3]

Whether noncitizens should be allowed to vote is a subject of debate. Click [here](#) for support and opposition arguments and [here](#) for more on the debate over the prevalence of non-citizen voting.

Federal law

Federal law states that it is **unlawful for a noncitizen to vote in federal elections** and establishes the punishment of **a fine, one year in prison, or both** for violation of the law. The [Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996](#) states the following:

- “ (a) It shall be unlawful for any alien to vote in any election held solely or in part for the purpose of electing a candidate for the office of President, Vice President, Presidential elector, Member of the Senate, Member of the House of Representatives, Delegate from the District of Columbia, or Resident Commissioner, unless—
- (1) the election is held partly for some other purpose;
 - (2) aliens are authorized to vote for such other purpose under a State constitution or statute or a local ordinance; and
 - (3) voting for such other purpose is conducted independently of voting for a candidate for such Federal offices, in such a manner that an alien has the opportunity to vote for such other purpose, but not an opportunity to vote for a candidate for any one or more of such Federal offices.
- (b) Any person who violates this section shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than one year, or both.^[4] ”

The law includes the following exceptions:

- “ (c) Subsection (a) does not apply to an alien if—
- (1) each natural parent of the alien (or, in the case of an adopted alien, each adoptive parent of the alien) is or was a citizen (whether by birth or naturalization);
 - (2) the alien permanently resided in the United States prior to attaining the age of 16; and
 - (3) the alien reasonably believed at the time of voting in violation of such subsection that he or she was a citizen of the United States.^{[1][4]} ”

Federal law also states that noncitizens who violate the law are **inadmissible** (ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the U.S.) and **deportable**.^{[5][6]}

State constitutions on suffrage and citizenship

All state constitutions mention United States citizenship when discussing who can vote in that state's elections. In 48 states, constitutional language discussing citizenship says who *can* vote (e.g. "every citizen" or "all citizens"), but does not state that noncitizens cannot vote. In Arizona and North Dakota, the states' constitutions provide that citizens, but not noncitizens, have the right to vote.

The following table lists what each state's constitution says regarding citizenship and the right to vote. Click the arrow to browse pages in the chart or search for a state within the chart.

Noncitizen suffrage in local elections

As of December 2021, at least 15 municipalities in the U.S. allowed noncitizens to vote in some or all local elections. One was in California, 11 were in Maryland, one was in New York, and two were in Vermont.

Joshua A. Douglas, associate professor of law at the University of Kentucky College of Law, published an article in 2017 stating, "Municipalities can expand voting rights in local elections if there are no explicit state constitutional or legislative impediments and so long as local jurisdictions have the power of home rule." Some states, for example, require that changes to local charters get approval from state legislatures, thereby limiting municipal authority over voter eligibility laws, whereas other states do not.^[7]

Douglas identified 14 states—including California and Maryland—as posing no clear impediments to municipalities passing their own voter qualification laws:

- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado
- Illinois
- Maryland
- Nevada
- New Jersey
- New Mexico
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Rhode Island
- South Dakota
- Washington
- Wisconsin

The following localities allow noncitizen voting in some or all local elections. *Know of a municipality we're missing? [Email us](#).*

California

San Francisco

See also: [San Francisco, California, Non-Citizen Voting in School Board Elections Amendment, Proposition N \(November 2016\)](#)

In 2016, voters in [San Francisco](#) approved a charter amendment that read, "Shall the City allow a non-citizen resident of San Francisco who is of legal voting age and the parent, legal guardian or legally recognized caregiver of a child living in the San Francisco Unified School District to vote for members of the Board of Education?"

The amendment was approved with 54% support. [Click here to learn more](#).

Maryland

Maryland's state constitution specifies that "every citizen of the United States, of the age of 18 years or upwards, who is a resident of the State as of the time for the closing of registration next preceding the election, shall be entitled to vote in the ward or election district in which the citizen resides at all elections to be held in this State."^[8] The state constitution gives municipalities the authority to allow people outside those qualifications to vote without requiring state approval of such changes.^[9]

The following Maryland municipalities allowed noncitizens to vote in local elections as of March 2020.

Barnesville

The Barnesville town charter defines qualified voters as "having resided therein for six months previous to any town election and being eighteen years of age."^[10]

Cheverly

Any person over the age of 18 who has been a resident of Cheverly for at least 30 days at the time of the election and has not been convicted of a crime is eligible to register to vote in town elections.^[11]

Chevy Chase Section 3

The charter of Chevy Chase Section 3 reads, "'Qualified Voter' shall mean any person who is a resident of Chevy Chase Section 3, without regard to citizenship, and is at least eighteen (18) years of age."^[12]

Garrett Park

The Garrett Park town charter reads, "The town manager shall provide for the registration of voters in a flexible and available manner in order to encourage registration and voting, consistent with the policies adopted by ordinance and the rules and procedures specified by the election judges. Qualified persons may register by universal registration with either Montgomery County or the town, or may register only with the town, including residents who are not citizens of the United States, up to and including election day."^[13]

Glen Echo

Glen Echo's town charter says the following: "Any person who is not a United States citizen, and (a) is a resident of the Town of Glen Echo, (b) is a lawful resident of the United States, and (c) except for the United States citizenship requirement, meets the voter qualifications provided in Section 501(a) may register to vote in Town elections."^[14]

Hyattsville

The Hyattsville town website states, "Hyattsville residents who are not U.S. citizens, or do not wish to register with the State, may use the Hyattsville City Voter Registration Form."^[15]

Martin's Additions

The Martin's Additions town charter says, "'Qualified Voter' is any person who owns property or any resident of Martin's Additions who is eighteen (18) years of age or over."^[16]

Mount Rainier

Mount Rainier's city charter states that any person who has been a city resident for 30 days or more at the time of a local election, is at least 18 years old, has not been convicted of a felony offense or of buying and selling votes, and is not under mental guardianship may register to vote.^[17]

Riverdale Park

Riverdale Park's town charter states, "(a) Every resident of the town who (1) has the Town of Riverdale Park as his or her primary residence, (2) is at least sixteen (16) years of age, (3) has resided within the corporate limits of the town for at least forty-five (45) days immediately preceding any nonrunoff town election, (4) does not claim the right to vote elsewhere in the United States, (5) has not been found by a court to be unable to communicate a desire to vote, and (6) is registered to vote in accordance with the provisions of § 503 of this charter shall be a qualified voter of the Town."^[18]

Somerset

The Somerset town charter says, "Every person who (1) is at least eighteen years of age, (2) has resided within the corporate limits of the town for fourteen days next preceding any election, and (3) is registered in accordance with the provisions of this Charter, shall be a qualified voter

of the town. Every qualified voter of the town shall be entitled to vote at any or all town elections."^[19]

Takoma Park

The Takoma Park city website states, "City residents who are not citizens of the United States can register to vote in Takoma Park elections by completing the Takoma Park Voter Registration Application."^[20]

New York

New York City

On December 9, 2021, the New York City Council approved Int. 1867-2020, extending the right to vote in municipal elections to lawful permanent residents and other non-citizens authorized to work in the United States. The council voted 33-14 in favor of the legislation, making New York City the largest city in the nation to authorize voting by non-citizens. According to *Politico*, then-Mayor [Bill de Blasio](#) "expressed reservations but said he [would] not veto" the bill.^[21] The legislation was set to take effect on December 9, 2022, applying to municipal elections conducted on or after February 9, 2023.^[22]

Mayor [Eric Adams](#) (D) allowed the legislation to become law without his signature on February 9, 2022.^[23]

Vermont

The following Vermont municipalities allowed noncitizens to vote in local elections as of June 2021.

Montpelier

On June 24, 2021, H177 was enacted into law, approving a Montpelier city charter amendment authorizing legal residents to vote in city elections. Although Governor [Phil Scott](#) vetoed the legislation, both chambers of the state legislature voted to override that veto. The law took immediate effect.^{[24][25]}

Winooski

On June 24, 2021, H 227 was enacted into law, approving a Winooski city charter amendment authorizing legal residents to vote in city and school district elections. Although Governor [Phil Scott](#) vetoed the legislation, both chambers of the state legislature voted to override that veto. The law took immediate effect.^{[24][26]}

Rule 7. The Indictment and the Information

(a) WHEN USED.

(1) *Felony*. An offense (other than criminal contempt) must be prosecuted by an indictment if it is punishable:

(A) by death; or

(B) by imprisonment for more than one year.

(2) *Misdemeanor*. An offense punishable by imprisonment for one year or less may be prosecuted in accordance with [Rule 58\(b\)\(1\)](#).

(b) WAIVING INDICTMENT. An offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year may be prosecuted by information if the defendant—in open court and after being advised of the nature of the charge and of the defendant's rights—waives prosecution by indictment.

(c) NATURE AND CONTENTS.

(1) *In General*. The indictment or information must be a plain, concise, and definite written statement of the essential facts constituting the offense charged and must be signed by an attorney for the government. It need not contain a formal introduction or conclusion. A count may incorporate by reference an allegation made in another count. A count may allege that the means by which the defendant committed the offense are unknown or that the defendant committed it by one or more specified means. For each count, the indictment or information must give the official or customary citation of the statute, rule, regulation, or other provision of law that the defendant is alleged to have violated. For purposes of an indictment referred to in section 3282 of title 18, United States Code, for which the identity of the defendant is unknown, it shall be sufficient for the indictment to describe the defendant as an individual whose name is unknown, but who has a particular DNA profile, as that term is defined in section 3282.

(2) *Citation Error*. Unless the defendant was misled and thereby prejudiced, neither an error in a citation nor a citation's omission is a ground to dismiss the indictment or information or to reverse a conviction.

(d) SURPLUSAGE. Upon the defendant's motion, the court may strike surplusage from the indictment or information.

(e) AMENDING AN INFORMATION. Unless an additional or different offense is charged or a substantial right of the defendant is prejudiced, the court may

permit an information to be amended at any time before the verdict or finding.

(f) **BILL OF PARTICULARS.** The court may direct the government to file a bill of particulars. The defendant may move for a bill of particulars before or within 14 days after arraignment or at a later time if the court permits. The government may amend a bill of particulars subject to such conditions as justice requires.

Praecipe for Warrant of Arrest

Rule 9. Arrest Warrant or Summons on an Indictment or Information

(a) **ISSUANCE.** The court must issue a warrant—or at the government's request, a summons—for each defendant named in an indictment or named in an information if one or more affidavits accompanying the information establish probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the defendant committed it. The court may issue more than one warrant or summons for the same defendant. If a defendant fails to appear in response to a summons, the court may, and upon request of an attorney for the government must, issue a warrant. The court must issue the arrest warrant to an officer authorized to execute it or the summons to a person authorized to serve it.

(b) **FORM.**

(1) *Warrant.* The warrant must conform to [Rule 4\(b\)\(1\)](#) except that it must be signed by the clerk and must describe the offense charged in the indictment or information.

(2) *Summons.* The summons must be in the same form as a warrant except that it must require the defendant to appear before the court at a stated time and place.

(c) **EXECUTION OR SERVICE; RETURN; INITIAL APPEARANCE.**

(1) *Execution or Service.*

(A) The warrant must be executed or the summons served as provided in [Rule 4\(c\)\(1\)](#), [\(2\)](#), and [\(3\)](#).

(B) The officer executing the warrant must proceed in accordance with [Rule 5\(a\)\(1\)](#).

(2) *Return*. A warrant or summons must be returned in accordance with [Rule 4\(c\)\(4\)](#).

(3) *Initial Appearance*. When an arrested or summoned defendant first appears before the court, the judge must proceed under [Rule 5](#).

(d) Warrant by Telephone or Other Means. In accordance with [Rule 4.1](#), a magistrate judge may issue an arrest warrant or summons based on information communicated by telephone or other reliable electronic means.

NOTES

(As amended Apr. 24, 1972, eff. Oct. 1, 1972; Apr. 22, 1974, eff. Dec. 1, 1975; Pub. L. 94-64, §3(4), July 31, 1975, 89 Stat. 370; Pub. L. 94-149, §5, Dec. 12, 1975, 89 Stat. 806; Apr. 30, 1979, eff. Aug. 1, 1979; Apr. 28, 1982, eff. Aug. 1, 1982; Apr. 22, 1993, eff. Dec. 1, 1993; Apr. 29, 2002, eff. Dec. 1, 2002; Apr. 26, 2011, eff. Dec. 1, 2011.)

NOTES OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RULES—1944

1. See Note to [Rule 4](#), *supra*.

2. The provision of Rule 9(a) that a warrant may be issued on the basis of an information only if the latter is supported by oath is necessitated by the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. See *Albrecht v. United States*, 273 U.S. 1, 5.

3. The provision of Rule 9(b)(1) that the amount of bail may be fixed by the court and endorsed on the warrant states a practice now prevailing in many districts and is intended to facilitate the giving of bail by the defendant and eliminate delays between the arrest and the giving of bail, which might ensue if bail cannot be fixed until after arrest.

NOTES OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RULES—1972 AMENDMENT

Subdivision (b) is amended to make clear that the person arrested shall be brought before a United States magistrate if the information or indictment charges a “minor offense” triable by the United States magistrate.

Subdivision (c) is amended to reflect the office of United States magistrate.

Subdivision (d) is new. It provides for a remand to the United States magistrate of cases in which the person is charged with a “minor offense.” The magistrate can then proceed in accordance with rule 5 to try the case if the right to trial before a judge of the district court is waived.

11. Defendant made false and misleading statements as to the coverage at issue. On information and belief, plaintiffs allege that defendant has made similar false and misleading statements with respect to coverage to other insured claimants.

12. Defendant has repeatedly delayed decision on plaintiffs' claim for indemnity under the policy, and failed and refused to give adequate explanation for the delay.

13. Defendant has failed and refused to disclose the reason for its denial of the claim.

14. On or about 19 , 19 20 , more than 21 [one year] after the loss, defendant attempted to procure plaintiffs' agreement to accept the sum of \$ 22 provisionally. Under the terms of the proposed arrangement, plaintiffs would waive all rights to claim damages for all matters set forth in this complaint, while defendant would retain and reserve any and all rights and defenses and claims it might wish to assert. Plaintiffs would further be required to hire an attorney to defend themselves at their own expense in a proposed declaratory relief action. If they were unsuccessful in that action, plaintiffs would be liable to return the sum plus interest, and potentially liable to pay the cost of defendant in instituting such a proceeding.

15. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendant, plaintiffs have suffered severe emotional distress, all to their general damage in an amount not yet determined, but which is in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this court.

16. Defendant committed these acts intentionally, maliciously, fraudulently and with reckless disregard for the likelihood of causing plaintiffs severe emotional distress. In the alternative, defendant committed these acts at all times to further its own economic interest at the expense of plaintiffs' economic interest, mental health and well-being.

17. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendant, plaintiffs have incurred attorneys' fees, costs and expenses and other special damages in an amount yet to be determined.

18. In order to deter similar conduct by defendant in the future, and to prevent the repetition of this conduct, plaintiffs request punitive damages to be awarded in the sum of \$ 23 .