

**CASE No.**

THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming(The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission)  
*EX REL* Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Qui Tam RELATOR and/or Private Attorney General and on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater, one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, and those similarly situated

**TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER ;  
BILATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND/OR  
REPRESENTATIVE; BURFICIATION OF  
LIABILITY OF DAMAGES; PUNITIVE  
DAMAGES; ALL CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION;  
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER**

**[PROPOSED]ORDER GRANTING FOR  
BILATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND/OR  
REPRESENTATIVE; BURFICIATION OF  
LIABILITY OF DAMAGES; PUNITIVE  
DAMAGES; ALL CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION  
AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

**AND**

**[PROPOSED]ASSET FREEZE, WITH  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, IMMEDIATE  
ACCESS TO DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS  
PREMISES; APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY  
RECEIVER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHYA  
PERMANENT INJUNCTION OR RECEIVER  
SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED OR CONFIRMED**

INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS  
AND/OR PETITIONERS

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH THE  
COMPLAINTANT VERIFIED CRIMINAL  
COMPLAINT

Vs.

White House  
1600 Pennsy  
Washington DC

Defendants and/or Respondants

---

COMES NOW THE THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming(The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of

Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission) EX REL Sharon Bridgewater (A.K.A. Sharon Abusalem, Sharon Davis) Private Attorney General and Relator PLAINTIFF AND/OR PETITIONER "PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS" ( PROSECUTOR) on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater( one or more of the following companies, Specialty Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc., a California Corporation, The Coalition for Empowerment(formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands)a 501C-3 non-profit organization, a Michigan and/or Georgia non-profit corporation, B & B Building Maintenance INC. a Michigan Corporation, forced out of business ) and those similarly situated PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS move this court to 1)ENJOIN and/or RESTRAIN Eric Holder Jr. et al from committing overt acts as defined in 18 USC section 371; 2) from and other felonious criminal acts; committing overt acts multiple predicate acts as defined in 18 U.S.C. section 1961(5); assaults, abuse, peonage, torture, slavery, false imprisonment list \_\_\_\_ of class 3) from continuing conspiracy to engage in a pattern of Racketeering activity; 4) from withholding Classified Information in this Civil Proceedings Brought by the United States as such as allegations made by the Plaintiffs relating to Benzahzi, 911, Iraq War, IRS, etc, in order for the committee and oversight to complete its investigaton; 5) from illegally, unlawfully, upurption of public as US Attorney General.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Plaintiffs relies on the "Consolidated amended complaint and/or supplemental complaint," the Complaint" filed concurrently with this motion and/or incorporates the complaint as fully set forth herein.

### **III. ARGUMENT**

The egregious facts of this case and Defendants' pattern of RICO activities warrants BILATERAL CLASS CERTIFICATION AND/OR REPRESENTATIVE; BURFICIATION OF LIABILITY OF DAMAGES; PUNITIVE DAMAGES; ALL CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION; DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, ASSET FREEZE, EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, IMMEDIATE ACCESS TO DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS PREMISE, APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY RECEIVER to administer and supervise the affairs and operations of defendants' entities and to assist courts in monitoring compliance with courts' orders and in imposing sanctions for violations of courts' orders. including, but not limited to, the intrusive remedies. Plaintiffs moves the court to certify the Defendants Class and/or Class Defendant Representative pursuant to 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>1</sup>of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as follows.

#### **DEFENDANT CLASS REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFICATION**

Eric H. Holder Jr. **US Attorney General**

#### **DEFENDANT CLASS CERTIFICATION**

List all defendant in complaint and does defendants expressed or impleied agreement, invested, in associate with enterprise, and does defendants

---

<sup>1</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

## **ARGUMENT**

### **I. Principles applicable to class certification.**

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

### **II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.**

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

- (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the

representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

#### **A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).**

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department an agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

#### **B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).**

Rule 23(a)(2) “requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed overts or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

**C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

**D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).**

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

**III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>2</sup> - Predominates**

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. "The writers of Rule 23 intended that subsection (b)(2) foster institutional reform by facilitating suits that challenge widespread rights violations of conspiracies to defraud the USA. Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for *the class as a whole*. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class defendant representative and/or members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction "state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail ... the act or acts restrained or required." Second, cohesiveness also requires that class members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s

---

<sup>2</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money requiring injunctive relief.

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES**

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>3</sup> Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

**Bifurcation**

---

<sup>3</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which requires declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

**THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE**

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) equitable in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening

Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

### **THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURCATION**

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder (Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phas

---

THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION INJUNCTION AND DECLARATORY JUDGE PROHIBITING THE DEFENDANTS FROM ENGAGING IN BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise,

whether acting directly or through any trust, corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promoting, marketing, proposed sale, or sale of any product, service, plan, or

program, are hereby restrained and enjoined from:

## **ARGUMENT**

### **CLASS DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE**

**Barak Obama**

#### **I. Principles applicable to class certification.**

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

#### **II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.**

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

(1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

#### **A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).**

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department and agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

#### **B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).**

Rule 23(a)(2) “requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed overts or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

**C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

**D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).**

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?” These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General “Counsel for the USA” and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

**III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>4</sup> - Predominates**

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. "Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for *the class as a whole*. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction "state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail ... the act or acts restrained or relieved." Second, cohesiveness also requires that class members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2).

These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members

---

<sup>4</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money re.ring injunctive relief.

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – AND PREDOMINATES**

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>5</sup> Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

**Bifurcation**

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which re.res declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

**THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE**

---

<sup>5</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for e.table relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) e.table in nature or (2) ancillary to the general scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

## **THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURCATION**

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory

relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phase would determine Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

Class members and subclasses

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

1. Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group

Prisioner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual capacities.

2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.

3. All US Citiznes who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Survielience(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA

SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.

4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare(intentional mispresentation by Obama-“if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan” and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.

5. All small minority business owners , member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce

6. All Person who were harmed by “TARGET STORES” SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breach by Holders acts or omission or failure to protect

7.. All Taxpayers who are victims of tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect taxpayers.

8.. Guantomo Bay Prisioners and/or other prisoner the class Plaintiff representative who are subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will.

And an amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), and award(certify)a judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants to refrain from continued damage to the class plaintiff members or class plaintiff representative. Obama, Eric Holder, et all and/or the Class Representative Defendant to pay the the Class Plaintiffs and/or the Class Plaintiff representative in the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase determine the measure to remove from employment arrest the Defendant, grant the Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injuctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Six to show cause ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF WARRANTO QUO, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF MANDUMUS(CONSOLIDATE CASES, REMOVE NEGATIVE INFORMATION EXPUNGE, DELETE ALL NEGATIVE) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF HABEAS AND/OR ORDER A HABEAS PETITION, OUST HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHROITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

The second phase would request this court to declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or Barak Obama and all class Defendants summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The third phase IS JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMGES

THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 submitted VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 52.5 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to pay and freeze asset and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantemos Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

## II

The first phase Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Shawn Donavan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak Obama acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. The second phase would request this court to declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for summision of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 RECOVERIES RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. The Fourth phase is for injunctive relief for the USA ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY and/or Order account for all gains,

profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery award to Bridgewater IN THE FORM OF AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase ORDER AND/OR GRANT THE Committee immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Sixth is to order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and im prisioment, of Guantemos Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

Declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class

DAMAGES CAUSED. Grant the Class Defendants for damages Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

1. Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group

Prisioner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual capacities.

2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.

3. All US Citiznes who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Survielience(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA

SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.

4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare(intentional

mispresentation by Obama-“if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan” and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.

5. All small minority business owners , member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce

6. All Person who were harmed by “TARGET STORES” SECURITY BREACH and

other stores security breach by Holders acts or omission or failure to protect

7.. All Taxpayers who are victims of tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and

were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect

taxpayers.

8.. Guantomo Bay Prisioners and/or other prisioner the class Plaintiff representative who are

subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful

held against their will.

JUDGMENT FOR CLASS PLAINTIFFS IN THE amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a

judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants in

the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper

and just by this court, remove THE DEFENDANTS from employment arrest the Defendant, Committee

immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the

Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for

discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate

(and/or to certify all) ORDER A WRIT OF MANDUMUS ISSUE A WRIT OF HABEAS PETITION, OUST

OBAMA AND/OR HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHROITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or Barak Obama and all class Defendants summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the DECLARE THE Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The third phase JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMGES THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 submitted VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 200 52.5 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371, ISSUE A ASSET FREEZE AND ANY OTHER RELIEF as deem proper and just by this court. Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injuctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo

Warranto, and why he should not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantemos Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

**RICO-UNCOVERED US TREASURY FUNDS VIOLATION OF 18  
U.S.C. SECTION 371 – UNLAWFUL WITHHELD FROM THE  
PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGWATER THE ORIGINAL**

**II**

Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Shawn Donavan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak Obama acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the

USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371 or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. Declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third IS FOR JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 RECOVERIES RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY THE UNITED STATES AND/OR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF account for all gains, profits, per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery TO CLASS PLAINITFF REPESENTATIVE BRIDGEWATER THE ORIGINAL SOURCE IN AND AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT THE COMMITTEE immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Order to show cause Writ of Quo Warrantor, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing

class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ing to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomas Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order and/or

OTHER RELIEF ORDER THE DEFENDANT T

## **CONCLUSION**

The Plaintiff are entitled to declaratory Judgment, money damages, A preliminary injunction is warranted where the moving party has shown that: (1) it is likely to succeed on the merits of its claim; (2) it is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of a preliminary injunction; (3) the balance of the e.ties tips in favor of the moving party; and (4) an injunction is in the public interest. Here in this case all of the above apply the Plaintiff Bridgwater brings this complaint on behalf of the United States of America and the USA is likely to succeed on the merits and further when the USA request a injunction it is not an option, the court must issue an injunction.(2) the USA and/or the Intervening Plaintiff suffer irreparable harm, continued damage the absence of a injunction (3) the balance tip in the Favor of the United States of America et al(4) The injunction is in the interest of the public. No Bond is re.red of the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater and/or the US Committee Oversight House of Representatives.

For the reasons stated above, The United States of America et al motion for class certification, declaratory and injunctive relief should be granted and other relief as requested in this motion should be granted. The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or . Tam RELATOR are clearly entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief. The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General and/or . Tam RELATOR possesses a clearly ascertainable right that is in need of protection, Defendants needs to be enjoined from further violating and conspiring to defraud the USA, its Departments, and agencies, (b) the plaintiff has a likelihood of success on the merits, (c) the plaintiff will suffer irreparable harm if the Preliminary Injunction is not granted, and (d) the plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

**WHEREFORE,**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE STATE of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming *EX REL* Sharon Bridgewater . Tam RELATOR and/or Private Attorney General and/or The Federal Trade Commission, The Bureau of Consumer Protection, Bureau of Competition, Securities and Exchange Commission on behalf of myself, James S. Bridgewater, those similarly situated, and one or more of the following companies, Specialty

Investment Group L.L.C., a Georgia Company, Specialty Global Investments Inc., a Nevada Corporation, and Bridgewater & Company Inc. a California Corporation, INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS AND/OR PETITIONERS on behalf of myself, those similarly situated, to certify the USA as Plaintiff and/or to certify A CLASS WHICH includes:

CLASS MEMBER DEFENDANT REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC HOLDER Eric Holder Jr, and/orBarak Obama, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, syndicated or other legal, individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, owners, officers, directors, shareholders, founders, managers, agents, servants, employees, agents, borrowed employees, caual employee, consultants, contractors, de facto employees, independent contractors, joint adventures, loaned employees, staffer(s), subcontractor who own, control, operate, manage firms, partnerships, associations, companies, corporations and/or parent corporations, affliates, subsidiaries, joint ventures, proietorship, and/or the International Community which provides that one who 1)have some sort of fee-based or similar relationship; 2)have an established duty either fixed and/or not fixed arising from an express or implied, contract; 3)use, or cause to be used, by the Government or accept government property as security, from a government officer or employee; 4) is an or licensor-licensee; 5)or are current or former persons who have a duty or obligation under a statute or regulation; 6)Or have an obligations fixed and definite at the time and/or which included fixed and/or contingent duties owed to the Government—including fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods and/or including contingent obligations such as, “yet fixed,” and/or fixed term ‘obligation’ now defined under new Section 3729(b)(3) and includes fixed and contingent duties owed to the Government—including

fixed li.dated obligations such judgments, and fixed, unli.dated obligations such as tariffs on imported goods.... defined to the instance where there is a relationship between the Government and a person that results in a duty to pay the Government money, whether or not the amount owed is yet fixed with presents, or causes to be presented, claim for payment or Approval to the US Government; 7)makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, record or statement material to claim; delivers, or causes to be delivered, money or property; 8) or are authorized to make or deliver a document certifying receipt of property used, or to be used, by the Government makes or delivers the receipt buys, or receives as a pledge of an obligation or debt, public property from an officer or employee of the Government; 9)Are member of the Armed Forces who knowingly conceals or otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duties, directly or indirectlypresent claims to the federal government or department or agency and that as DEFINDED IN 3729(a)(1)(G); 10)Submits budgets; 11)Submits for payment or reimbursement a claim known to be false or fraudulent; 13)Makes or uses a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim or to an 'obligation' to pay money to the government; 14)engage in a conspiracy to defraud by the improper submission of a false claim; 15)Conceals, improperly avoid or decreases an 'obligation' to pay money to the government; 16)are recipients under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") and the Recovery Act or Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act (FERA)which to include Toxic Asset Recovery Program ("SIGTARP"), who has auditing, investigative and criminal referral authority over every recipient and participant in TARP funding programs, including, not only the Department of Treasury's capital enhancement funding activities, but entities created under the Term Asset-Backed Loan Program ("TALF"): the Legacy Loan Program and the Legacy Security Program under the Public-Private Investment Program ("PPIP"); 17) Private Mortgage Lending (Under the Criminal Code "financial institution" in 18 U.S.C. §20) or a mortgage lending business, as defined (in the VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 Act new provision, 18 U.S.C. §27 or "an organization which finances or refinances debt secured by an interest in real estate, including

private mortgage companies and any subsidiaries,” whose “activities affect interstate commerce.” private mortgage firms and their affiliates, or were persons who makes or made false statements in loan and credit applications as defined in 18 U.S.C. §1014, made false statements made to influence an action by a mortgage lending businesses which extend beyond its current reach of frauds affecting federal agencies, banks and credit unions to include those affecting private mortgage brokers and companies. 18) Persons under TARP, Stimulus Programs Under the Criminal Fraud Statute as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1031, which prohibited fraud against the United States, and include both funds received under the various TARP programs and funding under the Recovery Act. Commodities Fraud Added to the Criminal Securities Fraud Statute. 19) Individuals or person as defined in securities criminal fraud statute (18 U.S.C. § 1348) involving commodities options or futures, which the Committee report notes have included derivatives and other financial products that contributed to the current financial crisis or money laundering statute which suggested that “proceeds” of unlawful activity included only actual profit, and which definition of “proceeds” includes the entire gross receipts of illegal activity, not just the “profit” component. 20) persons where movement of money across international borders in furtherance of tax evasion and subject to money laundering statute; 21) to conceal, avoid, or decrease a payment obligation to the government, expanding the current provision that reaches only the making, use, or causing the use of a false record. “Obligations” of the government are now defined to include not only fixed but also contingent obligations, codifying existing Department of Justice policy 22) entered into a conspiracy or conspiracies to violate or to get a false claim paid or “knowing” submitted a false claim, or used of a false statement or record in making a claim or in reducing an amount otherwise owed, or retention of money due and owing to the government or “Knowing”, with respect to information, with actual knowledge that the information is false, or with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information – and with No specific intent to defraud the USA government. 23) Request or demand, whether under a contract or otherwise for money or

property and whether or not the United States has title to the money or property" presented directly to the United States, or (2) "to a contractor, grantee, or other recipient, money or property is to be spent or used on the Government's behalf or to advance a Government program or interest" and the government provides or reimburses portion of the requested funds; and a false statement was "material to" a false claim without intent; 24) Submitted false claims under the [Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act](#) ( health reform bill or PPACA)<sup>6</sup>; had an "obligation" under the FCA to include "retention of any overpayments." 25) makes, or made improper solicits, or solicited receive or receives or received , offer, offered or offers or pay, paid or pays remuneration (monetary or otherwise) in exchange for referring patients to receive certain services that are paid for by the government; 26) submit claims or submitted claims in violation of the AKS automatically constitute false claims for purposes of the FCA; 27) person that did not not have actual knowledge ... or specific intent to commit a violation" The **Statutory Anti-Kickback Liability** - Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b) (AKS) " a criminal statute" of the AKS and can not successfully argue that they did not know they were violating the FCA because they were not aware the AKS existed. Part II and 1) dishonestly obtain a benefit 2) deceive or commit other means and behaviors that are deemed fraudulants 3) theft 4) obtain property

---

<sup>6</sup>**Changes to the Public Disclosure Bar in which** previous versions of the FCA, cases filed by private individuals or "RELATORS" could be barred if it was determined that such cases were based on a public disclosure of information arising from certain proceedings, such as civil, criminal or administrative hearings, or news media reports. The amended PPACA amendment now provides that "the court shall not dismiss an action if opposed by the Government, if substantially the same allegations or transaction alleged in the action or claim were publicly disclosed and; 2) Provides A plaintiff may overcome the public disclosure bar outlined above if they qualify as an "original source," the definition of which has also been revised by PPACA( Previously, an original source must have had "direct and independent knowledge of the information on which the allegations are based)." Under PPACA, an original source is now someone who has "knowledge that is independent of and materially adds to the publicly disclosed allegations or transactions." See 31 U.S.C. 3730(e)(4)

or a financial advantage or any other Benefit by deception 5) Created a loss or avoid or create a liability by deception 5) provide false or misleading information to the make or use or possess forged or falsified documents; 6) one who commit bribery, abuse public office 6) Bill for goods and services that were never delivered or Rendered 7) Double bill or charging more than once for the same goods or Service 8) Bill for marketing, lobbying or other non-contract related corporate activities 9) Submitting false service records or samples in order to show better-than-actual performance 10) Present broken or untested equipment as operational and Tested 11) Shift expenses from one fixed-price contract to another 12) Illegal marketing of prescription drugs and devices through Kickback 13) Billing for non-FDA approved drugs or devices 14) Illegal drug smuggling of cocaine, herion and other illegal Drug 15) Perform inappropriate or unnecessary medical procedures in order to increase Medicare reimbursement 16) Billing for work or tests not perform 17) Bill for premium equipment but actually providing inferior Equipment 18) Automatically running a lab test whenever the results of some other test fall within a certain range, even though the second 19) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit overt act 20) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental to commit human rights violations, crimes against humanity, war crimes, acts of aggression against nationalities, orgain and ethinc groups, 21) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire with Foreign Governments, their agents and instrumental and commit genocide against national, origin and ethnic group 22) Public Officials or the US President Congressman/women act under the color of law act in their private persons and/or conspire murder US Citizens without due process of law 23) person(s) who commit predicate acts as defined in 18 USC section 1961 ,

ALLEGED DEFENDANT NAMES

24) persons who limit competition and engage in fix pricing 25) Bid Rigging 26) illegal Agreements in restraint of trade 27) Market division or customer allocation 28) Group Boycotts(29) illegal agreements among competitors (30) illegal agreements with foreign Government, their agencies and Instrumentalities(31) illegal monopolies or conspiracy to monopolize32) test was not specifically requested(33) Defective testing – and certifying that something has passed a test, when in fact it has not (34) "Lick and stick" prescription rebate fraud and "marketing the spread" prescription fraud, both of which involve lying to the government about the true wholesale price of prescription drugs(35) Unbundling - Submitting multiple billing codes instead of one billing code for a drug panel test in order to increase remuneration(36) Bundling -- billing more for a panel of tests when a single test was asked for(37) Upcoding - Inflating bills by using diagnosis billing codes that suggest a more expensive illness or treatment(38) Billing for brand billing for brand-named drugs when generic drugs are actually provided(39) Phantom employees and doctored time slips: charging for employees that were not actually on the job, or billing for made-up hours in order to maximize reimbursement(40) Upcoding employee work: billing at doctor rates for work that was actually conducted by a nurse or resident intern(41) Falsifying natural resource production records -- pumping, mining or harvesting more natural resources from public lands that is actually reported to the government(42) Being over-paid by the government for sale of a good or service, and then not reporting that overpayment(43) Misrepresenting the value of imported goods or their country of origin for tariff purposes(44) False certification that a contract falls within certain guidelines (i.e. the contractor is a minority or veteran)(45) Billing in order to increase revenue instead of billing to reflect actual work performed(46) Failing to report known product defects in order to be able to continue to sell or bill the government for the product(47) Billing for research that was never conducted and/or falsifying research (48) data that was paid for by the U.S. government(49) Winning a contract through kickbacks or bribes(50) Prescribing a medicine or

recommending a type of treatment or diagnosis regimen in order to win kickbacks from hospitals, labs, or pharmaceutical companies(51) Billing for unlicensed or unapproved drugs(52) Forging physician signatures when such signatures are required for reimbursement from Medicare or Medicaid (53) Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons discriminate against racial, ethnic or religious groups commit overt acts of aggression, crimes against humanity, civil rights violations, torture, terrorist attacks, ( 54) Public Official who act under the color of federal or state law, act outside scope of authority, act in their private persons receive illegal campaign contributions, gratuity, violate the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, etc.55) Interfere and/or restrain commerce; 56) Short-changes the government on the transfer of funds or other property or issues false government receipt; 57) Purchase government property or accept government property as security from a government officer or employee or member of the armed forces who has no authority to sell or pledge the property or obligation; 58) two or more persons that conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for purposes which prohibits conspiracies to defraud the United States or creates a separate offense from the "offense clause" in Section 371.59) persons who committed acts or omissions as defined in 18 USC section 371 language is very broad, and any conspiracy for the purpose of impairing, obstructing or defeating the lawful function of any department of government or any conspiracy which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially required in the way and at the time required by law or departmental regulation; 60) or person(s) involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of section 1956, 1957 or 1960 of this title, or any property traceable to such property within the jurisdiction of the United States, constituting, derived from or traceable to, any proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from a offense against a foreign

nation, or and property used to facilitate such an offense, which (i) involves trafficking in nuclear, chemical, biological, or radiological weapons technology or material, or the manufacture, importation, sale, or distribution of a controlled substance (as that term is defined for purposes of the Controlled Substances Act), or any other conduct described in section 1956(c)(7)(B); or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of section 215, 471, 472, 473, 474, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 485, 486, 487, 488, 501, 502, 510, 542, 545, 656, 657, 670, 842, 844, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1014, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1032, or 1344 of this title or any offense constituting “specified unlawful activity” (as defined in section 1956(c)(7) of this title), or a conspiracy to commit such offense or which represents or is traceable to the gross receipts obtained, directly or indirectly, from a violation of— (i) section 666(a)(1) (relating to Federal program fraud); (ii) section 1001 (relating to fraud and false statements);(iii) section 1031 (relating to major fraud against the United States); (iv) section 1032 (relating to concealment of assets from conservator or receiver of insured financial institution); (v) section 1341 (relating to mail fraud); or (vi) section 1343 (relating to wire fraud), and violation relates to the sale of assets ac.red or held by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as conservator or receiver for a financial institution, or any other conservator for a financial institution appointed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency or the National Credit Union Administration, as conservator or li.dating agent for a financial institution and committed for the purpose of executing or attempting to execute any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent statements, pretenses, representations or promises,or individual, entity, or organization or persons engaged in planning or perpetrating any Federal crime of terrorism (as defined in section 2332b(g)(5) against the United States, citizens or residents of the; 61) Insider trading; 62) individual any means to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest 63) Public Officials holding office failure to do legal duties or obligations as Government Official and/or how do illegal acts or omissions as

public officials 64) Public Official who act in their private persons and/or participation and abuse of the United States Judicial System(legal system- US Supreme Courts, Appeals Courts, US Federal, State courts) as a weapon to retaliate against the Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater Class representative and members and other Whistle blowers and/or victims to silence our speech in violation of our 1<sup>st</sup> US Constitutional Civil Rights( RICO Predicate Act); 65) Public Officials who act in their private persons who commit wrongful discriminatory practices; 66)Public Officials who act in their private persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or who practice unlawful customs policies and procedures as police officers, Sgt., etc.; 67) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to prosecute "wall street" individuals, corporations, banks, etc. for the major fraud committed against the USA and failed to ; 68) Public Officials holding office who have legal duty to protect consumers from fraud and identity theft and failed to protect US consumers from fraud and identity theft; 69) Public officials who have a legal duty to maintain DOJ records and the DOJ Data base and failed to ; 70) Public Official who have a legal duties to protect US citizens from, unlawful custom polices and procedures and failed to;71)Public official acting in their individual capacity and committed overt acts or omission and/or one of the following drug trafficking, extortion, a racketeering, and large-scale organized drug networks, including but not limited to murder, genocide, kidnapping, dealing in obscene matter, acts of bribery, theft, embezzlement, fraud, dealing in obscene matter, obstruction of justice, which constitute a Continuing Criminal Enterprise (trafficking of cocaine, heroin, methamphetamine, and marijuana); the illegal gun trafficking, and related offenses; 73)persons or individuals who hold office as a government official both foreign and domestic who fail to prevent violence among women and crimes among their citizens; 74) Public official who had a legal duty or obligation and failed to protect US citizens, and taxpayers from identity theft of tax filing at the Internal Revenue Service –accessing Consumers information and filing taxes to obtain money via theft; 75) Public Officials who failed to protect Consumers from Identity theft such as the Target Stores Security Breach; 76) Person, corporations and/or companies who use abuse,

illegal spying and use surveillance in violation of the Intervening and/or Petitioners 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional rights by internet companies; 77)Person, etc who wrongful torture of prisoners and/or the unlawfully holding of prisoners in Guantonomo Bay against their will and/or the class Plaintiff Bridgewater ; 78)Persons who commit wrongful acts or omissions or the continued conspiracies to defraud Class Plaintiff Representative and/or the USA ; 78) Person who perpetrate, plan or commit unlawful acts of war crimes, genocide, crimes against humanity, ie Class member Defendants 79)persons, foreign individual etc. who conspires to defraud the US Government, its Departments, and agencies as defined in 18 section 371 and violations of Federal and/or State or international laws80)Public Official who hold Office WHO USE OTHERS SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS and/or who are not US Citizens and/or are illegal immigrants; 81)Persons who have a US/UK military defense agreement and who prepartate, plan to commit overt acts against and/or overt acts and/or omissions against Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group Prisoner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman , treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current; 82)Persons who commit overt acts or omissions against all US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships; 83) Persons, who who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Surveillance(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights are violated; 84)Persons who have been harmed US Citizens by the Statement and mispresentation “if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan”; 85 ) Persons who have committed overt acts or omissions against all small minority business owners, member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce; 86) Perosn who have committed overt acts or omissions against all person Whistleblowers now and in future; 87 ) Persons who had a legal duty or obligation to protect consumers from security breaches

and failed to protect Consumers against security breaches such as the “TARGET STORES” SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breaches; 88)Person who had a legal duty or obligation to protect all taxpayers against tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud and failed to 89) Person who torture, prisoner, subject prisoner to cruel and usual punishment such as in Guantomo Bay Prisioner who are subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will and/or the Class Plaintiffs; 90) Person or individuals who impair, obstruct or defeat the lawful function of the department of governmentor conspiracies which is calculated to obstruct or impair its efficiency and destroy the value of its operation and reports as fair, impartial and reasonably accurate, would be to defraud the United States by depriving it of its lawful right and duty of promulgating or diffusing the information so officially ac.red in the way and at the time re.red by law or departmental regulation; and to cheat the Government out of property or money, or to interfere with or obstruct one of its lawful governmental functions by deceit, craft or trickery, or at least by means that are dishonest who holds legal or beneficial interest in property and/or Defrauded the USA, it Departments or agencies from 1993 thru to present; 91)AND FOR OTHER DECLARTOARY AND/OR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AS DEEM FIT AND JUST BY THIS COURT and DECLARE that Eric Holder and/or Obama did act in joint participation with agent, directors etc of Corporations, and/or AIG et al did received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, or did use or invest, directly or indirectly, part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in ac.sition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce; did through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to ac.re or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce;

**was** employed by or associated with a enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, and/or did conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt or did conspire to violate the above 1, 2 or 3 and/or did commit overt and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies. Declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief. JUDGMENT TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT VIA DAMAGES OR PUNATIVE DAMAGES PER Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ), committed against class members all class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) in the amount of 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), and liability(certify)a judgment for the USA. ENJOIN THE Defendants from withholding monies now due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order Obama, Eric Holder, et all and/or the Class Representative Defendant AND re.red THE DEFENDANTS to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived from their several acts of *racketeering activity* in violation of the RICO and from all other violation(s) of applicable State and federal law(s) and/or VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to pay the USA et al via, asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) ISSUE AND order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit,

restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and ISSUE A Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomas Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

## **RICO CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD/ UNCOVERED US TREASURY FUNDS**

### **II**

1. Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Eric Holder and/or Barak Obama and Shawn Donavan or Kathleen Sebious Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 that is not support by one or more of

Compendia ; and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Dovanan, and Kathleen Sebius. Declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or Barak Obama and all class Defendants is liable for summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or for declaratory relief. JUDGMENT AND CERTIFY THE AWARD FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 treasury damages via Barak Obama, submitted VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 52.5 Trillion Dollars(treble damages). ENJOIN THE Defendants from withholding monies now due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order Obama, Eric Holder, et all and/or the Class Representative Defendant to be re.red by law to account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to pay the USA et al via, asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Issue and order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and GRANT A Habeas petition re.ing to release from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomas Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff

request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

AND

**VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 – UNLAWFUL  
WITHHELD FROM THE PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGWATER  
THE ORIGINAL SOURCE 2.4 BILLION DOLLARS HUD  
RECOVERIES**

**II**

Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Shawn Donavan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak Obama acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia . Declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants is liable for summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. CERTIFY A JUDGMENT FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 HUD for 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and certify a recovery award of 10% to the Plaintiff Bridgewater as the original source to Class Plaintiff Bridgewater in the amount in the amount of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for the USA. Enjoin Defendants from withholding monies now

due to the United States of America via the Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to pay the USA et al via, 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery award to Bridgewater and the United States of America via an asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT the Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Issue and order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, GRANT A Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomas Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Special Prosecutors; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

(“AN ANTI-TRUST INJURY – DAMAGES NEED NOT BE AN EXACT AMOUNT  
ONLY AN ESTIMATE)”

## **CLASS CERTIFICATION II**

### **ARGUMENT**

#### **CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE**

**SHARON BRIDGEWATER – PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

##### **I. Principles applicable to class certification.**

In ruling on a motion for class certification, “the district court must determine whether the four threshold requirements of Rule 23(a) are met. If the court determines that they are, it must then examine whether the action falls within one of three categories of suits set forth in Rule 23(b). Class certification is solely a procedural issue, and the court’s inquiry is limited to determining whether the proposed class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23. In ruling on the motion for class certification, the court must take the substantive allegations of the complaint as true. If the court has some doubt, it should err in favor of certification, since the decision is subject to later modification.

## **II. The requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied.**

In order for a class to be certified, the following requirements must be satisfied:

- (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). As plaintiffs demonstrate below, all four requirements of Rule 23(a) are easily met in this case.

### **A. Impracticability of Joinder – Rule 23(a)(1).**

Rule 23(a)(1) requires that “the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” There can be no doubt that the proposed class satisfies this requirement. There are numerous individual, person, etc. have defrauded the USA Government, its department and agencies and further discriminated against class whose identities cannot now be ascertained, makes joinder of all class members not just

impracticable but literally impossible. Thus, based only on the number of class members the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied.

#### **B. Commonality – Rule 23(a)(2).**

Rule 23(a)(2) requires only a single question of law or fact common to the entire class.” In this case, the members of the Defendant proposed class are committed overts or defrauded the USA. For that reason, the commonality requirement is “easily met.” The controlling questions of fact and law in this case are common to the entire class. Accordingly, the commonality requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) is satisfied.

#### **C. Typicality – Rule 23(a)(3).**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) requires that “the claims or defenses of the representative parties [be] typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” The claims or defenses of the class representatives are based on the same legal theory as the claims or defenses of the class members – Thus the typicality requirement is met.

#### **D. Adequacy of Representation – Rule 23(a)(4).**

Adequacy of representation involves two inquiries: “(1) do the named Defendant and/or

their counsel have any conflicts of interest with other class members and (2) will the named defendant and their counsel prosecute the action vigorously on behalf of the class?" These criteria are clearly satisfied in this case. Eric Holder Jr. is the United States Attorney General "Counsel for the USA" and there is no conflict between defendant or their counsel.

**III. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>7</sup> - Predominates**

Certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) when the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole. "The writers of Rule 23 intended that subsection (b)(2) foster institutional reform by facilitating suits that challenge widespread rights violations of conspiracies to defraud the USA. Rule 23(b)(2) imposes two independent, but related requirements upon those seeking class certification. First, plaintiffs must demonstrate defendants' actions or inactions are based on

---

<sup>7</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

grounds generally applicable to all class members. Second, plaintiffs must also establish the injunctive relief they have requested is appropriate for *the class as a whole*. Together these requirements demand cohesiveness among class members with respect to classwide injunctive relief satisfies Rule 65 requirement that every injunction “state its terms specifically; and describe in reasonable detail … the acts or acts restrained or relieved.” Second, cohesiveness also requires that class members' injuries are sufficiently similar that they can be remedied in a single injunction without differentiating between class members. Rule 23(b)(2)'s bottom line, therefore, demands at the class certification stage plaintiffs describe in reasonably particular detail the injunctive relief they seek such that the district court can at least conceive of an injunction that would satisfy Rule 65 requirements, as well as the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2). These requirements are amply satisfied in this case. All class members Class members, the United States of America are victims of crime, claims an interest in property or money requiring injunctive relief.

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL HAS THE STANDING TO OBTAIN INJUNCTIVE RELIEF –FOR CLASS PLAINTIFF AND/OR THE UNITED STATES**

Intervening Plaintiffs and/or Petitioners has the Standing to Obtain Injunctive Relief which Predominates. The United States of America has interest in money or property, Relief, Certification Under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3)<sup>8</sup> Predominates and is necessary for declaratory or injunctive relief predominated over its damages claims, which they do not, there still would be no reason to certify this class under 23(a) and 23(b)(1)(A) and/or 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3).

- 1.
- 2.

---

<sup>8</sup>because this case primarily involve a "common pool" of money or property subjected to criminal forfeiture, asset freezes, etc. in which the Defendants owe to the USA; and/or is for equitable relief, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.

**Bifurcation**

Sharon Bridgewater Class Plaintiff Representative and/or class members and the United States of America has been damaged by Eric Holdr Jr(Class Represenative Member) and other Defendant class members claims an interest in property or money IS NOW due and owing, wrongfully withheld which re.res declaratory and injunctive relief Plaintiff asks this Court to sever of "liability" and "damages."

**THE USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, DAMAGES ARE NOW DUE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATION IS APPROPRIATE**

A. Under Rule 23(b)(2) Injunctive Relief is available.

Rule 23(b)(2) provides that a class action may be maintained where "final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" and damages owed, "now due" is "incidenta to the requested injunctive. The Interveninng Plaintiff and/or Petitioner claims an interest in money or property have been damaged in business, person or property, and the damages flow directly from liability to the class as a whole which which authorizes this court for injunctive relief, Certification under Rule 23(b)(2)is available where there it is either (1) e.table in nature or (2) ancillary to the general

scheme of injunctive or declaratory relief. Thus appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)wherefore certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is appropriate. The law makes it clear that Damages owed which is "incidenta to the requested injunctive or declaratory relief and/or; the Defendants caused damage to the Intervening Plaintiffs or Petitioner that flow directly from liability to the class as a whole on the claims forming the basis of the injunctive or declaratory relief."

### **THE USA ET AL MOTION FOR BIFURATION**

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in THREE phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class member and committed overt as of RICO activity and defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies AND HAVE DAMAGED THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS . The second phase would request this court to declare that Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or George W. Bush, Obama et al at is liable for Racketeered and Corrupt Organization for 1993 and continuing thru and/or for declaratory relief AND LIABLE TO THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED. The third phase would determine Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is a African American Female Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group

Prisioner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman

treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual capacities.

2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.
3. All US Citiznes who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Survielience(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.
4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare(intentional mispresentation by Obama—"if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan" and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.
5. All small minority business owners , member of race, class based "discriminatory animus" engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce
6. All Person who were harmed by "TARGET STORES" SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breach by Holders acts or omission or failure to protect

7.. All Taxpayers who are victims of tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect taxpayers.

8.. Guantomo Bay Prisioners and/or other prisioner the class Plaintiff representative who are subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will.

And an amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), and award(certify)a judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants to refrain from continued damage to the class plaintiff members or class plaintiff representative. Obama, Eric Holder, et all and/or the Class Representative Defendant to pay the the Class Plaintiffs and/or the Class Plaintiff representive in the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase determine the measure to remove from employment arrest the Defendant, grant the Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injuctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Six to show cause ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF WARRANTO QUO, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF MANDUMUS(CONSOLIDATE CASES, REMOVE NEGATIVE INFORMATION EXPUNGE, DELETE ALL NEGATIVE) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF HABEAS AND/OR ORDER A HABEAS PETITION, OUST HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHROITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

## **RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES**

### **II**

1. Plaintiff also asks that the court bifurcate in seven phases. The first phase would request this court to declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Eric Holder and/or Barak Obama and Shawn Donavan or Kathleen Sebious Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371 or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury AND HAVE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 . and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Dovanan, and Kathleen Sebius and SUBMITTED FALSE CLAIMS FOR 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 AND 2014 AND CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY. The second phase would request this court to declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or Barak Obama and all class Defendants summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The

third phase IS JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMGES THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 submitted VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 52.5 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to pay and freeze asset and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomas Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

## II

The first phase Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Shawn Donavan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak Obama acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. The second phase would request this court to declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 RECOVERIES RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. The Fourth phase is

for injunctive relief for the USA ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY and/or Order account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery award to Bridgewater IN THE FORM OF AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. The fifth phase ORDER AND/OR GRANT THE Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injuctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Sixth is to order to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisioment, of Guantomas Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

Declare that Eric Holder and/or George W. Bush, Obama did violate Class Representative Plaintiff civil rights, did commit acts of genocide, human rights violations, against all class

DAMAGES CAUSED. Grant the Class Defendants for damages Racketeered and Corrupt Organization damages via George W. Bush, Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ), Obama damages and/or punative damages committed against class members all sub class members for 1993 and continuing thru present (treble damages) as follows:

1. Women both domestic and foriegn who are members of racial, ethnic or religious group

Prisioner and victims of war crimes, terriost attack, human right abuses, torture, inhuman treatment, violence, and who have suffered degrading, inhuman acts since 1993 thru current by their government acts or omissions and/or by persons acting in their individual capacities.

2. All US Public Housing Tenants who are renters of Public/Private partnerships.

3. All US Citiznes who are persons and victims of illegal unlawful Survielience(by internet provider companies and organizations such as google, Microsoft, etc. ) NSA SURVELIENCE who 4<sup>th</sup> via 5<sup>th</sup> US Constitutional due process civil rights were violated.

4. All person who have been harmed and/or are victims Obamacare(intentional mispresentation by Obama—"if you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan" and All US Citizen who contest Obama right to hold Office as President, illegal alien, non-US Citizen via illegal birth certificate, and the use of someone social security card.

5. All small minority business owners , member of race, class based “discriminatory animus” engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, Nautre Trade of Commerce Real Estate and competitors engaged in interstate and foreign commerce

6. All Person who were harmed by “TARGET STORES” SECURITY BREACH and other stores security breach by Holders acts or omission or failure to protect

7.. All Taxpayers who are victims of tax fraud, or who had their information stolen, and were victims of tax filing or fraud-and by Holders acts or omissions and failure to protect taxpayers.

8.. Guantomo Bay Prisioners and/or other prisioner the class Plaintiff representative who are subjected to cruel and unsual punishment, torture, inhuman treatment and are unlawful held against their will.

JUDGMENT FOR CLASS PLAINTIFFS IN THE amount totally 50 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify)a judgment for the USA AND CLASS PLAINTIFF FOR DAMAGES AND JUDGMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY FOR DAMAGES via the Preliminary Injunction order the defendants in the form of an asset freeze, FORFEIT ALL PROPERTY and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court, remove THE DEFENDANTS from employment arrest the Defendant, Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for

discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE WRIT OF WARRANTO QUO, ORDER A WRIT OF MANDUMUS ISSUE A WRIT OF HABEAS PETITION, OUST OBAMA AND/OR HOLDER AND OBAMA FROM PUBLIC OFFICE, AND/OR ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER WHAT LAWFUL AUTHROITY HE HAVE TO HOLD OFFICE, Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court.

## **VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371**

### **II**

1. Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Eric Holder and/or Barak Obama and Shawn Donavan or Kathleen Sebious Health and Human Services Secretary and in facts that Sharon Bridgewater was a direct competitor of Obama, and Obama US President acted alone in his private person, acted outside scope of authority, and/or acted in joint participation with Corporations and/or acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts, effected commerce and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371 or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties against Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the Treasury AND HAVE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012,

2013 and 2014 . and subsequently Eric Holder and/or Shawn Dovanan, and Kathleen Sebius and SUBMITTED FALSE CLAIMS FOR 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 AND 2014 AND CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE US TREASURY AND declare that THE UNITED STATES IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR Eric Holder(Class Representative Defendant and/or members ) and/or Barak Obama and all class Defendants summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the US Treasury for the years of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and/or and the DECLARE THE Defendants owe the United States America money and THE MONEY IS NOW DUE AND OWING. The third phase JUDGMENT FOR THE United States of America Judgment AND CERTIFY THE Judgment FOR DAMGES THE DEFENDANTS CAUSED TO THE US TREASURY IN THE AMOUNT OF AND/OR for VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 submitted VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 for the years of 1993 -2008, and 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014(treble damages) in the amount of 200 Trillion Dollars(treble damages), (certify) judgment for the USA. Order the Defendants to pay the United States of America via a Preliminary Injunction order and/or Order AND/OR account for all gains, profits, and/or Order the Defendants to per VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371, ISSUE A ASSET FREEZE AND ANY OTHER RELIEF as deem proper and just by this court. Order the committee and/or GRANT THE Committee immediate acess to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injuctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Arrest the Defendants and/or Order the Defendants to appear before this court to show cause Writ of Quo Warranto, and why he sould not be ousted, barred from from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and issue a Habeas

petition and release class plaintiff from unlawful imprisonment and from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantemos Bay Prisioners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order.

**VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 – UNLAWFUL  
WITHHELD FROM THE PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGWATER  
THE ORIGINAL SOURCE 2.4 BILLION DOLLARS HUD  
RECOVERIES**

**II**

Declare that the Plaintiff Bridgewater is a Whistleblower and the original source of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 of Shawn Donavan Shawn Dovanan acted alone in his private person, and in joint participation with corporations AIG and/or Barak Obama acted outside scope of authority, acted under the color of federal or state law, did violate Class Representative Plaintiff S civil rights, and deprived the Plaintiff equal protection under the laws and prevented class members from exercising and/or enjoying US Constitutional rights as every other citizen, committed overt as of RICO acts and/or defrauded the USA, its Departments and/or agencies as defined in 18 USC 371or adopted the acts of his predesocors, Bush and Clinton committed non-official acts unrelated to professional duties, and harmed the Class member Representative Sharon Bridgewater and/or Class members, committed overt acts and submitted claims to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru to 2008 and/or continuing thru present that is not support by one or more of Compendia and caused the United States of America

damage and/or the Class Plaintiff damage. Declare that Shawn Dovanan and Hayes Valley Limited Partnership Defendants owe the United States owe the United States of America and/or the Department of HUD for summission of VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 to the HUD for the years of 1997 and continuing thru 2008 for 24 Billion Dollars and further continuing thru present, and/or for declaratory relief. The third IS FOR JUDGMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CERTIFY THE JUDGMENT IN FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 HUD false claims IN THE AMOUNT OF 24 Billion Dollars of federal public housing facilities and JUDGMENT FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF REPRESENTATIVE SHARON BRIDGEWATER 10% PUSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 371 RECOVERIES RELATOR SHARE IN THE AMOUNT of 2.4 Billion Dollars and (certify)a judgment for PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER. ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO PAY THE UNITED STATES AND/OR THE CLASS PLAINTIFF account for all gains, profits, per federal false 24 Billion and/or 2.4 Billion hud recovery TO CLASS PLAINTIFF REPESENTATIVE BRIDGEWATER THE ORIGINAL SOURCE IN AND AN asset freeze and/or other injunctive measures as deem proper and just by this court. GRANT THE COMMITTEE immediate access to Internal Revenue records or file for discovery, order re-organization of the Department of Justice, order all records, from 1993 thru present files of the Intervening Plaintiffs allegations in the complaint for discovery or take any other injunctive relief and/or any declaratory and/or injunctive relief as appropriate (and/or to certify all) Order to show cause Writ of Quo Warrantor, and why he should not be ousted, barred from Public Office(Eric Holder and Barak Obama), or barred from practicing law, writ of mandamus order, prohibit and restrain and enjoin from causing class plaintiff and/or the United States further damage and order, prohibit, restrain, enjoin the defendants from withholding documents, and re.re to release document, etc for the committee to complete its investigation regarding the class plaintiffs allegation, and Habeas petition re.ring to release from unlawful detainment and imprisonment, of Guantomas Bay Prisoners and/or as well as the class Plaintiff Bridgewater; Seventh) Appoint Class Counsel; and any other relief as deem just and appropriate

by this court, grand jury investigation and the Plaintiffs have attempted to write a proposed declaratory or asset freeze proposed order, however the Plaintiff request this honorable court to assist the United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater Private Attorney General/. Tam RELATOR and issue an order and/or